Contents

1	Notations	2
2	Rotation Matrix	3
3	Quaternions3.1Main Quaternion Properties3.1.1Sum3.1.2Product	4 4 4 5
4	Computer Vision 4.1 Pinhole Camera Model	
5	Calibration	8

1 Notations

Frames:

rames:		
	body frame: B	(1)
	robot frame: R	(2)
	world frame: W	(3)
	global frame: G	(4)
Translation:		
	t	(5)
	$_{ m G}{f t}_{ m GB}$	(6)
Position:		
	p	(7)
	$_{ m G}{f p}_{ m GB}$	(8)
Velocity:		
	v	(9)
	$_{ m G}{f v}_{ m GB}$	(10)
Angular Velocity: Acceleration:		
	a	(11)
	$_{ m G}{f a}_{ m GB}$	(12)
Rotation:		
	R	(13)
	\mathbf{R}_{BG}	(14)
Transforms:		
	T	(15)
	\mathbf{T}_{BG}	(16)

2 Rotation Matrix

Z-Y-X rotation sequence:

$$\mathbf{R}_{zyx} = \begin{bmatrix} c(\psi)c(\theta) & c(\psi)s(\theta)s(\phi) - s(\psi)c(\phi) & c(\psi)s(\theta)c(\phi) + s(\psi)s(\phi) \\ s(\psi)c(\theta) & s(\psi)s(\theta)s(\phi) + c(\psi)c(\phi) & s(\psi)s(\theta)c(\phi) - c(\psi)s(\phi) \\ -s(\theta) & c(\theta)s(\phi) & c(\theta)c(\phi) \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

3 Quaternions

A quaternion, $\mathbf{q} \in {\rm I\!R}^4,$ generally has the following form

$$\mathbf{q} = q_w + q_x \mathbf{i} + q_y \mathbf{j} + q_z \mathbf{k},\tag{18}$$

where $\{q_w,q_x,q_y,q_z\}\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}\}$ are the imaginary numbers satisfying

$$\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{k} = -1$$

$$\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} = -\mathbf{j}\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{k}, \ \mathbf{j}\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{k}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{i}, \ \mathbf{k}\mathbf{i} = -\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{j}$$
(19)

corresponding to the Hamiltonian convention. The quaternion can be written as a 4 element vector consisting of a real (scalar) part, q_w , and imaginary (vector) part \mathbf{q}_v as,

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{bmatrix} q_w \\ \mathbf{q}_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_w \\ q_x \\ q_y \\ q_z \end{bmatrix} \tag{20}$$

There are other quaternion conventions, for example, the JPL convention. A more detailed discussion between Hamiltonian and JPL quaternion convention is discussed in [1].

3.1 Main Quaternion Properties

3.1.1 Sum

Let **p** and **q** be two quaternions, the sum of both quaternions is,

$$\mathbf{p} \pm \mathbf{q} = \begin{bmatrix} p_w \\ \mathbf{p}_v \end{bmatrix} \pm \begin{bmatrix} q_w \\ \mathbf{q}_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_w \pm q_w \\ \mathbf{p}_v \pm \mathbf{q}_v \end{bmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

The sum between two quaternions \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} is **commutative** and **associative**.

$$\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} \tag{22}$$

$$\mathbf{p} + (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{r} \tag{23}$$

Product 3.1.2

The quaternion multiplication (or product) of two quaternions **p** and **q**, denoted by \otimes is defined as

$$\mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{q} = (p_w + p_x \mathbf{i} + p_y \mathbf{j} + p_z \mathbf{k})(q_w + q_x \mathbf{i} + q_y \mathbf{j} + q_z \mathbf{k})$$
(24)

$$= \begin{array}{c} (p_{w}q_{w} - p_{x}q_{x} - p_{y}q_{y} - p_{z}q_{z}) \\ (p_{w}q_{x} + p_{x}q_{w} + p_{y}q_{z} - p_{z}q_{y}) & \mathbf{i} \\ (p_{w}q_{y} - p_{y}q_{w} + p_{z}q_{x} + p_{x}q_{z}) & \mathbf{j} \\ (p_{w}q_{z} + p_{z}q_{w} - p_{x}q_{y} + p_{y}q_{x}) & \mathbf{k} \end{array}$$

$$(25)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_w q_w - p_x q_x - p_y q_y - p_z q_z \\ p_w q_x + q_x p_w + p_y q_z - p_z q_y \\ p_w q_y - p_y q_w + p_z q_x + p_x q_z \\ p_w q_z + p_z q_w - p_x q_y + p_y q_x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_w q_w - \mathbf{p}_v^{\top} \mathbf{q}_v \\ p_w \mathbf{q}_v + q_w \mathbf{p}_v + \mathbf{p}_v \times \mathbf{q}_v \end{bmatrix}.$$
(26)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_w q_w - \mathbf{p}_v^\top \mathbf{q}_v \\ p_w \mathbf{q}_v + q_w \mathbf{p}_v + \mathbf{p}_v \times \mathbf{q}_v \end{bmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

The quaternion product is **not commutative** in the general case¹,

$$\mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{p} . \tag{28}$$

The quaternion product is however associative,

$$\mathbf{p} \otimes (\mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{q}) \otimes \mathbf{r} \tag{29}$$

and distributive over the sum

$$\mathbf{p} \otimes (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{r} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{r}$$
 (30)

The quaternion product can alternatively be expressed in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{q} = [\mathbf{p}]_L \mathbf{q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{q} = [\mathbf{q}]_R \mathbf{p} ,$$
 (31)

where $[\mathbf{p}]_L$ and $[\mathbf{q}]_R$ are the left and right quaternion-product matrices which are derived from (26),

$$[\mathbf{p}]_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{w} & -p_{x} & -p_{y} & -p_{z} \\ p_{x} & p_{w} & -p_{z} & p_{y} \\ p_{y} & p_{z} & p_{w} & -p_{x} \\ p_{z} & -p_{y} & p_{x} & p_{w} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{q}]_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{w} & -q_{x} & -q_{y} & -q_{z} \\ q_{x} & q_{w} & q_{z} & -q_{y} \\ q_{y} & -q_{z} & q_{w} & q_{x} \\ q_{z} & q_{y} & -q_{x} & q_{w} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(32)$$

or inspecting (27) a compact form can be derived as

$$[\mathbf{p}]_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{p}_{v}^{\top} \\ \mathbf{p}_{w}\mathbf{I}_{3\times 3} + \mathbf{p}_{v} & \mathbf{p}_{w}\mathbf{I}_{3\times 3} - \lfloor \mathbf{p}_{v} & \times \rfloor \end{bmatrix}$$
(33)

¹There are exceptions to the general non-commutative rule, where either \mathbf{p} or \mathbf{q} is real such that $\mathbf{p}_v \times \mathbf{q}_v = 0$, or when both \mathbf{p}_v and \mathbf{q}_v are parallel, $\mathbf{p}_v || \mathbf{q}_v$. Only in these circumstances is the quaternion product commutative.

and

$$[\mathbf{q}]_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{q}_v^{\top} \\ \mathbf{q}_w \mathbf{I}_{3\times 3} + \mathbf{q}_v & \mathbf{q}_w \mathbf{I}_{3\times 3} - \lfloor \mathbf{q}_v & \times \rfloor \end{bmatrix}, \tag{34}$$

where $\lfloor \bullet \ \times \rfloor$ is the skew operator that produces a matrix cross product matrix, and is defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \times \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -v_3 & v_2 \\ v_3 & 0 & -v_1 \\ -v_2 & v_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$
 (35)

4 Computer Vision

4.1 Pinhole Camera Model

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & 0 & c_x \\ 0 & f_y & c_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (36)

4.2 Radial Tangential Distortion

$$k_{\text{radial}} = 1 + (k_1 r^2) + (k_2 r^4)$$

$$x' = x \cdot k_{\text{radial}}$$

$$y' = y \cdot k_{\text{radial}}$$

$$x'' = x' + (2p_1 xy + p_2 * (r^2 + 2x^2))$$

$$y'' = y' + (p_1 (r^2 + 2y^2) + 2p_2 xy)$$
(37)

4.3 Equi-distant Distortion

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\theta = \arctan(r)$$

$$\theta_d = \theta(1 + k_1\theta^2 + k_2\theta^4 + k_3\theta^6 + k_4\theta^8)$$

$$x' = (\theta_d/r) \cdot x$$

$$y' = (\theta_d/r) \cdot y$$
(38)

4.4 Bundle Adjustment

Let $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the image measurement and $\mathbf{h}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the projection function that produces an image projection $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$. The reprojection error e is defined as the euclidean distance between \mathbf{z} and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$.

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{z} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \tag{39}$$

Our aim given image measurement \mathbf{z} is to find the image projection $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ that minimizes the reprojection e. The image projection $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ in pixels can be represented in homogeneous coordinates with u, v, w as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u/w \\ v/w \end{bmatrix} \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{P} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{R} [\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}] \tag{41}$$

where u, v, w is computed by projecting a landmark position \mathbf{L} in the world frame to the camera's image plane with projection matrix \mathbf{P} . The projection matrix, \mathbf{P} , can be decomposed into the camera intrinsics matrix, \mathbf{K} , the camera rotation, \mathbf{R} , and camera position, \mathbf{C} , expressed in the world frame. The orientation of the camera in (??) is represented using a rotation matrix. To reduce the optimization parameters the rotation matrix can be parameterized by a quaternion by using the following formula,

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} q_w^2 + q_x^2 - q_y^2 - q_z^2 & 2(q_x q_y - q_w q_z) & 2(q_x q_z + q_w q_y) \\ 2(q_x q_y + q_w q_z) & q_w^2 - q_x^2 + q_y^2 - q_z^2 & 2(q_y q_z - q_w q_x) \\ 2(q_x q_z - q_w q_y) & 2(q_y q_z + q_w q_x) & q_w^2 - q_x^2 - q_y^2 + q_z^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(42)

By parameterzing the rotation matrix with a quaternion, the optimization parameters for the camera's orientation is reduced from 9 to 4.

Our objective is to optimize for the camera rotation \mathbf{R} , camera position \mathbf{C} and 3D landmark position \mathbf{L} in order to minimize the cost function,

$$\underset{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}}{\operatorname{argmin}} |\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L})|^{2}$$
(43)

$$\underset{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left| \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u/w \\ v/w \end{bmatrix} \right|^2. \tag{44}$$

The cost function above assumes only a single measurement, if there are N measurements corresponding to N unique landmarks the cost function can be rewritten as a maximum likelihood estimation problem as,

$$\underset{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |\mathbf{z}_{j} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{R}_{j}, \mathbf{C}_{j}, \mathbf{L}_{j})|^{2}, \tag{45}$$

under the assumption that the observed landmark, L, measured in the image plane, z, are corrupted by a **zero-mean Gaussian noise**.

For the general case of M images taken at different camera poses the cost function can be further extended to,

$$\min_{R,C,X} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |\mathbf{z}_{i,j} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{C}_i, \mathbf{L}_j)|^2$$

$$(46)$$

The optimization process begins by setting the first image camera pose as world origin, and subsequent \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{C}_i will be relative to the first camera pose.

Jacobians

The Jacobian for the optimization problem for a **single measurement** has the form:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{C}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{L}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(47)

If there are two measurements the Jacobian is stacked with the following pattern:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Image} 1_{2 \times 7} & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 7} & \operatorname{3D} \operatorname{Point}_{2 \times 3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 7} & \operatorname{Image} 2_{2 \times 7} & \operatorname{3D} \operatorname{Point}_{2 \times 3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(48)

Derivation for $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{R}}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{R}} = \begin{bmatrix} w \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{R}} - u \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{R}} \\ w^2 \\ w \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{R}} - v \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{R}} \\ w^2 \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 9}$$
(49)

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{KR}[\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & 0 & p_x \\ 0 & f_y & p_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}]$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{R}} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}) & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times 3} & p_x(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}) \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times 9}$$
 (50)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{R}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & f_y(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}) & p_y(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}) \end{bmatrix}_{1\times9}$$
 (51)

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{R}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & \mathbf{0}_{1\times3} & (\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}) \end{bmatrix}_{1\times9}$$
 (52)

Derivation for $\frac{\partial R}{\partial q}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{11}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{12}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{33}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \end{bmatrix}_{9 \times 4}$$
(53)

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} q_w^2 + q_x^2 - q_y^2 - q_z^2 & 2(q_x q_y - q_w q_z) & 2(q_x q_z + q_w q_y) \\ 2(q_x q_y + q_w q_z) & q_w^2 - q_x^2 + q_y^2 - q_z^2 & 2(q_y q_z - q_w q_x) \\ 2(q_x q_z - q_w q_y) & 2(q_y q_z + q_w q_x) & q_w^2 - q_x^2 - q_y^2 + q_z^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{11}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4q_y & -4q_z & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{54}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{12}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q_y & 2q_x & -2q_w & -2q_z \end{bmatrix} \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{13}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q_z & 2q_w & 2q_x & 2q_y \end{bmatrix} \tag{56}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{21}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q_y & 2q_x & 2q_w & 2q_z \end{bmatrix} \tag{57}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{22}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 4q_x & 0 & 4q_z & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{58}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{23}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q_w & 2q_z & 2q_y & 2q_x \end{bmatrix} \tag{59}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{31}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q_z & -2q_w & 2q_x & -2q_y \end{bmatrix} \tag{60}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{32}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} -4q_x & -4q_y & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{61}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}_{33}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2q_w & 2q_z & 2q_y & 2q_x \end{bmatrix} \tag{62}$$

Derivation for $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{L}}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{L}} = \begin{bmatrix} w \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{L}} - u \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{L}} \\ w^2 \\ w \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{L}} - v \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{L}} \\ w^2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{63}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{KR}[\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & 0 & p_x \\ 0 & f_y & p_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}]$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{L}} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x \mathbf{R}_{11} + c_x \mathbf{R}_{31} & f_x \mathbf{R}_{12} + c_x \mathbf{R}_{32} & f_x \mathbf{R}_{13} + c_x \mathbf{R}_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
(64)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{L}} = \begin{bmatrix} f_y \mathbf{R}_{21} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{31} & f_y \mathbf{R}_{22} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{32} & f_y \mathbf{R}_{23} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
(65)

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{L}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{31} & \mathbf{R}_{32} & \mathbf{R}_{33} \end{bmatrix} \tag{66}$$

Derivation for $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{C}}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{w \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{C}} - u \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{C}}}{w^2} \\
\frac{w \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{C}} - v \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{C}}}{w^2}
\end{bmatrix}$$
(67)

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{KR}[\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_x & 0 & p_x \\ 0 & f_y & p_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{C}]$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = -\begin{bmatrix} f_x \mathbf{R}_{11} + c_x \mathbf{R}_{31} & f_x \mathbf{R}_{12} + c_x \mathbf{R}_{32} & f_x \mathbf{R}_{13} + c_x \mathbf{R}_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
(68)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = -\begin{bmatrix} f_y \mathbf{R}_{21} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{31} & f_y \mathbf{R}_{22} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{32} & f_y \mathbf{R}_{23} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
(69)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = -\left[f_y \mathbf{R}_{21} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{31} \quad f_y \mathbf{R}_{22} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{32} \quad f_y \mathbf{R}_{23} + c_y \mathbf{R}_{33} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{C}} = -\left[\mathbf{R}_{31} \quad \mathbf{R}_{32} \quad \mathbf{R}_{33} \right]$$
(69)

5 Calibration

References

 $[1]\,$ J. Solà. Quaternion kinematics for the error-state Kalman filter, November 2017.