

## Chapter 6

### Section 6.1

6.1.1 Fails to be invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = 6 - 6 = 0$ .

6.1.2 Invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = 10 - 12 = -2$ .

6.1.3 Invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 7 & 11 \end{bmatrix} = 33 - 35 = -2$ .

6.1.4 Fails to be invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = 8 - 8 = 0$ .

6.1.5 Invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 7 \\ 0 & 11 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \cdot 11 \cdot 5 + 0 + 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 = 110$ .

6.1.6 Invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 4 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 1 + 0 + 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 = 24$ .

6.1.7 This matrix is clearly not invertible, so the determinant must be zero.

6.1.8 This matrix fails to be invertible, since the  $\det(A) = 0$ .

6.1.9 Invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 7 & 8 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = 0 + 3 \cdot 6 + 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 - 7 \cdot 4 - 2 \cdot 8 \cdot 6 = -36$ .

6.1.10 Invertible; since  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 - 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 - 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 - 6 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ .

6.1.11  $\det \begin{bmatrix} k & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$  when  $4k \neq 6$ , or  $k \neq \frac{3}{2}$ .

6.1.12  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ k & 4 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$  when  $k^2 \neq 4$ , or  $k \neq 2, -2$ .

6.1.13  $\det \begin{bmatrix} k & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = 8k$ , so  $k \neq 0$  will ensure that this matrix is invertible.

6.1.14  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & k & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ , so the matrix will never be invertible, no matter which  $k$  is chosen.

6.1.15  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = 6k - 3$ . This matrix is invertible when  $k \neq \frac{1}{2}$ .

6.1.16  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & k & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = 60 + 84 + 8k - 18k - 35 - 64 = 45 - 10k$ . So this matrix is invertible when  $k \neq 4.5$ .

6.1.17  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & k & -1 \\ 1 & k^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2k^2 - 2 = 2(k^2 - 1) = 2(k - 1)(k + 1)$ . So  $k$  cannot be 1 or -1.

6.1.18  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & k \\ 3 & 2k & 5 \\ 9 & 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = 30 + 21k - 18k^2 = -3(k - 2)(6k + 5)$ . So  $k$  cannot be 2 or  $-\frac{5}{6}$ .

6.1.19  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & k \\ 1 & k & k \\ k & k & k \end{bmatrix} = -k^3 + 2k^2 - k = -k(k - 1)^2$ . So  $k$  cannot be 0 or 1.

6.1.20  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k & 1 \\ 1 & k+1 & k+2 \\ 1 & k+2 & 2k+4 \end{bmatrix} = (k+1)(2k+4) + k(k+2) + (k+2) - (k+1) - k(2k+4) - (k+2)(k+2) = (k+1)(3k+6) - (3k^2+9k+5) = 1$ . Thus,  $A$  will always be invertible, no matter the value of  $k$ , meaning that  $k$  can have any value.

6.1.21  $\det \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & k & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & k \end{bmatrix} = k^3 - 3k + 2 = (k - 1)^2(k + 2)$ . So  $k$  cannot be -2 or 1.

6.1.22  $\det \begin{bmatrix} \cos k & 1 & -\sin k \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ \sin k & 0 & \cos k \end{bmatrix} = 2 \cos^2 k + 2 \sin^2 k = 2$ . So  $k$  can have any value.

6.1.23  $\det(A - \lambda I_2) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 2 \\ 0 & 4 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 1 or 4.

6.1.24  $\det(A - \lambda I_2) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (2 - \lambda)(-\lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 2 or 0.

6.1.25  $\det(A - \lambda I_2) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 2 \\ 4 & 6 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (4 - \lambda)(6 - \lambda) - 8 = (\lambda - 8)(\lambda - 2) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 2 or 8.

6.1.26  $\det(A - \lambda I_2) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 2 \\ 2 & 7 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (4 - \lambda)(7 - \lambda) - 4 = (\lambda - 8)(\lambda - 3) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 3 or 8.

6.1.27  $A - \lambda I_3$  is a lower triangular matrix with the diagonal entries  $(2 - \lambda)$ ,  $(3 - \lambda)$  and  $(4 - \lambda)$ . Now,  $\det(A - \lambda I_3) = (2 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 2, 3 or 4.

6.1.28  $A - \lambda I_3$  is an upper triangular matrix with the diagonal entries  $(2 - \lambda)$ ,  $(3 - \lambda)$  and  $(5 - \lambda)$ . Now,  $\det(A - \lambda I_3) = (2 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda)(5 - \lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 2, 3 or 5.

6.1.29  $\det(A - \lambda I_3) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 3 - \lambda & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 - \lambda & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 7 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (3 - \lambda)(\lambda - 8)(\lambda - 3) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 3 or 8.

6.1.30  $\det(A - \lambda I_3) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 6 - \lambda & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (4 - \lambda)(6 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 8(3 - \lambda)$   
 $= (3 - \lambda)(8 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda$  is 3, 8 or 2.

6.1.31 This matrix is upper triangular, so the determinant is the product of the diagonal entries, which is 24.

6.1.32 This matrix is upper triangular, so the determinant is the product of the diagonal entries, which is 210.

6.1.33 The determinant of this block matrix is  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 8 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = (7 - 16)(10 - 21) = 99$ , by Theorem 6.1.5.

6.1.34 The determinant of this block matrix is  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = (24 - 15)(3 - 8) = -45$ , by Theorem 6.1.5.

6.1.35 There are two patterns with a nonzero product,  $(a_{12}, a_{23}, a_{31}, a_{44}) = (3, 2, 6, 4)$ , with two inversions, and  $(a_{12}, a_{23}, a_{34}, a_{41}) = (3, 2, 3, 7)$ , with 3 inversions. Thus  $\det A = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 - 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 = 18$ .

6.1.36 There is one pattern with a nonzero product, containing all the 1's, with six inversions. Thus  $\det A = 1$ .

6.1.37 The determinant of this block matrix is

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \det \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (35 - 24)(5 \cdot 1 \cdot 1) = 55, \text{ by Theorem 6.1.5.}$$

6.1.38 The determinant of this block matrix is

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \det \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = (2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 1 - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2)(6 \cdot 6 - 5 \cdot 5) = 99, \text{ by Theorem 6.1.5.}$$

6.1.39 There is only one pattern with a nonzero product, containing all the nonzero entries of the matrix, with eight inversions. Thus  $\det A = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 120$ .

6.1.40 There is only one pattern with a nonzero product, containing all the nonzero entries of the matrix, with seven inversions. Thus  $\det A = -3 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 5 = -120$ .

6.1.41 There are two patterns with a nonzero product,  $(a_{15}, a_{24}, a_{32}, a_{41}, a_{53}) = (2, 2, 3, 2, 3)$ , with eight inversions, and  $(a_{13}, a_{24}, a_{32}, a_{41}, a_{55}) = (1, 2, 3, 2, 4)$ , with five inversions. Thus  $\det A = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 = 24$ .

6.1.42 There is only one pattern with a nonzero product,  $(a_{13}, a_{24}, a_{32}, a_{45}, a_{51}) = (2, 2, 9, 5, 3)$ , with six inversions. Thus  $\det A = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 9 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 = 540$ .

6.1.43 For each pattern  $P$  in  $A$ , consider the corresponding pattern  $P_{opp}$  in  $-A$ , with all the  $n$  entries being opposites. Then  $\text{prod}(P_{opp}) = (-1)^n \text{prod}(P)$  and  $\text{sgn}(P_{opp}) = \text{sgn}(P)$ , so that  $\det(-A) = (-1)^n \det A$ .

6.1.44 For each pattern  $P$  in  $A$ , consider the corresponding pattern  $P_m$  in  $kA$ , with all the  $n$  entries being multiplied by the scalar  $k$ . Then  $\text{prod}(P_m) = k^n \text{prod}(P)$  and  $\text{sgn}(P_m) = \text{sgn}(P)$ , so that  $\det(kA) = k^n \det A$ .

6.1.45 If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\det(A^T) = \det \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - cb = \det(A)$ . It turns out that  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ .

6.1.46 Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $a_1a_4 - a_2a_3 \neq 0$ , then  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{bmatrix} a_4 & -a_2 \\ -a_3 & a_1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

By Exercise 44,  $\det(A^{-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{\det(A)}\right)^2 (a_1a_4 - a_2a_3) = \left(\frac{1}{\det(A)}\right)^2 \cdot \det(A)$  so  $\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}$ .

6.1.47 We have  $\det(A) = (ah - cf)k + bef + cdg - aeg - bdh$ . Thus matrix  $A$  is invertible for all  $k$  if (and only if) the coefficient  $(ah - cf)$  of  $k$  is 0, while the sum  $bef + cdg - aeg - bdh$  is nonzero. A numerical example is  $a = c = d = f = h = g = 1$  and  $b = e = 2$ , but there are infinitely many other solutions as well.

6.1.48 Consider  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  so  $\det(A) = \det(B) = \det(C) = \det(D) = 0$  hence  $\det(A)\det(D) - \det(B)\det(C) = 0$  but  $\det \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = -1$ .

6.1.49 The kernel of  $T$  consists of all vectors  $\vec{x}$  such that the matrix  $[\vec{x} \ \vec{v} \ \vec{w}]$  fails to be invertible. This is the case if  $\vec{x}$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  as discussed on Pages 249 and 250. Thus  $\ker(T) = \text{span}(\vec{v}, \vec{w})$ . The image of  $T$  isn't  $\{0\}$ , since  $T(\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) \neq 0$ , for example. Being a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the image must be all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

6.1.50 Theorem 6.1.1 tells us that  $\det[\vec{u} \ \vec{v} \ \vec{w}] = \vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) = \|\vec{u}\| \cos(\theta) \|\vec{v} \times \vec{w}\| = \|\vec{u}\| \cos(\theta) \|\vec{v}\| \sin(\alpha) \|\vec{w}\| = \cos(\theta) \sin(\alpha)$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle enclosed by vectors  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v} \times \vec{w}$ , and  $\alpha$  is the angle between  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$ . Thus  $\det[\vec{u} \ \vec{v} \ \vec{w}]$  can be any number on the closed interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

6.1.51 Let  $a_{ii}$  be the first entry on the diagonal that fails to belong to the pattern. The pattern must contain an entry in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row to the right of  $a_{ii}$ , above the diagonal, and also an entry in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column below  $a_{ii}$ , below the diagonal.

6.1.52 By Definition 6.1.1, we have  $\det \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \times \vec{w} & \vec{v} & \vec{w} \end{bmatrix} = (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) = \|\vec{v} \times \vec{w}\|^2$ .

6.1.53 There is one pattern with a nonzero product, containing all the 1's. We have  $n^2$  inversions, since each of the 1's in the lower left block forms an inversion with each of the 1's in the upper right block. Thus  $\det A = (-1)^{n^2} = (-1)^n$ .

6.1.54 The pattern containing all the 1000's has 4 inversions so it contributes  $(1000)^5 = 10^{15}$  to the determinant. There are  $5! - 1 = 119$  other patterns with at most 3 entries being 1000, the others being  $\leq 9$ . Thus the product associated with each of those patterns is less than  $(1000)^3 (10)^2 = 10^{11}$ . Now  $\det A > 10^{15} - 119 \cdot 10^{11} > 0$ .

6.1.55 By Exercise 2.4.93, a square matrix admits an LU factorization if (and only if) all its principal submatrices are invertible. Now

$$A^{(1)} = [7], A^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, A^{(3)} = A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

with  $\det(A^{(1)}) = 7, \det(A^{(2)}) = 1, \det(A^{(3)}) = 1$ .

Since all principal submatrices turn out to be invertible, the matrix  $A$  does indeed admit an LU factorization.

6.1.56 There is only one pattern with a nonzero product, containing all the 1's. The number of inversions is  $(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 2 + 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$ . This number is even if either  $n$  or  $n-1$  is divisible by 4, that is, for  $n = 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, \dots$

a.  $\det M_4 = \det M_5 = 1, \det M_2 = \det M_3 = \det M_6 = \det M_7 = -1$ .

b.  $\det M_n = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$

6.1.57 In a permutation matrix  $P$ , there is only one pattern with a nonzero product, containing all the 1's. Depending on the number of inversions in that pattern, we have  $\det P = 1$  or  $\det P = -1$ .

6.1.58 a If  $a, b, c, d$  are distinct prime numbers, then  $ad \neq bc$ , since the prime factorization of a positive integer is unique. Thus  $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$ : No matrix of the required form exists.

b We are looking for a noninvertible matrix  $A = [\vec{u} \ \vec{v} \ \vec{w}]$  whose entries are nine distinct prime numbers. The last column vector,  $\vec{w}$ , must be redundant; to keep things simple, we will make  $\vec{w} = \vec{u} + 2\vec{v}$ . Now we have to pick six distinct prime entries for the first two columns,  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$ , such that the entries of  $\vec{w} = \vec{u} + 2\vec{v}$  are prime as well.

This can be done in many different ways; one solution is  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & 11 \\ 17 & 3 & 23 \\ 19 & 5 & 29 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$6.1.59 \quad F \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} = ab + cd$$

a. Yes,  $F$  is linear in both columns. To prove linearity in the second column, observe that  $ab + cd$  is a linear combination of the variables  $b$  and  $d$ , with the constant coefficients  $a$  and  $c$ . An analogous argument proves linearity in the first column.

b. No, since  $ab + cd$  fails to be a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ .

c. No,  $F \begin{bmatrix} \vec{w} & \vec{v} \end{bmatrix} = F \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} & \vec{w} \end{bmatrix} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}$ : swapping the columns leaves  $F$  unchanged.

**6.1.60** The functions in parts a, b, and d are linear in both columns; the functions in parts a, c, and d are linear in both rows; and  $F(A) = -\det A$  in part d is alternating on the columns. For example, the function  $F(A) = cd$  in part b is linear in the first column since  $cd$  is a linear combination of the entries  $a$  and  $c$  in the first column. However,  $F(A) = cd$  fails to be linear in the second row since  $cd$  fails to be a linear combination of the entries  $c$  and  $d$  in the second row. Furthermore,  $F \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = F \begin{bmatrix} b & a \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} = cd$ , showing that  $F$  fails to be alternating on the columns.

**6.1.61** The function  $F(A) = bfg$  is linear in all three columns and in all three rows since the product  $bfg$  contains exactly one factor from each row and from each column; it is the product associated with a pattern. For example,  $F$  is linear in the second row since  $bfg$  is a scalar multiple of  $f$  and thus a linear combination of the entries  $d, e, f$  in the second row.  $F$  fails to be alternating; for example,  $F \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$  but  $F \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$  after swapping the first two columns.

**6.1.62** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ c & c \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $D(A) = D \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ c & c \end{bmatrix} \underset{\substack{\text{swap} \\ \text{columns}}}{=} -D \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ c & c \end{bmatrix} = -D(A)$ , so that  $D(A) = 0$ .

**6.1.63**  $D \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \underset{\text{Step 1}}{=} D \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \underset{\text{Step 2}}{=} ab D \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + ad D \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underset{\text{Step 3}}{=} ad$ . In Step 1, we write  $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ d \end{bmatrix}$  and use linearity in the second column. In Step 2, we write  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  etc. and use linearity in both columns. In Step 3, we use Exercise 62 for the first summand and the given property  $D(I_2) = 1$  for the second summand.

**6.1.64** Writing  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ c \end{bmatrix}$  and repeatedly using linearity in the columns, we find

$$\begin{aligned} D \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} &= D \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \underset{\text{Step 2}}{=} ad + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= ad + bc D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + cd D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underset{\text{Step 4}}{=} ad - bc D \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc = \det A \end{aligned}$$

See the analogous computations in Exercise 63. In Step 2, we are using the result  $D \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} = ad$  from Exercise 63. In Step 4, we swap the columns of the matrix in the second summand and we apply Exercise 62 to the third summand.

**6.1.65** Freely using the linearity and alternating properties in the columns, and omitting terms with two equal columns (see Exercise 62), we find

$$\begin{aligned} D \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} &= a D \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b & c \\ 0 & e & f \\ 0 & h & i \end{bmatrix} + d D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b & c \\ 1 & e & f \\ 0 & h & i \end{bmatrix} + g D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b & c \\ 0 & e & f \\ 1 & h & i \end{bmatrix} \\ &= ae D \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & f \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{bmatrix} + ah D \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & f \\ 0 & 1 & i \end{bmatrix} + db D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & c \\ 1 & 0 & f \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{bmatrix} + dh D \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c \\ 1 & 0 & f \\ 0 & 1 & i \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$