

Denisse Blum

Koushik Chowdhury

**Github:** <https://github.com/chykoushik/hpc-toolsA1>

**ssh:** [git@github.com](mailto:git@github.com):chykoushik/hpc-toolsA1.git

<b>dgesv benchmark</b>	<b>Fill out with: - Optimization + platform specific compilation flags applied - Execution times for my_dgesv (your implementation)</b>	
	<b>icc (18.0.5)</b>	<b>gcc (8.3.0)</b>
No opt.	Options: -O0 Exec time (small): 2.67s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -O0 Exec time (small): 2.42s Exec time (medium): 19.79s Exec time (large): 158.06s
Opt level O1	Options: -O1 Exec time (small): 1.49s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -O1 Exec time (small): 1.41s Exec time (medium): 11.55s Exec time (large): 92.91s
Opt level O2	Options: -O2 Exec time (small): 0.66s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -O2 Exec time (small): 1.41s Exec time (medium): 11.75s Exec time (large): 95.84s
Opt level O3	Options: Exec time (small): 0.19s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -O3 Exec time (small): 1.42s Exec time (medium): 11.51s Exec time (large): 93.33s
Opt level Ofast	Options: Exec time (small): 0.18s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -Ofast Exec time (small): 1.42s Exec time (medium): 11.71s Exec time (large): 97.97s
IPO	Options: Exec time (small): 0.18s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -Ofast -fipa-pta -march=native Exec time (small): 1.42s Exec time (medium): 11.75s Exec time (large): 98.99s
PGO	Options: Exec time (small): 1.49s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -Ofast -fipa-pta -fprofile-generate -march=native Exec time (small): 1.41s Exec time (medium): 11.71s Exec time (large): 95.25s

autovectorization	Options: Exec time (small): 0.17s Exec time (medium): Exec time (large):	Options: -lm -ftree-loop-vectorize -O3 -fopt-info-vec Exec time (small): 1.41s Exec time (medium): 11.47s Exec time (large): 95.36s
-------------------	---	--

We took the following matrix sizes for both gcc and icc

small  $1024 \times 1024$

medium  $2048 \times 2048$

large  $4096 \times 4096$

If you check the execution time for gcc, we can observed that for “small”, except for “O0”, all execution times are range in 1.41s-1.42s but for “O0” it received 2.42s. Also same for the “medium” and “large” execution, except “O0”, all other benchmark provided almost close execution time to each other.

If we carefully check the “icc”, got “small”, execution time for “O3”, “Ofast”, “ipo” and “atovectorization” are close to each other where “O1” provides the highest execution time among all of them.

In most benchmarks for dgesv, icc compilation is faster than gcc, and in both cases, "O0" takes more execution time than others. According to the execution time for icc and gcc, "autovectorization" is the most efficient, and for gcc, along with “autovectorization”, “O1” provides the comparatively best result.

We were not able to run our code with icc (2048 and 4096), it gave a segmentation fault, but our implementation is fine with icc (1024).