SOLUTIONS FOR THE FIRST ASSIGNMENT

Solutions for the problems from the text can be found starting on page 299. We won't include solutions to those problems here.

Question A.

- 1. If ab = 0, then a = 0 and b = 0. This statement is false. Here is a counterexample. Take a = 1 and b = 0. The ab = 0 is true. But it is not true that a = 0 and b = 0.
- 2. If ab = 0, then a = 0 or b = 0. This statement is true. It is a property of the real numbers which was stated in class (without proof).
- 3. If a + b = 0, then a = 0 and b = 0. This is false. Here is a counterexample. Take a = 5 and b = -5. Then a + b = 0 is true, but it is not true that a = 0 and b = 0.
- 4. If ab = 0 and a + b = 0, then a = 0 and b = 0. This statement is true. Here is a proof. Assume that ab = 0 and a + b = 0.

Since ab = 0, it follows that a = 0 or b = 0.

If a = 0, then a + b = b. Since a + b = 0, it then follows that b = 0. Hence it then follows that a = 0 and b = 0.

If b = 0, then a + b = a. Since a + b = 0, it then follows that a = 0. Hence it then follows that a = 0 and b = 0.

Therefore, we have proved that a = 0 and b = 0.

Question B. The statement in question is:

(S): If a divides b and a divides c, then a divides b+c.

This statement is true. Here is a proof. Assume that a divides b and a divides c. Since a divides b, we can write b = am where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since a divides c, we can write c = an where $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We then have

$$b + c = am + an = a(m+n)$$

Since $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, it follows that $m + n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore, the equation b + c = a(m + n) implies that a divides b + c, which is what we wanted to prove.

Question C. The converse of (S) is the following statement:

If a divides b + c, then a divides b and a divides c.

This statement is false. Here is a counterexample. Let a = 5, b = 3, c = 7. Then b + c = 10. Obviously, for that choice of a, b, and c, it is true that a divides b + c. But it is not true that a divides b and a divides c.

Question D. As defined in class, a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of real numbers is said to be *bounded* if there exists a real number M such that $|a_n| < M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is said to be *unbounded* if it is not bounded. Here is a definition without words of negation.

A sequence $\{a_n\}$ of real numbers is said to be *unbounded* if for every real number M, there exists at least one $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|a_n| \geq M$.

Question E. We will simply list the subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 7\}$ in a systematic way: Subsets with zero elements or five elements:

$$\phi$$
, {1, 2, 3, 5, 7}

where ϕ denotes the empty set.

Subsets with one or four elements:

$$\{1\}, \qquad \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$$

$$\{2\}, \qquad \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$$

$${3}, {1, 2, 5, 7}$$

$$\{5\}, \qquad \{1, 2, 3, 7\}$$

$$\{7\}, \qquad \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$$

Subsets with two or three elements:

$$\{1, 2\}, \qquad \{3, 5, 7\}$$

$$\{1,3\}, \qquad \{2,5,7\}$$

$$\{1,5\}, \qquad \{2,3,7\}$$

$$\{1,7\}, \qquad \{2,3,5\}$$

$$\{2,3\}, \qquad \{1,5,7\}$$

$${2,5}, {1,3,7}$$

$$\{2,7\}, \{1,3,5\}$$

$${3,5}, {1,2,7}$$

$${3,7}, {1,2,5}$$

$$\{5,7\},\qquad \{1,2,3\}$$

The above lists all subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 7\}$. The number of subsets is 1+1+5+5+10+10=32.

Question F. The statement in question is false. Here is a counterexample. Take

$$A = \{1, 3\}, B = \{1, 2, 3\}, and C = \{1, 2\}$$

Thus, A, B and C are subsets of \mathbf{Z}^+ . By definition, we have

$$A+C = \{1+1, 1+2, 3+1, 3+2\} = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$$

and

$$B+C \ = \ \{1+1, \ 1+2, \ 2+1, \ 2+2, \ 3+1, \ 3+2\} \ = \ \{2, \ 3, \ 3, \ 4, \ 4, \ 5\} \ = \ \{2, \ 3, \ 4, \ 5\}$$

Hence $A+C \ = \ B+C,$ but $A \ \neq \ B.$