

# Heat flux maps

## 1 The simple case

If the mercurian mantle is not convecting, and has not been for a long time, we would expect the mantle temperature distribution to approach a conductive solution. However, due to the unusual spin-orbit resonance in which Mercury is locked, there are large and persistent temperature variations on the surface. This is because some regions get significantly more insolation than others.

Here I calculate the temperature distribution in a conducting mantle with constant conductivity and temperature boundary conditions. I assume that the temperature at the CMB is constant due to efficient transport of heat in the liquid outer core. Further, I assume that the temperature at the surface is time-independent, but may vary spatially due to insolation differences. This assumes that I am taking the surface to be below the skin-depth of the regolith.

Outer radius	$R_o$
Inner radius	$R_i$
Aspect ratio	$R_i/R_o = \eta$
Inner temperature	$T_i$
Surface temperature	$S(\theta, \phi)$

Table 1: Symbols used

### 1.1 General solution

Steady state diffusion satisfies Laplace's equation:

$$\nabla^2 T = 0 \quad (1)$$

In spherical coordinates this has the general solution

$$T(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[ A_{lm} r^l + B_{lm} r^{-(l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \quad (2)$$

Where  $Y_{lm}$  represents fully normalized real spherical harmonics, and  $A_{lm}$  and  $B_{lm}$  are coefficients.

We supplement this with the boundary conditions

$$T(R_o, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[ A_{lm} R_o^l + B_{lm} R_o^{-(l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = S(\theta, \phi) \quad (3)$$

$$T(R_i, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[ A_{lm} R_i^l + B_{lm} R_i^{-(l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = T_i \quad (4)$$

## 1.2 Solution for $l \neq 0$

The inner boundary has no  $\theta, \phi$  dependence, so the function of  $r$  multiplying the spherical harmonics must be zero for  $l \neq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{lm} R_i^l + B_{lm} R_i^{-(l+1)} &= 0 \\ A_{lm} R_i^l &= -B_{lm} R_i^{-(l+1)} \\ -A_{lm} R_i^{(2l+1)} &= B_{lm} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We can plug this in to the upper boundary condition to find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l A_{lm} \left[ R_o^l - R_i^{(2l+1)} R_o^{-(l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) &= S(\theta, \phi) \\ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l A_{lm} R_o^l \left[ 1 - R_i^{(2l+1)} R_o^{-(2l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) &= S(\theta, \phi) \\ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l A_{lm} R_o^l \left[ 1 - \eta^{(2l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) &= S(\theta, \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

If we further expand  $S(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l S_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$  we find

$$A_{lm} R_o^l \left[ 1 - \eta^{(2l+1)} \right] = S_{lm} \quad (7)$$

So that

$$A_{lm} = \frac{S_{lm}}{R_o^l \left[ 1 - \eta^{(2l+1)} \right]} \quad (8)$$

Finally, we may find  $B_{lm}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} B_{lm} &= -A_{lm} R_i^{(2l+1)} = \frac{-S_{lm} R_i^{(2l+1)}}{R_o^l \left[ 1 - \eta^{(2l+1)} \right]} \\ B_{lm} &= \frac{-S_{lm} \eta^{(2l+1)}}{R_o^{-(l+1)} \left[ 1 - \eta^{(2l+1)} \right]} \\ B_{lm} &= \frac{-S_{lm}}{R_o^{-(l+1)} \left[ \eta^{-(2l+1)} - 1 \right]} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We may plug these expressions for  $A_{lm}$  and  $B_{lm}$  into the general solution to find

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, \theta, \phi) &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left[ \frac{S_{lm}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^l - \frac{S_{lm}}{\eta^{-(2l+1)} - 1} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^{-(l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l S_{lm} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^l + \frac{1}{1 - \eta^{-(2l+1)}} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^{-(l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l S_{lm} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^l - \frac{\eta^{(2l+1)}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^{-(l+1)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We may verify that for  $r = R_o$  this becomes  $S(\theta, \phi)$  and for  $r = R_i$  this is zero.

### 1.3 Solution for $l = 0$

Now we must do the case for  $l = 0$ . Using the equation for the inner boundary condition, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{00} + B_{00}/R_i] Y_{00} &= T_i \\
B_{00} &= [T_i/Y_{00} - A_{00}] R_i
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Plugging into the outer boundary:

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{00} + B_{00}/R_o] Y_{00} &= S_{00} Y_{00} \\
[A_{00} + T_i \eta / Y_{00} - A_{00} \eta] &= S_{00} \\
A_{00}(1 - \eta) + T_i \eta / Y_{00} &= S_{00} \\
A_{00} &= \frac{S_{00} - T_i \eta / Y_{00}}{1 - \eta}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{00} &= \left[ T_i / Y_{00} - \frac{S_{00} Y_{00} - \eta T_i}{(1 - \eta) Y_{00}} \right] R_i \\
B_{00} &= \left[ \frac{T_i(1 - \eta)}{(1 - \eta)} - \frac{S_{00} Y_{00} - \eta T_i}{(1 - \eta)} \right] \frac{R_i}{Y_{00}} \\
B_{00} &= [T_i(1 - \eta) - S_{00} Y_{00} + \eta T_i] \frac{R_i}{(1 - \eta) Y_{00}} \\
B_{00} &= \frac{(T_i - S_{00} Y_{00}) R_i}{(1 - \eta) Y_{00}}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We can now plug these in to the general solution for  $l = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, \theta, \phi) &= \left[ \frac{S_{00} Y_{00} - \eta T_i}{(1 - \eta) Y_{00}} + \frac{T_i - S_{00} Y_{00}}{(1 - \eta) Y_{00}} \frac{R_i}{r} \right] Y_{00} \\
&= \left[ S_{00} Y_{00} - \eta T_i + (T_i - S_{00} Y_{00}) \frac{R_i}{r} \right] \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \\
&= \left[ S_{00} Y_{00} \left( 1 - \frac{R_i}{r} \right) + T_i \left( \frac{R_i}{r} - \eta \right) \right] \frac{1}{1 - \eta}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Again, we may verify this by plugging in  $R_i$  and  $R_o$  to so that it satisfies boundary conditions. Furthermore, we may note that  $S_{00}Y_{00}$  is the average surface temperature  $T_o$ .

#### 1.4 Heat flux calculation

The heat flux through the CMB is calculated with Fourier's law:

$$q = -k\nabla T \cdot \mathbf{r} = -k\partial T/\partial r \quad (15)$$

where  $k$  is the thermal conductivity. This derivative is evaluated at  $R_i$ . First, we calculate the case for  $l = 0$ :

$$\partial T/\partial r = \left[ \frac{T_o R_i}{r^2} - \frac{T_i R_i}{r^2} \right] \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \quad (16)$$

Evaluating this at  $R_i$  we find:

$$q_{cmb} = k \frac{(T_i - T_o)}{R_i} \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \quad (17)$$

For the case of  $l \neq 0$  we find:

$$\partial T/\partial r = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{S_{lm}}{R_o} \left[ \frac{l}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^{l-1} + \frac{(l+1)\eta^{(2l+1)}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \left( \frac{r}{R_o} \right)^{-(l+2)} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \quad (18)$$

Again we evaluate this at  $R_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q_{cmb} &= -k \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{S_{lm}}{R_o} \left[ \frac{l\eta^{(l-1)}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} + \frac{(l+1)\eta^{(2l+1)}\eta^{-(l+2)}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= -k \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{S_{lm}}{R_o} \left[ \frac{l\eta^{(l-1)}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} + \frac{(l+1)\eta^{(l-1)}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \\ &= -k \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{S_{lm}}{R_o} \left[ \frac{(2l+1)\eta^{(l-1)}}{1 - \eta^{(2l+1)}} \right] Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Note that the integral of a spherical harmonic over a sphere with  $l \neq 0$  is zero, so each of these components of the heat flux do not affect the overall flux, but are merely spatial variations on top of the average flux as calculated with the  $l = 0$  term.