Introduction to CGMES rules with SHACL

Scope:

The authors have modeled/implemented all CGMES rules. In this introduction, the authors have addressed only level 3 for pedagogical reasons. For any question contact us: cimcgmes@gmail.com
Any kind of feedback is welcome.

Introduction:

SHACL is a W3C standard for the validation of RDF models against conditions or restrictions. The specification of this standard is in the following link of the w3c https://www.w3.org/TR/shacl/.

Set up the application:

Download cgmesvalidation.zip.

Unzip the downloaded file, for example, in the C:\cgmesvalidation folder

Type the command as follows:

C:\cgmesvalidation> java -jar cgmesrules.jar

It should appear the following output.

Select an option:

1: Validate CGMES rules:

2: Exit

Op:

Developing a CGMES rule example:

Download test cases from this link:

https://www.entsoe.eu/Documents/CIM_documents/Grid_Model_CIM/TestConfigurations_packageCASv2.0.zip

Unzip TestConfigurations_packageCASv2.0.zip

Inside the SmallGrid folder, open the BusBranch folder and unzip CGMES_v2.4.15_SmallGridTestConfiguration_BaseCase_Complete_v3.0.0.zip, where the SmallGridTestConfiguration_BC_EQ_v3.0.0.xml file can be retrieved.

rule.ttl is the file where is modeled CATieFlow rule, specified from "QUALITY OF CGMES DATASETS AND CALCULATIONS FOR SYSTEM OPERATIONS 3.2 EDITION":

Rule: CATieFlow

Level: 3

Severity: ERROR Template: RuleObject

Details: For every instance of cim:ControlArea for which the value of cim:ControlArea.type is

cim:ControlAreaTypeKind.Interchange, cim:TieFlow instances must be provided. Justification: This is necessary to compute interchange.

Message: cim:TieFlows must be defined for cim:ControlArea, no cim:TieFlows found

SHACL definition of the rule is depicted in the file rule.ttl and is as follows:

```
01: @base <http://iec.ch/TC57/2013/CIM-schema-cim16#> .
02: @prefix sh: <http://www.w3.org/ns/shacl#> .
03: @prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
04: @prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
05: @prefix cim: <http://iec.ch/TC57/2013/CIM-schema-cim16#> .
06: @prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
07: @prefix qodc: <http://entsoe.eu/CGMES2 4 15/QoCDC/3/0#> .
08: @prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
09: @prefix entsoe: <http://entsoe.eu/CIM/SchemaExtension/3/1#> .
10: @prefix ccs: <http://entsoe.eu/CIM/iso31661Code/schema#> .
11: @prefix cc: <http://entsoe.eu/CIM/iso31661Code/codes#> .
12: @prefix cims: <http://iec.ch/TC57/1999/rdf-schema-extensions-19990926#> .
13: @prefix md: <http://iec.ch/TC57/61970-552/ModelDescription/1#> .
```

```
26: #
30: qodc:CATieFlow a sh:PropertyShape;
31:
        sh:message "cim:TieFlows must be defined for cim:ControlArea, no cim:TieFlows found";
       sh:severity qodc:ERROR;
       sh:targetClass cim:ControlArea;
                    sh:path cim:ControlArea.type;
                    sh:in (cim:ControlAreaTypeKind.Interchange);
                sh:path [ sh:inversePath cim:TieFlow.ControlArea ];
                sh:minCount 1;
44:
```

From line 1 to line 13, needed prefixes are declared.

From line 16 to line 27, the CGMES rule is described.

Line 30 qodc: CATieFlow a sh:PropertyShape; declares a shape named qodc: CATieFlow which is of type sh:PropertyShape.

Line 31 sh:message "cim:TieFlows must be defined for cim:ControlArea, no cim:TieFlows found";

Declares the message that will be shown when the rule is violated.

Line 32 *sh:severity godc:ERROR*; severity is declared.

Line 33 *sh:targetClass cim:ControlArea*; specify the target class for the shape. It represents the objects that act as focus nodes for the SHACL processor.

The text rule is as follows:

```
23: # For every instance of cim:ControlArea for which the value of cim:ControlArea.type is
24: # cim:ControlAreaTypeKind.Interchange, cim:TieFlow instances must be provided. Justification: The is is necessary to
25: # compute interchange.
```

A Boolean expression that corresponds to the rule described above, can be defined as follows:

 \forall ca \in cim:ControlArea ((ca cim:controlArea.type cim:controlAreaTypeKind.Interchange) => (\exists tf \in cim:TieFlow | tf cim:TieFlow.ControlArea ca)) It is an implication statement [p => q].

SHACL grammar does not support the implication construct, however $(p \Rightarrow q) \iff (\neg p \lor q)$

Therefore, the Boolean expression is written as follows:

 $\forall c \in \text{cim:ControlArea} \ (\neg \ (\text{ ca cim:controlArea.type cim:controlAreaTypeKind.Interchange}) \lor (\exists \text{ tf } \in \text{cim:TieFlow } | \text{ tf cim:TieFlow.ControlArea ca}))$ Its corresponding definition in SHACL is as follows:

Type the following command to run the application.
java -jar cgmesrules.jar
Select an option:
1: Validate CGMES rules:
2: Exit
Op: 1
Validating CGMES rules:
Enter the path of data model:
SmallGridTestConfiguration_BC_EQ_v3.0.0.xml
Enter the path of SHACL rules:
rules.ttl
Validating \

Validation report:

```
01: @prefix entsoe: <a href="http://entsoe.eu/CIM/SchemaExtension/3/1#">http://entsoe.eu/CIM/SchemaExtension/3/1#>.
02: @prefix cc:
                   <http://entsoe.eu/CIM/iso31661Code/codes#> .
03: @prefix cims: <http://iec.ch/TC57/1999/rdf-schema-extensions-19990926#> .
04: @prefix owl:
                   <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
05: @prefix cim:
06: @prefix xsd:
                   <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
07: @prefix godc: <http://entsoe.eu/CGMES2 4 15/QoCDC/3/0#> .
08: @prefix dm:
                   <http://iec.ch/TC57/61970-552/DifferenceModel/1#> .
09: @prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
10: @prefix ccs:
11: @prefix rdf:
                   <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
12: @prefix sh:
13: @prefix md:
                   <http://iec.ch/TC57/61970-552/ModelDescription/1#> .
                   sh:ValidationReport ;
     sh:conforms false;
17: sh:result
                                                     sh: ValidationResult;
                                                     <urn:uuid:1f9ecd81-e069-4040-bd64-f34b0fac3a60>;
                     sh:resultMessage
                                                     "cim:TieFlows must be defined for cim:ControlArea, no cim:Ti
eFlows found";
                     sh:resultSeverity
                                                     godc:ERROR ;
                     sh:sourceConstraintComponent sh:OrConstraintComponent;
                     sh:sourceShape
                                                     godc:CATieFlow ;
                     sh:value
                                                     <urn:uuid:1f9ecd81-e069-4040-bd64-f34b0fac3a60>
```

25**:**]

Line 1 to line 13, prefixes are declared.

Line 15 a sh:ValidationReport; declares a blank node of type sh:ValidationReport which represents the validation report.

Line 16 *sh:conforms false;* indicates the conformity of the rules for the model.

Line 18 sh:focusNode <urn:uuid:1f9ecd81-e069-4040-bd64-f34b0fac3a60>; indicates the node where the rule is violated.

Line 19 sh:resultMessage "cim:TieFlows must be defined for cim:ControlArea, no cim:TieFlows found"; shows the feedback of the violation.

Line 22 sh:sourceShape qodc:CATieFlow; indicates the rule id that was violated.

If the following RDF/XML code is added to the model file, the model will be compliant with the rule.

(Note: the code could be added at the end of the file before the last tag)

```
<cim:TieFlow rdf:about="urn:uuid:b41a1687-9401-36ba-caa3-201f29dad134">
        <cim:TieFlow.positiveFlowIn>true</cim:TieFlow.positiveFlowIn>
        <cim:TieFlow.ControlArea rdf:resource="urn:uuid:1f9ecd81-e069-4040-bd64-f34b0fac3a60" />
        <cim:TieFlow.Terminal rdf:resource="urn:uuid:97f0304c-e6b1-0273-4b81-89274c11b4f8" />
</cim:TieFlow>
```

And validate the model once again selecting the option 1 from the menu.

```
_____
Select an option:
1: Validate CGMES rules:
2: Exit
Validating CGMES rules:
Enter the path of data model:
SmallGridTestConfiguration BC EQ v3.0.0.xml
Enter the path of SHACL rules:
rule.ttl
Validating /
Validation report:
@prefix entsoe: <http://entsoe.eu/CIM/SchemaExtension/3/1#> .
@prefix cc: <http://entsoe.eu/CIM/iso31661Code/codes#> .
@prefix cims: <http://iec.ch/TC57/1999/rdf-schema-extensions-19990926#> .
@prefix owl: <http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#> .
@prefix cim: <http://iec.ch/TC57/2013/CIM-schema-cim16#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .
@prefix qodc: <http://entsoe.eu/CGMES2 4 15/QoCDC/3/0#> .
@prefix dm:
              <http://iec.ch/TC57/61970-552/DifferenceModel/1#> .
@prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#> .
@prefix ccs:
@prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
```

The above validation report shows that the model is compliant with the rule. [sh:conforms true]

For more detailed explanation about this topic do not hesitate contact us: cimcgmes@gmail.com