University of Dhaka



Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE-4255:Introduction to Data Mining and Warehousing Lab

Lab Assignment-2 Sihan Tawsik

Roll: 5

Session: 2016-17

Submitted to-

Dr. Chowdhury Farhan Ahmed

Professor

Department of Computer Science and Engineering,
University of Dhaka

This report contains the comparison result of two classifiers: a) Decision tree classifier and b) Naive bayes classifier.

• Language: python

• <u>Libraries: classification report from sklearn</u>

Iris Dataset

Length: 149Trained Data: 104Tested Data: 45

Target Values		D	ecision 1	Ггее		Naïve Bayes					
	Avg Precision	Avg Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	
Macro Avg	0.96	0.96	0.96	45		0.95	0.95	0.95	45		
Weighted Avg	0.96	0.96	0.96	45	96%	0.96	0.96	0.96	45	97%	

lenses Dataset

Length: 24Trained Data: 17Tested Data: 7

Target Values		D	ecision 1	Tree		Naïve Bayes					
	Avg Precision	Avg Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	
Macro Avg	1.0	1.0	1.0	7	100%	0.29	0.33	0.31	7	87%	
Weighted Avg	1.0	1.0	1.0	7		0.73	0.86	0.79	7		

Adult Dataset

Length: 32560Trained Data: 22792Tested Data: 9768

Target		D	ecision 1	Ггее		Naïve Bayes					
Values	Avg Precision	Avg Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	
Macro Avg	0.63	0.56	0.68	9768	73%	0.75	0.66	0.88	9768	80%	
Weighted Avg	0.68	0.72	0.65	9768		0.79	0.80	0.48	9768		

wine-quality-red Dataset

Length: 1599Trained Data: 1120Tested Data: 480

Target Values		D	ecision ¹	Ггее		Naïve Bayes					
	Avg Precision	Avg Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	
Micro Avg	0.29	0.27	0.27	480	56%	0.30	0.32	0.31	480	57%	
Weighted Avg	0.55	0.57	0.55	480		0.56	0.56	0.56	480		

Ballon Dataset

Length: 19Trained Data: 13Tested Data: 6

Target		D	ecision 1	Ггее		Naïve Bayes					
Values	Avg Precision	Avg Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	
Macro Avg	1.0	1.0	1.0	6	100%	0.25	0.50	0.30	6	52%	
Weighted Avg	1	1.0	1.0	6		0.25	0.50	0.33	6		

Wine quality white Dataset

Length: 4898Trained Data: 490Tested Data: 1469

Target Values		D	ecision ¹	Tree		Naïve Bayes					
	Avg Precision	Avg Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	
Macro Avg	0.34	0.30	0.32	1469	58%	0.36	0.29	0.27	1469	47%	
Weighted Avg	0.55	0.56	0.54	1469		0.49	0.45	0.44	1469		

Car Dataset

Length: 1727Trained Data: 1208Tested Data: 518

Target		D	ecision ¹	Tree		Naïve Bayes					
Values	Avg	Avg	F1-Sc	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc	Support	Accuracy	
	Precision	Recall	ore					ore			
Macro Avg	0.86	0.89	0.88	518	94%	0.35	0.38	0.36	518	79%	
Weighted	0.94	0.94	0.94	518		0.74	0.79	0.77	518		
Avg											

amphibians data set

• **Length:** 189

Trained Data: 132Tested Data: 57

Target		D	ecision 7	Гree		Naïve Bayes					
Values	Avg Precision	Avg Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Sc ore	Support	Accuracy	
Macro Avg	0.50	0.59	0.97	57	99%	0.42	0.50	0.84	57	84%	
Weighted Avg	0.72	0.99	0.98	57		0.71	0.84	0.84	57		

Conclusion: Decision tree, although takes more time than naive bayes, it gives more accurate results than naive bayes. Specially for small datasets. But for bigger datasets, naive bayes performs better than decision tree.