Planning Search Heuristics Analysis

Xiaoyang Yao

non-heuristic search result

Non-heuristic search doesn't use any information about the "goodness" of a searching state. They just simply check whether the current state is the goal state. Thus, one advantage of non-heuristic search is they don't investigate extra resource to estimate the goodness of states. The tables below compared 7 non-heuristic search strategies in terms of optimality (plan length and optimality), time complexity (execution time) and space complexity (node expanded). For each property, best option is labeled by bold. Some search strategies are skipped in problem 2 and 3 because the execution time is longer than 20 minutes.

1. Problem 1

Search Strategy	Plan length	Execution time (s)	Node expanded	Optimality
Breadth First Search	6	0.036	43	Yes
Breadth First Tree Search	6	1.02	1458	Yes
Depth First Graph Search	20	0.014	21	No
Depth Limited Search	50	0.09	101	No
Uniform Cost Search	6	0.043	55	Yes
Recursive Best First Search	6	2.84	4229	Yes
Greedy Best First Graph Search	6	0.005	7	Yes

2. Problem 2

Search Strategy	Plan length	Execution time (s)	Node expanded	Optimality
Breadth First Search	9	13.6	3343	Yes
Breadth First Tree Search	-	-	-	-
Depth First Graph Search	619	3.44	624	No
Depth Limited Search	50	929.4	222719	No
Uniform Cost Search	9	12.56	4852	Yes
Recursive Best First Search	-	-	-	-
Greedy Best First Graph Search	17	2.53	990	No

3. Problem 3

Search Strategy	Plan length	Execution time (s)	Node expanded	Optimality
Breadth First Search	12	104.88	14663	Yes
Breadth First Tree Search	-	-	-	-
Depth First Graph Search	392	1.79	408	No
Depth Limited Search	-	-	-	-
Uniform Cost Search	12	56.54	18235	Yes
Recursive Best First Search	-	-	-	-
Greedy Best First Graph Search	22	16.96	5614	No

Among the 7 non-heuristic strategies, Breadth First Search and Uniform Cost Search are the only two that generate optimal plans within 20 minutes. These two algorithms are guaranteed to find the shortest path in searching (Figure 3.21). ^[1] In problem 3, Depth First Graph Search uses both the least execution time and expanded nodes. In problem 1 and 2, its execution time and expanded nodes are either least or close to least. This indicates that Depth First Graph Search is the fastest and uses the least memory. However, it doesn't generate an optimal plan for any of the 3 problems, which is generally more crucial than speed and memory.

Criterion	Breadth- First	Uniform- Cost	Depth- First	Depth- Limited	Iterative Deepening	Bidirectional (if applicable)
Complete? Time Space Optimal?	$egin{aligned} \operatorname{Yes}^a \ O(b^d) \ O(b^d) \ \operatorname{Yes}^c \end{aligned}$	$egin{array}{l} \operatorname{Yes}^{a,b} & O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon floor}) & O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon floor}) & \operatorname{Yes} & \end{array}$	$egin{aligned} &\operatorname{No} \ O(b^m) \ O(bm) \ &\operatorname{No} \end{aligned}$	No $O(b^\ell)$ $O(b\ell)$ No	$egin{array}{l} \operatorname{Yes}^a & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	$egin{array}{l} \operatorname{Yes}^{a,d} & & & & \\ O(b^{d/2}) & & & & \\ O(b^{d/2}) & & & & & \\ \operatorname{Yes}^{c,d} & & & & \end{array}$

Figure 3.21 Evaluation of tree-search strategies. b is the branching factor; d is the depth of the shallowest solution; m is the maximum depth of the search tree; l is the depth limit. Superscript caveats are as follows: a complete if b is finite; b complete if step costs b for positive b optimal if step costs are all identical; b if both directions use breadth-first search.

heuristic search result

Heuristic search strategies take into consideration the goodness of a state when choosing the next step of searching. A* Search always generates an optimal path if the heuristic is admissible, since it's a Breadth First Search-based search strategy (AIMA 3.5.2). [1] The tables below compared 3 heuristic search strategies.

1. Problem 1

Search Strategy	Plan length	Execution time (s)	Node expanded	Optimality
A* Search with h1 heuristic	6	0.039	55	Yes
A* Search with Ignore Preconditions	6	0.029	41	Yes
heuristic				
A* Search with Level Sum heuristic	6	0.526	11	Yes

2. Problem 2

Search Strategy	Plan length	Execution time (s)	Node expanded	Optimality
A* Search with h1 heuristic	9	12.92	4852	Yes
A* Search with Ignore Preconditions	9	3.95	1450	Yes
heuristic				
A* Search with Level Sum heuristic	9	42.54	86	Yes

3. Problem 3

Search Strategy	Plan length	Execution time (s)	Node expanded	Optimality
A* Search with h1 heuristic	12	55.19	18235	Yes
A* Search with Ignore Preconditions	12	15.84	5040	Yes
heuristic				
A* Search with Level Sum heuristic	12	216.32	325	Yes

As expected, all 3 strategies generate optimal plan for all 3 problems. Among the 3 different heuristics, Ignore Preconditions heuristic uses the least execution time, while Level Sum heuristic uses the least memory.

Optimal plan for each problem

The table below shows the optimal search strategy, optimal plan, and reason for choosing this strategy for each problem.

Problem	Problem 1	Problem 2	Problem 3
Optimal strategy	Greedy Best First Graph	A* Search with Ignore	A* Search with Ignore
	Search	Preconditions heuristic	Preconditions heuristic
Optimal plan	Load(C1, P1, SFO)	Load(C3, P3, ATL)	Load(C2, P2, JFK)
	Load(C2, P2, JFK)	Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)	Fly(P2, JFK, ORD)
	Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)	Unload(C3, P3, SFO)	Load(C4, P2, ORD)
	Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)	Load(C1, P1, SFO)	Fly(P2, ORD, SFO)
	Unload(C1, P1, JFK)	Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)	Unload(C4, P2, SFO)
	Unload(C2, P2, SFO)	Unload(C1, P1, JFK)	Load(C1, P1, SFO)
		Load(C2, P2, JFK)	Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)
		Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)	Load(C3, P1, ATL)
		Unload(C2, P2, SFO)	Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)
			Unload(C3, P1, JFK)
			Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
			Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Reason	Generates an optimal plan	Generates an optimal plan	Same as Problem 2.
	with the least execution	with least execution time	
	time and least memory	and relatively small memory	
	space.	space.	
		In this problem as well as	
		problem 3, there is a trade-	
		off between time	
		complexity and space	
		complexity. Generally	
		speaking, time complexity	
		weighs more than space	
		complexity. In these two	
		cases, Ignore Preconditions	
		heuristic is $\sim 10^1$ times faster	
		than Level Sum heuristic.	
		Level Sum heuristic uses	
		$\sim 10^1$ times less spaces.	
		Thus, Ignore Preconditions	
		heuristic is preferred.	

The experiment results indicate that heuristic search is **not always better** than non-heuristic search. For problems with small searching space (small branching factor and/or shallow depth) like Problem 1, it might not worth investigating extra resources to improve the efficiency of searching. However, for more complex problems like Problem 2 and 3, searching efficiency becomes more important.

The best strategy overall for the cargo problem is A* Search with Ignore Preconditions heuristic.

Reference

[1]. Stuart J. Russell, Peter Norvig (2010), Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (3rd Edition).