# Algebra II, Sheet 6

#### **Remarks and Solutions**

# Jendrik Stelzner

# Exercise 1.

For every multiindex  $\mu=(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)$  we denote by  $x^\mu\in k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  the element  $x^\mu:=x_1^{\mu_1}\cdots x_n^{\mu_n}\,.$ 

(a)

For every  $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  the element

$$f' \coloneqq \sum_{g \in G} g.f$$

is G-invariant because

$$g.f' = g. \sum_{g' \in G} g'.f = \sum_{g' \in G} gg'.f = \sum_{g'' \in G} g''.f = f.$$

For every multiindex  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  we choose  $f = x^{\mu}$ , and thus set

$$J_{\mu} \coloneqq \sum_{g \in G} g.x^{\mu} \,.$$

(b)

It holds for every  $G\text{-invariant }f\in k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^G$  that

$$\sum_{g \in G} g.f = \sum_{g \in G} f = |G|f.$$

But with  $f = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} x^{\mu}$  it also holds that

$$\sum_{g \in G} g.f = \sum_{g \in G} g. \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} x^{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} \sum_{g \in G} g.x^{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} J_{\mu}.$$

**Remark 1.** If  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid |G|$  and V is any representation of G over k then the map

$$V \to V$$
,  $v \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g.v$ 

is a projection of V onto the subspace of invariants  $V^G \subseteq V$ .

(c)

Let h := |G| and let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_h\}$ .

It follows from the previous part of the exercise that  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is generated by the G-invariants  $J_{\mu}$  as a vector space, where  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , because the factor |G| is invertible in k. It therefore sufficies to show that every  $J_{\mu}$  can be written as polynomial in those  $J_{\nu}$  for which  $|\nu| \leq h$ .

For every  $j \ge 0$  let  $p_j = Y_1^j + \dots + Y_h^j \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_h]$  be the j-th power symmetric polynomial. For the elements

$$y_i := (g_i.x_1)Z_1 + \dots + (g_i.x_n)Z_n \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n][Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$$

with i = 1, ..., h we then have that

$$p_{j}(y_{1},...,y_{n}) = y_{1}^{j} + \cdots + y_{h}^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{h} \left[ (g_{i}.x_{1})Z_{1} + \cdots + (g_{i}.x_{n})Z_{n} \right]^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{h} \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_{1},...,\mu_{n}} \left[ (g_{i}.x_{1})Z_{1}]^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \left[ (g_{i}.x_{n})Z_{n} \right]^{\mu_{n}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{h} \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_{1},...,\mu_{n}} \left( g_{i}.x_{1})^{\mu_{1}} \cdots (g_{i}.x_{n})^{\mu_{n}} Z^{\mu} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_{1},...,\mu_{n}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{h} (g_{i}.x_{1})^{\mu_{1}} \cdots (g_{i}.x_{n})^{\mu_{n}} \right] Z^{\mu}$$

$$= \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_{1},...,\mu_{n}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{h} g_{i}.x^{\mu} \right] Z^{\mu}$$

$$= \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_{1},...,\mu_{n}} J_{\mu} Z^{\mu}.$$

This shows that  $J_{\mu}$  is, up to the factor

$$C_{\mu} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} |\mu| \\ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \end{pmatrix},$$

the coefficient of the monomial  $Z^{\mu}$  in  $p_j(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ .

We know that for every j > h the j-th power symmetric polynomial  $p_j$  can be expressed as a k-polynomial in the power symmetric polynomials  $p_1, \ldots, p_h$ . It follows that the coefficients of  $p_j(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  are k-polynomials in the coefficients of  $p_1(y_1, \ldots, y_n), \ldots, p_h(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ .

This shows that for every multiindex  $\mu$  the G-invariant  $C_{\mu}J_{\mu}$  can be expressed as a k-polynomial in the terms  $C_{\nu}J_{\nu}$  with  $|\nu| \leq h$ . The factor  $C_{\mu}$  is invertible in k, hence every  $J_{\mu}$  is a k-polynomial in those  $J_{\nu}$  with  $|\nu| \leq h$ .

**Remark 2.** Let V be a finite-dimensional of a finite group G over k. The *Noether number of* V is given by

 $\beta(V,G) = \inf\{d \geq 0 \mid \mathcal{P}(V)^G \text{ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree} \leq d\}$ , and the *Noether number of G* is given by

 $\beta(G) := \sup \{ \beta(V, G) \mid V \text{ is a finite-dimensional representation of } G \text{ over } k \}.$ 

Noether's theorem shows that  $\beta(G) \leq |G|$  if  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$ , which is known as the *Noether bound*. This result can be strengthened in various ways:

- Fogarty (2001) showed that that the Noether bound holds under the weaker assumption that  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid |G|$ .
- Fleischmann (2000) showed the more general result that if  $H \subseteq G$  is a normal subgroup with  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid [G:H]$ , then  $\beta(V,G) \leq \beta(V,H) \cdot [G:H]$ .
- For char(k) = 0 it was proven by Schmid (1991) that  $\beta(G) \leq \beta(H)[G:H]$  for every subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ , and that  $\beta(G) \leq \beta(H)\beta(G/H)$  if H is normal in G.
- It is an open problem if  $\beta(G) \leq \beta(H)[G:H]$  holds for every subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  under the weaker condition that  $\operatorname{char}(k) \nmid [G:H]$ .

## Exercise 2.

## (a)

Let more generally R be any ring and let M be an R-module. Recall that a submodule  $N \subseteq M$  is maximal if it follows for every intermediate submodule  $N \subseteq P \subseteq M$  that P = N or P = M. This is equivalent to the quotient module M/N having precisely two submodules, i.e. equivalent to M/N being simple. It follows in particular that R/M is simple for every maximal left ideal  $M \subseteq R$ .

Every simple R-module S is already of this form. The module S is cyclic: It holds that  $S \neq 0$  and for  $x \in S$  with  $x \neq 0$  the submodule  $\langle x \rangle$  is a nonzero submodule of S. Hence  $S = \langle x \rangle$  because S is simple. This shows that  $S \cong R/M$  for some module M. That S is simple is by the above argumentaiton equivalent to M being maximal.

The maximal ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $p\mathbb{Z}$  with p prime. The simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules are therefore (up to isomorphism) precisely  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  with p prime.

**Warning 3.** Different maximal ideals  $M, M' \subseteq R$  may given isomorphic simple modules  $R/M \cong R/M'$ . An example for this is  $R = M_n(k)$  with  $n \ge 2$  and k a field.

(b)

If R is an integral domain that is not a field then R not semisimple: It holds for any two nonzero ideals  $I, J \subseteq R$  that  $I \cap J \supseteq IJ \neq 0$ , and hence that the sum I+J is not direct. If  $x \in R$  is a nonzero non-unit then this shows that the generated ideal  $\langle x \rangle$  has no direct complement.

In particular  $2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  has no direct complement.

(c)

Every semisimple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module M is by part (a) of the form

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}/p_i$$

for some primes  $p_i$ . The primes  $p_i$  are in particular square-free, which proves the statement.

**Remark 4.** One has for every  $n \geq 0$  that  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is semisimple if and only if n is square-free. Indeed, if n = 0 then  $\mathbb{Z}/n = \mathbb{Z}$  is not semisimple. If  $n \geq 1$  then we may write  $n = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$  for some pairwise different primes  $p_i$  and exponents  $n_i \geq 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{Z}/n \cong \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}$$

by the chinese reminder theorem. This is already a decomposition into indecomposable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules by the classification of finitely generated abelian groups. Hence  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is semisimple if and only if every summand  $\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{n_i}$  is already simple. We have seen above that this is the case if and only if every  $p_i^{n_i}$  is prime, i.e. if and only if  $n_i = 1$  for every  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ .

It follows that an  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module M is semisimple if and only if  $M \cong \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}/n_i$  where the  $n_i$  are square-free integers.

#### Exercise 3.

Recall the universal properties of the direct sum and the direct product:

• Let  $(M_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of R-modules and let N be another R-module. For every  $\alpha \in A$  let  $i_{\alpha} \colon M_{\alpha} \to \bigoplus_{\beta \in A} M_{\beta}$  be the inclusion into the  $\alpha$ -th summand.

Then every choice of homomorphism  $f_{\alpha} \colon M_{\alpha} \to N$  with  $\alpha \in A$  can be uniquely extended to homomorphism  $f \colon \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} M_{\alpha} \to N$ , in the sense that  $f \circ i_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha \in A$ . The homomorphism f is given on elements by

$$f\left((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(m_{\alpha})$$

for every  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} M_{\alpha}$ . Note that f is well-defined because  $m_{\alpha} = 0$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in A$ , and hence also  $f_{\alpha}(m_{\alpha}) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in A$ .

This construction results in an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}M_\alpha,N\right)\longleftrightarrow\prod_{\alpha\in A}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M_\alpha,N).$$

• Let M be an R-module and let  $(N_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of R-modules. For every  $\alpha \in A$  let  $p_{\alpha} : \prod_{\beta \in A} N_{\beta} \to N_{\alpha}$  be the projection onto the  $\alpha$ -th factor.

Then every choice of homomorphism  $f_{\alpha} \colon M \to N_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \in A$  can be uniquely combined into homomorphism  $f \colon M \to \prod_{\alpha \in A} N_{\alpha}$ , in the sense that  $p_{\alpha} \circ f = f_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha \in A$ . The homomorphism f is given on elements by

$$f(m) = (f_{\alpha}(m))_{\alpha \in A}$$

for every  $m \in M$ .

This construction results in an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(M, \prod_{\alpha \in A} M_{\alpha}\right) \longleftrightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N_{\alpha}).$$
 (1)

Warning 5. The isomorphism (1) does in general not restrict to an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R\left(M,\bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}M_{\alpha}\right)\longleftrightarrow\bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N_{\alpha}).$$

This is however true if M is finitely generated.

We now have that

$$R' = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E, E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\bigoplus_{p} \mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_{q} \mathbb{Z}/q\right)$$
$$\cong \prod_{p} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_{q} \mathbb{Z}/q\right)$$

The inclusion  $i\colon \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q \to \prod_q \mathbb{Z}/q$  induces an inclusion of abelian groups

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p,\bigoplus_{q}\mathbb{Z}/q\right) \xrightarrow{i_*} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p,\prod_{q}\mathbb{Z}/q\right) \cong \prod_{q} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p,\mathbb{Z}/q).$$

It follows from Schur's lemma that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p,\mathbb{Z}/q)=0$  if  $p\neq q$ , and we have for p=q that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p,\mathbb{Z}/p) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\mathbb{Z}/p,\mathbb{Z}/p) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$$
.

Hence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p,\prod_{q}\mathbb{Z}/q\right)\cong\mathbb{Z}/p\,,$$

where for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/p$  the corresponding homomorphism is given by

$$\mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{\lambda \cdot (-)} \mathbb{Z}/p \hookrightarrow \prod_{q} \mathbb{Z}/q.$$

Every such homomorphism restricts to a homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}/p \to \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q$ , hence the above homomorphism  $i_*$  is already an isomorphism. We thus find that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p,\bigoplus_{q}\mathbb{Z}/q\right)\cong\mathbb{Z}/q$$
,

with the same description as above.

It follows that

$$R' \cong \prod_p \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( \mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q \right) \cong \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p \,,$$

where an element  $(\lambda_p)_p \in \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p$  acts on  $(x_p)_p \in E$  via

$$(\lambda_p)_p \cdot (x_p)_p = (\lambda_p x_p)_p$$
.

To determine R'' we use the following observation:

**Lemma 6.** Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. If  $R' = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$  is again commutative then R'' = R'.

*Proof.* It follows from R being commutative that  $R \subseteq R'$ , and hence that  $R' \supseteq R''$ . It holds that  $R' \subseteq R''$  because R' is commutative.

We find that  $R'' = R' = \prod_{p} \mathbb{Z}/p$ . The (unique) ring homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z} = R \to R'' = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p$$

is not surjective, so  $R'' \neq R$ .