

Algebra II, Sheet 6

Remarks and Solutions

Jendrik Stelzner

Exercise 1.

For every multiindex $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ we denote by $x^\mu \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the element

$$x^\mu := x_1^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_n}.$$

(a)

For every $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the element

$$f' := \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot f$$

is G -invariant because

$$g \cdot f' = g \cdot \sum_{g' \in G} g' \cdot f = \sum_{g' \in G} gg' \cdot f = \sum_{g'' \in G} g'' \cdot f = f.$$

For every multiindex $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ we choose $f = x^\mu$, and thus set

$$J_\mu := \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot x^\mu.$$

(b)

It holds for every G -invariant $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ that

$$\sum_{g \in G} g \cdot f = \sum_{g \in G} f = |G|f.$$

But with $f = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} x^{\mu}$ it also holds that

$$\sum_{g \in G} g \cdot f = \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} x^{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot x^{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} J_{\mu}.$$

Remark 1. If $\text{char}(k) \nmid |G|$ and V is any representation of G over k then the map

$$R: V \rightarrow V, \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g.v$$

is a projection of V onto the subspace of invariants $V^G \subseteq V$. This projection is known as the *Reynolds operator*.

(c)

Let $h := |G|$ and let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_h\}$.

It follows from the previous part of the exercise that $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is generated by the G -invariants J_μ as a vector space, where $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$, because the factor $|G|$ is invertible in k . It therefore suffices to show that every J_μ can be written as polynomial in those J_ν for which $|\nu| \leq h$.

For every $j \geq 0$ let $p_j = Y_1^j + \dots + Y_h^j \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_h]$ be the j -th power symmetric polynomial. For the elements

$$y_i := (g_i.x_1)Z_1 + \dots + (g_i.x_n)Z_n \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n][Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$$

with $i = 1, \dots, h$ we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} p_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= y_1^j + \dots + y_h^j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^h [(g_i.x_1)Z_1 + \dots + (g_i.x_n)Z_n]^j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} [(g_i.x_1)Z_1]^{\mu_1} \dots [(g_i.x_n)Z_n]^{\mu_n} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} (g_i.x_1)^{\mu_1} \dots (g_i.x_n)^{\mu_n} Z^\mu \\ &= \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^h (g_i.x_1)^{\mu_1} \dots (g_i.x_n)^{\mu_n} \right] Z^\mu \\ &= \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^h g_i.x^\mu \right] Z^\mu \\ &= \sum_{|\mu|=j} \binom{j}{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} J_\mu Z^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that J_μ is, up to the factor

$$C_\mu := \binom{|\mu|}{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n},$$

the coefficient of the monomial Z^μ in $p_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

We know that for every $j > h$ the j -th power symmetric polynomial p_j can be expressed as a k -polynomial in the power symmetric polynomials p_1, \dots, p_h . It follows that the coefficients of $p_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ are k -polynomials in the coefficients of $p_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, p_h(y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

This shows that for every multiindex μ the G -invariant $C_\mu J_\mu$ can be expressed as a k -polynomial in the terms $C_\nu J_\nu$ with $|\nu| \leq h$. The factor C_μ is invertible in k , hence every J_μ is a k -polynomial in those J_ν with $|\nu| \leq h$.

Remark 2. Let V be a finite-dimensional of a finite group G over k . The *Noether number* of V is given by

$$\beta(V, G) = \inf\{d \geq 0 \mid \mathcal{P}(V)^G \text{ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree } \leq d\},$$

and the *Noether number* of G is given by

$$\beta(G) := \sup\{\beta(V, G) \mid V \text{ is a finite-dimensional representation of } G \text{ over } k\}.$$

Noether's theorem (1915) shows that $\beta(G) \leq |G|$ if $\text{char}(k) = 0$, which is known as the *Noether bound*. This result can be strengthened in various ways:

- Fogarty (2001) showed that that the Noether bound holds under the weaker assumption that $\text{char}(k) \nmid |G|$.
- Fleischmann (2000) showed the more general result that if $H \subseteq G$ is a normal subgroup with $\text{char}(k) \nmid [G : H]$, then $\beta(V, G) \leq \beta(V, H) \cdot [G : H]$.
- For $\text{char}(k) = 0$ it was proven by Schmid (1991) that $\beta(G) \leq \beta(H)[G : H]$ for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, and that $\beta(G) \leq \beta(H)\beta(G/H)$ if H is normal in G .
- It is an open problem if $\beta(G) \leq \beta(H)[G : H]$ holds for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ under the weaker condition that $\text{char}(k) \nmid [G : H]$.

Exercise 2.

(a)

Let more generally R be any ring and let M be an R -module. Recall that a submodule $N \subseteq M$ is *maximal* if it follows for every intermediate submodule $N \subseteq P \subseteq M$ that $P = N$ or $P = M$. This is equivalent to the quotient module M/N having precisely two submodules, i.e. equivalent to M/N being simple. It follows in particular that R/M is simple for every maximal left ideal $M \subseteq R$.

Every simple R -module S is already of this form. The module S is cyclic: It holds that $S \neq 0$ and for $x \in S$ with $x \neq 0$ the submodule $\langle x \rangle$ is a nonzero submodule of S . Hence $S = \langle x \rangle$ because S is simple. This shows that $S \cong R/M$ for some module M . That S is simple is by the above argumentation equivalent to M being maximal.

The maximal ideals in \mathbb{Z} are $p\mathbb{Z}$ with p prime. The simple \mathbb{Z} -modules are therefore (up to isomorphism) precisely $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p prime.

Warning 3. Different maximal ideals $M, M' \subseteq R$ may give isomorphic simple modules $R/M \cong R/M'$. An example for this is $R = M_n(k)$ with $n \geq 2$ and k a field.

(b)

If R is an integral domain that is not a field then R is not semisimple: It holds for any two nonzero ideals $I, J \subseteq R$ that $I \cap J \supseteq IJ \neq 0$, and hence that the sum $I + J$ is not direct. If $x \in R$ is a nonzero non-unit then this shows that the generated ideal $\langle x \rangle$ has no direct complement.

In particular $2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ has no direct complement.

(c)

Every semisimple \mathbb{Z} -module M is by part (a) of the form

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}/p_i$$

for some primes p_i . The primes p_i are in particular square-free, which proves the statement.

Remark 4. One has for every $n \geq 0$ that \mathbb{Z}/n is semisimple if and only if n is square-free. Indeed, if $n = 0$ then $\mathbb{Z}/n = \mathbb{Z}$ is not semisimple. If $n \geq 1$ then we may write $n = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$ for some pairwise different primes p_i and exponents $n_i \geq 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}/n \cong \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{n_r}$$

by the Chinese remainder theorem. This is already a decomposition into indecomposable \mathbb{Z} -modules by the classification of finitely generated abelian groups. Hence \mathbb{Z}/n is semisimple if and only if every summand $\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{n_i}$ is already simple. We have seen above that this is the case if and only if every $p_i^{n_i}$ is prime, i.e. if and only if $n_i = 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$.

It follows that an \mathbb{Z} -module M is semisimple if and only if $M \cong \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}/n_i$ where the n_i are square-free integers.

Exercise 3.

Recall the universal properties of the direct sum and the direct product:

- Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a collection of R -modules and let N be another R -module. For every $\alpha \in A$ let $i_\alpha: M_\alpha \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\beta \in A} M_\beta$ be the inclusion into the α -th summand.

Then every choice of homomorphism $f_\alpha: M_\alpha \rightarrow N$ with $\alpha \in A$ can be uniquely extended to homomorphism $f: \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha \rightarrow N$, in the sense that $f \circ i_\alpha = f_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in A$. The homomorphism f is given on elements by

$$f((m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(m_\alpha)$$

for every $(m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$. Note that f is well-defined because $m_\alpha = 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in A$, and hence also $f_\alpha(m_\alpha) = 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in A$.

This construction results in an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha, N\right) \longleftrightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_\alpha, N).$$

- Let M be an R -module and let $(N_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a collection of R -modules. For every $\alpha \in A$ let $p_\alpha: \prod_{\beta \in A} N_\beta \rightarrow N_\alpha$ be the projection onto the α -th factor.

Then every choice of homomorphism $f_\alpha: M \rightarrow N_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in A$ can be uniquely combined into homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} N_\alpha$, in the sense that $p_\alpha \circ f = f_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in A$. The homomorphism f is given on elements by

$$f(m) = (f_\alpha(m))_{\alpha \in A}$$

for every $m \in M$.

This construction results in an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(M, \prod_{\alpha \in A} N_\alpha\right) \longleftrightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N_\alpha). \quad (1)$$

Warning 5. The isomorphism (1) does in general not restrict to an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(M, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} N_\alpha\right) \longleftrightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N_\alpha).$$

This is however true if M is finitely generated.

We now have that

$$\begin{aligned} R' = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q\right) \\ &\cong \prod_p \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q\right) \end{aligned}$$

The inclusion $i: \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q \rightarrow \prod_q \mathbb{Z}/q$ induces an inclusion of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q\right) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p, \prod_q \mathbb{Z}/q\right) \cong \prod_q \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/q).$$

It follows from Schur's lemma that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/q) = 0$ if $p \neq q$, and we have for $p = q$ that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p.$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p, \prod_q \mathbb{Z}/q\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p,$$

where for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ the corresponding homomorphism is given by

$$\mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{\lambda \cdot (-)} \mathbb{Z}/p \hookrightarrow \prod_q \mathbb{Z}/q.$$

Every such homomorphism restricts to a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q$, hence the above homomorphism i_* is already an isomorphism. We thus find that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}/q,$$

with the same description as above.

It follows that

$$R' \cong \prod_p \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}/p, \bigoplus_q \mathbb{Z}/q\right) \cong \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p,$$

where an element $(\lambda_p)_p \in \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p$ acts on $(x_p)_p \in E$ via

$$(\lambda_p)_p \cdot (x_p)_p = (\lambda_p x_p)_p.$$

To determine R'' we use the following observation:

Lemma 6. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R -module. If $R' = \mathrm{End}_R(M)$ is again commutative then $R'' = R'$.

Proof. It follows from R being commutative that $R \subseteq R'$, and hence that $R' \supseteq R''$. It holds that $R' \subseteq R''$ because R' is commutative. \square

We find that $R'' = R' = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p$. The (unique) ring homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z} = R \rightarrow R'' = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p$$

is not surjective, so $R'' \neq R$.