

## Exercises in Foundations in Representation Theory

# Exercise Sheet 2

Jendrik Stelzner

### Exercise 1.

A homomorphism  $f: X_{(a,b)} \rightarrow X_{(c,d)}$  is the same as a pair  $f = (\lambda, \mu)$  consisting of scalars  $\lambda, \mu \in k$  such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \xrightarrow{a} & k \\ \lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ k & \xrightarrow{c} & k \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} k & \xrightarrow{b} & k \\ \lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ k & \xrightarrow{d} & k \end{array}$$

commute, i.e. such that

$$\begin{cases} \mu a = c\lambda, \\ \mu b = d\lambda, \end{cases} \iff \begin{bmatrix} c & -a \\ d & -b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} = 0 \iff \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \in \ker \begin{bmatrix} c & -a \\ d & -b \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now distinguish between some cases:

- If  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  are linearly independent then the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} c & -a \\ d & -b \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible and it follows that  $\text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)}) = 0$ .
- If  $(a, b) = (0, 0)$  but  $(c, d) \neq (0, 0)$  then  $\text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)}) = \{(0, \mu) \mid \mu \in k\}$ .
- If  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$  but  $(c, d) = (0, 0)$  then  $\text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)}) = \{(\lambda, 0) \mid \lambda \in k\}$ .
- If  $(a, b), (c, d) \neq (0, 0)$  are linearly dependent then  $(c, d)$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $(a, b)$  and the space  $\text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)})$  is one-dimensional. We further distinguish between two non-exclusive cases:
  - If  $a \neq 0$  then also  $c \neq 0$  (because  $(c, d)$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $(a, b)$ ) and  $\text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)}) = \{\kappa(a, c) \mid \kappa \in k\}$ .
  - If  $b \neq 0$  then also  $d \neq 0$ , and  $\text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)}) = \{\kappa(b, d) \mid \kappa \in k\}$ .

- If  $(a, b) = (c, d) = (0, 0)$  then  $\text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)}) = \{(\lambda, \mu) \mid \lambda, \mu \in k\}$ .

The two representations  $X_{(a,b)}$  and  $X_{(c,d)}$  are isomorphic if and only if there exists some  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \text{Hom}(X_{(a,b)}, X_{(c,d)})$  with both  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ . We see from the discussion above that this happens in only in two cases:

- If  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  are both nonzero but linearly dependent.
- If  $(a, b) = (c, d) = (0, 0)$ . In this case it already holds that  $X_{(a,b)} = X_{(0,0)} = X_{(c,d)}$ .

## Exercise 2.

(i)

The path algebra of the quiver  $Q$  is given by  $kQ \cong k[x]$ , and representations of  $Q$  over  $k$  are therefore “the same” as  $k[x]$ -modules. To be more precise, a representation

$$V \curvearrowright \varphi$$

of  $Q$  corresponds to the  $k[x]$ -module whose underlying  $k$ -vector space is given by  $V$  and for which the action of  $x$  on  $V$  is given by  $\varphi$ . It follows from the classification of finitely generated  $k[x]$ -modules and  $k$  being algebraically closed that the finite-dimensional indecomposable  $k[x]$ -modules are up to isomorphism precisely those of the form

$$k[x]/(x - \lambda)^n$$

with  $\lambda \in k$  and  $n \geq 1$ , and that these representations are pairwise nonisomorphic. With respect to the basis  $1, (x - \lambda), \dots, (x - \lambda)^{n-1}$  of  $k[x]/(x - \lambda)^n$  the action of  $x$  is given by the Jordan block matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & \\ 1 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

This shows altogether that the representations

$$k^n \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & \\ 1 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $\lambda \in k$  and  $n \geq 1$  form a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional indecomposable representations of  $Q$  over  $k$ .

**(ii)**

For the matrix

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$$

the only  $A$ -invariant subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are  $0$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , because the vectors  $v$  and  $Av$  are linearly independent for every  $v \in V$ ,  $v \neq 0$ . The representation

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \curvearrowright_A$$

is therefore irreducible, and hence indecomposable.

But when we change the base field from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  then the matrix  $A$  becomes diagonalizable with

$$\mathbb{C}^2 = \langle e_1 + ie_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \langle e_1 - ie_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

being a decomposition into nonzero  $A$ -invariant subspaces. The representation

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \curvearrowright_A$$

is therefore decomposable.

### Exercise 3.

**(i)**

For  $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  and  $z \in P$  consider the map

$$\tilde{\Phi}(f, z): M \rightarrow N \otimes_B P, \quad x \mapsto f(x) \otimes z.$$

The map  $\tilde{\Phi}(f, z)$  is a homomorphism of left  $A$ -modules because

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}(f, z)(x_1 + x_2) &= f(x_1 + x_2) \otimes z = (f(x_1) + f(x_2)) \otimes z \\ &= f(x_1) \otimes z + f(x_2) \otimes z = \tilde{\Phi}(f, z)(x_1) + \tilde{\Phi}(f, z)(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi}(f, z)(ax) = f(ax) \otimes z = (af(x)) \otimes z = a(f(x) \otimes z) = a\tilde{\Phi}(f, z)(x)$$

for all  $x, x_1, x_2 \in M$ ,  $a \in A$ . This shows that  $\tilde{\Phi}(f, z)$  is a well-defined element of  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P)$ , hence that the map

$$\tilde{\Phi}: \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \times P \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P)$$

is well-defined. The map  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is  $B$ -balanced because

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Phi}(f_1 + f_2, z)(x) &= (f_1 + f_2)(x) \otimes z = (f_1(x) + f_2(x)) \otimes z \\
&= f_1(x) \otimes z + f_2(x) \otimes z = \tilde{\Phi}(f_1, z)(x) + \tilde{\Phi}(f_2, z)(x) \\
&= (\tilde{\Phi}(f_1, z) + \tilde{\Phi}(f_2, z))(x), \\
\tilde{\Phi}(f, z_1 + z_2)(x) &= f(x) \otimes (z_1 + z_2) = f(x) \otimes z_1 + f(x) \otimes z_2 = \tilde{\Phi}(f, z_1) + \tilde{\Phi}(f, z_2), \\
\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda f, z)(x) &= (\lambda f)(x) \otimes z = (\lambda f(x)) \otimes z = \lambda(f(x) \otimes z) = \lambda \tilde{\Phi}(f, z)(x) = (\lambda \tilde{\Phi}(f, z))(x) \\
\tilde{\Phi}(f, \lambda z)(x) &= f(x) \otimes (\lambda z) = \lambda(f(x) \otimes z) = \lambda \tilde{\Phi}(f, z)(x) = (\lambda \tilde{\Phi}(f, z))(x) \\
\tilde{\Phi}(fb, z)(x) &= (fb)(x) \otimes z = (f(x)b) \otimes z = f(x) \otimes (bz) = \tilde{\Phi}(f, bz)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $f, f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ ,  $x, x_1, x_2 \in M$ ,  $\lambda \in k$ ,  $z \in P$ . It follows that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  induces a well-defined  $k$ -linear map

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi: \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B P &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P), \\
f \otimes z &\mapsto [\tilde{\Phi}(f, z): x \mapsto f(x) \otimes z],
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

## (ii)

We consider the commutative  $k$ -algebras  $A, B := k[t]/(t^2)$  and the  $A$ -modules  $N := A$  and  $M, P := A/(t) \cong k[t]/(t)$ . The  $A$ -module  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, A) = M^\vee$  is the dual module of  $M$ , and it holds that  $N \otimes_B P \cong P = M$ .<sup>1</sup> We thus have to show that the homomorphism

$$\Phi: M^\vee \otimes_A M \rightarrow \text{End}_A(M), \quad f \otimes x \mapsto (z \mapsto f(z)x)$$

is neither surjective nor injective. We do so by showing that  $M^\vee \otimes_A M \cong M$  and  $\text{End}_A(M) \cong M$ , but that the homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M$  corresponding to  $\Phi$  is the zero homomorphism.

We first note that

$$M^\vee = \text{Hom}_A(M, A) = \text{Hom}_A(A/(t), A) = \{t\text{-torsion of } A\} = tA \cong A/(t) = M,$$

where the inverse isomorphism  $M \rightarrow M^\vee$  is given on representatives given by

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} M^\vee, \quad [p] \mapsto ([q] \mapsto qtp = tpq).$$

We also have an isomorphism

$$M \otimes_A M = A/(t) \otimes_A A/(t) \cong A/((t) + (t)) = A/(t) = M,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we implicitly use that over commutative rings the “non-commutative” tensor product coincides with the “commutative” one.

whose inverse is given by

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_A M, \quad [p] \mapsto [p] \otimes [1] = [1] \otimes [p].$$

Lastly, we have an isomorphism

$$\text{End}_A(M) = \text{End}_A(A/(t)) \cong \text{End}_{A/(t)}(A/(t)) \cong A/(t) = M,$$

which is given by

$$\text{End}_A(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M, \quad f \mapsto f(1).$$

The overall resulting composition

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} M^\vee \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{End}_A(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M$$

is on elements given by

$$[p] \mapsto [p] \otimes [1] \mapsto ([q] \mapsto tpq) \otimes [1] \mapsto ([q] \mapsto tpq[1] = [tpq]) \mapsto [tp] = t[p],$$

and thus by multiplication with  $t$ . It follows that this composition is the zero map because  $tM = 0$ . We thus have the following commutative diagram in which all vertical arrows are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^\vee \otimes_A M & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{End}_A(M) \\ \uparrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ M \otimes M & & \\ \uparrow \sim & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{0} & M \end{array}$$

Because the zero endomorphism  $M \rightarrow M$  is neither injective nor surjective, the same follows for  $\Phi$ .

### (iii)

#### If $M$ is Free

Suppose that  $M$  is free of rank  $n$  with basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $\varphi: A^n \rightarrow M$  be the unique isomorphism of left  $A$ -modules with  $\varphi(e_i) = x_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B P \\ & \cong \text{Hom}_A(A^{\oplus n}, N) \otimes_B P \\ & \cong \text{Hom}_A(A, N)^{\times n} \otimes_B P \\ & \cong N^{\times n} \otimes_B P \\ & = N^{\oplus n} \otimes_B P \\ & \cong (N \otimes_B P)^{\oplus n} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P) \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(A^{\oplus n}, N \otimes_B P) \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(A, N \otimes_B P)^{\times n} \\
& \cong (N \otimes_B P)^{\times n} \\
& = (N \otimes_B P)^{\oplus n},
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the isomorphisms (1) and (2) are induced by  $\varphi$ . The first of the above two isomorphisms is altogether given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes P \rightarrow (N \otimes_B P)^{\oplus n}, \\
& f \otimes z \mapsto (f(x_1) \otimes z, \dots, f(x_n) \otimes z),
\end{aligned}$$

and the second isomorphism is altogether given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P) \rightarrow (N \otimes_B P)^{\oplus n}, \\
& g \mapsto (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B P & \xrightarrow{\quad \Phi \quad} & \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P) \\
& \searrow \sim & \swarrow \sim \\
& (N \otimes_B P)^{\oplus n} &
\end{array}$$

commutes, and so it follows that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

#### If $P$ is Free

Suppose that  $P$  is free of rank  $n$  and with basis  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Let  $\varphi: B^n \rightarrow P$  be the unique isomorphism of left  $B$ -modules with  $\varphi(z_i) = e_i$  for every  $i = 1 \dots, n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B P \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B B^{\oplus n} \\
& \cong (\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B B)^{\oplus n} \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N)^{\oplus n}
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P) \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B B^{\oplus n}) \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N^{\oplus n}) \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N^{\times n}) \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N)^{\times n} \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N)^{\oplus n},
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the isomorphisms (3) and (4) are induced by  $\varphi$ . The inverse of the first isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)^{\oplus n} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B P, \\ (f_1, \dots, f_n) &\mapsto f_1 \otimes z_1 + \dots + f_n \otimes z_n,\end{aligned}$$

and the inverse of the second isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)^{\oplus n} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P), \\ (f_1, \dots, f_n) &\mapsto [x \mapsto f_1(x) \otimes z_1 + \dots + f_n(x) \otimes z_n] \\ &= \Phi(f_1 \otimes z_1 + \dots + f_n \otimes z_n).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_B P & \xrightarrow{\quad \Phi \quad} & \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_B P) \\ & \nwarrow \sim \quad \nearrow \sim & \\ & \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)^{\oplus n} & \end{array}$$

commutes, hence that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

## Exercise 4.

(i)

The  $k$ -module of  $R$  comes from identifying  $R$  with  $A \times X \times B$  via the bijection

$$R \rightarrow A \times X \times B, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \mapsto (a, x, b).$$

The proposed multiplication is well-defined because

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 & a_1 x_2 + x_1 b_2 \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{bmatrix} \in R.$$

The multiplication is associative because

$$\begin{aligned}& \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & x_3 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 & a_1 x_2 + x_1 b_2 \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & x_3 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 a_3 & a_1 a_2 x_3 + a_1 x_2 b_3 + x_1 b_2 b_3 \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 a_3 & a_2 x_3 + x_2 b_3 \\ 0 & b_2 b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & x_3 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \right).\end{aligned}$$

The multiplication is distributive on the left because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & x_3 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & x_1 + x_2 \\ 0 & b_1 + b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & x_3 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 + a_2)a_3 & (a_1 + a_2)x_3 + (x_1 + x_2)b_3 \\ 0 & (b_1 + b_2)b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1a_3 + a_2a_3 & a_1x_3 + a_2x_3 + x_1b_3 + x_2b_3 \\ 0 & b_1b_3 + b_2b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1a_3 & a_1x_3 + x_1b_3 \\ 0 & b_1b_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2a_3 & a_2x_3 + x_2b_3 \\ 0 & b_2b_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & x_3 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & x_3 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

The distributivity on the right can be shown in the same way. The multiplication is already  $k$ -bilinear because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \lambda \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda a_1 & \lambda x_1 \\ 0 & \lambda b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda a_1 a_2 & \lambda a_1 x_2 + \lambda x_1 b_2 \\ 0 & \lambda b_1 b_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \lambda \begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 & a_1 x_2 + x_1 b_2 \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \lambda \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

und similarly

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \lambda \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \cdots = \lambda \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

The multiplicative unit of  $R$  is given by the identity matrix because

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This shows altogether that  $R$  together with the given  $k$ -module structure and multiplication is a  $k$ -algebra.

## (ii)

The  $k$ -linear map

$$X \otimes_k N \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes \text{id}_N} \text{Hom}_k(N, M) \otimes_k N \xrightarrow[f \otimes n \mapsto f(n)]{\text{eval}} M$$



corresponds to a  $k$ -bilinear map

$$X \times M \rightarrow N, \quad (x, n) \mapsto \lambda(x)(n), \quad (5)$$

which we might think about as an action of  $X$  on  $N$  to  $M$ . We hence write

$$x \cdot n := \lambda(x)(n)$$

for all  $x \in X, n \in N$ . It follows from the  $k$ -bilinearity of (5) that

$$(x_1 + x_2)n = x_1n + x_2n, \quad x(n_1 + n_2) = xn_1 + xn_2, \quad (\lambda x)n = \lambda(xn) = x(\lambda n)$$

for all  $x, x_1, x_2 \in X, n, n_1, n_2 \in N, \lambda \in k$ . It further follows from  $\lambda$  being a homomorphism of  $A$ - $B$ -bimodules that

$$(ax)n = \lambda(ax)(n) = (a\lambda(x))(n) = a\lambda(x)(n) = a(xn) \quad (6)$$

and

$$(xb)n = \lambda(xb)(n) = (\lambda(x)b)(n) = \lambda(x)(bn) = x(bn). \quad (7)$$

As the underlying  $k$ -module of  $F(M, N, \lambda)$  we choose  $M \oplus N$ , and write the elements of  $F(M, N, \lambda)$  as column vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $m \in M, n \in N$ . The action of  $R$  on  $F(M, N, \lambda)$  is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} am + xn \\ bn \end{bmatrix}.$$

This action is distributive on the left because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & x_1 + x_2 \\ 0 & b_1 + b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 + a_2)m + (x_1 + x_2)n \\ (b_1 + b_2)n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1m + a_2m + x_1n + x_2n \\ b_1n + b_2n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1m + x_1n \\ b_1n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2m + x_2n \\ b_2n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and distributive on the right because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m_2 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 \\ n_1 + n_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a(m_1 + m_2) + x(n_1 + n_2) \\ b(n_1 + n_2) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} am_1 + am_2 + xn_1 + xn_2 \\ bn_1 + bn_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} am_1 + xn_1 \\ bn_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} am_2 + xn_2 \\ bn_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_2 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

The multiplication is already  $k$ -bilinear because

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \mu \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu a & \mu x \\ 0 & \mu b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu am + \mu xn \\ \mu bn \end{bmatrix} = \mu \begin{bmatrix} am + xn \\ bn \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \mu \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \left( \mu \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu m \\ \mu n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu am + \mu xn \\ \mu bn \end{bmatrix} = \mu \begin{bmatrix} am + xn \\ bn \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \mu \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The multiplication is associative because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 m + x_2 n \\ b_2 n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 m + a_1 x_2 n + x_1 b_2 n \\ b_1 b_2 n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 a_2) m + (a_1 x_2 + x_1 b_2) n \\ (b_1 b_2) n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & a_1 x_2 + x_1 b_2 \\ 0 & b_1 + b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left( \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the associativity of (6) and (7). It also holds that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot m + 0 \cdot n \\ 1 \cdot n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Altogether we have defined on  $F(M, N, \lambda)$  the structure of a left  $R$ -module.

### (iii)

Let  $(M, N, \lambda)$  and  $(M', N', \lambda')$  be two triples consisting of left  $A$ -modules  $M$  and  $M'$ , left  $B$ -modules  $N$  and  $N'$ , and homomorphism of  $A$ - $B$ -bimodules  $\lambda: X \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(N, M)$  and  $\lambda': X \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(N', M')$ . We define a *morphism*  $f: (M, N, \lambda) \rightarrow (M', N', \lambda')$  to be a pair  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  consisting of a homomorphism of left  $A$ -modules  $f_1: M \rightarrow M'$  and a homomorphism of left  $B$ -modules  $f_2: N \rightarrow N'$ , subject to the relation that

$$f_1 \circ \lambda(x) = \lambda'(x) \circ f_2$$

for all  $x \in X$ ; this means for the actions of  $X$  on  $N$  to  $M$  and on  $N'$  to  $M'$  that

$$f_1(xn) = x f_2(n)$$

for all  $x \in X, n \in N$ . This may be represented pictorially by the following “commutative diagram”:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xleftarrow{x \cdot (-)} & N \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\ M' & \xleftarrow{x \cdot (-)} & N' \end{array}$$

The *composition* of two such morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} f &: (M, N, \lambda) \rightarrow (M', N', \lambda'), \\ f' &: (M', N', \lambda') \rightarrow (M'', N'', \lambda'') \end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$f' \circ f = (f'_1, f'_2) \circ (f_1, f_2) = (f'_1 \circ f_1, f'_2 \circ f_2).$$

This is again a morphism because

$$f'_1 \circ f_1 \circ \lambda(x) = f'_1 \circ \lambda'(x) \circ f_2 = \lambda''(x) \circ f'_2 \circ f_2$$

for every  $x \in X$ . The identity morphism of  $(M, N, \lambda)$  is given by  $\text{id}_{(M, N, \lambda)} = (\text{id}_M, \text{id}_N)$ ; this is a morphism because

$$\text{id}_M \circ \lambda(x) = \lambda(x) = \lambda(x) \circ \text{id}_N$$

for every  $x \in X$ ; it holds for every morphism  $f: (M, N, \lambda) \rightarrow (M', N', \lambda')$  that  $f \circ \text{id} = f$ , and for every morphism  $f: (M', N', \lambda') \rightarrow (M, N, \lambda)$  that  $\text{id} \circ f = f$ .

The construction  $F$  is functorial: Every morphism  $f: (M, N, \lambda) \rightarrow (M', N', \lambda')$  induces a map

$$F(f): F(M, N, \lambda) \rightarrow F(M', N', \lambda'), \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} f_1(m) \\ f_2(n) \end{bmatrix};$$

we hence have that  $F(f) = f_1 \oplus f_2$ . The additive map  $F(f)$  is a homomorphism of left  $R$ -modules because

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) &= F(f) \left( \begin{bmatrix} am + xn \\ bn \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(am + xn) \\ f_2(bn) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} f_1(am) + f_1(xn) \\ f_2(bn) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} af_1(m) + xf_2(n) \\ bf_2(n) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1(m) \\ f_2(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} F(f) \left( \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It furthermore holds for any two composable morphisms  $f: (M, N, \lambda) \rightarrow (M', N', \lambda')$  and  $f': (M', N', \lambda') \rightarrow (M'', N'', \lambda'')$  that

$$\begin{aligned} F(f') \circ F(f) &= (f'_1 \oplus f'_2) \circ (f_1 \oplus f_2) = (f'_1 \circ f_1) \oplus (f'_2 \circ f_2) \\ &= (f' \circ f)_1 \oplus (f' \circ f)_2 = F(f' \circ f), \end{aligned}$$

and it holds that

$$F(\text{id}_{(M, N, \lambda)}) = \text{id}_M \oplus \text{id}_N = \text{id}_{M \oplus N} = \text{id}_{F(M, N, \lambda)}.$$

This shows altogether the claimed functoriality of  $F$ .