

## Exercises in Foundations in Representation Theory

# Exercise Sheet 10

Jendrik Stelzner

### Exercise 1.

(i)

The statement is **false**. As a counterexample we might consider for  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$  the morphism of chain complexes  $f: C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$  that is given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 C_\bullet & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 \downarrow f & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 D_\bullet & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Here  $2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the inclusion and  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the identity, and the vertical identity  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is in degree 0. This morphism  $f$  is a monomorphism because it is a monomorphism in each degree. But the induced morphism  $H_0(f)$  is not a monomorphism because  $H_0(C_\bullet) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  but  $H_0(D_\bullet) = 0$ . This shows that  $H_0: \mathbf{Ch}_\bullet(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$  does not preserve monomorphisms, and hence is not left exact.

(ii)

The statement is **true**: We get for the identity morphism  $\text{id}: C_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$  the long exact homology sequence of the cone:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{H_n(\text{id})} H_n(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(\text{cone}(\text{id})) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C_\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots$$

The morphism  $H_n(\text{id}) = \text{id}_{H_n(C_\bullet)}$  is an isomorphism of every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , hence it follows that  $H_n(\text{cone}(\text{id})) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  by the exactness of the above sequence.

**(iii)**

The statement is **true**: Every  $k$ -vector space  $V$  is free, and hence projective by Exercise 4. We can therefore use the identity  $\text{id}_V: V \rightarrow V$  to see that the category  $k\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$  has enough projectives.

**(iv)**

The statement is **false**: Consider the morphism  $\text{id}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and the monomorphism  $g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $g(n) = 2n$ . (This is a monomorphism because it is injective.) Then there does not exist an extension  $g': \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  of  $g$  that makes the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{id} \downarrow & \swarrow g' & \\ \mathbb{Z} & & \end{array}$$

commute, because  $g'(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$  would need to be a group element with

$$2g'(1) = g'(2) = g'(g(1)) = \text{id}(1) = 1.$$

But such an element does not exist.

**(v)**

The statement is **false** in its current form, because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not an object in the given category (because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not finitely generated as an abelian group). The original form of the problem, that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is injective in the category  $\mathbf{Ab}$ , is **true**:

Let  $A$  be an abelian group, let  $g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be a homomorphism and let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a monomorphism, i.e. an injective group homomorphism. We need to show that  $g$  extends to a group homomorphism  $g': B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  along  $f$ . For this we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $A$  is a subgroup of  $B$  and that  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is the canonical inclusion.

We find with Zorn's lemma that there exists a maximal extension  $\tilde{g}: \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  of  $g$ , i.e.  $A \subseteq \tilde{B} \subseteq B$  is an intermediate group,  $\tilde{g}: \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is an extension of  $g$ , and  $\tilde{B}$  is maximal with this property.

Suppose that  $\tilde{B} \neq B$ . Then there exists some  $x \in B$  with  $x \notin \tilde{B}$ . We show for  $B' := \tilde{B} + \mathbb{Z}x$  that the homomorphism  $\tilde{g}: \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $B' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . We distinguish between two cases:

- If  $\tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}x = 0$  then  $B' = \tilde{B} \oplus \mathbb{Z}x$ . We can then choose the extension  $g'$  as

$$g'(\tilde{b} + nx) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{b})$$

for all  $\tilde{b} \in \tilde{B}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- Otherwise let  $n > 1$  be minimal such that  $nx \in \tilde{B}$ . (We do not have to consider the case  $n = 1$  because  $x \notin \tilde{B}$ .) Then  $\tilde{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}x = \mathbb{Z}nx$ , and we hence have a (right) exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\psi} \tilde{B} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi} B' \rightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\varphi(\tilde{b}, k) = \tilde{b} + kx$  and  $\varphi(k) = k(nx, -n)$ . It follows for the homomorphism

$$\tilde{g}' : \tilde{B} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad (\tilde{b}, k) \mapsto \tilde{g}(\tilde{b}) + k \frac{\tilde{g}(nx)}{n}$$

that  $\tilde{g}' \circ \psi = 0$  because

$$\tilde{g}'(nx, -n) = \tilde{g}(nx) - n \frac{\tilde{g}(nx)}{n} = \tilde{g}(nx) - \tilde{g}(nx) = 0.$$

It follows from the right exactness of (1) that  $\tilde{g}'$  factors through a homomorphism  $g' : B' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  with

$$g'(\tilde{b} + kx) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{b}) + k \frac{\tilde{g}(nx)}{n}$$

for all  $\tilde{b} \in \tilde{B}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is the desired extension of  $\tilde{g}$  to  $B'$ .

It follows from the maximality of the extension  $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{g})$  that already  $\tilde{B} = B'$ , which contradicts  $x \notin \tilde{B}$  (but  $x \in B'$ ). We hence find that already  $\tilde{B} = B$ , and hence that  $\tilde{g}$  is the desired extension of  $g$  onto  $B$ .

## (vi)

The statement is **false**. We see in Exercise 4 that an abelian group (i.e.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module) is projective if and only if it is a direct summand of a free abelian group. Free abelian groups are torsion-free, and hence projective abelian groups are also torsion-free. But  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  is a nontrivial torsion group, and hence not projective.

## Exercise 2.

We denote by  $i : P' \rightarrow P$  and  $j : P'' \rightarrow P$  the canonical morphisms belonging to the coproduct structure of  $P' \oplus P'' = P$ . We then have for every morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, Y) \\ \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} i_* \\ j_* \end{smallmatrix} \right] \downarrow & & \downarrow \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} i_* \\ j_* \end{smallmatrix} \right] \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P', X) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P'', X) & \xrightarrow{\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} f_* & 0 \\ 0 & f_* \end{smallmatrix} \right]} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P', Y) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P'', Y) \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are isomorphisms by the universal property of the coproduct.

We have seen in the lecture that the object  $P$  is projective if and only if the group homomorphism  $f_* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, Y)$  is surjective whenever the morphism  $f$  is an epimorphism. It follows from the above commutative square with

isomorphisms for vertical arrows that this holds if and only if both group homomorphisms  $f_*: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P', X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P', Y)$  and  $f_*: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P'', X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P'', Y)$  are surjective whenever  $f$  is an epimorphism. But this is what it means for both  $P'$  and  $P''$  to be projective.

We find as the dual result that two objects  $I'$  and  $I''$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  are injective if and only if their biproduct  $I' \oplus I''$  is injective.

### Exercise 3.

(i)

For an object  $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$  and any bounded chain complex  $C_{\bullet} \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}))$ , a morphism of chain complexes  $f: C_{\bullet} \rightarrow I_0(X)$  corresponds to the choice of a morphism  $f_0: C_0 \rightarrow X$  that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \\ & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

commute. The commutativity of this diagram means that  $f_0 d_1 = 0$ . Such morphisms are in one-to-one correspondence to morphism  $\overline{f_0}: \text{coker}(d_1) \rightarrow X$  through the universal property of the cokernel. The morphisms  $f_0$  and  $\overline{f_0}$  are more specifically related by the commutativity of the following triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X \\ p_{C_{\bullet}} \downarrow & \nearrow \overline{f_0} & \\ \text{coker}(d_1) & & \end{array}$$

We hence define the functor  $L: \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$  on objects by

$$L(C_{\bullet}) = \text{coker}(d_1^C).$$

For every morphism of chain complexes  $f: C_{\bullet} \rightarrow D_{\bullet}$  we let

$$L(f): \text{coker}(d_1^C) \rightarrow \text{coker}(d_1^D)$$

be the unique morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  that makes the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0 & \xrightarrow{p_{C_{\bullet}}} & L(C_{\bullet}) \\ f_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow L(f) \\ D_0 & \xrightarrow{p_{D_{\bullet}}} & L(D_{\bullet}) \end{array}$$

commute. (That this defines a functor follows from the functoriality of the cokernel.)

We have argued above as to why the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{C_\bullet, X}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})}(C_\bullet, I_0(X)) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(L(C_\bullet), X), \\ f &\mapsto \overline{f_0} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. This bijection is natural in both  $C_\bullet$  and  $X$ : Let  $g: D_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$  be a morphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued bounded chain complexes and let  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})}(C_\bullet, I_0(X)) & \xrightarrow{I_0(h) \circ (-) \circ g} & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})}(D_\bullet, I_0(Y)) \\ \varphi_{C_\bullet, X} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{D_\bullet, Y} \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(L(C_\bullet), X) & \xrightarrow{h \circ (-) \circ L(g)} & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(L(D_\bullet), Y) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

commutes. Indeed, it follows for every morphism  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})}(C_\bullet, I_0(X))$  from the commutativity of the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & I_0(X) \\ g \uparrow & & \downarrow I_0(h) \\ D_\bullet & \xrightarrow{I_0(h) \circ f \circ g} & I_0(Y) \end{array}$$

that in degree 0 the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X \\ g_0 \uparrow & & \downarrow h \\ D_0 & \xrightarrow{(I(h) \circ f \circ g)_0} & Y \end{array}$$

We may extend this commutative square to the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L(C_\bullet) & & \xrightarrow{\overline{f_0}} & & X \\ & \swarrow p_{C_\bullet} & & \searrow & \parallel \\ & C_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X & \\ & g_0 \uparrow & & \downarrow h & \\ & D_0 & \xrightarrow{(I(h) \circ f \circ g)_0} & Y & \\ & \swarrow p_{D_\bullet} & & \searrow & \parallel \\ L(D_\bullet) & & \xrightarrow{\overline{(I(h) \circ f \circ g)_0}} & & Y \end{array}$$

$L(g)$  is the vertical arrow from  $L(D_\bullet)$  to  $L(C_\bullet)$ .

The four added trapezoids commute by the constructions of both  $L$  and  $\overline{(-)}$ . It follows that the outer square commutes, because the morphism  $p_{D_\bullet}$  is an epimorphism and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(I(h) \circ f \circ g)_0} \circ p_{D_\bullet} &= (I(h) \circ f \circ g)_0 = h \circ f_0 \circ g_0 \\ &= h \circ \overline{f_0} \circ p_{C_\bullet} \circ g_0 = h \circ \overline{f_0} \circ L(g) \circ p_{D_\bullet}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\overline{(I(h) \circ f \circ g)_0} = h \circ \overline{f_0} \circ L(g).$$

This proves the desired commutativity of the square (2), and whence that the functor  $L$  is left adjoint to the functor  $I_0$ .

**Remark 1.** We have for every bounded chain complex  $C_\bullet \in \mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$  that

$$\text{coker}(d_1) = H_0(C_\bullet).$$

The desired left adjoint can therefore also be described as the zeroeth homology  $H_0$ . But the above explicit description of  $L(C_\bullet)$  as  $\text{coker}(d_1)$  works also for unbounded chain complexes, and hence also gives a left adjoint  $\mathbf{Ch}_\bullet(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  of  $I_0: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}_\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ .

**(ii)**

This follows from part (i) by duality. The right adjoint  $R: \mathbf{Ch}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A})$  of the functor  $I^0$  is given on objects by

$$R(C^\bullet) = \ker(d_C^0),$$

and assigns to every morphism  $f: C^\bullet \rightarrow D^\bullet$  of (bounded) cochain complexes the unique morphism  $\ker(d_C^0) \rightarrow \ker(d_D^0)$  that makes the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ker(d_C^0) & \longrightarrow & C^0 \\ R(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow f^0 \\ \ker(d_D^0) & \longrightarrow & D^0 \end{array}$$

commute.

## Exercise 4.

**(i)**

This follows from part (ii) because  $A$  is free as an  $A$ -module.

**(ii)**

We start by showing that every free  $A$ -module  $F$  is projective: Let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be an epimorphism of  $A$ -modules and let  $g: F \rightarrow N$  be any homomorphism of  $A$ -modules. There exists by assumption a basis  $(b_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $F$ , and for every  $i \in I$  an element  $m_i \in M$  with  $f(m_i) = g(b_i)$ . It follows for the unique homomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $g': F \rightarrow M$  with  $g'(b_i) = m_i$  for every  $i \in I$  that

$$f(g'(b_i)) = f(m_i) = g(b_i)$$

for every  $i \in I$ , and hence that  $f \circ g' = g$ . This means that the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ g' \swarrow & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

commutes. This shows that  $F$  is projective.

It follows that direct summands of free modules are again projective, because direct summands of projective modules are again projective. (As known from Exercise 2.)

Suppose on the other hand that  $P$  is a projective  $A$ -module. Then there exists for the free  $A$ -module  $F$  with basis  $(b_p)_{p \in P}$  a unique homomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $f: F \rightarrow P$  with  $f(b_p) = p$  for every  $p \in P$ . The homomorphism  $f$  is an epimorphism and the resulting short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(f) \rightarrow F \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

splits because  $P$  is projective. Hence  $P \oplus \ker(f) \cong F$  is free, which shows that  $P$  is a direct summand of a free module.