

# Remark and Solutions

## Sheet 2

### Problem 13

#### 3.

We follow the hint: Let  $V$  be a four-dimensional vector space with basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ . We identify the exterior power  $\bigwedge^4(V)$  with the ground field  $\mathbb{C}$  via the single basis element  $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$ .

We find that

$$v \wedge w_1 \wedge w_2 = -w_1 \wedge v \wedge w_2 = w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge v$$

for every vector  $v \in V$  and every simple wedge  $w_1 \wedge w_2 \in \bigwedge^2(V)$ . The bilinear map

$$\beta: \bigwedge^2(V) \times \bigwedge^2(V) \rightarrow \bigwedge^4(V), \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \wedge y$$

is hence symmetric, because

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(v_1 \wedge v_2, w_1 \wedge w_2) &= v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge w_1 \wedge w_2 \\ &= v_1 \wedge w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge v_2 \\ &= w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all simple wedges  $v_1 \wedge v_2, w_1 \wedge w_2 \in \bigwedge^2(V)$ . The bilinear form  $\beta$  is also non-degenerate: A basis of the exterior square  $\bigwedge^2(V)$  is given by the simple wedges

$$e_1 \wedge e_2, \quad e_1 \wedge e_3, \quad e_1 \wedge e_4, \quad e_2 \wedge e_3, \quad e_2 \wedge e_4, \quad e_3 \wedge e_4.$$

With respect to this basis of  $\bigwedge^2(V)$  the bilinear form  $\beta$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ -1 & & & & & \\ 1 & & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix is invertible, which means that  $\beta$  is non-degenerate.

---

\* Available online at <https://github.com/cionx/representation-theory-1-tutorial-ss-19>.

**Warning 1.** The fourth exterior power  $\bigwedge^4(V)$  is neither the same nor isomorphic to the iterated exterior square  $\bigwedge^2(\bigwedge^2(V))$ . In particular  $\dim \bigwedge^4(V) = \binom{\dim V}{4} = \binom{4}{4} = 1$  whereas  $\dim \bigwedge^2(\bigwedge^2(V)) = \binom{\dim \bigwedge^2(V)}{2} = \binom{6}{2} = 15$ .

It follows from Problem 8 of the first exercise sheet that

$$\mathfrak{g}_\beta := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \mid \beta(\varphi(x), y) + \beta(x, \varphi(y)) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \bigwedge^2(V) \right\}$$

is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{so}_6(\mathbb{C})$ , because  $\beta$  is non-degenerate and symmetric and  $\bigwedge^2(V)$  is six-dimensional. We will in the following construct an explicit isomorphism  $\mathfrak{sl}_4(V) \cong \mathfrak{g}_\beta$  (where  $\mathfrak{sl}(V) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C})$  because  $V$  is four-dimensional).

**Lemma 2.** If  $V$  is a representation of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  then any exterior power  $\bigwedge^n(V)$  inherits from  $V$  the structure of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation via

$$x.(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge (x.v_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and every simple wedge  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n \in \bigwedge^n(V)$ .

*Proof.* For readability we will denote for all  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  the corresponding simple wedge  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n$  by  $v_1 \cdots v_n$ . We first need to show that every  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  the proposed action on  $x$  on  $\bigwedge^n(V)$  is well-defined and linear. We do so by using the universal property of the exterior power. For this we consider the map

$$\gamma_x: V \times \cdots \times V \rightarrow \bigwedge^n(V), \quad (v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots (x.v_i) \cdots v_n.$$

This map is multilinear and we need to check that it is alternating. So let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  with  $v_i = v_j$  for some  $i < j$ . Then  $v_1 \cdots (x.v_k) \cdots v_n = 0$  whenever  $k \neq i, j$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_x(v_1, \dots, v_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n v_1 \cdots (x.v_k) \cdots v_n \\ &= v_1 \cdots (x.v_i) \cdots v_j \cdots v_n + v_1 \cdots v_i \cdots (x.v_j) \cdots v_n \\ &= v_1 \cdots (x.v_i + v_i) \cdots (x.v_j + v_j) \cdots v_n \\ &\quad - v_1 \cdots (x.v_i) \cdots (x.v_j) \cdots v_n \\ &\quad - v_1 \cdots v_i \cdots v_j \cdots v_n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from the universal property of the exterior power  $\bigwedge^n(V)$  that  $\gamma_x$  induces a well-defined linear map

$$\bigwedge^n(V) \rightarrow \bigwedge^n(V), \quad v_1 \cdots v_n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots (x.v_i) \cdots v_n.$$

We need to check that this action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\bigwedge^n(V)$  is again compatible with the Lie bracket of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& x.y.(v_1 \cdots v_n) \\
&= x. \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots (y.v_i) \cdots v_n \\
&= \sum_{i < j} v_1 \cdots (x.v_i) \cdots (y.v_j) \cdots v_n \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots (x.y.v_i) \cdots v_n \\
&\quad + \sum_{i < j} v_1 \cdots (y.v_i) \cdots (x.v_j) \cdots v_n
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& x.y.(v_1 \cdots v_n) - y.x.(v_1 \cdots v_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots (x.y.v_i) \cdots v_n - \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots (y.x.v_i) \cdots v_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots (x.y.v_i - y.x.v_i) \cdots v_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \cdots ([x, y].v_i) \cdots v_n
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and every simple wedge  $v_1 \cdots v_n \in \bigwedge^n(V)$ .  $\square$

It follows from Lemma 2 that the natural action of  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  on  $V$  induces on  $\bigwedge^2(V)$  the structure of an  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ -representations via

$$X.(v_1 \wedge v_2) = (X.v_1) \wedge v_2 + v_1 \wedge (X.v_2)$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  and every simple wedge  $v_1 \wedge v_2 \in \bigwedge^2(V)$ . This action of  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  on  $\bigwedge^2(V)$  corresponds to a homomorphism of Lie algebras  $\rho: \mathfrak{sl}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\bigwedge^2(V))$  that is given by  $\rho(X)(x) = X.x$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  and  $x \in \bigwedge^2(V)$ . We show in the following that  $\rho$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{sl}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ .

We first observe that the image of  $\rho$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ : We need to show that

$$\beta(\rho(X)(x), y) + \beta(x, \rho(X)(y)) = 0$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  and  $x, y \in \bigwedge^2(V)$ . It suffices to consider the case that both  $x$  and  $y$

are simple wedges  $x = v_1 \wedge v_2$  and  $y = v_3 \wedge v_4$ . Then the term

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \\ &:= \beta(\rho(X)(v_1 \wedge v_2), v_3 \wedge v_4) + \beta(v_1 \wedge v_2, \rho(X)(v_3 \wedge v_4)) \\ &= \rho(X)(v_1 \wedge v_2) \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4 + v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \rho(X)(v_3 \wedge v_4) \\ &= (X.v_1) \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4 + v_1 \wedge (X.v_2) \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4 \\ &\quad + v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge (X.v_3) \wedge v_4 + v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge (X.v_4) \end{aligned}$$

is multilinear and alternating in  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ . To show that  $\gamma(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = 0$  for all  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in V$  it therefore suffices to show that  $\gamma(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) = 0$  for the given basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$  of  $V$ . Let  $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C})$  be the matrix that represents  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  with respect to this basis, which means that  $X.e_j = \sum_{i=1}^4 A_{ij}e_i$  for every  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Then

$$(X.e_1) \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = \sum_{i=1}^4 A_{i1}e_i \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = A_{11}e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \wedge (X.e_2) \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 &= A_{22}e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \\ e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge (X.e_3) \wedge e_4 &= A_{33}e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \\ e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge (X.e_4) &= A_{44}e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence altogether

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) &= (A_{11} + A_{22} + A_{33} + A_{44})e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 \\ &= \text{tr}(A)e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that the image of  $\rho$  lies in  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ .

It remains to show that the homomorphism  $\rho$  is both surjective onto  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$  and injective. We know that  $\dim \mathfrak{sl}(V) = \dim \mathfrak{sl}_4(\mathbb{C}) = 4^2 - 1 = 15$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\beta = \dim \mathfrak{so}_6(\mathbb{C}) = 15$ . It therefore suffices to show that  $\rho$  is injective. It moreover suffices to show that  $\rho$  is nonzero because  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  is simple. If we consider the endomorphism  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  with  $X(e_1) = e_1$ ,  $X(e_2) = -e_2$  and  $X(e_3) = X(e_4) = 0$  then

$$\rho(X)(e_1 \wedge e_3) = (X.e_1) \wedge e_3 + e_1 \wedge (X.e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_1 \wedge 0 = e_1 \wedge e_3 \neq 0$$

whence  $\rho(X) \neq 0$ .

## Problem 14

### 1.

To construct a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  we only need to specify the images  $E_i, H_i, F_i$  of the generators  $e_i, h_i, f_i$  and then check that these proposed

images satisfy the given relations (22)–(27). (This is what it means for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  to be given by the generators  $\{e_i, h_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and relations (22)–(27).)

In the case  $n = 1$  we know that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  has the standard basis  $e, f, h$  consisting of the matrices

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These three matrices satisfy the relations  $[e, f] = h$ ,  $[h, e] = 2e$  and  $[h, f] = -2f$ , which corresponds to the relations (23), (24), (25). Motivated by this example we choose for any  $n \geq 1$  the images  $E_i, F_i, H_i \in \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  as

$$E_i := E_{i,i+1}, \quad F_i := E_{i+1,i}, \quad H_i := E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}.$$

We need to check that these elements satisfy the relations (22)–(27):

(22) We find  $[H_i, H_j] = 0$  because both  $H_i$  and  $H_j$  are diagonal matrices and hence commute with each other.

(23) We find

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i, F_j] &= [E_{i,i+1}, E_{j+1,j}] \\ &= E_{i,i+1}E_{j+1,j} - E_{j+1,j}E_{i,i+1} \\ &= \delta_{i+1,j+1}E_{ij} - \delta_{ij}E_{j+1,i+1} \\ &= \delta_{ij}E_{ij} - \delta_{ij}E_{j+1,i+1} \\ &= \delta_{ij}E_{ii} - \delta_{ij}E_{i+1,i+1} \\ &= \delta_{ij}(E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}) \\ &= \delta_{ij}H_i. \end{aligned}$$

(24) We find

$$\begin{aligned} [H_i, E_j] &= [E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}, E_{j,j+1}] \\ &= (E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1})E_{j,j+1} - E_{j,j+1}(E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}) \\ &= E_{ii}E_{j,j+1} - E_{i+1,i+1}E_{j,j+1} - E_{j,j+1}E_{ii} + E_{j,j+1}E_{i+1,i+1} \\ &= \delta_{ij}E_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i+1,j}E_{i+1,j+1} - \delta_{i,j+1}E_{ji} + \delta_{i+1,j+1}E_{j,i+1} \\ &= \delta_{ij}E_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i+1,j}E_{i+1,j+1} - \delta_{i,j+1}E_{ji} + \delta_{ij}E_{j,i+1} \\ &= \delta_{ij}(E_{i,j+1} + E_{j,i+1}) - \delta_{i+1,j}E_{i+1,j+1} - \delta_{i,j+1}E_{ji} \\ &= 2\delta_{ij}E_{j,j+1} - \delta_{i+1,j}E_{j,j+1} - \delta_{i,j+1}E_{j,j+1} \\ &= (2\delta_{ij} - \delta_{i+1,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})E_{j,j+1} \\ &= a_{ji}E_j. \end{aligned}$$

(25) This relation can be checked in the same way as (24). But we can also observe that  $F_j = E_j^T$  and  $H_i = H_i^T$  and hence

$$[H_i, F_j] = [H_i^T, E_j^T] = [E_j, H_i]^T = a_{ji}E_j^T = -a_{ji}F_j.$$

(26) We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad}(E_i)(E_j) &= [E_i, E_j] \\
&= [E_{i,i+1}, E_{j,j+1}] \\
&= E_{i,i+1}E_{j,j+1} - E_{j,j+1}E_{i,i+1} \\
&= \delta_{i+1,j}E_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j+1}E_{j,i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $|i - j| \geq 2$  then  $\delta_{i+1,j} = \delta_{i,j+1} = 0$  and  $a_{ji} = 0$  and hence

$$\text{ad}(E_i)^{-a_{ji}+1}(E_j) = \text{ad}(E_i)(E_j) = 0$$

as needed. If  $i = j - 1$  then  $[E_i, E_j] = \text{ad}(E_i)(E_j) = E_{i,j+1} = E_{i,i+2}$  and  $a_{ji} = -1$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ad}(E_i)^{-a_{ji}+1}(E_j) &= \text{ad}(E_i)^2(E_j) \\
&= [E_i, [E_i, E_j]] \\
&= [E_{i,i+1}, E_{i,i+2}] \\
&= E_{i,i+1}E_{i,i+2} - E_{i,i+2}E_{i,i+1} \\
&= \delta_{i,i+1}E_{i,i+2} - \delta_{i,i+2}E_{i,i+1} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The case  $i = j + 1$  works the same.

(27) This can be done by similar calculations as in (26) but can also be directly derived from (26) by again using the matrix transpose.

**Remark 3.**

1. We used in (25) that that  $[A, B]^T = (AB - BA)^T = B^T A^T - A^T B^T = [B^T, A^T]$ .
2. For (24) and (25) it is useful to understand how a commutator  $[D, A]$  looks like if  $D$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ :

The matrix  $DA$  results from  $A$  by multiplying for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  the  $i$ -th row of  $A$  by the corresponding diagonal entry  $\lambda_i$ . Similarly the matrix  $AD$  results from  $A$  by multiplying for every  $j = 1, \dots, n$  the  $j$ -th column of  $A$  by the corresponding diagonal entry  $\lambda_j$ . This means in formulae that

$$(DA)_{ij} = \lambda_i A_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad (AD)_{ij} = \lambda_j A_{ij}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , and thus

$$[D, A]_{ij} = (DA - AD)_{ij} = (DA)_{ij} - (AD)_{ij} = \lambda_i A_{ij} - \lambda_j A_{ij} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) A_{ij}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

It follows that

$$[H_i, E_j] = [H_i, E_{j,j+1}] = ((H_i)_{jj} - (H_i)_{j+1,j+1})E_{j,j+1}$$

where

$$(H_i)_{jj} - (H_i)_{j+1,j+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j+1 < i, \\ -1 & \text{if } j+1 = i, \\ 2 & \text{if } j = i, \\ -1 & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j > i+1. \end{cases}$$

$$= a_{ji}$$

Hence  $[H_i, E_j] = a_{j,i}E_j$  as desired.

We have shown that the matrices  $E_i, F_i, H_i$  satisfy the given relations. There hence exists a unique homomorphism of Lie algebras  $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\varphi(e_i) = E_i, \varphi(f_i) = F_i$  and  $\varphi(h_i) = H_i$ . It remains to show that  $\varphi$  is surjective.

The image of  $\varphi$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . It therefore suffices to show that  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  is generated by the elements  $\{E_i, F_i, H_i\}_{i=1}^n$  as a Lie algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{s}$  be the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  generated by these elements. We know that  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  has as a basis the diagonal matrices  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  together with the off-diagonal matrices  $E_{ij}$  where  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n+1$ . It suffices to show that these matrices are contained in  $\mathfrak{s}$ . This holds for  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  by construction of  $\mathfrak{s}$ .

Let us consider the off-diagonal matrices  $E_{ij}$  with  $j > i$ . We fix the index  $i$  and show that  $E_{i,i+1}, E_{i,i+2}, \dots, E_{i,n+1} \in \mathfrak{s}$ . This holds for  $E_{i,i+1} = E_i$  by construction of  $\mathfrak{s}$ . If  $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{s}$  for some  $i+1 \leq j < n+1$  then we find inductively that the matrix

$$[E_{ij}, E_j] = [E_{ij}, E_{j,j+1}] = E_{ij}E_{j,j+1} - E_{j,j+1}E_{ij} = E_{i,j+1}$$

is again contained in  $\mathfrak{s}$ . This shows that all off-diagonal matrices  $E_{ij}$  with  $j > i$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{s}$ .

For the off-diagonal matrices  $E_{ij}$  with  $i < j$  we can argue in the same way by using the matrices  $F_i$  instead of  $E_i$ . But we could also observe that the Lie algebra generating set  $\{E_i, F_i, H_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $\mathfrak{s}$  is closed under matrix transposition whence  $\mathfrak{s}$  is closed under matrix transposition (because matrix transposition is a Lie algebra anti-automorphism). It thus follows for all  $i < j$  from  $E_{ji} \in \mathfrak{s}$  that also  $E_{ij} = E_{ji}^T \in \mathfrak{s}$ .

## 2.

We construct an inverse  $\psi: \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  to  $\varphi$ . We define  $\psi$  to be the unique linear map with  $\psi(e) = e_1, \psi(f) = f_1$  and  $\psi(h) = h_1$ . Recall that  $[h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f$  and  $[e, f] = h$ . The relations (23), (24), (25) therefore ensure that  $\psi$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Then  $\psi\varphi = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}$  because this holds on the basis  $e, h, f$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\varphi\psi = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  because this holds on the Lie algebra generators  $e_1, h_1, f_1$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .