Jendrik Stelzner `jendrikstelzner.de/seminars/dg_hopf.pdf` May 20, 2019

Differential Graded Hopf Algebras I

In the following k denotes a field. All vector spaces, algebras, tensor products, etc. are over k, unless otherwise stated. All occuring maps are linear unless otherwise stated. Additional constraints on $\mathrm{char}(k)$ are made explicit when used.

1. Preliminary Notions and Notations

A **dg-vector spaces** V is the same as a chain complex, a **dg-subspace** the same as a chain subcomplex. The elements $v \in V_n$ are **homogeneous** of **degree** $|v| = n$.

$$\boxed{\text{Whenever we write } |v| \text{ the element } v \text{ is assumed to be homogeneous.}}$$

We always regard graded objects as differential graded objects with zero differential.

$$\boxed{\text{graded} \longleftrightarrow \text{differential graded with } d = 0}$$

We regard k as a dg-vector space concentrated in degree 0. If V, W are dg-vector spaces then $V \otimes W$ is a dg-vector space with

$$|v \otimes w| = |v| + |w|\,, \quad d(v \otimes w) = d(v) \otimes w + (-1)^{|v|} v \otimes d(w)\,.$$

The **twist map** $\tau \colon V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ given by

$$\tau(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v$$

is an isomorphism of dg-vector spaces.[1] We use the Koszul-Quillen **sign convention**:

$$\boxed{\text{Whenever homogeneous } x,\, y \text{ are swapped the sign } (-1)^{|x||y|} \text{ is introduced.}}$$

We get a linear S_n-action on $V^{\otimes n}$ with

$$\sigma \cdot (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma) v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$$

where $\varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma)$ is the **Koszul sign**. (See Appendix A.1.)

[1] The naive twist map $v \otimes w \mapsto w \otimes v$ is not a morphism of dg-vector spaces.

A map $f\colon V \to W$ is **graded** of **degree** $d = |f|$ if $f(V_n) \subseteq V_{n+d}$ for all n, and $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)$ is the dg-vector spaces with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(V,W)_n &= \{\text{graded maps } V \to W \text{ of degree } n\}\,, \\ d(f) &= d \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d\,. \end{aligned}$$

The differential d is a graded map of degree -1. If $f\colon V \to V'$, $g\colon W \to W'$ are graded maps then $f \otimes g\colon V \otimes V' \to W \otimes W'$ is given by

$$(f \otimes g)(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|g||v|} f(v) \otimes g(w)\,.$$

In particular $|f \otimes g| = |f| + |g|$.

2. Differential Graded Algebras

Definition 2.1. A **differential graded algebra** or **dg-algebra** is a dg-vector space A together with morphisms of dg-vector spaces $m\colon A \otimes A \to A$ and $u\colon k \to A$ that make the algebra diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \otimes A \otimes A \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}\otimes m"] \arrow[d, "m\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & A \otimes A \arrow[d, "m"] \\ A \otimes A \arrow[r, "m"'] & A \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} k \otimes A \arrow[d, "u\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & A \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] & A \otimes k \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\otimes u"] \\ A \otimes A \arrow[r, "m"'] & A & A \otimes A \arrow[l, "m"] \end{tikzcd}$$

commute. The dg-algebra A is **graded commutative** if the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \otimes A \arrow[rr, "\tau"] \arrow[dr, "m"'] & & A \otimes A \arrow[dl, "m"] \\ & A & \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. A **morphism** of dg-algebras $f\colon A \to B$ is a morphism of dg-vector spaces such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \otimes A \arrow[r, "f\otimes f"] \arrow[d, "m"'] & B \otimes B \arrow[d, "m"] \\ A \arrow[r, "f"] & B \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} & k \arrow[dl, "u"'] \arrow[dr, "u"] & \\ A \arrow[rr, "f"] & & B \end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 2.2. A graded map $\delta\colon A \to A$ for a graded algebra A is a **derivation** if

$$\delta \circ m = m \circ (\delta \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \delta)\,;$$

more explicitely,

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + (-1)^{|\delta||a|} a\delta(b)\,.$$

Remark 2.3.

(1) A dg-algebra is the same as a graded algebra A (in particular $|1| = 0$) together with a differential d such that $d(1) = 0$ and

$$d(a \cdot b) = d(a) \cdot b + (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot d(b)\,,$$

i.e. such that d is a graded derivation (of degree -1).

(2) The graded commutativity of A means $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|} ba$. If $|a|$ is even or $|b|$ is even then $ab = ba$; if $|a|$ is odd then $a^2 = -a^2$ and thus $a^2 = 0$ if $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$.

(3) A morphism f of dg-algebras is the same as a morphism of the underlying graded algebras that commutes with the differentials. (No additional signs occur since $|f| = 0$.)

Examples 2.4.

(1) Every algebra A is a dg-algebra concentrated in degree 0, in particular $A = k$.

(2) For any dg-vector space V the algebra structure of $\operatorname{End}_k(V)$ restricts to a dg-algebra structure on $\operatorname{End}(V) = \operatorname{Hom}(V, V)$.

(3) If V is a dg-vector space then $\mathrm{T}(V) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} V^{\otimes d}$ is again a dg-vector space with

$$\begin{aligned} |v_1 \cdots v_n| &= |v_1| + \cdots + |v_n|\,, \\ d(v_1 \cdots v_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|v_1| + \cdots + |v_{i-1}|} v_1 \cdots d(v_i) \cdots v_n\,. \end{aligned}$$

This makes $\mathrm{T}(V)$ into a dg-algebra, with multiplication given by concatination

$$(v_1 \cdots v_i) \cdot (v_{i+1} \cdots v_n) = v_1 \cdots v_n\,.$$

The inclusion $V \to \mathrm{T}(V)$ is a morphism of dg-vector spaces and if $f\colon V \to A$ is any morphism of dg-vector spaces into a dg-algebra A then f extends uniquely to a morphism of dg-algebras $F\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to A$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{T}(V) \arrow[r, dashed, "F"] & A \\ V \arrow[u] \arrow[ur, "f"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

The dg-algebra $\mathrm{T}(V)$ is the **differential graded tensor algebra** on V.

Lemma 2.5. Let A, B be dg-algebras.

(1) The tensor product $A \otimes B$ becomes a dg-algebra with

$$\begin{gathered} m_{A \otimes B}\colon A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathrm{id}} A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B \xrightarrow{m \otimes m} A \otimes B \\ u_{A \otimes B}\colon k \xrightarrow{\sim} k \otimes k \xrightarrow{u \otimes u} A \otimes B\,. \end{gathered}$$

More explicitely, $1_{A \otimes B} = 1_A \otimes 1_B$ and $(a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = (-1)^{|a_2||b_1|} a_1 a_2 \otimes b_1 b_2$.

(2) The twist map $\tau\colon A\otimes B \to B\otimes A$ is an isomorphism of dg-algebras and if $f\colon A \to A'$ and $g\colon B \to B'$ are morphism of dg-algebras then so is $f \otimes g\colon A \otimes B \to A' \otimes B'$.

(3) The dg-algebra A^{op} is given by $u_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} = u_A$ and $m^{\mathrm{op}} = m_A \circ \tau$. If $\cdot$ denotes the multiplication in A and $*$ the multiplication in A^{op} then more explicitely

$$1_A = 1_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}\,, \qquad a * b = (-1)^{|a||b|} b \cdot a\,. \qquad \square$$

Warning 2.6. If $A \otimes_k B$ is the sign-less tensor product with $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$ then $A \otimes B \neq A \otimes_k B$ as algebras, i.e. the underlying algebra of $A \otimes B$ is not the tensor product of the underlying algebras of A and B. The underlying algebra of A^{op} is similarly not the opposite of the underlying algebra of A.

Definition 2.7. A **dg-ideal** in a dg-algebra A is a dg-subspace that is also an ideal.[2]

Lemma 2.8. If I is a dg-ideal in A then A/I inherits the structure of a dg-algebra.

Proof. The quotient A/I is a dg-vector space and an algebra and the compatibility of these structures can be checked on representatives. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. An ideal I in a dg-algebra A is a dg-ideal if and only if I is generated by homogeneous elements x_α with $d(x_\alpha) \in I$ for every α. (Being a dg-ideal can be checked on homegenous generators.)

Proof. That I is a graded ideal if and only if it is generated by homogeneous elements is well-known, see [Lan02, pp. IX, 2.5] or [Bou89, II.§11.3]. It remains to show that $d(I) \subseteq I$ if $d(x_\alpha) \in I$ for every α: The ideal I is spanned by $a x_\alpha b$ with $a, b \in A$ homogeneous, and

$$d(a x_\alpha b) = d(a) x_\alpha b + (-1)^{|a|} a d(x_\alpha) b + (-1)^{|a|+|x_\alpha|} a x_\alpha d(b) \in I$$

since $x_\alpha, d(x_\alpha) \in I$. $\square$

Definition 2.10. The **graded commutator** in a dg-algebra A is the unique bilinear extension of

$$[a, b] := ab - (-1)^{|a||b|} ba\,.$$

Warning 2.11. If $|a|$ is even then $[a, a] = 0$ but if $|a|$ is odd then $[a, a] = 2a^2$.

Example 2.12. Let V be a dg-vector space. The ideal

$$I := \big([v, w] \,\big|\, v, w \in V \text{ are homogeneous}\big)$$

is a dg-ideal in $\mathrm{T}(V)$ since the generators $[v, w]$ are homogeneous with (by Example 6.5)

$$d([v, w]) = [d(v), w] + (-1)^{|v|}[v, d(w)] \in I\,.$$

[2]By an ideal we always mean a two-sided ideal.

The dg-algebra $\Lambda(V) \coloneqq \mathrm{T}(V)/I$ is the **differential graded symmetric algebra** on V. If S is any graded commutative dg-algebra and $f\colon V \to S$ a morphism of dg-vector spaces then f extends uniquely to a morphism of dg-algebras $F\colon \Lambda(V) \to S$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Lambda(V) \arrow[r, dashed, "F"] & S \\ V \arrow[u] \arrow[ur, "f"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 2.13. If V is a graded vector space with decomposition $V_{\mathrm{even}} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_{2n}$ and $V_{\mathrm{odd}} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_{2n+1}$ then the inclusions $V_{\mathrm{even}}, V_{\mathrm{odd}} \to V$ induce an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

$$\mathrm{S}(V_{\mathrm{even}}) \otimes_k \bigwedge(V_{\mathrm{odd}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda(V)$$

where $\otimes_k$ denotes the sign-less tensor product $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$. (See Appendix A.3 for more details.)

Corollary 2.14. Let $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ and let V be a dg-vector space with basis $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ consisting of homogeneous elements such that $(A, \leq)$ is linearly ordered. Then $\Lambda(V)$ admits as a basis the ordered monomials

$$x_{\alpha_1}^{n_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_t}^{n_t} \qquad \text{where } t \geq 0,\ \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_t,\ n_i \geq 0 \text{ and } n_i = 1 \text{ if } |x_{\alpha_i}| \text{ is odd.}^3 \qquad \square$$

Proposition 2.15. If A is a dg-algebra then $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ is a graded subalgebra of A, $\mathrm{B}(A)$ is a graded ideal in $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ and $\mathrm{H}(A)$ is hence a graded algebra.

Proof. The cycles $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ is a graded subspace with $1 \in \mathrm{Z}(A)$ and if $a, b \in \mathrm{Z}(A)$ are homogeneous then

$$d(a \cdot b) = d(a) \cdot b + (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot d(b) = 0$$

and hence $ab \in \mathrm{Z}(A)$. The boundaries $\mathrm{B}(A)$ is a graded subspace and if $a \in \mathrm{Z}(A)$ and $b \in \mathrm{B}(B)$ are homogeneous with $b = d(a')$ then

$$b \cdot a = d(a') \cdot a = d(a \cdot a') - (-1)^{|a|} a' \cdot d(a) = d(a \cdot a')$$

and hence $ba \in \mathrm{B}(A)$. Simlarly $ab \in \mathrm{B}(A)$. $\square$

3. Differential Graded Coalgebras

Definition 3.1. A **differential graded coalgebra** or **dg-coalgebra** is a dg-vector space C together with morphisms of dg-vector spaces $\Delta\colon C \to C \otimes C$ and $\varepsilon\colon C \to k$ that make the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} C \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & C \otimes C \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta"] \\ C \otimes C \arrow[r, "\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & C \otimes C \otimes C \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} C \otimes C \arrow[d, "\varepsilon\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & C \arrow[l, "\Delta"'] \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, equal] & C \otimes C \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\varepsilon"] \\ k \otimes C \arrow[r, "\sim"] & C & C \otimes k \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

[3] The condition $n_i = 1$ for $|x_{\alpha_i}|$ odd commes from the equality $\alpha_i^2 = [\alpha_i, \alpha_i]/2$.

commute. The dg-coalgebra C is **graded cocommutative** if the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & C \arrow[dl, "\Delta"'] \arrow[dr, "\Delta"] & \\ C \otimes C \arrow[rr, "\tau"] & & C \otimes C \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. A **morphism** of dg-coalgebra $f\colon C \to D$ is a morphism of dg-vector spaces such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd} C \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & D \arrow[d, "\Delta"] \\ C \otimes C \arrow[r, "f \otimes f"] & D \otimes D \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} C \arrow[rr, "f"] \arrow[dr, "\varepsilon"'] & & D \arrow[dl, "\varepsilon"] \\ & k & \end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 3.2.

(1) A dg-coalgebra is the same as a graded coalgebra C together with a differential d such that d vanishes on $\mathrm{B}_0(C)$ and

$$\Delta(d(c)) = \sum_{(c)} d(c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)} + (-1)^{|c_{(1)}|} c_{(1)} \otimes d(c_{(2)}) \,.$$

(2) The graded cocommutativity of C means

$$\sum_{(c)} c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} = \sum_{(c)} (-1)^{|c_{(1)}||c_{(2)}|} c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(1)} \,.$$

(3) A morphism of dg-coalgebras is the same as a morphism of the underlying graded coalgebras that commutes with the differentials.

(4) Every coalgebra C is a dg-coalgebra centered in degree 0, in particular $C = k$.

Example 3.3. For any dg-vector space V the induced dg-vector space $\mathrm{T}(V)$ becomes a dg-coalgebra with the deconcatination

$$\Delta\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to \mathrm{T}(V) \otimes \mathrm{T}(V)\,, \quad v_1 \cdots v_n \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n} v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n \,,$$

$$\varepsilon\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to k\,, \quad v_1 \cdots v_n \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0\,, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\,. \end{cases}$$

(See Appendix A.4 for the explicit calculations.)

Remark 3.4. One can now define tensor product of dg-coalgebras and the opposite of a dg-coalgebra. If C, D are dg-coalgebras then

$$\Delta_{C \otimes D}(c \otimes d) = \sum_{(c),(d)} (-1)^{|c_{(2)}||d_{(1)}|} (c_{(1)} \otimes d_{(1)}) \otimes (c_{(2)} \otimes d_{(2)}) \,.$$

Definition 3.5. A **dg-coideal** in a dg-coalgebra C is a dg-subspace that is a coideal.

Lemma 3.6. If I is a dg-coideal in C then C/I inherits a dg-coalgebra structure.

Proof. The quotient C/I is a dg-vector spaces and a coalgebra, and the compatibility of these structures can be checked on representatives. □

Proposition 3.7. If C is a dg-coalgebra then $\mathrm{Z}(C)$ is a graded subcoalgebra of C, $\mathrm{B}(C)$ is a graded coideal in $\mathrm{Z}(C)$ and $\mathrm{H}(C)$ is hence a graded coalgebra.

Proof. See Appendix A.5. □

4. Differential Graded Bialgebras

Lemma 4.1. Let B be a dg-vector space, (B, m, u) a dg-algebra and (B, Δ, ε) a dg-coalgebra. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) Δ and ε are morphisms of dg-algebras.

(2) m and u are morphisms of dg-coalgebras.

Proof. The same diagramatic proof as in the non-dg case. □

Definition 4.2. If the conditions of Lemma 4.1 are satisfied then $(B, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a dg-bialgebra. A map $f\colon B \to C$ is a **morphism** of dg-bialgebras if it is both a morphism of dg-algebras and of dg-coalgebras. A **dg-biideal** is a dg-subspace that is both a dg-ideal and a dg-coideal.

Remark 4.3. The compatibility of the multiplication and comultiplication of B means

$$\Delta(bc) = \sum_{(b),(c)} (-1)^{|b_{(2)}||c_{(1)}|} b_{(1)}c_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)}c_{(2)}$$

Warning 4.4. A dg-bialgebra does in general *not* have an underlying bialgebra structure: The comultiplication $\Delta\colon B \to B \otimes B$ is a morphism of dg-algebras into $B \otimes B'$ but not necessarily an algebra morphism into the sign-less tensor product $B \otimes_k B$. We will see an explicit counterexample in Example 5.7.

Lemma 4.5. If I is a dg-biideal in B then B/I inherits a dg-bialgebra structure.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 3.6 that B/I is a dg-algebra and dg-coalgebra. The compatibility can be checked on representatives. □

Proposition 4.6. If B is a dg-bialgebra then $\mathrm{Z}(B)$ is a graded sub-bialgebra of B, $\mathrm{B}(B)$ is a graded biideal in $\mathrm{Z}(B)$ and $\mathrm{H}(B)$ is hence a graded bialgebra.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.15 and Proposition 3.7 that B is again a dg-algebra and dg-coalgebra, and the compatibility of these structures can be checked on representatives. □

Definition 4.7. If B is a dg-bialgebra then $x \in B$ is **primitive** if $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$.

Lemma 4.8. If $x, y \in B$ are primitive then $[x, y]$ is again primitive.

Proof. See Example 6.5. □

5. Differential Graded Hopf Algebras

Lemma 5.1. If C is a dg-coalgebra and A is a dg-algebra then the convolution product

$$f * g = m_A \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_C$$

on $\mathrm{Hom}_k(C, A)$ makes $\mathrm{Hom}(C, A)$ into a dg-algebra.

Proof. See Appendix A.6. $\square$

Definition 5.2. An **antipode** for a dg-bialgebra H is an inverse S to id_H with respect to the convolution product of $\mathrm{Hom}(H, H)$. If H admits an antipode then it is a **dg-Hopf algebra**. A **morphism** of dg-Hopf algebras is a morphism of dg-bialgebras. A **dg-Hopf ideal** in H is a dg-biideal I with $S(I) \subseteq I$.

Warning 5.3. A dg-Hopf algebra need not have an underlying Hopf algebra structure.

Remark 5.4. The antipode of a dg-Hopf algebra H is the the unique morphism of dg-vector spaces $S\colon H \to H$ that makes the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& H \otimes H \arrow[r, "S\otimes\mathrm{id}"] & H \otimes H \arrow[dr, "m"] & \\
H \arrow[ur, "\Delta"] \arrow[rr, "\varepsilon"] \arrow[dr, "\Delta"'] & & k \arrow[r, "u"] & H \\
& H \otimes H \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}\otimes S"'] & H \otimes H \arrow[ur, "m"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

commute. (See Appendix A.7.) This means more explicitely that

$$\sum_{(h)} S(h_{(1)})h_{(2)} = \varepsilon(h)1_H \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)}S(h_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(h)1_H\,.$$

(No additional signs occur because $|S| = 0$.)

Lemma 5.5. If I is a dg-Hopf ideal in H then H/I inherits a dg-Hopf algebra structure. $\square$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that H is a dg-bialgebra and the condition $S(I) \subseteq I$ ensures that S induced a morphism of dg-vector spaces $\overline{S}\colon H/I \to H/I$. The antipode conditions for $\overline{S}$ can now be checked on representatives. $\square$

Example 5.6. Let V be a dg-vector space.

(1) Every Hopf algebra can be regarded as a dg-Hopf algebra concentrated in degree 0.

(2) The map

$$V \to \mathrm{T}(V) \otimes \mathrm{T}(V)\,, \quad v \mapsto v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$$

is a morphism of dg-vector spaces and hence induces a morphism of dg-algebras

$$\Delta\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to \mathrm{T}(V) \otimes \mathrm{T}(V)\,.$$

The zero map $V \to 0$ induces a morphism of dg-algebras

$$\varepsilon\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to \mathrm{T}(0) = k\,.$$

These maps make $\mathrm{T}(V)$ into a dg-bialgebra; the necessary diagrams can be checked on the algebra generators V of $\mathrm{T}(V)$ because all arrows occuring in the bialgebra diagrams are morphisms of dg-algebras. The maps Δ and ε are explicitely given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(v_1 \cdots v_n) &= \Delta(v_1) \cdots \Delta(v_n) \\ &= (v_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v_1) \cdots (v_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v_n) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(p,n-p)} \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma^{-1}) v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(p)} \otimes v_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The map

$$V \to \mathrm{T}(V)^{\mathrm{op}}\,, \quad v \mapsto -v$$

is a morphism of dg-vector spaces and hence induces a morphism of dg-algebras

$$S\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to \mathrm{T}(V)^{\mathrm{op}}\,.$$

As a map $S\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to \mathrm{T}(V)$ this is given by

$$S(v_1 \cdots v_n) = (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |v_i||v_j|} (-1)^n v_n \cdots v_1\,.$$

It can now be checked on the monomials $v_1 \cdots v_n$ that S is an antipode for $\mathrm{T}(V)$, making it a dg-Hopf algebra. (See Appendix A.8 for the explicit calculations.)

(3) The dg-algebra $\Lambda(V) = \mathrm{T}(V)/I$ from Example 2.12 inherits from $\mathrm{T}(V)$ the structure of a dg-Hopf algebra because the dg-ideal

$$I = \big([v,w] \,\big|\, v, w \in V \text{ are homogeneous}\big)$$

is a dg-Hopf ideal in $\mathrm{T}(V)$, since

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon([v,w]) &= 0\,, \\ \Delta([v,w]) &= [v,w] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [v,w] \in I \otimes \mathrm{T}(V) + \mathrm{T}(V) \otimes I\,, \\ S([v,w]) &= -[v,w] \in I\,.\end{aligned}$$

For the computation of Δ we use that v, w are primitive in $\mathrm{T}(V)$ and $[v,w]$ is therefore again primitive.

Example 5.7 (Exterior Algebra)**.** Let V be a vector space. We regard V as a dg-vector space concentrated in degree 1. Then $\Lambda(V) = \bigwedge(V)$ as graded algebras whence $\bigwedge(V)$ is a graded Hopf algebra. But for $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$ there exists no bialgebra structure on $\Lambda \coloneqq \bigwedge(V)$, see Appendix A.9.

Proposition 5.8. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a dg-Hopf algebra with antipode S then the graded bialgebra $\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{H})$ is a graded Hopf algebra with antipode induced by S. □

Example 5.9. Let V be a dg-vector space.

(1) The inclusion $V \to \mathrm{T}(V)$ is a morphism of dg-vector spaces and thus induces a morphism of graded vector spaces $\mathrm{H}(V) \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T}(V))$, which in turn induces a morphism of graded algebras

$$\alpha\colon\ \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H}(V)) \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T}(V))\,, \quad [v_1]\cdots[v_n] \mapsto [v_1 \cdots v_n]$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathrm{Z}(V)$. We see on representatives that α is a morphism of graded Hopf algebras and from

$$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T}(V)) = \mathrm{H}\left(\bigoplus_{d\geq 0} V^{\otimes d}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} \mathrm{H}\big(V^{\otimes d}\big) \cong \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} \mathrm{H}(V)^{\otimes d} = \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H}(V))$$

that α is an isomorphism.

(2) If $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$ then also $\mathrm{H}(\Lambda(V)) \cong \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V))$: We get again a canonical morphism of graded algebras

$$\beta\colon\ \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V)) \to \mathrm{H}(\Lambda(V))\,, \quad [v_1]\cdots[v_n] \mapsto [v_1 \cdots v_n]$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathrm{Z}(V)$. The symmetrization map $s\colon\ \Lambda(V) \to \mathrm{T}(V)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_n\colon\ \Lambda(V)_n \to \mathrm{T}(V)_n\,, \quad v_1 \cdots v_n \mapsto &\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma \cdot (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) \\ = &\ \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma^{-1}) v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)} \end{aligned}$$

is a section for the projection $p\colon\ \mathrm{T}(V) \to \Lambda(V)$. The map s is a morphism of dg-vector spaces and we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H}(V))_n \arrow[r, bend left, "\alpha_n"] \arrow[d, bend right, "\tilde{p}_n"'] & \mathrm{H}_n(\mathrm{T}(V)) \arrow[l, bend left, "\alpha_n^{-1}"] \arrow[d, bend right, "\mathrm{H}_n(p)"'] \\ \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V))_n \arrow[r, dashed, bend left, "\beta_n"] \arrow[u, bend right, "\tilde{s}_n"'] & \mathrm{H}_n(\Lambda(V)) \arrow[l, dashed, bend left, "\beta'_n"] \arrow[u, bend right, "\mathrm{H}_n(s)"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\tilde{p}\colon\ \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H}(V)) \to \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V))$ and $\tilde{s}\colon\ \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V)) \to \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H}(V))$ denote the projection and section. We have $\beta_n = \mathrm{H}_n(p) \circ \alpha_n \circ \tilde{s}_n$, and $\beta' \coloneqq \tilde{p}_n \circ \alpha_n^{-1} \circ \mathrm{H}_n(s)$ is an inverse to β (see Appendix A.10). This shows that β_n is an isomorphism.

6. Differential Graded Lie Algebras

Let $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$.

Recall 6.1. A Lie algebra is a vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ together with a map $[-,-]\colon \mathfrak{g} \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[-,-]$ is skew-symmetric and for every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ the map $[x,-]\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a derivation; the last assertion is equivalent to the Jacobi identity $\sum_{\text{cyclic}}[x,[y,z]] = 0$.

Definition 6.2. A **dg-Lie algebra** is a dg-vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ together with a morphism $[-,-]\colon \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[-,-]$ is **graded skew symmmetric**, i.e. such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \arrow[rr, "\tau"] \arrow[dr, "{[-,-]}"'] & & \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \arrow[dl, "{-[-,-]}"] \\
& \mathfrak{g} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, and such that $[x,-]$ is for every x a derivation of degree $|x|$.

Remark 6.3. That $\mathfrak{g}$ is a dg-Lie algebra means

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] &\subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}\,, \\
[x,y] &= -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y,x]\,, \\
[x,[y,z]] &= [[x,y],z] + (-1)^{|x||y|}[y,[x,z]]\,, \\
d([x,y]) &= [d(x),y] + (-1)^{|x|}[x,d(y)]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We can rewrite (2) as the **graded Jacobi identity**

$$\sum_{\text{cyclic}} (-1)^{|x||z|}[x,[y,z]] = 0\,.$$

Warning 6.4.

(1) A dg-Lie algebra need not have an underlying Lie algebra structure.

(2) It may happen that $[x,x] \neq 0$. If $|x|$ is even then $[x,x] = -[x,x]$ and thus $[x,x] = 0$ but if $|x|$ is odd then this may not hold. (See Warning 2.11.)

Example 6.5. (See Appendix A.11 for explicit calculations.)

(1) Every dg-algebra A becomes a dg-Lie algebra with the graded commutator.

(2) For a graded algebra A the graded subspace $\mathrm{Der}(A) \subseteq \mathrm{End}(A)$ given by

$$\mathrm{Der}(A)_n \coloneqq \{\text{derivations of } A \text{ of degree } n\} \subseteq \mathrm{End}(A)_n$$

is a dg-Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(A)$.

(3) In any dg-bialgebra B the subspace of primitive elements

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \{x \in B \mid \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x\}$$

is a dg-Lie subalgebra of B.

Lemma 6.6. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a dg-Lie algebra then $\mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathrm{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a graded Lie ideal in $\mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g})$ is thus an graded Lie algebra.

Proof. The cycles $\mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ form a graded subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$. For homogeneous $x, y \in \mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$d([x,y]) = [d(x),y] + (-1)^{|x|}[x,d(y)] = [0,y] + (-1)^{|x|}[x,0] = 0\,,$$

so $\mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is indeed a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The boundaries $\mathrm{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ form a graded subspace of $\mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. If $x \in \mathrm{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $x = d(x')$, where $x' \in \mathfrak{g}$ is homogeneous, then for every $y \in \mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$[x,y] = [d(x'),y] = d([x',y]) - (-1)^{|x'|}[x', \underbrace{d(y)}_{=0}] = d([x',y]) \in \mathrm{B}(\mathfrak{g})\,.$$

Thus $\mathrm{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a graded Lie ideal in $\mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. ☐

Definition 6.7. The **universal enveloping algebra** of a dg-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a dg-algebra $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ together with a morphism of dg-Lie algebras $i\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that for every other dg-algebra A and every morphism of dg-Lie algebras $f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to A$ there exists a unique morphism of dg-algebras $F\colon\ \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to A$ that extends f:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r, dashed, "F"] & A \\ \mathfrak{g} \arrow[u, "i"] \arrow[ur, "f"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 6.8. Every dg-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a universal enveloping algebra. It is unique up to unique isomorphism and can be constructed as

$$\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})/\big([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ homogeneous}\big)$$

together with the composition $i\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. It inherits from $\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})$ the structure of a dg-Hopf algebra. ☐

Proof. We check that the given ideal I is a dg-Hopf ideal. It is generated by homegenous elements which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&d([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= d([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})}) - d([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= [d(x),y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} + (-1)^{|x|}[x,d(y)]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [d(x),y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - (-1)^{|x|}[x,d(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&= \Big([d(x),y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [d(x),y]_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big) + (-1)^{|x|}\Big([x,d(y)]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,d(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\Big) \in I
\end{aligned}$$

so it is a dg-ideal. Also

$$\varepsilon([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \varepsilon([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})}) - \varepsilon([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0 - 0 = 0$$

because $[x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are homogoneous of degree ≥ 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= \Delta([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})}) - \Delta([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\
&= [x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&= ([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes ([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&\in I \otimes \mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g}) + \mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes I
\end{aligned}
$$

since both $[x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are primitive, and finally

$$S([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} - [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = S([x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})}) - S([x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = -[x,y]_{\mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})} + [x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \in I\,.$$

Thus the dg-ideal I is already a dg-Hopf ideal. □

We will now show that $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g}))$. For this we need a version of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem (PBW theorem) for dg-Lie algebras; we will not prove this here, but refer to [Qui69, Appendix B, Theorem 2.3] and [FHT01, §21(a)]

Recall 6.9. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra with basis $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ where $(A,\leq)$ is linearly ordered then the PBW theorem asserts that $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ has as a basis all ordered monomials

$$x_{\alpha_1}^{n_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_t}^{n_t} \qquad \text{where } t \geq 0,\ \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_t \text{ and } n_i \geq 0\,.$$

This shows in particular that the Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective, and it also follows that $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{gr}\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ where $\operatorname{gr}\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the associated graded for the standard filtration of $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 6.10 (dg-PBW theorem)**.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a dg-Lie algebra with basis $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ consisting of homogeneous elements such that $(A,\leq)$ is linearly ordered. Then $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ has as a basis all ordered monomials

$$x_{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_n} \qquad \text{where } t \geq 0,\ \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_t,\ n_i \geq 0 \text{ and } n_i = 1 \text{ if } |x_{\alpha_i}| \text{ is odd.}$$ □

Corollary 6.11. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a dg-Lie algebra.

(1) The canonical map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective.

(2) It holds that $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$.

(3) If $s\colon \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the symmetrization map from Example 5.9 then the composition

$$e\colon \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{T}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})\,, \quad x_1 \cdots x_n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} \varepsilon_{x_1,\dots,x_n}(\sigma^{-1}) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$$

is an isomorphism of dg-vector spaces (and even of dg-coalgebra). □

Corollary 6.12 ([Qui69, Appendix B]). The inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a morphism of dg-Lie algebra and thus induces a morphism of graded Lie algebras $\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$, which is turn induces a morphism of graded algebras

$$\gamma\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}))\,, \quad [x_1]\cdots[x_n] \mapsto [x_1 \cdots x_n]$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then γ is an isomorphism of graded Hopf algebras.

Proof. We denote the isomorphisms $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\Lambda(\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g}))$ from Corollary 6.11 by e and $\tilde{e}$. With the isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\beta\colon \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g})) \to \mathrm{H}(\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}))\,, \quad [x_1]\cdots[x_n] \mapsto [x_1 \cdots x_n]$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathrm{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ from Example 5.9 we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda(\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g})) \arrow[r, "\sim", "\tilde{e}"'] \arrow[d, "\beta"', "\sim"] & \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{H}(\mathfrak{g})) \arrow[d, dashed, "\gamma"] \\
\mathrm{H}(\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})) \arrow[r, "\sim", "\mathrm{H}(e)"'] & \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{g}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

The arrows e, $\mathrm{H}(e)$, β are isomorphisms, hence γ is one. $\square$

Remark 6.13.

(1) If $\mathcal{H}$ is a dg-Hopf algebra then $\mathrm{H}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}(\mathcal{H}))$. (This statement can be found without proof in [Lod92, Theorem A.9].)

(2) If H is a graded cocommutative connected[4] dg-Hopf algebra then a version of the Cartier–Milnor–Moore theorem asserts that $H \cong \mathrm{U}(\mathbb{P}(H))$. Together with Corollary 6.11 this results in an equivalence between the categories of dg-Lie algebras and cocommutative connected dg-Hopf algebras, see [Qui69, Appendix B, Theorem 4.5].

A. Calculations and Proofs

A.1. The Koszul Sign

We first show that the twist maps τ extends to a group action of S_n of $V^{\otimes n}$, and then give an explicit formula for the resulting Koszul sign $\varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma)$.

We have for every $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ a twist map

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_i\colon V^{\otimes n} &\to V^{\otimes n}\,, \\
v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n &\mapsto v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau(v_i \otimes v_{i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \\
&\mapsto (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+1}|} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n\,.
\end{aligned}$$

[4] The connectedness is defined in terms of the underlying dg-coalgebra, not that of the dg-algebra.

The group S_n is generated by the simple reflections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_i^2 &= 1 && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1\,, \\
\sigma_i \sigma_j &= \sigma_j \sigma_i && \text{for } |i-j| \geq 2\,, \\
\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We check that the twist maps $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$ satisfy these relations, which shows that S_n acts on $V^{\otimes n}$ such that s_i acts via τ_i: We have

$$\tau_i^2(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+1}|} \tau_i(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_i \otimes \cdots v_n) = v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n$$

and thus $\tau_i^2 = 1$. If $|i-j| \geq 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_i \tau_j (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \\
&= (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+1}| + |v_j||v_{j+1}|} v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_i \otimes \dots \otimes v_{j+1} \otimes v_j \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \\
&= \tau_j \tau_i (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_i \tau_{i+1} \tau_i (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \\
&= (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+1}|} \tau_i \tau_{i+1} (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_i \otimes v_{i+2} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \\
&= (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+1}| + |v_i||v_{i+2}|} \tau_i (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_{i+2} \otimes v_i \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \\
&= (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+1}| + |v_i||v_{i+2}| + |v_{i+1}||v_{i+2}|} v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+2} \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_i \otimes \dots \otimes v_n
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{i+1} \tau_i \tau_{i+1} (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \\
&= (-1)^{|v_{i+1}||v_{i+2}|} \tau_{i+1} \tau_i (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i \otimes v_{i+2} \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \\
&= (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+2}| + |v_{i+1}||v_{i+2}|} \tau_{i+1} (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+2} \otimes v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \\
&= (-1)^{|v_i||v_{i+1}| + |v_i||v_{i+2}| + |v_{i+1}||v_{i+2}|} v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i+2} \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes v_i \otimes \dots \otimes v_n\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tau_i \tau_{i+1} \tau_i = \tau_{i+1} \tau_i \tau_{i+1}$. We now have the desired action of S_n on $V^{\otimes n}$.

Without the sign the action of S_n on $V^{\otimes n}$ would be given by

$$\sigma \cdot (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$$

(so that the tensor factor v_i it moved to the $\sigma(i)$-th position). The above action of S_n on $V^{\otimes n}$ is hence given by

$$\sigma \cdot (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = \varepsilon_{v_1, \dots, v_n}(\sigma) v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$$

for some signs $\varepsilon_{v_1, \dots, v_n}(\sigma) \in \{1, -1\}$.

A.2. Examples 2.4

(2) It holds that $\mathrm{id}_V \in \mathrm{End}(V)_0$ and if $f, g \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ are graded maps then $f \circ g$ is again a graded map Therefore $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$. If $f, g \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ are homogeneous then $|f \circ g| = |f| + |g|$ so $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is a graded algebra. We see from

$$\begin{aligned} d(f \circ g) &= d \circ f \circ g - (-1)^{|f \circ g|} f \circ g \circ d \\ &= d \circ f \circ g - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} f \circ g \circ d \\ &= d \circ f \circ g - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d \circ g + (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d \circ g - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} f \circ g \circ d \\ &= (d \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} d \circ f) \circ g + (-1)^{|f|} f \circ (d \circ g - (-1)^{|g|} g \circ d) \\ &= d(f) \circ g + (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d(g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(\mathrm{id}_V) = d \circ \mathrm{id}_V - \mathrm{id}_V \circ d = d - d = 0$$

that $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is a dg-algebra.

(3) It remains to check the compatibility of the multiplication and dg-structure of $\mathrm{T}(V)$: It holds that $1_{\mathrm{T}(V)} \in \mathrm{T}(V)_0$ with $d(1_{\mathrm{T}(V)}) = 0$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} |v_1 \cdots v_n \cdot w_1 \cdots w_m| &= |v_1| + \cdots + |v_n| + |w_1| + \cdots + |w_m| \\ &= |v_1 \cdots v_n| + |w_1 \cdots w_m| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & d(v_1 \cdots v_n \cdot w_1 \cdots w_m) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|v_1|+\cdots+|v_{i-1}|} v_1 \cdots d(v_i) \cdots v_n \cdot w_1 \cdots w_m \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{|v_1|+\cdots+|v_n|+|w_1|+\cdots+|w_{j-1}|} v_1 \cdots v_n \cdot w_1 \cdots d(w_j) \cdots w_m \\ = & \, d(v_1 \cdots v_n) \cdot w_1 \cdots w_m + (-1)^{|v_1|+\cdots+|v_n|} v_1 \cdots v_n \cdot d(w_1 \cdots w_m) \\ = & \, d(v_1 \cdots v_n) \cdot w_1 \cdots w_m + (-1)^{|v_1 \cdots v_n|} v_1 \cdots v_n \cdot d(w_1 \cdots w_m) \,. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathrm{T}(V)$ is indeed a dg-algebra.

Let A be another dg-algebra and $f \colon V \to A$ a morphism of dg-vector spaces an let $F \colon \mathrm{T}(V) \to A$ be the unique extension of f to an algebra morphism, given by $F(v_1 \cdots v_n) = f(v_1) \cdots f(v_n)$. The algebra morphism F is a morphism of graded algebras because

$$\begin{aligned} |F(v_1 \cdots v_n)| &= |f(v_1) \cdots f(v_n)| \\ &= |f(v_1)| + \cdots + |f(v_n)| \\ &= |v_1| + \cdots + |v_n| \\ &= |v_1 \cdots v_n| \,. \end{aligned}$$

It is also a morphism of dg-vector spaces because

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(v_1 \cdots v_n)) &= d(f(v_1) \cdots f(v_n)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|f(v_1)|+\cdots+|f(v_{i-1})|} f(v_1) \cdots d(f(v_i)) \cdots f(v_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|v_1|+\cdots+|v_{i-1}|} f(v_1) \cdots f(d(v_i)) \cdots f(v_n) \\ &= F\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|v_1|+\cdots+|v_{i-1}|} v_1 \cdots d(v_i) \cdots v_n \right) \\ &= F(d(v_1 \cdots v_n)) \,. \end{aligned}$$

A.3. Remark 2.13

Let A and B be two dg-algebras. If C is any other dg-algebra and if $f\colon A \to C$ and $g\colon B \to C$ are two morphisms of dg-algebras whose images graded-commute, in the sense that

$$f(a)g(b) = (-1)^{|a||b|} g(b) f(a)$$

for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, then the linear map

$$\varphi\colon A \otimes B \to C \,, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto f(a)g(b)$$

is again a morphism of dg-algebras. The inclusions $i\colon A \to A \otimes B$, $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ and $j\colon B\colon B \to A \otimes B$, $b \mapsto 1 \otimes b$ are morphisms of dg-algebras. For every morphism of dg-algebras $\varphi\colon A \otimes B \to C$ the compositions $\varphi \circ i\colon A \to A \otimes B$ and $\varphi\colon j\colon B \to A \otimes B$ are again morphisms of dg-algebras. This gives a one-to-one correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{morphisms of dg-algebras} \\ f\colon A \to C,\, g\colon B \to C \\ \text{whose images graded-commute} \end{matrix} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{morphisms of dg-algebras} \\ \varphi\colon A \otimes B \to C \end{matrix} \right\} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g) &\longmapsto (a \otimes b \mapsto f(a)g(b)) \,, \\ (\varphi \circ i, \varphi \circ j) &\longleftarrow \varphi \,. \end{aligned}$$

It follows for any two dg-vector spaces V and W that

$$\Lambda(V \oplus W) \cong \Lambda(V) \otimes \Lambda(W)$$

since we have for every dg-algebra A natural bijections

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\text{morphisms of dg-algebras } \Lambda(V \oplus W) \to A\} \\ \cong\ &\{\text{morphisms of dg-vector spaces } V \oplus W \to A\} \\ \cong\ &\{(f, g) \mid \text{morphisms of dg-vector spaces } f\colon V \to A,\ g\colon W \to A\} \\ \cong\ &\{(\varphi, \psi) \mid \text{morphisms of dg-algebras } \varphi\colon\ \Lambda(V) \to A,\ \psi\colon\ \Lambda(W) \to A\} \\ \cong\ &\{\text{morphisms of dg-algebras } \Lambda(V) \otimes \Lambda(W) \to A\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

More explicitely, the inclusions $V \to V \oplus W$ and $W \to V \oplus W$ induce morphisms of dg-algebras $\Lambda(V) \to \Lambda(V \oplus W)$ and $\Lambda(W) \to \Lambda(V \oplus W)$ that give an isomorphism of dg-algebras

$$\Lambda(V) \otimes \Lambda(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda(V \oplus W)\,, \quad v_1 \cdots v_n \otimes w_1 \cdots w_m \mapsto v_1 \cdots v_n w_1 \cdots w_m\,.$$

Let V be a graded vector space. If V is concentrated in even degrees then $\Lambda(V) = \mathrm{S}(V)$ and if V is concentrated in odd degrees then $\Lambda(V) = \bigwedge(V)$, with the grading of $\Lambda(V)$ and $\bigwedge(V)$ induced by the one of V. We have $V = V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{odd}}$ as graded vector spaces where $V_{\text{even}} = \bigoplus_n V_{2n}$ and $V_{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_n V_{2n+1}$, and hence

$$\Lambda(V) = \Lambda(V_{\text{even}} \oplus V_{\text{odd}}) \cong \Lambda(V_{\text{even}}) \otimes \Lambda(V_{\text{odd}}) = \mathrm{S}(V_{\text{even}}) \otimes \bigwedge(V_{\text{odd}})$$

The graded algebra $\mathrm{S}(V_{\text{even}})$ is concentrated in even degree and so it follows that in the tensor product $\mathrm{S}(V_{\text{even}}) \otimes \bigwedge(V_{\text{odd}})$ the simple tensors (strictly) commute, i.e. $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b) = aa' \otimes bb'$. Hence

$$\Lambda(V) \cong \mathrm{S}(V_{\text{even}}) \otimes_k \bigwedge(V_{\text{odd}})$$

where $\otimes_k$ denotes the sign-less tensor product.

A.4. Example 3.3

We have seen in a previous talk that $(\mathrm{T}(C), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra. We have for every $i = 0, \dots, n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
|v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n| &= |v_1 \cdots v_i| + |v_{i+1} \cdots v_n| \\
&= |v_1| + \cdots + |v_i| + |v_{i+1}| + \cdots + |v_n| \\
&= |v_1| + \cdots + |v_n|\,,
\end{aligned}$$

so we have a graded coalgebra. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(\Delta(v_1 \cdots v_n)) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n} d(v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \big(d(v_1 \cdots v_i) \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n + (-1)^{|v_1 \cdots v_i|} v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes d(v_{i+1} \cdots v_n) \big) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^{|v_1| + \cdots + |v_{j-1}|} v_1 \cdots d(v_j) \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n \\
&\qquad + (-1)^{|v_1 \cdots v_i|} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} (-1)^{|v_{i+1}| + \cdots + |v_{j-1}|} v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots d(v_j) \cdots v_n \Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\Bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{|v_1|+\dots+|v_{j-1}|}v_1\cdots d(v_j)\cdots v_i\otimes v_{i+1}\cdots v_n \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{|v_1|+\dots+|v_{j-1}|}v_1\cdots v_i\otimes v_{i+1}\cdots d(v_j)\cdots v_n\Bigg) \\
&= \Delta\Bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{|v_1|+\dots+|v_j|}v_1\otimes\dots\otimes d(v_j)\otimes\dots\otimes v_n\Bigg) \\
&= \Delta(d(v_1\cdots v_n))
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that Δ is a morphism of dg-vector spaces.

A.5. Proposition 3.7

If $c\in \mathrm{Z}(C)$ then

$$d(\Delta(c)) = \Delta(d(c)) = \Delta(0) = 0$$

because Δ is a morphism of dg-vector spaces, and hence

$$\Delta(c)\in \mathrm{Z}(C\otimes C) = \mathrm{Z}(C)\otimes \mathrm{Z}(C)\,.$$

This shows that $\mathrm{Z}(C)$ is a subcoalgebra of C. It is also a graded subspace of C and hence a graded subcoalgebra.

For $b\in \mathrm{B}(C)$ with $b = d(c)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(b) = \Delta(d(c)) = d(\Delta(c)) &= d\Bigg(\sum_{(c)} c_{(1)}\otimes c_{(2)}\Bigg) \\
&= \sum_{(c)} d(c_{(1)})\otimes c_{(2)} + (-1)^{|c_{(1)}|c_{(1)}\otimes d(c_{(2)})} \in \mathrm{B}(C)\otimes C + C\otimes \mathrm{B}(C)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$\varepsilon(b) = \varepsilon(d(c)) = d(\varepsilon(c)) = 0\,.$$

This shows that $\mathrm{B}(C)$ is a coideal in C. It follows from the upcoming lemma that B is also a coideal in $\mathrm{Z}(C)$. Then $\mathrm{B}(C)$ is a graded coideal in $\mathrm{Z}(C)$ because $\mathrm{B}(C)$ is a graded subspace of $\mathrm{Z}(C)$.

Lemma A.1. Let C be a coalgebra and let B be a subcoalgebra of C. If I is a coideal in C with $I\subseteq C$ then I is also a coideal in B.

Proof. It follows from the inclusions $I\subseteq B\subseteq C$ that

$$(C\otimes I + I\otimes C)\cap (B\otimes B) = B\otimes I + I\otimes B\,.$$

Hence

$$\Delta(I) = \Delta(I)\cap\Delta(B)\subseteq (C\otimes I + I\otimes C)\cap(B\otimes B) = B\otimes I + I\otimes B\,.$$

Also $\varepsilon_B(I) = \varepsilon_C(I) = 0$. □

A.6. Lemma 5.1

We have $1_{\mathrm{Hom}_k(C,A)} = u \circ \epsilon \in \mathrm{Hom}(C,A)_0$ because both u_A and ϵ_C are morphisms of dg-vector spaces and thus of degree 0. If $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}(C,A)$ are graded maps then $f \otimes g$ is again a graded map and thus

$$f * g = m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta$$

is a graded map as a composition of graded maps. This shows that $\mathrm{Hom}(C,A)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{Hom}_k(C,A)$.

We have

$$|f * g| = |m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta| = |m| + (|f| + |g|) + |\Delta| = |f| + |g|$$

so $\mathrm{Hom}(C,A)$ is a graded algebra with respect to the convolution product.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(f * g) \\
&= d \circ (f * g) - (-1)^{|f*g|}(f * g) \circ d \\
&= d \circ m \circ (f \otimes g) \otimes \Delta - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta \circ d \\
&= m \circ d_{A\otimes A} \circ (f \otimes g) \otimes \Delta - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ d_{C\otimes C} \circ \Delta \\
&= m \circ (d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d) \circ (f \otimes g) \otimes \Delta \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ (d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d) \circ \Delta \\
&= m \circ (d \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (f \otimes g) \otimes \Delta + m \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes d) \circ (f \otimes g) \otimes \Delta \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ (d \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes d) \circ \Delta \\
&= m \circ ((d \circ f) \otimes g) \otimes \Delta + (-1)^{|f|} m \circ (f \otimes (d \circ g)) \otimes \Delta \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|f|} m \circ ((f \circ d) \otimes g) \circ \Delta - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} m \circ (f \otimes (g \circ d)) \circ \Delta \\
&= m \circ ((d \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d) \otimes g) \otimes \Delta \\
&\quad + (-1)^{|f|} m \circ (f \otimes (d \circ g - (-1)^{|g|} g \circ d)) \otimes \Delta \\
&= m \circ (d(f) \otimes g) \circ \Delta + (-1)^{|f|} m \circ (f \otimes d(g)) \otimes \Delta \\
&= d(f) * g + (-1)^{|f|} f * d(g)
\end{aligned}$$

because m and Δ are commute with the differentials. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(C,A)$ is a dg-algebra with respect to the convolution product.

A.7. Remark 5.4

We need to explain why an inverse to id_H in $\mathrm{Hom}(H,H)$ with respect to the convolution product $*$ is again a morphism of dg-vector spaces. For this we use the following result:

Lemma A.2. Let A be a dg-algebra and let $a \in A$ be a homogeneous unit.

(1) The inverse a^{-1} is homogeneous of degree $|a^{-1}| = -|a|$.

(2) If a is a cycle then so is a^{-1}.

Proof.

(1) Let $d = |a|$ and let $a^{-1} = \sum_n a'_n$ be the homogeneous decomposition of a^{-1}. It follows from $1 = ab = \sum_n aa'_n$ that in degree zero, $1 = aa'_{-d}$. Thus a'_{-d} is the inverse of a, i.e. $a^{-1} = a'_{-d} \in A_{-d}$.

(2) It follows from

$$0 = d(1) = d(aa^{-1}) = d(a)a^{-1} + (-1)^{|a|} ad(a^{-1})$$

that $(-1)^{|a|}ad(a^{-1}) = 0$ because $d(a) = 0$. Hence $d(a^{-1}) = 0$ as a is a unit. □

The space $\mathrm{Z}_0(\mathrm{Hom}(V, W))$ consists of the morphism of dg-vector spaces $V \to W$. It hence follows from Lemma A.2 that if $f \in \mathrm{Z}_0(\mathrm{Hom}(V, W))$ admits an inverse g with respect to the convolution product that again $g \in \mathrm{Z}_0(\mathrm{Hom}(V, W))$.

A.8. Example 5.6

(2) It remains to check the equalities

$$\sum_{(h)} S(h_{(1)})h_{(2)} = \varepsilon(h)1_H \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{(h)} h_{(1)}S(h_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(h)1_H$$

for the monomials $h = v_1 \cdots v_n$. If $n = 0$ then $h = 1$ and both equalities hold, so we consider in the following the case $n \geq 1$. Then $\varepsilon(v_1 \cdots v_n) = 0$ so we have to show that in the sums $\sum_{(h)} S(h_{(1)})h_{(2)}$ and $\sum_{(h)} h_{(1)}S(h_{(2)})$ all terms cancel out. We consider for simplicity only the sum $\sum_{(h)} S(h_{(1)})h_{(2)}$.[5] We have

$$\Delta(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(p,n-p)} \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma^{-1}) v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(p)} \otimes v_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)} \,. \tag{3}$$

Here

$$S(v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(p)}) = (-1)^p (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |v_{\sigma(i)}||v_{\sigma(j)}|} v_{\sigma(p)} \cdots v_{\sigma(1)}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} &(m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta)(v_1 \cdots v_n) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(p,n-p)} \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma^{-1})(-1)^p(-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |v_{\sigma(i)}||v_{\sigma(j)}|} \\ &\qquad \cdot v_{\sigma(p)} \cdots v_{\sigma(1)} v_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

[5] The author hasn't actually checked the other sum.

We see that in (3) any two terms of the form

$$w_1 w_2 \cdots w_i \otimes w_{i+1} \cdots w_n \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 \cdots w_i \otimes w_1 w_{i+1} \cdots w_n$$

give in (4) the up to sign same term $w_i \cdots w_2 w_1 w_{i+1} \cdots w_n$. We now check that the signs differ, so that in (4) both terms cancel out. This then shows that in $(m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta)(v_1 \cdots v_n)$ the two terms cancel out, so that the overall sum becomes zero.

For $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(p, n-p)$ with $\sigma(p) < \sigma(1)$ the term associated to $v_{\sigma(1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(p)} \otimes v_{(p+1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)}$ is given by

$$v_{\sigma(2)} \cdots v_{\sigma(p)} \otimes v_{\sigma(1)} v_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdots v_{\sigma(n)} = v_{\tau(1)} \cdots v_{\tau(p-1)} \otimes v_{\tau(p)} \cdots v_{\tau(n)}$$

for the permuation $\omega \in \mathrm{Sh}(p-1, n-p+1)$ given by

$$\omega = \sigma \circ (1\,2\,\cdots\,p)\,,$$

i.e.

$$\omega(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i+1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq p-1\,, \\ \sigma(1) & \text{if } i = p\,, \\ \sigma(i) & \text{if } p+1 \leq i \leq n\,. \end{cases}$$

We see from the Koszul sign rule that the signs $\varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma^{-1})$ and $\varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\omega^{-1})$ differ by the factor $(-1)^{|v_{\sigma(1)}||v_{\sigma(2)}| + \cdots + |v_{\sigma(1)}||v_{\sigma(p)}|}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\sigma^{-1})(-1)^p(-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |v_{\sigma(i)}||v_{\sigma(j)}|} \\ &= \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\omega^{-1})(-1)^{|v_{\sigma(1)}||v_{\sigma(2)}| + \cdots + |v_{\sigma(1)}||v_{\sigma(p)}|}(-1)^p(-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |v_{\sigma(i)}||v_{\sigma(j)}|} \\ &= \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\omega^{-1})(-1)^p(-1)^{\sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq p} |v_{\sigma(i)}||v_{\sigma(j)}|} \\ &= \varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\omega^{-1})(-1)^p(-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p-1} |v_{\omega(i)}||v_{\omega(j)}|} \\ &= -\,\varepsilon_{v_1,\dots,v_n}(\omega^{-1})(-1)^{p-1}(-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p-1} |v_{\omega(i)}||v_{\omega(j)}|}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the signs differ as claimed.

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon([v,w]) &= \varepsilon\big(vw - (-1)^{|v||w|} wv\big) \\ &= \varepsilon(vw) - (-1)^{|v||w|} wv \\ &= \varepsilon(v)\varepsilon(w) - (-1)^{|v||w|} \varepsilon(w)\varepsilon(v) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon(v) = \varepsilon(w) = 0$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} S([v,w]) &= S\big(vw - (-1)^{|v||w|}wv\big) \\ &= S(vw) - (-1)^{|v||w|}S(wv) \\ &= (-1)^{|v||w|}wv - vw \\ &= -\big(vw - (-1)^{|v||w|}wv\big) \\ &= -[v,w]\,. \end{aligned}$$

A.9. Example 5.7

Suppose that there exists a bialgebra structure on $\bigwedge(V)$. Then $\varepsilon(v)^2 = \varepsilon(v^2) = 0$ and thus $\varepsilon(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$, so $\ker \varepsilon = \bigoplus_{d\geq 1} \bigwedge^n(V) =: I$. Let $v \in V$. Then by the counital axiom,

$$\Delta(v) \equiv v \otimes 1 \pmod{\Lambda \otimes I} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta(v) \equiv 1 \otimes v \pmod{I \otimes \Lambda}$$

and thus

$$\Delta(v) \equiv v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v \pmod{I \otimes I}\,.$$

It follows that

$$\Delta(v^2) \equiv (v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v)^2 \pmod{(v \otimes 1)(I \otimes I) + (1 \otimes v)(I \otimes I) + (I \otimes I)^2}\,,$$

and therefore

$$\Delta(v^2) \equiv v^2 \otimes 1 + 2v \otimes v + 1 \otimes v^2 \pmod{I \otimes I^2 + I^2 \otimes I}\,.$$

Now $v^2 = 0$, hence

$$2v \otimes v \equiv 0 \pmod{I \otimes I^2 + I^2 \otimes I}\,.$$

But $2 \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$ hence $2v \otimes v \neq 0$ while $v \otimes v \notin I \otimes I^2 + I^2 \otimes I$, a contradiction. (This proof is taken from [MO18] and partially from [Bou89, III.§11.3]).

A.10. Example 5.9

We observe that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H}(V))_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T}(V)) \\ {\scriptstyle \tilde{p}_n}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{H}_n(p)} \\ \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V))_n & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & \mathrm{H}_n(\Lambda(V)) \end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{H}(V))_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T}(V)) \\ {\scriptstyle \tilde{s}_n}\big\uparrow & & \big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{H}_n(s)} \\ \Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V))_n & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & \mathrm{H}_n(\Lambda(V)) \end{array}$$

commute. Indeed, for representatives $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathrm{Z}(V)$ the first diagram is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [v_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [v_n] & \longmapsto & [v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n] \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ [v_1] \cdots [v_n] & \longmapsto & [v_1 \cdots v_n] \end{array}$$

and the second diagram is given by

$$\begin{tikzcd} \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\varepsilon(\sigma^{-1})[v_{\sigma(1)}]\otimes\cdots\otimes[v_{\sigma(n)}] \arrow[r, mapsto] & \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\varepsilon(\sigma^{-1})[v_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\sigma(n)}] \\ {[v_1]\cdots[v_n]} \arrow[u, mapsto] \arrow[r, mapsto] & {[v_1\cdots v_n]} \arrow[u, mapsto] \end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that

$$\beta_n\beta_n' = \beta_n\tilde{p}_n\alpha_n^{-1}\,\mathrm{H}_n(s) = \mathrm{H}_n(p)\alpha_n\alpha_n^{-1}\,\mathrm{H}_n(s) = \mathrm{H}_n(p)\,\mathrm{H}_n(s) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{H}_n(\Lambda(V))}$$

and similarly

$$\beta_n'\beta_n = \tilde{p}_n\alpha_n^{-1}\,\mathrm{H}_n(s)\beta_n = \tilde{p}_n\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_n\tilde{s}_n = \tilde{p}_n\tilde{s}_n = \mathrm{id}_{\Lambda(\mathrm{H}(V))_n}$$

A.11. Example 6.5

(1) If $a,b\in A$ are homogeneous then $[a,b] = ab-(-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ is homogeneous of degree $|a|+|b|$, so $[A_i,A_j]\subseteq A_{i+j}$ for all i, j. Also

$$[a,b] = ab-(-1)^{|a||b|}ba = -(-1)^{|a||b|}\big(ba-(-1)^{|a||b|}ab\big) = -(-1)^{|a||b|}[b,a]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d([a,b]) &= d\big(ab-(-1)^{|a||b|}ba\big) \\ &= d(ab)-(-1)^{|a||b|}d(ba) \\ &= d(a)b+(-1)^{|a|}ad(b)-(-1)^{|a||b|}\big(d(b)a+(-1)^{|b|}bd(a)\big) \\ &= d(a)b+(-1)^{|a|}ad(b)-(-1)^{|a||b|}d(b)a-(-1)^{|a||b|+|b|}bd(a) \\ &= d(a)b+(-1)^{|a|}ad(b)-(-1)^{|a||d(b)|+|a|}d(b)a-(-1)^{|d(a)||b|}bd(a) \\ &= d(a)b-(-1)^{|d(a)||b|}bd(a)+(-1)^{|a|}\big(ad(b)-(-1)^{|a||d(b)|}d(b)a\big) \\ &= [d(a),b]+(-1)^{|a|}[a,d(b)]\,. \end{aligned}$$

We check the graded Jacobi identity for homogeneous $a,b,c\in A$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [a,[b,c]] &= \big[a,bc-(-1)^{|b||c|}cb\big] \\ &= [a,bc]-(-1)^{|b||c|}[a,cb] \\ &= abc-(-1)^{|a||bc|}bca-(-1)^{|b||c|}\big(acb-(-1)^{|a||cb|}cba\big) \\ &= abc-(-1)^{|a||bc|}bca-(-1)^{|b||c|}acb+(-1)^{|a||cb|+|b||c|}cba \\ &= abc-(-1)^{|a|(|b|+|c|)}bca-(-1)^{|b||c|}acb+(-1)^{|a|(|b|+|c|)+|b||c|}cba \\ &= abc-(-1)^{|a||b|+|a||c|}bca-(-1)^{|b||c|}acb+(-1)^{|a||b|+|a||c|+|b||c|}cba \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{|a||c|}[a,[b,c]] &= (-1)^{|a||c|}abc - (-1)^{|a||b|}bca \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|a||c|+|b||c|}acb + (-1)^{|a||b|+|b||c|}cba\,.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|a||c|}[a,[b,c]] &= \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|a||c|}abc - \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|a||b|}bca \\ &\quad - \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|a||c|+|b||c|}acb + \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|a||b|+|b||c|}cba \\ &= \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|b||a|}bca - \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|a||b|}bca \\ &\quad - \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|a||c|+|b||c|}acb + \sum_{\text{cyclic}}(-1)^{|b||c|+|c||a|}acb \\ &= 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

(2) The subspace $\mathrm{Der}(A)$ is by construction a graded subspace of $\mathrm{End}(A)$. Let δ, ε be graded derivations. Then for all homogeneous $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta\varepsilon)(ab) &= \delta(\varepsilon(ab)) \\ &= \delta(\varepsilon(a)b + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}a\varepsilon(b)) \\ &= \delta(\varepsilon(a)b) + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\delta(a\varepsilon(b)) \\ &= \delta(\varepsilon(a))b + (-1)^{|\varepsilon(a)||\delta|}\varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\big(\delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + (-1)^{|\delta||a|}a\delta(\varepsilon(b))\big) \\ &= \delta(\varepsilon(a))b + (-1)^{|\varepsilon(a)||\delta|}\varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + (-1)^{|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\delta(\varepsilon(b)) \\ &= \delta(\varepsilon(a))b + (-1)^{(|\varepsilon|+|a|)|\delta|}\varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + (-1)^{|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\delta(\varepsilon(b)) \\ &= \delta(\varepsilon(a))b + (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|+|\delta||a|}\varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + (-1)^{|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\delta(\varepsilon(b))\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}(\varepsilon\delta)(ab) &= (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}\varepsilon(\delta(a))b + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\delta(a)\varepsilon(b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|+|\delta||a|}\varepsilon(a)\delta(b) + (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|+|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\varepsilon(\delta(b))\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$[\delta,\varepsilon](ab) = (\delta\varepsilon - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}\varepsilon\delta)(ab)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (\delta\varepsilon)(ab) - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}(\varepsilon\delta)(ab) \\
&= \delta(\varepsilon(a))b + (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|+|\delta||a|}\varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + (-1)^{|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\delta(\varepsilon(b)) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}\varepsilon(\delta(a))b - (-1)^{|\varepsilon||a|}\delta(a)\varepsilon(b) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|+|\delta||a|}\varepsilon(a)\delta(b) - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|+|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\varepsilon(\delta(b)) \\
&= \delta(\varepsilon(a))b - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}\varepsilon(\delta(a))b \\
&\quad + (-1)^{|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\delta(\varepsilon(b)) - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|+|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}a\varepsilon(\delta(b)) \\
&= \delta(\varepsilon(a))b - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}\varepsilon(\delta(a))b \\
&\quad + (-1)^{|\delta||a|+|\varepsilon||a|}\big(a\delta(\varepsilon(b)) - (-1)^{|\delta||\varepsilon|}a\varepsilon(\delta(b))\big) \\
&= [\delta,\varepsilon](a)b + (-1)^{|[\delta,\varepsilon]||a|}a[\delta,\varepsilon](b)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $[\delta,\varepsilon] \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$, so that $\mathrm{Der}(A)$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(A)$. If $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$ is homogeneous then

$$
d(\delta) = d \circ \delta - (-1)^{|\delta|}\delta \circ d = [d,\delta]
$$

is again a graded derivation, and hence $\mathrm{Der}(A)$ is a dg-subspace of $\mathrm{End}(A)$.

(3) If $a \in \mathbb{P}(B)$ with homogeneous decomposition $a = \sum_n a_n$ then

$$
\Delta(a) = \Delta\left(\sum_n a_n\right) = \sum_n \Delta(a_n)
$$

but also

$$
\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a = \sum_n (a_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a_n)\,.
$$

By comparing homogeneous components we see that $\Delta(a_n) = a_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a_n$ for all n. This means that all homogeneous components a_n are again primitive, which shows that $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is a graded subspace of B. If $a \in \mathbb{P}(B)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(d(a)) &= d(\Delta(a)) \\
&= d(a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a) \\
&= d(a \otimes 1) + d(1 \otimes a) \\
&= d(a) \otimes 1 + (-1)^{|a|}a \otimes d(1) + d(1) \otimes a + (-1)^{|1|}1 \otimes d(a) \\
&= d(a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $|1| = 0$ and $d(1) = 0$. Therefore $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is a dg-subspace of B.

If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}(B)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(ab) &= \Delta(a)\Delta(b) \\
&= (a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a)(b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b) \\
&= (a \otimes 1)(b \otimes 1) + (a \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b) + (1 \otimes a)(b \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes a)(1 \otimes b) \\
&= ab \otimes 1 + a \otimes b + (-1)^{|a||b|}b \otimes a + 1 \otimes ab\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

If a, b are homogeneous then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta([a,b]) &= \Delta\big(ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba\big) \\
&= \Delta(ab) - (-1)^{|a||b|}\Delta(ba) \\
&= ab \otimes 1 + a \otimes b + (-1)^{|a||b|} b \otimes a + 1 \otimes ab \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|a||b|}\big(ba \otimes 1 + b \otimes a + (-1)^{|a||b|} a \otimes b + 1 \otimes ba\big) \\
&= ab \otimes 1 + a \otimes b + (-1)^{|a||b|} b \otimes a + 1 \otimes ab \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|a||b|} ba \otimes 1 - (-1)^{|a||b|} b \otimes a - a \otimes b - (-1)^{|a||b|} 1 \otimes ba \\
&= \big(ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba\big) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \big(ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba\big) \\
&= [a,b] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [a,b]
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $[a,b] \in \mathbb{P}(B)$. Thus $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is a dg-Lie subalgebra of B.

References

[Bou89] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Algebra I. Chapters 1–3.* Elements of Mathematics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1989, pp. xxiii+709. ISBN: 3-540-64243-9.

[FHT01] Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas. *Rational Homotopy Theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 205. Springer-Verlag New York, 2001, pp. xxxiii+539. ISBN: 978-0-387-95068-6. DOI: `10.1007/978-1-4613-0105-9`.

[Lan02] Serge Lang. *Algebra.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 211. Springer-Verlag New York, 2002, pp. xv+914. ISBN: 978-0-387-95385-4. DOI: `10.1007/978-1-4613-0041-0`.

[Lod92] Jean-Lois Loday. *Cyclic Homology.* Grundlagen der mathematischen Wissenschaften 301. Springer Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg, 1992, pp. xix+516. ISBN: 978-3-662-21741-2. DOI: `10.1007/978-3-662-21739-9`.

[MO18] David E Speyer. *When is the exterior algebra a Hopf algebra?* November 30, 2018. URL: `https://mathoverflow.net/q/316544` (visited on May 7, 2019).

[Qui69] Daniel Quillen. "Rational homotopy theory". In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 90 (1969), pp. 205–295. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: `10.2307/1970725`.