# **Concordance: Practice**

## Gede Primahadi Wijaya Rajeg

2024-07-20

The source code files for all materials are available here: https://github.com/complexico/dipscorling2024

### Operationalising conceptual aim into query in the Concordance feature of SkE

- Corpus: English Web 2021 (enTenTen21)
- Your aim (read through this till the end before conducting the query):
  - You only start using the BASIC
  - You are interested in comparing the uses of the form touch in two text types under the Topic (sport and beauty & fashion) based on 20 random concordance lines from each topic.
  - Before you download each of the generated concordance, you want to sort the concordance such that more revealing pattern emerges for further inspection.
  - Moreover, in the concordance output window, you want to have information about the tag of the words in the concordance (recall Niall's session yesterday).
  - You also want to save/download each concordance output from the two Topic into two different .xlsx files.

#### • Your turn:

- I will let you share screen to show how to go about operationalising that aim.
- NB: my concordance of touch in beauty & fashion topic (it will be different from yours because it is randomised): https://ske.li/151
- NB: my concordance of touch in sports topic (it will be different from yours because
  it is randomised): https://ske.li/152

## Heuristic inspection of the usage pattern found in the concordance

- Corpus/Data: your touch data
- Task:
  - with only 20 lines, could you spot some recurring patterns with *touch*? (e.g., whether *touch* appears in certain syntactic phrases with a more-or-less other fixed word?)

## Word class/Part-of-speech of touch

- Corpus/Data: your touch data
- Conceptual aspect:
  - intuite about what is the predominant word class/part-of-speech of *touch* in the sample? For me, I'd bet that *touch* is predominantly verbal.
- Task:
  - if you have included information about the tag for each word via the View options
    feature, quantify the frequency of tag types for touch in each concordance file (i.e.,
    for sports and for beauty €fashion).
    - \* what is the proportion of noun-oriented tag (that starting with N) vs. verboriented tag vs. others (if any).
    - \* does your intuition correspond with the sample data?

#### literal vs. metaphorical meaning

- Corpus/Data: your touch data
- Background:
  - As an oversimplification for this exercise, the metaphorical meaning for touch (a physical action lexeme) refers to the meaning where it does not involve any physical touching. That is a more abstract sense of touch (like in the domain of emotion [e.g., I am touched by your kindness], or others [e.g., expertise of someone at doing something]).
  - This is where you will practice further reading concordance data line-by-line and annotating each line for a given variable of interest (in this case, say, MEANING variable with two or more levels/categories: metaphoric, literal, or unclear).
- Task:

- In your Excel sheet concordance data, make a new column (immediately to the right of the column for the right context) with the variable name
- Then, inspect line by line *qualitatively* to determine whether the use of *touch* in each line is metaphorical, literal, or unclear
- Quantify the proportion of these meanings per concordance file.
  - \* Does certain meaning of touch appear relatively more frequently in one text type over the other?