

Noether's Theorem

①

If ϕ satisfies the EL EOM ($\delta S = 0$), for any variation we have $\delta S = 0$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \sum_n \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_n} \delta \phi \right) \leftarrow \delta \mathcal{L} = \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} - \sum_n \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \right] \delta \phi + \sum_n \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \delta \phi$$

Assume the action also has the symmetry

$$\delta S = 0$$

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \delta \mathcal{L}$$

under the specific transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi + \Delta$$

$$= \int d^4x \sum_n \left(\right)$$

$$= 0$$

Given the action is invariant under the transformation even though the Lagrangian density may not be $[\delta \mathcal{L} = \sum_n \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \Delta] \neq 0$,

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \sum_n K^n$$

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \delta \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \sum_n K^n = 0 \quad (1)$$

(2)

-i.e. $\delta \mathcal{L}$ must be a total divergence. Equating (1) and (2), we get

$$\sum_n \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta - K^n \right) = 0$$

-i.e., we have a conserved current $\left(\sum_n j^\mu = 0 \right)$

$$j^\mu \equiv \sum_n \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta - K^n \right)$$

so, with a symmetry there is a conserved current

How do we find K^n ?

Consider the translation invariance of the action under the transformation

(1c)

A conserved current will be associated with a conserved "charge"

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = \partial_0 j^0 + \partial_i j^i$$

Integrate over all of space

$$\int d^3x (\partial_0 j^0 + \partial_i j^i) = 0$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 \int d^3x j^0 &= - \int d^3x \partial_i j^i \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and we identify

$$Q \equiv \int d^3x j^0$$

with the conserved "charge."

$$x^n \rightarrow x'^n = x^n + a^n$$

Then

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x+a) = \phi(x) + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\mu} a^\mu$$

and

$$\delta_\Delta \phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\mu} a^\mu$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta (\delta_m \phi) &= \delta_m (\delta_\Delta \phi) \\ &= \delta_m \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta_\Delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\delta_m \phi)} \delta_\Delta (\delta_m \phi) \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\delta_m \phi)} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\delta_m \phi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} (\delta_m \phi) \right) a^\alpha \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \\ &= \delta_\alpha (\mathcal{L} a^\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$K^\mu = \mathcal{L} a^\mu$$

and

$$j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta - K^\mu$$

$$= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha - \mathcal{L} a^\mu$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu_\alpha \right) a^\alpha$$

Then

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = a^\alpha \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu_\alpha \right) = 0$$

Since a^α is arbitrary, for the above to be true always, each "coefficient" of a^α must be zero:

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu_\alpha \right) = 0$$

Contract with $\gamma^{\nu\alpha}$

$$\leftarrow \gamma^{\nu\alpha} \partial_\mu () = 0$$

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\nu} - \mathcal{L} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\mu [\gamma^{\nu\alpha} ()] = 0$$

$$= T^{\mu\nu}$$

the energy-momentum tensor for the scalar field ϕ

What about Lorentz invariance?

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\partial_0 T^{0\nu} + \partial_i T^{i\nu} = 0$$

$$\underline{\nu = 0}$$

$$\partial_0 T^{00} + \partial_i T^{i0} = 0$$

conservation of energy

$$\underline{\nu = j}$$

$$\partial_0 T^{0j} + \partial_i T^{ij} = 0$$

conservation of momentum

$$\partial_0 \int d^3x T^{00} = \partial_0 \int d^3x \mathcal{H} = - \int d^3x \partial_i T^{i0}$$

$\Rightarrow H = \int d^3x \mathcal{H}$ is the conserved "charge"

$$\underline{\nu = j} \quad \partial_0 \int d^3x T^{0j} = - \int d^3x \underbrace{\partial_i T^{ij}}_{\text{stress tensor}} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \underbrace{P^j}_{\text{momentum density}} = \int d^3x T^{0j}$ is the conserved charge

(4)

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu = x^\mu + \underbrace{\varepsilon^\mu_\nu x^\nu}_{\equiv \delta x^\mu}$$

Then

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(\Lambda x) = \phi(x) + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \delta x^\alpha$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta \phi &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \delta x^\alpha \\ &= \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta (\partial_\mu \phi) &= \partial_\mu (\delta_\Delta \phi) \\ &= \partial_\mu (\partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu) \\ &= (\partial_\mu \partial_\alpha \phi) \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu \delta^\nu_\mu \\ &= (\partial_\mu \partial_\alpha \phi) \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta_\Delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta_\Delta (\partial_\mu \phi) \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \left[(\partial_\mu \partial_\alpha \phi) \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\mu \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\mu \end{aligned}$$

W_L can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) - \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu \delta^\nu{}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) - \cancel{\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\alpha} \quad \text{since } \varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu = -\varepsilon^\nu{}_\mu\end{aligned}$$

85

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\mu \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\alpha \phi)} \partial_\mu \phi \right] \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\mu \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \left[T^\mu{}_\alpha + \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu{}_\alpha - T^\alpha{}_\mu - \mathcal{L} \delta^\alpha{}_\mu \right] \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\mu \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{1}{2} (T^\mu{}_\alpha - T^\alpha{}_\mu) \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) \quad \text{since } T^\mu{}_\alpha = T^\alpha{}_\mu \text{ for the scalar field} \\ &= \partial_\alpha \mathcal{L} \quad \text{since } \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu \partial_\alpha x^\nu = \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\nu{}_\nu = 0\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) = \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta \right) \\ &= \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu \right)\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi \varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu - \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu \right) = 0$$

or

$$\varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu{}_\nu \right) x^\nu = 0$$

Rewriting

$$\varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu \partial_\mu \left[\underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu{}_\nu \right)}_{T^\mu{}_\nu} x^\nu \right] = 0$$

Since $\varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu$ is antisymmetric, we can conclude that the anti-symmetric component of the term in $[]$ must vanish - i.e.,

$$\partial_\mu \left[T^\mu{}_\alpha x^\nu - T^\mu{}_\nu x^\alpha \right] = 0$$

or

$$M^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha \equiv T^\mu{}_\alpha x^\nu - T^\mu{}_\nu x^\alpha$$

is the conserved current.

For $\alpha, \nu = 1, 2, 3$, the Lorentz transformation corresponds to a

spatial rotation. In this case

$$\int_0 [\bar{T}^0_i x^j - \bar{T}^0_j x^i] + \int_k [\bar{T}^k_i x^j - \bar{T}^k_j x^i] = 0$$

and the conserved charge is

$$\int d^3x (\bar{T}^0_i x^j - \bar{T}^0_j x^i)$$

which is the total angular momentum tensor Q^i_j with $Q^i_i = 0$ and $Q^i_j = -Q^j_i$ - i.e., Q^i_j has 3 independent components.

(1)

Commutator of δ and δ

$F = F(x, y(x), y'(x))$ a functional

Change $y(x)$ in the following manner

$$y(x) \rightarrow y(x) + \epsilon \eta(x)$$

The variation δy is defined to be

$$\delta y \equiv \epsilon \eta(x)$$

(1)

The variation is a change in a function.

At fixed x ,

$$F(x, y, y') \rightarrow F(x, y + \epsilon \eta, y' + \epsilon \eta')$$

$$= \frac{\delta F}{\delta y} \epsilon \eta + \frac{\delta F}{\delta y'} \epsilon \eta'$$

$$\equiv \delta F$$

If we let $F = y'$, we can see that generally

$$\delta F = \delta y' = \epsilon \eta'$$

(2)

85, 21 fixed x, from (1) and (2)

$$\delta y' = (\delta y)'$$

- i.e., the derivative w.r.t. the independent variable x and the variation of a function of x commute.