

# Noether's Theorem

①

If  $\phi$  satisfies the EL EOM ( $\delta S = 0$ ), for any variation we have  $\delta S = 0$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \sum_n \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi_n} \delta \phi \right) \leftarrow \delta \mathcal{L} = \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} - \sum_n \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \right] \delta \phi + \sum_n \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \delta \phi$$

Assume the action also has the symmetry

$$\delta S = 0$$

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \delta \mathcal{L}$$

under the specific transformation

$$= \int d^4x \sum_n \left( \right)$$

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi + \Delta$$

$$= 0$$

Given the action is invariant under the transformation even though the Lagrangian density may not be  $[\delta \mathcal{L} = \sum_n \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \Delta] \neq 0$ ,

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \sum_n K^n$$

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \delta \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \sum_n K^n = 0 \quad (1)$$

(2)

-i.e.  $\delta \mathcal{L}$  must be a total divergence. Equating (1) and (2), we get

$$\sum_n \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta - K^n \right) = 0$$

-i.e., we have a conserved current  $(\sum_n j^\mu = 0)$

$$j^\mu \equiv \sum_n \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta - K^n \right)$$

so, with a symmetry there is a conserved current

How do we find  $K^n$ ?

Consider the translation invariance of the action under the transformation

$$x^n \rightarrow x'^n = x^n + a^n$$

Then

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x+a) = \phi(x) + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\mu} a^\mu$$

and

$$\delta_\Delta \phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\mu} a^\mu$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta (\delta_m \phi) &= \delta_m (\delta_\Delta \phi) \\ &= \delta_m \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta_\Delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\delta_m \phi)} \delta_\Delta (\delta_m \phi) \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\delta_m \phi)} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\delta_m \phi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} (\delta_m \phi) \right) a^\alpha \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha \\ &= \delta_\alpha (\mathcal{L} a^\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Then



$$K^\mu = \mathcal{L} a^\mu$$

and

$$j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta - K^\mu$$

$$= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} a^\alpha - \mathcal{L} a^\mu$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu_\alpha \right) a^\alpha$$

Then

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = a^\alpha \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu_\alpha \right) = 0$$

Since  $a^\alpha$  is arbitrary, for the above to be true always, each "coefficient" of  $a^\alpha$  must be zero:

$$\partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu_\alpha \right) = 0$$

Contract with  $\gamma^{\nu\alpha}$

$$\leftarrow \gamma^{\nu\alpha} \partial_\mu ( ) = 0$$

$$\partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\nu} - \mathcal{L} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\mu [\gamma^{\nu\alpha} ( )] = 0$$

$$= T^{\mu\nu}$$

the energy-momentum tensor for the scalar field  $\phi$

What about Lorentz invariance?

(4)

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu = x^\mu + \underbrace{\varepsilon^\mu_\nu x^\nu}_{\equiv \delta x^\mu}$$

Then

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(\Lambda x) = \phi(x) + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \delta x^\alpha$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta \phi &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \delta x^\alpha \\ &= \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta (\partial_\mu \phi) &= \partial_\mu (\delta_\Delta \phi) \\ &= \partial_\mu (\partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu) \\ &= (\partial_\mu \partial_\alpha \phi) \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu \delta^\nu_\mu \\ &= (\partial_\mu \partial_\alpha \phi) \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta_\Delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta_\Delta (\partial_\mu \phi) \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \left[ (\partial_\mu \partial_\alpha \phi) \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\mu \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha_\nu x^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha_\mu \end{aligned}$$



W<sub>L</sub> can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\alpha} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) - \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu \delta^\nu{}_\alpha \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) - \cancel{\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\alpha} \quad \text{since } \varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu = -\varepsilon^\nu{}_\mu\end{aligned}$$

85

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\mu \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\alpha \phi)} \partial_\mu \phi \right] \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\mu \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ T^\mu{}_\alpha + \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu{}_\alpha - T^\alpha{}_\mu - \mathcal{L} \delta^\alpha{}_\mu \right] \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\mu \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) + \frac{1}{2} (T^\mu{}_\alpha - T^\alpha{}_\mu) \\ &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) \quad \text{since } T^\mu{}_\alpha = T^\alpha{}_\mu \text{ for the scalar field} \\ &= \partial_\alpha \mathcal{L} \quad \text{since } \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu \partial_\alpha x^\nu = \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\nu{}_\nu = 0\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\Delta \mathcal{L} &= \partial_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu) = \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \Delta \right) \\ &= \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\alpha \phi \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\nu x^\nu \right)\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi \varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu - \mathcal{L} \varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu \right) = 0$$

or

$$\varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu{}_\nu \right) x^\nu = 0$$

Rewriting

$$\varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu \partial_\mu \left[ \underbrace{\left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\nu \phi - \mathcal{L} \delta^\mu{}_\nu \right)}_{T^\mu{}_\nu} x^\nu \right] = 0$$

Since  $\varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu$  is antisymmetric, we can conclude that the anti-symmetric component of the term in  $[ ]$  must vanish - i.e.,

$$\partial_\mu \left[ T^\mu{}_\alpha x^\nu - T^\mu{}_\nu x^\alpha \right] = 0$$

or

$$M^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha \equiv T^\mu{}_\alpha x^\nu - T^\mu{}_\nu x^\alpha$$

is the conserved current.

For  $\alpha, \nu = 1, 2, 3$ , the Lorentz transformation corresponds to a



spatial rotation. In this case

$$\int_0 [\bar{T}^0_i x^j - \bar{T}^0_j x^i] + \int_k [\bar{T}^k_i x^j - \bar{T}^k_j x^i] = 0$$

and the conserved charge is

$$\int d^3x (\bar{T}^0_i x^j - \bar{T}^0_j x^i)$$

which is the total angular momentum tensor  $Q^i_j$  with  $Q^i_i = 0$  and  $Q^i_j = -Q^j_i$  - i.e.,  $Q^i_j$  has 3 independent components.

(1)

Commutator of  $\delta$  and  $\delta$

$F = F(x, y(x), y'(x))$  a functional

Change  $y(x)$  in the following manner

$$y(x) \rightarrow y(x) + \epsilon \eta(x)$$

The variation  $\delta y$  is defined to be

$$\delta y \equiv \epsilon \eta(x)$$

(1)

The variation is a change in a function.

At fixed  $x$ ,

$$F(x, y, y') \rightarrow F(x, y + \epsilon \eta, y' + \epsilon \eta')$$

$$= \frac{\delta F}{\delta y} \epsilon \eta + \frac{\delta F}{\delta y'} \epsilon \eta'$$

$$\equiv \delta F$$

If we let  $F = y'$ , we can see that generally

$$\delta F = \delta y' = \epsilon \eta'$$

(2)



85, 21 fixed x, from (1) and (2)

$$\delta y' = (\delta y)'$$

- i.e., the derivative w.r.t. the independent variable  $x$  and the variation of a function of  $x$  commute.