

Minkowski Spacetime, Its Metric, and Tensor Operations

Anthony Mezzacappa

September 10, 2020

proper length $\longrightarrow ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ (assumes Cartesian coordinates)

proper time $\longrightarrow = -c^2 d\tau^2$

$$c^2 d\tau^2 = c^2 dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \xrightarrow{c=1} dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2$$

$$d\tau^2 = -\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \equiv \bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

Minkowski metric =
$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\eta_{00} = -1$$

$$\eta_{ii} = 1$$

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \mu \neq \nu$$

$$dx^0 = dt$$

$$dx^1 = dx$$

$$dx^2 = dy$$

$$dx^3 = dz$$

All tensors are built from contravariant vectors (vectors) and covariant vectors (covectors or 1-forms).

Contravariant Vector

$$\vec{V} : T_P M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\vec{V} = V^\mu \overbrace{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\mu}^{\text{index on top (contravariant)}}$$

N.B. The Einstein index convention is at play here:

$$\vec{V} = \underbrace{V^\mu \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\mu}_{\text{sum over repeated indices}} = V^0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_0 + V^1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 + V^2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 + V^3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$$

sum over repeated indices

Covariant Vector

$$\tilde{V} : T_P M^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V} &= V_\mu \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\mu \\ \text{index down} &\swarrow \text{1-form dual to } \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\mu \text{ - i.e., } \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\nu) = \delta^\mu_\nu \\ \tilde{V}(\vec{V}) &= \tilde{V}(V^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha) = V_\beta V^\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\beta(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

General Tensors are created via the Tensor Product. Let's look at the important case of the Metric Tensor

$$\eta \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\mu \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu$$

tensor product

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} &\equiv \eta(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\mu \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\alpha v^\beta \underbrace{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha) \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\beta)}_{\delta^\mu_\alpha \delta^\nu_\beta} \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\alpha v^\beta \delta^\mu_\alpha \delta^\nu_\beta \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\alpha v^\beta \end{aligned}$$

Since this is just a scalar (a number), the following must be a 1-form

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\vec{u}, \cdot) &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\mu \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu(\vec{u}, \cdot) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\mu \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha, \cdot) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\alpha \delta^\mu_\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu(\cdot) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\mu \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu(\cdot) \\ &= u_\nu \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\nu(\cdot) \end{aligned}$$

That is, the metric raises and lowers indices (the inverse, $\eta^{\mu\nu}$, would raise indices)

$$\begin{aligned} u_\nu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\mu \\ u_\mu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\nu \end{aligned}$$

So the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha v^\beta \\ &= u_\beta \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\beta(v^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha) = \tilde{u}(\vec{v}) \\ &= u_\beta v^\beta \\ &= u_\beta v^\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{d}}x^\beta(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\alpha) \\ &= u_\beta v^\alpha \delta^\beta_\alpha \\ &= u_\beta v^\beta \end{aligned}$$

In Euclidean space in Cartesian coordinates, we write

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} \equiv u^1 v^1 + u^2 v^2 + u^3 v^3$$

which is really

$$u_1 v^1 + u_2 v^2 + u_3 v^3$$

there's a lot of differential geometry hidden here

But, given the metric

$$\eta_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$u_1 = \eta_{1i} u^i = \eta_{11} u^1 = (+1) u^1 = u^1$$

so it doesn't matter.

But in Minkowski space, it does matter.

Note that

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \eta(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = u_\alpha v^\alpha$$

is just a number. As a scalar, it is Lorentz invariant. That means that u_α and v^α must transform under a Lorentz transformation in an inverse manner.

$$V^{\mu'} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^\mu} V^\mu$$

$$V_{\mu'} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} V_\mu$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\mu'} V_{\mu'} &= \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} V^\mu V_\mu \\ &= V^\mu V_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Some important specific tensors:

- The differential (a 1-form)

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu \equiv \partial_\mu f dx^\mu$$

- The gradient (a contravariant vector) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} df(\hat{e}_\nu) &= \eta(\vec{\nabla} f, \hat{e}_\nu) \\ &= \eta\left((\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu \hat{e}_\mu, \hat{e}_\nu\right) \\ &= (\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu \eta(\hat{e}_\mu, \hat{e}_\nu) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} (\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\tilde{d}f(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\nu) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\nu}$$

Then

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} (\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu \\ (\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\nu} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \eta^{\alpha\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu} (\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu = \delta^\alpha{}_\mu (\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu \\ \searrow \eta^{\alpha\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\nu} = (\vec{\nabla} f)^\alpha \end{array}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} = \partial_\mu f$$

we must have

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\nabla} f)^\mu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu f \\ &= \partial^\mu f \end{aligned}$$

- Since

$$\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$$

we must have

$$\partial^\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$$

- The x_μ are the components of the 1-form dual to the position vector

$$\vec{x} = x^\mu \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\mu$$

– i.e.,

$$\tilde{x} = x_\mu \tilde{d}x^\mu$$