

(1)

A Review of the Canonical Formalism and Quantization Procedure for Particles

Consider the one-dimensional motion of a single particle in a conservative force field.

Let q be the generalized coordinate of the particle.

Then

$$\dot{q} \equiv \frac{dq}{dt}$$

is the generalized velocity of the particle.

Let $L(q, \dot{q})$ be the Lagrangian.

Hamilton's Principle

The physical path, $q(t)$, that the particle takes in going from $q(t_1) \equiv q_1$ to $q(t_2) \equiv q_2$ is that along which the Action, S , is stationary.

The action is defined by

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q, \dot{q}) dt$$

Along the physical path, small variations in the path

$$q(t) \rightarrow q(t) + \delta q(t)$$

(2)

Leading to a variation of the action, δS , leave the action unchanged to first order in the variation, $\delta g(t)$. - i.e.,

$$\delta S = 0$$

Let's compute δS :

$$\delta S = \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q, \dot{q}) dt$$

$$= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta(\dot{q}) \right] dt$$

$$= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{d}{dt}(\delta q) \right] dt$$

$$= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q \right) - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \delta q \right] dt$$

$$= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \right] \delta q dt$$

The last equality arises because

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q \right) dt = \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q \right|_{t_2} - \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q \right|_{t_1} = 0$$

since $\delta q(t_1)$ and $\delta q(t_2)$ are by definition 0 given the definite starting point, $q(t_1) = q_1$, and ending point, $q(t_2) = q_2$.

Given a functional

$$F = F(x, y(x), y'(x))$$

Consider the variation

$$y(x) \rightarrow y(x) + \epsilon \eta(x)$$

where $\epsilon \ll 1$.

$$\delta y(x) \equiv \epsilon \eta(x)$$

Then, for a fixed x ,

$$\delta F = F(x, y(x) + \epsilon \eta(x), y'(x) + \epsilon \eta'(x)) - F(x, y(x), y'(x))$$

Expand in ϵ :

$$\delta F = \frac{\delta F}{\delta y} \epsilon \eta + \frac{\delta F}{\delta y'} \epsilon \eta'$$

For

$$F = y \Rightarrow \delta y = \epsilon \eta$$

$$F = y' \Rightarrow \delta y' = \epsilon \eta' = (\delta y)'$$

δ and $\frac{d}{dx}$ commute!

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \delta S dt = \left[\delta S(t_i) \right] \Delta t + \left[\delta S(t_{i+1}) \right] \Delta t + \dots = 0 \Rightarrow \text{all } \left[\delta S \right]_{t_i} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Since the variation $\delta S(t)$ of the function $S(t)$ is arbitrary, the physical path (for which $\delta S = 0$) is given by the solution of the Euler-Lagrange EDM

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) = 0$$

The momentum conjugate to q is defined by

$$p \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}$$

The Hamiltonian, which is a function of (q, p) rather than (q, \dot{q}) , is defined by

$$H \equiv p \dot{q} - L$$

The EDM are (using the Poisson Brackets)

$$\dot{q} = - \{ H, q \} = - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial q}{\partial q} \right) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}$$

$$\dot{p} = - \{ H, p \} = - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \frac{\partial p}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q} \right) = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q}$$

(3a-8) >

To quantize this system, q and p become Hermitian operators

$q \rightarrow \hat{q}$ whose action on $\psi(q, t)$ is multiplication by q

$p \rightarrow \hat{p} \equiv -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$

(3a)

Considering the Hamiltonian as a function $H(q, \dot{q})$, not explicitly t , we have

$$dH(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{q}} d\dot{q} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} dq \quad (1)$$

Considering the definition of the Hamiltonian

$$H(q, \dot{q}) \equiv \dot{q} p - L(q, \dot{q}) \quad (2)$$

$$dH = \dot{q} d\dot{q} + \dot{q} dq - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} d\dot{q} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} dq \quad (3)$$

where we have assumed that L is not an explicit function of time (this would break Lorentz invariance of the Lagrangian, which is a scalar quantity, when we build our relativistic QFT)

From the Euler-Lagrange EOM

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0 \quad (4)$$

But

$$\dot{q} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial p} \quad (5)$$

The Euler-Lagrange EOM then tell us

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{q}) = \ddot{q} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \quad (6)$$

Then, insertion of (5) and (6) in (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} dH &= \left(\cancel{g} - \frac{\dot{L}}{\dot{g}} \right) d\dot{g} + \dot{g} d\cancel{g} - \frac{\dot{L}}{\dot{g}} d\dot{g} \quad \leftarrow \text{just a rewrite of (3)} \\ &= \dot{g} d\cancel{g} - \dot{\cancel{g}} d\dot{g} \end{aligned}$$

But, from (1), equating coefficients

$$\dot{g} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \cancel{g}}$$

$$\dot{\cancel{g}} = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{g}}$$

These are Hamilton's EOM, which can be expressed in terms of the so-called Poisson Brackets as

$$\dot{g} = - \{ H, \cancel{g} \} \equiv - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{g}} \frac{\partial \cancel{g}}{\partial \dot{g}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \cancel{g}} \frac{\partial \dot{g}}{\partial \dot{g}} \right) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \cancel{g}}$$

$$\dot{\cancel{g}} = - \{ H, \dot{g} \} \equiv - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{g}} \frac{\partial \dot{g}}{\partial \dot{g}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \cancel{g}} \frac{\partial \dot{g}}{\partial \cancel{g}} \right) = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{g}}$$

(1)

and the Hamiltonian becomes a Hermitian operator. The Schrodinger Equation is

$$\hat{H} \psi(r, t) = i \frac{\partial \psi(r, t)}{\partial t}$$

In the Heisenberg representation, it's the operators that depend on time, not the states.

$$\psi_s(r, t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t} \psi_s(r, 0) \equiv e^{-i\hat{H}t} \psi_H$$

The time-independent operators in the Schrodinger picture are replaced in the Heisenberg picture by

$$\hat{O}_H(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{O}_S e^{-i\hat{H}t}$$

and the time development of the operators is given by

$$\frac{d\hat{O}_H}{dt} = i [\hat{H}, \hat{O}_H]$$

(4a-8) >

Let's look at $\hat{O}_H = \hat{g}(t)$. Using an arbitrary function, $f(x, y)$, as a placeholder,

$$\frac{d\hat{g}(t)}{dt} f = i [\hat{H}, \hat{g}] f$$

$$= i \left[\hat{H} \left(-i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) - \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \hat{H} f \right]$$

$$= \hat{H} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\hat{H} f)$$

Consider the time derivative of the expectation value of the operator, \hat{O}_S , in the Schrodinger picture in the state $|\psi_S\rangle$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi_S | \hat{O}_S | \psi_S \rangle \quad (1)$$

Here the state $|\psi_S\rangle$ is time-dependent but the operator \hat{O}_S is not.

We can reexpress the matrix element in terms of $|\psi_H\rangle$ and \hat{O}_H as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi_H | e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{O}_S e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \psi_H \rangle \quad (2)$$

Now all of the time dependence sits in the exponentials $e^{\pm i\hat{H}t}$.

Taking the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \psi_H | (i\hat{H} e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{O}_S e^{-i\hat{H}t} - i e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{O}_S \hat{H} e^{-i\hat{H}t}) | \psi_H \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_H | i(\hat{H} e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{O}_S e^{-i\hat{H}t} - e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{O}_S e^{-i\hat{H}t} \hat{H}) | \psi_H \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_H | i(\hat{H} \hat{O}_H - \hat{O}_H \hat{H}) | \psi_H \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_H | i[\hat{H}, \hat{O}_H] | \psi_H \rangle \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (2) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi_H | \hat{O}_H | \psi_H \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_H | \frac{d}{dt} \hat{O}_H | \psi_H \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_H | i [\hat{H}, \hat{O}_H] | \psi_H \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

We arrive at the operator relation

$$\frac{d\hat{O}_H}{dt} = i [\hat{H}, \hat{O}_H]$$

which is the Heisenberg EOM for the time-dependent operator \hat{O}_H .

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \hat{H}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}}\right) - \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}(f) - \hat{H}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}}\right) \\
 &= - \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}(f)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{d\hat{q}(t)}{dt} = - \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}$$

Now let's look at $\hat{O}_H = \hat{q}(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\hat{q}(t)}{dt}(f) &= i [\hat{H}, \hat{q}] f \\
 &= i \left[\hat{H} \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right) - \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right) \hat{H} \right] f \\
 &= - \hat{H} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}} (\hat{H} f) \\
 &= - \hat{H} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right) + \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}(f) + \hat{H} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}(f)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{d\hat{q}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}$$

But these are just the classical equations of motion.

\Rightarrow In the Heisenberg representation, the relevant operators

6

evolve according to the classical EOM.

This is very important in QFT and motivates the approach we will take to build our theories:

- 1) CONSTRUCT LAGRANGIANS FOR CLASSICAL FIELDS
- 2) DERIVE THE EOM FOR THOSE FIELDS
- 3) FIND THE CLASSICAL SOLUTIONS TO THESE EOM
- 4) QUANTIZE THESE CLASSICAL FIELD SOLUTIONS
 - ELEVATE THEM TO OPERATOR STATUS
 - INTRODUCE CREATION AND ANNIHILATION OPERATORS
 - MAKE THE CONNECTION TO PARTICLES