# Nonlinear Model Reference Adaptive Control (NMRAC) for Miltiple-Input, Multiple-Output Systems

Dalim Wahby

Université Côte d'Azur

13S/CNRS

Sophia Antipolis, France
wahby@i3s.unice.fr

Alvaro Detailleur

IDSC

ETH Zürich

Zürich, Switzerland

adetailleur@student.ethz.ch

Guillaume Ducard

Université Côte d'Azur

13S/CNRS

Sophia Antipolis, France
ducard@i3s.unice.fr

# Abstract—Work in Alvaro's feedback

Index Terms—Neural Networks, Gradient Descent, Control, Lyapunov Method

### I. INTRODUCTION

### II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONTRIBUTIONS

# A. Contributions

### III. DEFINITIONS AND NOMENCLAUTURE

In order to define stable learning algorithms, it is imperative to first recall the definition of first-order systems and stability, which is taken from [1].

**Definition 1** (System). A continuous-time first-order system is defined by the following map

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n, (x(t), u(t)) \mapsto \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)).$$
 (1)

Additionally, if u(t) is a function of x, the system is considered autonomous, with closed-loop dynamics f(x(t)). The trajectory of the system is defined by the evolution of x(t). Furthermore, the system has an equilibrium point at f(x(t)) = 0.

**Definition 2** (Stability). Consider the equilibrium point x=0 of (1). Then the system is:

• stable, if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$ , such that

$$||x(0)||<\delta \Rightarrow ||x(t)||<\epsilon, \quad \forall t\geq 0$$

- unstable, if not stable, and
- asymptotically stable if it is stable and  $\delta$  can be chosen such that

$$||x(0)|| < \delta \Rightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$$

Henceforth, the dependency of the dynamics on time is considered to be implicit and will be neglected in the notation.

**Definition 3** (Lyapunov function). A Lyapunov function is a continuous function  $V:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$  with the following properties:

- (a) positive definiteness,  $V(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and V(0) = 0,
- (b) decrease condition,  $\dot{V}(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 4** (Lyapunov stability). A system of the form (1) is said to be *Lyapunov stable*, if there exists a function  $V(\cdot)$  that satisfies the conditions of Definition 3a and 3b.

The ultimate goal of this work is to develop stable update laws for NNCs. Hence, the designed control law will be considered as a NN. Therefore, the following definition of NNs is used throughout this work.

**Definition 5** (Neural network). A feedforward neural network (NN)  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is defined as:

$$\phi(x) = (L_H \circ \varphi_H \cdots \circ L_2 \circ \varphi_2 \circ L_1 \circ \varphi_1)(x)$$
  

$$L_i(x) = \theta_i x + b_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, ..., H\},$$
(2)

where the activation functions are called  $\varphi_i(\cdot)$ ,  $\theta_i$  and  $b_i$  are the weight matrix and bias of layer i, respectively. Whenever a bias is not mentioned it is assumed to be zero.

### IV. METHODOLOGY

# A. Nonlinear Model Reference Adaptive Control

The system is defined as in equation (3). Furthermore, we define a reference model that imposes a desired behavior on the system, as defined in equation (4). Note, that we assume  $A, A_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ B, B_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \ \theta, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \ \text{and} \ \phi, \phi_m$  are applied element-wise.

$$\dot{x} = Ax + B\phi(\theta e_x), \quad e_x = r - x \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{x}_m = A_m x_m + B_m \phi_m(\theta_m e_m) \quad e_m = r - x_m \tag{4}$$

We define the internal error of the system with respect to the model reference  $e = x_m - x$ , which results in the time derivative of the error to be as defined in equation (5).

$$\dot{e} = \dot{x}_{m} - \dot{x}$$

$$= A_{m}x_{m} + B_{m}\phi_{m}(\theta_{m}e_{m})$$

$$- [Ax + B\phi(\theta e_{x})] \pm [A_{m}x + B_{m}\phi_{m}(\theta_{m}e_{x})]$$

$$= A_{m}e + \underbrace{B_{m}\phi_{m}(\theta_{m}e_{m}) - B_{m}\phi_{m}(\theta_{m}e_{x})}_{:=\gamma_{m}(e_{m},e_{x})}$$

$$+ \underbrace{(A_{m} - A)x + B_{m}\phi_{m}(\theta_{m}e_{x}) - B\phi(\theta e_{x})}_{:=\alpha(e_{x},\theta)}$$

$$= A_{m}e + \gamma_{m}(e_{m},e_{x}) + \alpha(ex,\theta)$$
(5)

Note, that the term  $\alpha$  describes the difference in the dynamics. The following Lyapunov candidate is defined:

$$V(e,\theta) = ||e||_P^2 + ||\alpha(e_x,\theta)||_{\Gamma^{-1}}^2$$
 (6)

Here  $P, \Gamma^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are positive definite matrices. Note, that the Lyapunov function consists of the squared norm of the error e, and the squared norm of the difference in dynamics  $\alpha(e_x, \theta)$ , rendering the Lyapunov function to be positive definite. Hence, this function can only be equal to zero, when the internal error and the difference in dynamics are exactly equal. Additionally, showing the negative definitness of its time derivative results in stability of the closed-loop system during the learning process.

Its time derivative is given by (7).

$$\dot{V}(e,\theta) = \dot{e}^T P e + e^T P \dot{e} 
+ \dot{\alpha}(e_x,\theta)^T \Gamma^{-1} \alpha(e_x,\theta) 
+ \alpha(e_x,\theta)^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\alpha}(e_x,\theta) 
= \underbrace{-e^T (A_m^T P + P A_m) e^T}_{(I)} 
+ \underbrace{2e^T P \gamma(e_m,e_x)}_{(II)} 
+ \underbrace{2\alpha(e_x,\theta)^T (P e + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\alpha}(e_x,\theta))}_{(III)}$$
(7)

Following the logic of linear MRAC, term (III) is used to define the update law through nullification. Hence, it remains to show that the sum of terms (I) and (II) are negative. The negative definiteness of these two terms are system dependent. In ??, we will show that there exists at least one system, where the sum of terms remains negative. To continue with the derivation of the update law, we will for now assume that the sum of these two terms remain negative.

To derive the MIMO update law, we require term (III) in eq. (7) to be equal to zero. Since,  $\Sigma = B \frac{\partial \phi(\bar{x})}{\partial \bar{x}}|_{\bar{x}=\theta e_x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , the matrix is not invertible. Therefore, its Moore-Penrose inverse is defined as  $\Sigma^{\dagger}$ .




Fig. 1: Double Flying Arm

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\theta}e_{x} = \Sigma^{\dagger} \left[ \Gamma P e + (A_{m} - A)\dot{x} + B_{m} \frac{\partial \phi_{m}(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} |_{\tilde{x} = \theta_{m}e_{x}} \theta_{m} \dot{e}_{x} \right]$$

$$-B \frac{\partial \phi(\tilde{x})}{\partial \tilde{x}} |_{\tilde{x} = \theta_{e_{x}}} \theta \dot{e}_{x}$$
(8)

Henceforth, for shorter notation the term in big square brackets will now be called  $\xi$ .

Recall,  $\dot{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $e_x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Sigma^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and we desire to solve for  $\dot{\theta}$ . The solution of this equation is given by equation (9), which corresponds to the MIMO nonlinear MRAC update law. Note,  $e_x^\dagger$  is the pseudo-inverse of  $e_x$ , which is defined as  $e_x^\dagger = 0$ , if  $e_x = 0$ , and  $e_x^\dagger = e_x^T (e_x^T e_x)^{-1}$  otherwise.

$$\dot{\theta} = \Sigma^{\dagger} \xi e_x^{\dagger} \tag{9}$$

This system of equations always has an infinite number of solutions, except if  $e_x=0$ , and simultaneously  $\Sigma^\dagger \xi \neq 0$ . Whenever, this case occurs, we propose to not update the weights of the neural network. However, when there is an infinite number of solutions, we can choose values for  $\dot{\theta}$ , that satisfy this equation. For example, we could choose the solution that minimizes the spectral norm of  $\dot{\theta}$ . The idea is based on [2], [3], where it was proposed to minimize the spectral norm of the Jacobian of the NN, to improve its robustness properties. In our case, this would correspond to taking the solution, where  $\dot{\theta}$  has the smallest maximum singular value. By minimizing the norm, we ensure that no direction in the weight space undergoes excessively large changes during training. This can help in preventing sudden changes of  $\theta$ , and aid towards a more smooth learning process.

## B. System Model

The proposed method is simulated and implemented on a sea-saw-like system, as shown in fig. 1.




Fig. 2: Lyapunov function and convergence of the system w.r.t. internal states and dynamics




Fig. 3: Evolution of parameters over time

The dynamics of the system are given by the following:

$$\ddot{\theta} = \frac{1}{J} (L_1 F_1 - L_2 F_2 - f_r \dot{\theta} - d_1 \cos(\theta) F_{G1} + d_2 \cos(\theta) F_{G2})$$
(10)

with forces  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  produced by the propellors, inertia J, friction  $f_r$ , and gravity terms  $F_{G1}$ ,  $F_{G2}$ .

We need a model that maps the pwm signal to the force!!! The resulting linearized system is given by:

$$f(x,u) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \ddot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{f_r}{J} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{L_1}{J} & -\frac{L_2}{J} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

# V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section the simulation results are presented. The simulated system is a pure double integrator with two states and two inputs. The model reference is chosen to be

### VI. IMPLEMENTATION ON A SYSTEM

VII. CONCLUSION

# REFERENCES

[1] H. K. Khalil, *Nonlinear systems*, 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice Hall, 2002, ISBN: 978-0-13-067389-3.




Fig. 4: Lyapunov function

- [2] Y. Yoshida and T. Miyato, Spectral norm regularization for improving the generalizability of deep learning, 2017. arXiv: 1705.10941 [stat.ML]. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.10941.
- [3] A. Johansson, N. Engsner, C. Strannegård, and P. Mostad, "Improved Spectral Norm Regularization for Neural Networks," in *Modeling Decisions for Artificial Intelligence*, V. Torra and Y. Narukawa, Eds., Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 181–201, ISBN: 978-3-031-33498-6.