Christoph Windheuser, April 28, 2021

Supervised Learning

Definition of *Supervised Learning*:
(Goodfellow et.al. Deep Learning Book, p. 105)

Supervised learning involves observing several examples $X = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}\}$ of a random vector $\mathbf{x}$ and associated values $Y = \{\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}\}$ of a random vector $\mathbf{y}$, and learning to predict $\mathbf{y}$ from $\mathbf{x}$, usually by estimating $p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$.

Cost Function

In order to train the desired behavior of a machine learning model with a set of parameters θ it is important to define the right *cost function*, as the gradient descent algorithm will minimize this function. The cost function $J(\theta)$ computes a *cost value* c dependent on the model parameters θ:

$$J(\theta) = c \tag{1}$$

In case of a *classification task*, the network has to assign an n-dimensional input vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to a certain class i of k classes $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. One possibility to achieve this is to train the network to compute a *probability distribution* over all classes k for a given $\mathbf{x}$. The cost function could be the *mean square error (MSE)* of the output of the model compared to the desired output of the model.

Gradient Descent

Learning of a parameterized model is to optimize the parameters of the model in a way to minimize a *cost function* (also called *objective function, loss function* or *error function*).

As typically the optimal values of the parameters cannot be calculated directly, an iterative optimization approach is used.

If we assume that $J(\theta)$ is the cost function providing a cost value c for a parameter set θ. We want to find the optimal value for θ so that $J(\theta)$ is minimal. We use the derivative $J'(\theta)$ which gives us the slope at point θ. If the slope $J'(\theta) > 0$, decreasing θ will decrease $J(\theta)$. If the slope $J'(\theta) < 0$, increasing θ will decrease $J(\theta)$. By iteratively calculating new values for θ with:

$$\theta^{new} = \theta - \epsilon J'(\theta) \tag{2}$$

we can find at least a local minimum for $J(\theta)$ if ϵ is small enough. ϵ is called the *learning rate* and is a positive small number (usually $\epsilon << 1$).

As θ is an n-dimensional vector, the derivative is also a vector called the *gradient* $\nabla_\theta J(\theta)$. Element i of the gradient is the partial derivative of J with respect to θ_i. The iterative process of formula (2) is written:

$$\theta^{new} = \theta - \epsilon \nabla_\theta J(\theta) \tag{3}$$

This iterative technique is called *gradient descent* and is generally attributed to *Augustin-Louis Cauchy*, who first suggested it in 1847.

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

(Goodfellow et.al. Deep Learning Book, p. 150)

Nearly all *deep learning* algorithms are working with a particular version of gradient descent: *stochastic gradient descent (SGD)*.

We have a set of several examples $X = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}\}$ and $Y = \{\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}\}$ of a random vector $\mathbf{x}$ and an associated value or vector $\mathbf{y}$, and we are going to learn to predict $\mathbf{y}$ from $\mathbf{x}$ with gradient descent. We define the negative conditional log-likelihood (NLL) as our cost function $J(\theta)$ of a set of parameter θ:

$$J(\theta) = E_{x,y\sim\hat{P}_{data}}[L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \theta)] = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} L(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \theta) \tag{4}$$

L is the per-example loss:

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \theta) = -\log p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}, \theta) \tag{5}$$

For this additive cost function, the gradient descent requires the computing of all per-example losses:

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_\theta L(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \theta) \tag{6}$$

When the training size m is large, this is computational expensive or even impractical.

The idea of stochastic gradient descent is to see the gradient as an *expectation* (like in formula (4)). This expectation can can be approximately estimated using a smaller set of examples, a *minibatch* of examples $B_X = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{(m')}\}$ and $B_Y = \{\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}^{(m')}\}$ drawn uniformly from the training set. The size of the minibatch m' is typically chosen to be a small number ranging between 1 and a few hundred.

The estimate of the gradient $\mathbf{g}$ is calculated:

$$\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{m'}\nabla_\theta \sum_{i=1}^{m'} L(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \theta) \tag{7}$$

using examples $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ from the minibatch B_X and B_Y. Analog to formula (3) the parameters θ are changed along the negative estimate of the gradient $\mathbf{g}$ multiplied by the learning rate ϵ:

$$\theta^{new} = \theta - \epsilon\,\mathbf{g} \tag{8}$$