Schur duality, canonical bases, and quantum symmetric pairs of affine type

Chun-Ju Lai

University of Virginia

Oct 9, 2015



- This is a joint work [FLLLW] with Zhaobing Fan, Yiqiang Li, Li Luo, and Weigiang Wang, manuscript, 100+ pages
- In this talk I will present some key features, with details omitted
- It'll be made public on arXiv/my website (people.virginia.edu/~cl8ah/) presumably in November

Review: finite A

[Beilinson-Lusztig-MacPherson 90]

- Partial flag varieties \rightsquigarrow (geometric) realization of q-Schur algebras $\mathbf{S}_d^A(N), d \geq 1$ \rightsquigarrow canonical basis of $\mathbf{S}_d^A(N)$
- •

$$\mathbf{S}_d^A(N) \qquad (d \geq 1) \quad \overset{\text{stabilization}}{\underset{d \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow}} \quad \dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$$

$$q\text{-Schur algebra} \qquad (d \geq 1) \quad \overset{\text{stabilization}}{\underset{d \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow}} \quad \text{modified quantum group}$$

 \leadsto canonical basis of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$

Stabilization relies on two key lemmas

- Multiplication formula between an arbitrary element and a divided power of a Chevalley generator
- 2 Explicit algorithm that constructs a monomial basis with favorable properties

Review: finite B/C

- [Bao-Kujawa-Li-Wang 14]
 - **1** Quantum symmetric pairs $(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_N), \mathbf{U}^{\jmath})$ $(\mathbf{U}^{\jmath} \leq \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$: coideal subalg.) or, $\Delta(\mathbf{U}^{\jmath}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_N) \otimes \mathbf{U}^{\jmath}$

 - 3 Schur-type duality

• In [Bao-Wang 13], \odot is used to give a new approach to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory for category $\mathcal O$ of classical type \leadsto solve the irreducible character problem for type B Lie superalgebras

Affine C

Our work [FLLLW] is about affine type C

The affine $q ext{-Schur}$ algebra $\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}(N)$ of type C can be defined:

(geometric)

$$K = \text{formal Laurent series } / \mathbb{F}_q$$

$$Sp_d(K) = \text{sympletic group over } K$$

$$X_d(N) = \{ \text{ "N-step" affine partial flags of type C } \} \curvearrowleft Sp_d(K)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}(N) = \{Sp_d(K) \text{-invariant functions on } X_d(N) \times X_d(N)\}$$

(Hecke algebraic)

 $\mathcal{H}_d^{\widetilde{C}}=$ non-extended affine Hecke algebra of type C_d

$$\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}(N) = \mathsf{End}_{\mathcal{H}_d^{\widetilde{C}}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}^{\otimes d}),$$

tensor space $\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}^{\otimes d} \simeq \mathsf{sum}$ of certain permutation modules

Index set of bases

Define
$$\Xi_d := \{ A \in \mathsf{Mat}_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{N}) \mid (X1) - (X4) \}$$
, where

- (X1) (periodic) $a_{ij} = a_{i+2n,j+2n} \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z})$
- (X2) (centrosymmetric) $a_{-i,-j}=a_{ij} \quad (i,j\in\mathbb{Z})$
- (X3) a_{00} and a_{nn} are odd
- (X4) $\sum_{(i,j)\in I^+} a_{ij} = d$, $I^+ =$ "core" that determines A uniquely

Fact

$$\{Sp_d(K)\text{-orbits on }X_d\times X_d\} \stackrel{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} \Xi_d$$

 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}$ has basis $\{e_A \mid A \in \Xi_d\}$,

where $e_A =$ characteristic function on the orbit $\leftrightarrow A$

Index set of bases

Each $A \in \Xi_d$ is uniquely characterized by its entries in I^+

- $I^+ \leftrightarrow \text{red region}$, in which $a_{00} = 1$ and $a_{22} = 3$ are colored in blue
- A is also centrosym. about (n,n) (i.e., $a_{n+i,n+j}=a_{n-i,n-j}$ for $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$)

Constructing affine coideal subalgebra

Next step is to generalize the stabilization:

$$\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}(N) \qquad (d \geq 1) \quad \overset{\text{stabilization}}{\underset{d \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow}} \quad \mathcal{K}^{\widetilde{C}}(N) \quad \simeq \quad \dot{\mathbf{U}}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$$
 affine g -Schur alg.
$$\qquad \text{affine coideal subalg.}$$

Remark

- This is significantly harder in affine type $-\mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N) \supseteq \mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$, the algebra generated by divided powers of Chevalley generators only; while in finite type there is no such a difference
- $\mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$ is generated by "semisimple elements" \leadsto need a "stronger" multiplication formula.

Multiplication formula (finite A)

For matrix T, let $\widehat{T}=$ matrix obtained from T by shifting every entry up by 1 row.

Lemma (Beilinson-Lusztig-MacPherson 90)

Let B be such that $e_B \leftrightarrow \text{divided power } e_j^{(r)}$, i.e.,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} * & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & * & r & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$e_B * e_A = \sum_{\substack{T \leq A \\ (+ \text{ cond.})}} q^{(\star)} \cdot (q\text{-binomial}) \cdot e_{A-T+\widehat{T}}$$

There is also a counterpart for $f_j^{(r)}$

Multiplication formula (finite A)

Example

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} * & & \\ & * & 3 \\ & & * \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow e_2^{(3)}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have $e_B * e_A = (*)e_{A_1} + (*)e_{A_2}$, where

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 + 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 + 1 & 1 & 4 + 2 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication formula (affine A)

In affine A we already see that $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}) \subsetneq \mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}})$ (cf. [Lusztig 99])

Lemma (Du-Fu 13)

Let B be upper bidiagonal ($e_B \leftrightarrow$ semisimple representations of Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver), i.e.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \alpha_n & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & * & \alpha_1 & & \\ & * & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \alpha_{n-1} & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$e_B * e_A = \sum_{\substack{T \leq A \\ (+\mathsf{cond.})}} q^{(\star)} \cdot \big(q\text{-binomial}\big) \cdot e_{A-T+\widehat{T}}$$

Multiplication formula (finite B/C)

For matrix $T=(t_{ij})$, let $T^{\theta}=(t^{\theta}_{ij})$ be the centro-symmetrization of T, i.e., $t^{\theta}_{ij}=t_{ij}+t_{-i,-j}$

Lemma (Bao-Kujawa-Li-Wang 14)

Let B be such that $e_B \leftrightarrow \text{divided power } e_j^{(r)}$, i.e.,

Then

$$e_B * e_A = \sum_{\substack{T \text{ s.t.} \\ T^{\theta} \leq A \\ (+\text{cond.})}} q^{(\star)} \cdot (q\text{-binomial}) \cdot e_{A - T^{\theta} + (\widehat{T})^{\theta}}$$

Multiplication formula (finite B/C)

Example

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 3 & * & 3 \\ & & * \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow e_2^{(3)}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have $e_B * e_A = (*)e_{A_1} + (*)e_{A_2}$, where

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2+3 & 1 & 2+3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2+3 & 1 & 2+3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication formula (affine C)

Theorem 1 (FLLLW 15)

Let B be tridiagonal. Then

$$e_B * e_A = \sum_{\substack{T^\theta \leq A \\ (+\mathsf{cond.})}} \sum_{\substack{S \leq T \\ (+\mathsf{cond.})}} (q-1)^{(\star)} q^{(\star\star)} \cdot \prod (q\text{-binomial}) \cdot e_{A-(T-S)^\theta + (\widetilde{T-S})^\theta}$$

- It covers the previous ones (finite A/B/C, affine A) as special cases
- This formula, although complicated, is explicit enough to construct a monomial basis and hence derive stabilization
- It is obtained in both geometric and Hecke-algebraic approaches

Multiplication formula (affine C)

Multiplication formula \leadsto explicit algorithm constructing a monomial basis for $\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}(N)$, with favorable properties

Theorem 2 (FLLLW 15)

- $\ \, \mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}(N)$ has a monomial and hence a canonical basis (with positivity)
- 2 Stabilization gives a monomial basis and a "stably-canonical" basis for the affine coideal subalgebra $\mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$
- Surprisingly, the stably-canonical basis for $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$ does NOT have positivity (this is observed in [Li-Wang 15] for finite and affine \mathfrak{gl}_N)
- Meanwhile, the canonical basis for $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N)$ has positivity (wrt multiplication and comultiplication), see [Fan-Li 15] for positivity comult. in finite type C

Schur type duality

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N) &\subsetneq & \mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N) \\ &\text{affine coideal subalg.} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & \mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}} & \overset{\psi}{\curvearrowright} & \mathbb{V}^{\otimes d} & \overset{\varphi}{\backsim} & \mathcal{H}_d^{\widetilde{C}} \\ & \text{affine q-Schur alg.} \end{split}$$

Geometrically, $\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_d^{\widetilde{C}}$ can be realized as convolution algebras of partial/complete flags.

Proposition (FLLLW 15)

The algebras $\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_d^{\widetilde{C}}$ satisfy double centralizer property. That is,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{End}_{\psi(\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}})}(\mathbb{V}^{\otimes d}) & = & \varphi(\mathcal{H}_d^{\widetilde{C}}) \\ & \psi(\mathbf{S}_d^{\widetilde{C}}) & = & \operatorname{End}_{\varphi(\mathcal{H}_d^{\widetilde{C}})}(\mathbb{V}^{\otimes d}) \end{array}$$

Quantum symmetric pairs

Proposition (FLLLW 15)

- $\textbf{1} \ (\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N),\mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)) \text{ form a quantum symmetric pair}$
- 2 $(\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N),\mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_N))$ form a quantum symmetric pair

Namely,
$$\Delta(\mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)) \subset \mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N) \otimes \mathbf{U}^{\widetilde{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$$
, similar for \widehat{sl}_N

Remark

Similar to the fact that there are two versions (i.e., $\mathbf{U}^{\imath}, \mathbf{U}^{\jmath}$) for finite B/C, the affinization above has four versions (i.e., $\mathbf{U}^{\jmath\jmath}, \mathbf{U}^{\jmath\imath} \simeq \mathbf{U}^{\imath\jmath}, \mathbf{U}^{\imath\imath}$) depending on "fixed points of involution". In this talk we demonstrated the $\jmath\jmath$ version.

Thank you for your attention