An elementary approach to monomial and canonical bases of quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n

Chun-Ju Lai

University of Virginia

March 7, 2015



(joint work with Li LUO (Shanghai))

Outline

1 Finite type A [Beilinson-Lusztig-MacPherson 90]

Construct: quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n and canonical basis Essential: construction of a monomial basis

Affine type A

Construct: quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n and canonical basis (new) Construct a monomial basis in the BLM sense \Rightarrow easy construction of canonical basis of quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n

March 7, 2015

Finite type A

Fix $n \ge 1$, for each $d \ge 1$:

- $GL_d = GL_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- $X_d = \{n\text{-step flags in }\mathbb{F}_q^d\}$

Fact

$$\{GL_d\text{-orbits on }X_d \times X_d\} \stackrel{\text{1:1}}{\longleftrightarrow} \Theta_d := \{A \in \mathsf{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{N}) \mid \Sigma a_{ij} = d\}$$

Define q-Schur algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_d := \{GL_d \text{-invariant functions on } X_d \times X_d\}$$

 S_d has basis {characteristic function on $A \mid A \in \Theta_d$ }

Finite type A

 \mathcal{S}_d also has following bases:

- **1** standard basis $\{[A] \mid A \in \Theta_d\}$, each [A]: normalized characteristic function on A
- 2 monomial basis $\{m_A \mid A \in \Theta_d\}$ satisfying
 - $\overline{m}_A = m_A$
 - $m_A = [A] +$ lower terms
- 3 canonical basis: follows readily from monomial basis

Finite type A

Proposition/definition [BLM]

For each $A \in \Theta_d$, there are "divided power" matrices B_i such that

$$m_A := \prod_i [B_i] = [A] +$$
lower terms,

each $B_i = \operatorname{diag} + rE_{j,j+1}$ or $\operatorname{diag} + rE_{j+1,j}$, $r \ge 1$, i.e.

$$B_i = \begin{bmatrix} * & & & \\ & * & r & \\ & & * & \\ & & & * \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} * & & & \\ & * & & \\ & r & * & \\ & & & * \end{bmatrix},$$

 $(B_i \text{ corresponds to divided power } e_i^{(r)} \text{ or } f_i^{(r)})$

Finite type A, example

• n = 3,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \Theta_6$$

We have $[B_1][A_1] = [A] +$ lower terms, where

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} * & & \\ & * & \\ & 3 & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ 1+3 & 0 & \\ \uparrow & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

divided power $f_2^{(3)}$

Finite type A, example

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ 4 & 0 & \\ & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have $[B_2][A_2] = [A_1] +$ lower terms, where

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 4 & * \\ & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0+4 \\ \uparrow & 0 \\ & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = B_3$$

$$\updownarrow \qquad \qquad \updownarrow$$
 divided power $f_1^{(4)}$ divided power $f_2^{(2)}$

Therefore

$$m_A = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} + \text{lower terms}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$$

Finite type A, stabilization

Outline

- $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1} \quad \text{Finite type } A \\ q\text{-Schur algebras} \\ \text{A monomial basis} \\ \text{Quantum } \mathfrak{gl}_n \end{array}$
- 2 Affine type A

 Quantum affine st.

Quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n v.s. Quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n Affine q-Schur algebra A monomial basis Quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n

March 7, 2015

10 / 19

Affine type A

Remark

- **1** For finite type A, divided powers generate quantum \mathfrak{sl}_n (\simeq quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n).
- ② For affine type A, divided powers only generate quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n ($\not\simeq$ quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n). In order to generate the entire quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n , we need semisimple elements, i.e., those corresponding to semisimple representations in the presentation via Double Hall algebra of cyclic quiver.

Fix $n \ge 0$, for each $d \ge 0$:

$$\bullet \ \Theta_d^{\mathrm{aff}} := \{A \in \mathsf{Mat}_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{N}) \mid (T1), (T2)\}$$

$$a_{ij} = a_{i+n,j+n}$$
 for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

 \Rightarrow each $A\in\Theta^{\mathrm{aff}}_d$ is uniquely characterized by any $n\times\mathbb{Z}$ submatrix

Example $(n=\overline{2})$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{0} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{0} & -\frac{1}{0} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{1} & 2 & -\frac{1}{0} & -\frac{1}{0} & -\frac{1}{1} & 2 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -\frac{1}{0} & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{0} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{0} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{0} & -\frac{1}{1} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{1} & -\frac$$

(T2)
$$\sum_{1 \le i \le n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} = d.$$

12 / 19

Affine type A

```
\mathcal{H}_d: extended affine Hecke algebra of type A
```

 $\mathcal{S}_d^{\mathsf{aff}}$: affine q-Schur algebra

:= Endomorphism algebra of a sum of certain permutation modules for \mathcal{H}_d

Remark

This definition via Hecke algebras is equivalent to the geometric one, and there is also a natural way to define

 $\textbf{ 1} \ \, \text{standard basis} \ \{[A] \mid A \in \Theta_d^{\mathrm{aff}}\}. \\ \text{ each } [A] \colon \text{normalized characteristic function on } A$

Theorem/definition [Lai-Luo]

For each $A \in \Theta_d^{\text{aff}}$, we have an explicit algorithm producing matrices B_i such that

$$m_A := \prod_i [B_i] = [A] +$$
lower terms,

each $B_i = \text{diag} + \sum \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}$ or $\text{diag} + \sum \alpha_i E_{i+1,i}$, $R \ge 1$, i.e.,

March 7, 2015

13 / 19

Affine type A, example

• n = 2

We have $[A] = [B_1][A_1] +$ lower terms, where

Affine type A, example

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & 1 & & & \\ -3 & -6 & & & \\ -1 & -0 & -1 & \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have $[A_1] = [B_2][A_2] +$ lower terms, where

Therefore

$$\begin{array}{cccc} m_A = & [B_1] & [B_2] & [B_3] & = [A] + \text{ lower terms} \\ & \updownarrow & \updownarrow & \updownarrow \\ & e_0^{(2)} & S_{(1,6)} & f_0^{(3)} \end{array}$$

Remark

The (new) construction is based on an observation on certain "admissible" pairs (B,A) of matrices such that

- ullet [B] corresponds to a semisimple element
- $\overline{[B]} = [B]$
- [B][A] = [M] +lower terms

Therefore, we have

- $2 m_A = [A] + lower terms$

which leads to the canonical basis for $\mathcal{S}_d^{\mathsf{aff}}$

Remark

Our algorithm of constructing monomial basis can be adapted from affine q-Schur algebra to quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n .

affine
$$q$$
-Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_d^{\mathrm{aff}} \stackrel{\mathsf{stabilization}}{\Longrightarrow} \mathsf{BLM}$ algebra $\mathcal{K}^{\mathsf{aff}} \simeq \dot{\mathbf{U}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ monomial basis monomial basis
$$\ \ \, \downarrow \\ \mathsf{canonical} \ \mathsf{basis} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \mathsf{canonical} \ \mathsf{basis} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{canonical} \ \mathsf{basis}$$

Theorem

The canonical basis for quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n exists.

Remark

In [Du-Fu 2014], there is another construction of a monomial basis for $\mathcal{S}_d^{\mathrm{aff}}$:

- a monomial basis of Ringle-Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver due to Deng-Du-Xiao (difficult)
- 2 a monomial basis for Double Hall algebra \mathfrak{D} .
- ${f 3}$ a monomial basis for ${\cal S}_d^{
 m aff}$ via a surjection ${\mathfrak D} woheadrightarrow {\cal S}_d^{
 m aff}$

Thank you for your attention