Questions

This test exercise is of an applied nature and uses data that are available in the data file TestExer3. We consider the so-called Taylor rule for setting the (nominal) interest rate. This model describes the level of the nominal interest rate that the central bank sets as a function of equilibrium real interest rate and inflation, and considers the current level of inflation and production. Taylor (1993) considers the model:

$$i_t = r^* + \pi_t + 0.5(\pi_t - \pi^*) + 0.5g_t$$

with i_t the Federal funds target interest rate at time t, r_* the equilibrium real federal funds rate, πt a measureof inflation, π_* the target inflation rate and gt the output gap (how much actual output deviates from potential output). We simplify the Taylor rule in two manners. First, we avoid determining r_* and π_* and simply add an intercept to the model to capture these two variables (and any other deviations in the means). Second, we consider production yy rather than the output gap. In this form the Taylor rule is

$$i_t = \beta 1 + \beta 2\pi_t + \beta_3 yt + \varepsilon_t$$

Monthly data are available for the USA over the period 1960 through 2014 for the following variables:

· INTRATE: Federal funds interest rate

• INFL: Inflation

• PROD: Production • UNEMPL: Unemployment

COMMPRI: Commodity prices

• PCE: Personal consumption expenditure

PERSINC: Personal incomeHOUST: Housing starts

(a) Use general-to-specific to come to a model. Start by regressing the federal funds rate on the other 7 variables and eliminate 1 variable at a time.

In [1]:

```
%matplotlib inline
import sys
sys.path.append('/Users/CJ/Documents/bitbucket/xforex v1/xforex v3')
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from datetime import datetime
from xforex.BackTesting.econometrics tools import Econometrics Tool
import numpy as np
dat = pd.read csv(
        '/Users/CJ/Documents/bitbucket/xforex v1/xforex v3/training/econometric
s/week3-model-specifiction/TestExer3-TaylorRule-round1.txt',
       sep='\t').drop(['Unnamed: 9', 'Unnamed: 10','Unnamed: 11','Unnamed:
12', 'Unnamed: 13'], axis = 1)
dat.describe()
q2s model = \
Econometrics Tool().iter linear fit(dat[['PROD', 'INFL', 'UNEMPL', 'COMMPRI', 'PCE',
ERSINC','HOUST']], \
                dat['INTRATE'])
print q2s model.summary()
```

eliminate column: UNEMPL eliminate column: PROD

OLS Regression Results

=========	:=======		======	====	=========	=======
Dep. Variabl	.e:	INTRA	ATE I	R-sqi	uared:	
0.637					_	
Model: 0.635		(OLS A	Adj.	R-squared:	
Method:		Least Squar	res I	F_st;	atistic:	
229.9		Toube bquui			20150101	
Date:	Tu	ie, 13 Sep 20	016 I	Prob	(F-statistic):	
2.03e-141						
Time:		15:45:	:59 I	Log-I	Likelihood:	
-1450.2						
No. Observat 2912.	lons:	6	660 <i>I</i>	AIC:		
2912. Df Residuals	•	6	654 I	BTC:		
2939.	•	`	JJ4 1	510.		
Df Model:			5			
Covariance T	'ype:	nonrobu	ıst			
		:=======	=====	====		=======
=======	goof	ctd orr		+	P> t	105 N9 C
onf. Int.]	COEI				F> U	[93.0% C
const	-0.2401	0.230	-1.0	042	0.298	-0.692
0.212						
INFL	0.7175	0.057	12.5	555	0.000	0.605
0.830 COMMPRI	-0.0075	0.003	_2.8	841	0.005	-0.013
-0.002	0.00,3	0.003	2.0		0.003	0.013
PCE	0.3405	0.059	5.7	756	0.000	0.224
0.457						
PERSINC	0.2402	0.059	4.0	048	0.000	0.124
0.357						

-0.0205 0.004 -4.678 0.000

23.848 Durbin-Watson:

Omnibus:

HOUST

0.100
Prob(Omnibus):
0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB):

31.255

-0.012

Skew: 0.354 Prob(JB):

1.63e-07

Kurtosis: 3.797 Cond. No.

94.1

========

Warnings:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

(b) Use specific-to-general to come to a model. Start by regressing the federal funds rate on only a constant and add 1 variable at a time. Is the model the same as in (a)?

ans: below code shows to add 1 variable at a time and find the best combinations of variables. And the model seems the same as (a)

```
In [2]:
```

Final model:

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable: INTRATE R-squared:

0.637

Model: OLS Adj. R-squared:

0.635

Method: Least Squares F-statistic:

229.9

Tue, 13 Sep 2016 Prob (F-statistic): Date:

2.03e-141

Time: 15:45:59 Log-Likelihood:

-1450.2

No. Observations: 660 AIC:

2912.

Df Residuals: 654 BIC:

2939.

=======

Df Model: 5

Covariance Type: nonrobust

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[95.0% C
onf. Int.]					
const	-0.2401	0.230	-1.042	0.298	-0.692
0.212 INFL	0.7175	0.057	12.555	0.000	0.605
0.830					
COMMPRI -0.002	-0.0075	0.003	-2.841	0.005	-0.013
PCE 0.457	0.3405	0.059	5.756	0.000	0.224
0.457 PERSINC 0.357	0.2402	0.059	4.048	0.000	0.124
HOUST	-0.0205	0.004	-4.678	0.000	-0.029

========

23.848 Durbin-Watson: Omnibus:

0.100

-0.012

Prob(Omnibus): 0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB):

31.255

Skew: 0.354 Prob(JB):

1.63e-07

Kurtosis: 3.797 Cond. No.

=======

Warnings:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

In [3]:

```
from tabulate import tabulate
print '|specific to general model:'
print tabulate(pd.DataFrame(s2g_model.params), headers='keys', tablefmt='psql')

print '\n|general to specific model:'
print tabulate(pd.DataFrame(g2s_model.params), headers='keys', tablefmt='psql')
```

|specific to general model:

+	++
	0
	+
const	-0.240119
INFL	0.717527
COMMPRI	-0.00750067
PCE	0.340525
PERSINC	0.240242
HOUST	-0.0205297
+	++

|general to specific model:

+	++
	0
	+
const	-0.240119
INFL	0.717527
COMMPRI	-0.00750067
PCE	0.340525
PERSINC	0.240242
HOUST	-0.0205297
+	++

(c) Compare your model from (a) and the Taylor rule of equation (1). Consider R^2, AIC and BIC. Which of the models do you prefer? **ans:** Taylor rule:

```
federalFundsRate_t = const + b1 * inflation + b2 * production + \varepsilon_t
```

Please change below for the model comparison results. Model from (a) are better when comparing R^2, AIC and BIC

In [4]:

	taylor	+ general_to_specific
r-square	0.574701	0.637361
aic	3011.62	2912.42
bic	3025.09	2939.38

(d) Test the Taylor rule of equation (1) using the RESET test, Chow break and forecast test (with in both tests as break date January 1980) and a Jarque-Bera test. What do you conclude?

ans:

(1)In RESET Test, p value is 0.11. null assumption cannot be rejected at 5% level. (2) In Chow break and forecast test, p value is 7.62693e-74 and 0.0647282, which suggests the possible instability of the taylor. (3) In Jarque-Bera test, p value is 0.00198523, null assuption is rejected at 5% level, which suggests not-normality of error terms

In [5]:

```
# after 1980 model test
from scipy import stats
from statsmodels.stats.outliers influence import reset ramsey
from datetime import datetime
dat.index = dat.OBS.map(lambda x: datetime.strptime(x, '%Y:%m'))
df_stat = pd.DataFrame(index=['jarque_bera test', 'RESET', 'Chow-break', 'Chow-f
orcast'])
model =taylor model
# RESET TEST: for higher degree dependency
RESET test = [str(reset ramsey(model, degree=2)).split(",")[0], \
              str(reset_ramsey(model, degree=2)).split(",")[1].split("=")[1]]
# chow break: stability
# dat.index = dat['Year']
chow break = Econometrics Tool().chow break(dat[['PROD', 'INFL']],
dat['INTRATE'], datetime(1980,1,1))
# chow forcast:
# dat.index = dat['Year']
chow_forcast = Econometrics_Tool().chow_forcast(dat[['PROD', 'INFL']], dat['INTR
ATE'], 0.2)
df stat['stat'] = [stats.jarque_bera(model.resid)[0], RESET_test[0] , chow_break[
] ,chow forcast[0]]
df stat['p-value'] = [stats.jarque bera(model.resid)[1], RESET test[1], chow bre
ak[1] ,chow_forcast[1]]
print tabulate(df_stat, headers='keys', tablefmt='psql')
```

OLS Regression Results

______ ======= Dep. Variable: INTRATE R-squared: 0.856 Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.856 F-statistic: Method: Least Squares 1961. Tue, 13 Sep 2016 Date: Prob (F-statistic): 6.08e-278 Time: 15:45:59 Log-Likelihood: -1527.1 No. Observations: 660 AIC: 3058. Df Residuals: 658 BIC: 3067. Df Model: 2 Covariance Type: nonrobust ______ coef std err t P>|t| [95.0% C onf. Int.] -----PROD 0.1596 0.018 8.819 0.000 0.124 0.195 1.1583 0.021 55.658 0.000 1.199 ______ ======== Omnibus: 3.527 Durbin-Watson: 0.069 Prob(Omnibus): 0.171 Jarque-Bera (JB): 3.597 Skew: 0.165 Prob(JB): 0.166 Kurtosis: 2.851 Cond. No. ______ ======== Warnings: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. OBS 1980-02-01 14.13 1980-03-01 17.19 1980-04-01 17.61 1980-05-01 10.98 9.47 1980-06-01 Name: INTRATE, dtype: float64 OLS Regression Results ______ ======= Dep. Variable: INTRATE R-squared: 0.954

http://localhost:8889/nbconvert/html/Test3.ipynb?download=false

9/13/2016

Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.954 Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 2504. Date: Tue, 13 Sep 2016 Prob (F-statistic): 5.00e-161

Time: 15:45:59 Log-Likelihood:

-412.38

No. Observations: AIC: 241

828.8

Df Residuals: 239 BIC:

835.7

Df Model: 2

Covariance Type: nonrobust

========

onf. Int.] ______

coef std err t P>|t| [95.0% C

0.1997 0.014 14.727 0.000 PROD 0.173 0.226

INFL 0.9588 0.016 59.867 0.000 0.927

0.990

========

4.345 Durbin-Watson: Omnibus:

0.144

Prob(Omnibus): 0.114 Jarque-Bera (JB):

4.179

-0.322 Prob(JB): Skew:

0.124

Kurtosis: 3.046 Cond. No.

1.49

Warnings:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

OLS Regression Results

========

Dep. Variable: INTRATE R-squared:

0.837

Model: OLS Adj. R-squared:

0.836

Method: Least Squares F-statistic:

1069.

Tue, 13 Sep 2016 Prob (F-statistic): Date:

6.83e-165

Time: 15:45:59 Log-Likelihood:

-1002.7

No. Observations: 419 AIC:

2009.

Df Residuals: 417 BIC:

2017.

Df Model: 2

Covariance Type	e:	nonrobu	ıst			
======================================	coef	std err		t	P> t	[95.0% C
PROD		0.029				0.067
0.181 INFL 1.428	1.3650	0.032	42	.444	0.000	1.302
======== ========	======	========	====	======	========	
Omnibus: 0.081		7.0	09	Durbin	-Watson:	
Prob(Omnibus): 7.091		0.0	30	Jarque	e-Bera (JB):	
Skew: 0.0289		-0.3	318	Prob(J	B):	
Kurtosis: 1.40		2.9	080	Cond.	No.	
Warnings: [1] Standard E: is correctly : n2: 132			e cov	ariance	e matrix of t	the errors
=========	======	OLS Reg				
======= Dep. Variable: 0.887		INTRA	ΔTE	R-squa	red:	
Model: 0.886		C	LS	Adj. R	-squared:	
Method:		Least Squar	es	F-stat	istic:	
Date: 1.75e-249	Tu	ie, 13 Sep 20	16	Prob (F-statistic):
Time: -1210.0		15:45:	59	Log-Li	kelihood:	
No. Observation 2424.	ns:	5	28	AIC:		
Df Residuals:		5	26	BIC:		
Df Model:			2			
Covariance Typ	e:	nonrobu	ıst			
=======================================						
onf. Int.]		std err				
PROD 0.253	0.2152	0.019	11	.105	0.000	0.177

56.269 0.000

1.1803

0.021

INFL

1.139

1,222

========

Warnings:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

OLS Regression Results

=======

Dep. Variable: INTRATE R-squared:

0.545

Model: OLS Adj. R-squared:

0.538

Method: Least Squares F-statistic:

77.87

Date: Tue, 13 Sep 2016 Prob (F-statistic):

5.87e-23

Time: 15:45:59 Log-Likelihood:

-255.11

No. Observations: 132 AIC:

514.2

Df Residuals: 130 BIC:

520.0

Df Model: 2

Covariance Type: nonrobust

========

Omnibus: 16.983 Durbin-Watson:

0.052

Prob(Omnibus): 0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB):

20.201

Skew: 0.957 Prob(JB):

4.11e-05

Kurtosis: 3.094 Cond. No.

2.24

=======

Warnings:

 $\[1\]$ Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.