

## Background

Code to calculate the sheath admittance

$ytot(w, wci, bx, xi, j)$

where normalized (dimensionless) variables are used throughout

$w$  = rf frequency

$wci$  = ion cyclotron frequency

$bx$  = normal component of  $b$ , ( $bx = 1$  for perp. sheath)

$xi = e V_{rf} / T_e$  where  $V_{rf} = 0$  to peak amplitude (not peak-to-peak)

$j = J_{dc} / (ni_0 e cs) =$  normalized DC current density flowing through the sheath

Individual contributions are

$ye(bx, xi)$  = electron admittance

$yd(w, wci, bx, xi)$  = displacement admittance

$yi(w, wci, bx, xi)$  = ion admittance

The sheath impedance is  $z = 1/ytot$ . To convert dimensionless impedance  $z$  to CGS or SI units see

J. R. Myra and D. A. D'Ippolito, Physics of Plasmas 22, 062507 (2015), Appendix A

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4922848>

The code is based upon work described in

Physics-based parametrization of the surface impedance for radio frequency sheaths

J. R. Myra

Physics of Plasmas 24, 072507 (2017)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4990373>

This version (v3.2) implements an upgrade to include the JDC as described in

Effect of net direct current on the properties of radio frequency sheaths: simulation and cross-code comparison

J. R. Myra, M.T. Elias, D. Curreli, and T. G. Jenkins

submitted to Nucl. Fusion

[http://www.iodestar.com/LRCreports/Myra\\_DC\\_current\\_carrying\\_RF\\_sheaths\\_LRC-20-186.pdf](http://www.iodestar.com/LRCreports/Myra_DC_current_carrying_RF_sheaths_LRC-20-186.pdf)

## version history

### v3.2

replaces  $1-j$  with  $1-j/\text{upar0}$  where  $j$  is the DC current. This produces better quality fits than v3.1. For ye, change the leading coefficient from 1.161585 to 1.05704

### v3.1

replaces  $1-j$  with  $\text{upar0}-j$  where  $j$  is the DC current

### v3

v3 adds the optional independent variable  $j_{dc}$  describing the net dc current through the sheath, using the model discussed in “RF sheaths that carry net DC current” This model is justified for the low frequency limit, however here it is applied in general. Tests will reveal how well it does and what modifications are needed.

v3 also generalizes to arbitrary  $\mu$ , where  $\mu$  is a global parameter. The only changes occur in  $\text{ff}[\ ]$  and  $\text{niw}[\ ]$ . Although  $\text{ff}[\ ]$  should depend on  $\mu$  only through the additive term  $\text{Log}[\mu]$ , unfortunately, the fits were done for  $\mu = 24.17$  with the  $\text{Log}[\mu]$  in the numerator of a more complication fraction. Nevertheless, the way the generalization is done here should be correct.

### v2

v2 protects constant parameters inside  $\text{Module}[\ ]$  functions to prevent trouble when the same names are used by calling codes.

### v1

functions extracted from  
database analysis.nb

#### important note:

**The order of the arguments in these functions is different that in database analysis.nb. It is consistent with the python version of these functions in sheath\_param.py**

$\xi$  is the 0 - peak rf voltage throughout

---

## fits

all fits done for Deuterium with  $\mu = 24.17$  but here generalized analytically to arbitrary  $\mu$

```
In[2]:=  $\mu = 24.17$  (* default value, can override in main code *)
```

```
Out[2]= 24.17
```

```
In[3]:= upar0 = 1.1;
```

## phi0avg

```
In[4]:= ff[ $\xi$ _, j_] := Module[{a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, phipars},
  phipars = {a1  $\rightarrow$  3.7028540109659485`, a2  $\rightarrow$  3.8199078552022536`,
    b1  $\rightarrow$  1.133522930502336`, b2  $\rightarrow$  1.2417092549137196`, a3  $\rightarrow$  2. b2 /  $\pi$ };
  
$$\frac{\text{Log}[\mu] + \xi a1 + \xi^2 a2 + \xi^3 a3}{1 + \xi b1 + \xi^2 b2} - \text{Log}[1 - j / \text{upar0}] + \text{Log}\left[\frac{\mu}{24.17}\right] /. \text{phipars}$$

]
```

```
In[5]:= ff[ $\xi$ _] := ff[ $\xi$ , 0]
```

```
In[6]:= gg[ $\omega$ _] := Module[{c0, c1, ggpars},
  ggpars = {c0  $\rightarrow$  0.966463, c1  $\rightarrow$  0.141639};
  c0 + c1 * Tanh[ $\omega$ ] /. ggpars
]
```

```
In[7]:= phi0avg[ $\omega$ _,  $\xi$ _, j_] := ff[gg[ $\omega$ ]  $\xi$ , j]
```

```
In[8]:= phi0avg[ $\omega$ _,  $\xi$ _] := phi0avg[ $\omega$ ,  $\xi$ , 0]
```

## niIavg

niw = ion density at the wall for a static sheath

vv is the total dc potential drop

```
In[9]:= niw[ $\Omega$ _, bx_, vv_] := Module[{arg, ggg,  $\Phi$ p,  $\Omega$  $\Phi$ , d0, d1, d2, d3, d4, v1, fff, nipars},
  nipars = {d0  $\rightarrow$  0.7944430930529499`, d1  $\rightarrow$  0.803531266389172`,
    d2  $\rightarrow$  0.18237897510951012`, d3  $\rightarrow$  0.9957212047604492`, v1  $\rightarrow$  1.4555923231100891`};
  arg = Sqrt[( $\mu^2$  bx2 + 1) / ( $\mu^2$  + 1)];
  fff = 
$$\frac{-\text{Log}[arg]}{1 + d3 \Omega^2}$$
;
   $\Phi$ p = vv - fff;
  If[ $\Phi$ p < 0,  $\Phi$ p = 0; Print[" $\Phi$ p<0"]];
   $\Omega$  $\Phi$  =  $\Omega$   $\Phi$ p1/4;
  d4 = d22 / ( $\mu^2$  d02 - d22);
  
$$\frac{d0}{d2 + \Phi p^{1/2}} \left( \frac{bx^2 + d4 + d1^2 \Omega \Phi^2 v1}{1 + d4 + d1^2 \Omega \Phi^2 v1} \right)^{1/2} /. \text{nipars}$$

]
```

niw $\omega$  is the ion density at the wall for an rf sheath

$\xi$  is the 0-peak rf voltage

```

In[10]:= niw[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_, j_] := Module[{phiavg, philowω, phimod, k0, k1, niwpars},
  niwpars = {k0 → 3.7616962640756197`, k1 → 0.2220204461728174`};
  phiavg = phi0avg[ω, ξ, j];
  philowω = k0 + k1 (ξ - k0) - Log[1 - j/upar0] /. niwpars;
  phimod = philowω + (phiavg - philowω) Tanh[ω];
  Re[niw[Ω, bx, phimod]]
]

In[11]:= niw[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_] := niw[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, 0]

```

yd

```

In[12]:= yd[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_, j_] := Module[{phi0a, niwωa, Δ, s0, ydpars},
  ydpars = {s0 → 1.1241547327789232`};
  phi0a = phi0avg[ω, ξ, j];
  niwωa = niw[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, j];
  Δ = Sqrt[phi0a/niwωa];
  
$$\frac{-I s_0 \omega}{\Delta} /. ydpars$$

]

In[13]:= yd[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_] := yd[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, 0]

```

ye

```

In[14]:= he[ξ_] := Module[{h1, h2, g1, g2, g3, hepars},
  hepars = {h1 → 0.607405123251634`, h2 → 0.3254965671158986`,
    g1 → 0.6243920388599393`, g2 → 0.5005946718280853`, g3 → (π/4.) h2};
  
$$\frac{1 + \xi h_1 + \xi^2 h_2}{1 + \xi g_1 + \xi^2 g_2 + \xi^3 g_3} /. hepars$$

]

yepars = {h0 -> 1.161585};
ye[bx_, ξ_] := h0 Abs[bx] he[ξ] /. yepars

```

```

In[15]:= ye[bx_, ξ_, j_] := 1.05704235 Abs[bx] he[ξ] (1 - j/upar0)

```

```

In[16]:= ye[bx_, ξ_] := ye[bx, ξ, 0]

```

```

In[17]:= ye[0.4, 3.6]

```

```

Out[17]= 0.144533

```

yi

```

yipars = {p0 -> 1.0555369617763768`, p1 -> 0.7976591020008023`, p2 -> 1.47404874815277`, p3 ->
0.8096145628336325`};

```

```

In[18]:= yi[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_, j_] := Module[
  {phi0a, niwωa, ωcup, γcup, ε, gsmall, yi0, parp0, parp1, parp2, parp3, yipars},
  yipars = {parp0 → 1.0555369617763768`, parp1 → 0.7976591020008023`,
    parp2 → 1.47404874815277`, parp3 → 0.8096145628336325`};
  phi0a = phi0avg[ω, ξ, j];
  niwωa = niwω[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, j];
  ωcup = parp3 ω / Sqrt[niwωa];
  γcup = Abs[bx] / (niwωa Sqrt[phi0a]);
  ε = 0.0001;
  (* gg=Which[bx==1,1,ω==Ω,N[1099],True,(ω2-bx2Ω2)/(ω2-Ω2)] ; *)
  gsmall = (ω2 - bx2 Ω2 + I ε) / (ω2 - Ω2 + I ε);
  yi0 = niwωa / Sqrt[phi0a];
  parp0 yi0  $\frac{I \omega_{cup}}{\omega_{cup}^2 / gsmall - parp1 + I parp2 \gamma_{cup} \omega_{cup}}$  /. yipars
]

In[19]:= yi[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_] := yi[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, 0]

```

## ytot and ztot

```

In[20]:= ytot[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_, j_] := yi[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, j] + ye[bx, ξ, j] + yd[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, j]
        ytot[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_] := ytot[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, 0]

In[22]:= ztot[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_, j_] := 1 / ytot[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, j]
        ztot[ω_, Ω_, bx_, ξ_] := ztot[ω, Ω, bx, ξ, 0]

```

---

## expected test values

for j = 0 and  $\mu = 24.17$

```
In[24]:= ff[11.5]
```

```
Out[24]= 9.83552
```

9.83553

```
In[25]:= phi0avg[0.4, 6.]
```

```
Out[25]= 6.43176
```

6.43176

```
In[26]:= niw[.2, .3, 13]
```

```
Out[26]= 0.0764645
```

0.0764645

```
In[27]:= niw[0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 13]
```

```
Out[27]= 0.140776
```

0.140776

```
In[28]:= yd[0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 13]
```

```
Out[28]= 0. - 0.0257383 i
```

0. - 0.0257383 I

```
In[29]:= he[10.5]
```

```
Out[29]= 0.120617
```

0.120617

```
In[30]:= ye[0.4, 3.6]
```

```
Out[30]= 0.144533
```

0.144533

```
In[31]:= yi[0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 13]
```

```
Out[31]= 0.00654387 - 0.0137273 i
```

0.00654387 - 0.0137273 I

```
In[32]:= ytot[0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 13]
```

```
Out[32]= 0.0477729 - 0.0394656 i
```

0.0477729-0.0394656 i