Propositional Logic Syntactic Sugar

$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi := (\neg\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge (\neg\psi \vee \varphi)$ $\quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi := \neg\varphi \vee \psi$

$\varphi \oplus \psi := (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi) \vee (\psi \wedge \neg\varphi)$ $\quad \varphi \bar{\wedge} \psi := \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$

$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta|\gamma) := (\neg\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma)$ $\quad \varphi \bar{\vee} \psi := \neg(\varphi \vee \psi)$

Distributivity: $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$
$a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$
De Morgan: $\neg(a \vee b) \equiv (\neg a \wedge \neg b)$
$\neg(a \wedge b) \equiv (\neg a \vee \neg b)$
CNF: from truth table, take minterms that are 0. Each minterm is built as an OR of the negated variables. E.g., $(0,0,1) \rightarrow (x \vee y \vee \neg z)$.

###**SAT SOLVERS**

Satisfiability, Validity and Equivalence

SAT$(\varphi) := \neg$VALID$(\neg\varphi)$ $\quad \varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi :=$ VALID$(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$

VALID$(\varphi) := (\varphi \Leftrightarrow 1)$ $\quad$ SAT$(\varphi) := \neg(\varphi \Leftrightarrow 0)$.

Sequent Calculus:
-*Validity*: start with $\{\} \vdash \phi$; valid iff $\Gamma \cap \Delta \neq \{\}$ FOR ALL leaves.
-*Satisfiability*: start with $\{\phi\} \vdash \{\}$; satisfiable iff $\Gamma \cap \Delta = \{\}$ for AT LEAST ONE leaf.
-Counterexample/sat variable assignment: var is true, if $x \in \Gamma$; false otherwise; "don't care", if variable doesn't appear.

OPER.	LEFT	RIGHT
NOT	$\frac{\neg\phi,\Gamma\vdash\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash\phi,\Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma\vdash\neg\phi,\Delta}{\phi,\Gamma\vdash\Delta}$
AND	$\frac{\phi\wedge\psi,\Gamma\vdash\Delta}{\phi,\psi,\Gamma\vdash\Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma\vdash\phi\wedge\psi,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash\phi,\Delta \qquad \Gamma\vdash\psi,\Delta}$
OR	$\frac{\phi\vee\psi,\Gamma\vdash\Delta}{\phi,\Gamma\vdash\Delta \qquad \psi,\Gamma\vdash\Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma\vdash\phi\vee\psi,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash\phi,\psi,\Delta}$

Resolution Calculus $\frac{\{\neg x\}\cup C_1 \qquad \{x\}\cup C_2}{C_1\cup C_2}$

To prove unsatisfiability of given clauses in CNF: If we reach $\{\}$, the formula is unsatisfiable. E.g., $\{\{a\},\{\neg a,b\},\{\neg b\}\}$, we get: $\{a\}+\{\neg a,b\} \rightarrow \{b\}; \{b\}+\{\neg b\} \rightarrow \{\}$ (unsatisfiable). To prove validity, prove UNSAT of negated formula.

Davis Putnam Procedure - proves SAT; To prove validity: prove unsatisfiability of negated formula.
(1) Compute Linear Clause Form
(Don't forget to create the last clause $\{x_n\}$)
(2) Last variable has to be $\underline{1}$ (true) → find implied variables.
(3) For remaining variables: assume values and compute newly implied variables.
(4) If contradiction reached: backtrack.

Linear Clause Forms (Computes CNF) - Bottom up in the syntax tree: convert "operators and variables" into new variable. E.g., $\neg a \vee b$ becomes $x_1 \leftrightarrow \neg a; x_2 \leftrightarrow x_1 \vee b$. Use rules below to find CNF.

$x \leftrightarrow \neg y \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee \neg y) \wedge (x \vee y)$

$x \leftrightarrow y_1 \wedge y_2 \Leftrightarrow$
$(\neg x \vee y_1) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$

$x \leftrightarrow y_1 \vee y_2 \Leftrightarrow$
$(\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_2)$

$x \leftrightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y_2 \Leftrightarrow$
$(x \vee y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$

$x \leftrightarrow (y_1 \leftrightarrow y_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge$
$(\neg x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$

$x \leftrightarrow y_1 \oplus y_2 \Leftrightarrow (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge$
$(\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$

```
Compose(int x, BddNode ψ, α)
 int m; BddNode h, l;
 if x>label(ψ) then
  return ψ;
 elseif x=label(ψ) then
  return ITE(α,high(ψ),
        low(ψ));
 else
  m=max{label(ψ),label(α)}
  (α0,α1):=Ops(α, m);
  (ψ0,ψ1):=Ops(ψ, m);
  h:=Compose(x,ψ1,α1);
  l:=Compose(x,ψ0,α0);
  return CreateNode(m,h,l)
 endif;end
```

```
ITE(BddNode i, j, k)
 int m; BddNode h, l;
 if i = 0 then return k
 elseif i=1 then
  return j
 elseif j=k then
  return k
 else
  m = max{label(i),
      label(j),label(k)}
  (i0,i1):=Ops(i,m);
  (j0,j1):=Ops(j,m);
  (k0,k1):=Ops(k,m);
  l:=ITE(i0,j0,k0);
  h:=ITE(i1, j1, k1);
  return CreateNode(m,h,l)
 end;end
```

Quantif. $\exists x.\varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \vee [\varphi]_x^0$ ♣ $\forall x.\varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \wedge [\varphi]_x^0$

Predecessor and Successor

$\Diamond := pre_\exists^{\mathcal{R}}(Q) := \exists x_1',...,x_n'.\varphi_{\mathcal{R}} \wedge [\varphi_Q]_{x_1,...,x_n}^{x_1',...,x_n'}$

$\overleftarrow{\Diamond} := suc_\exists^{\mathcal{R}}(Q) := [\exists x_1,...,x_n.\varphi_{\mathcal{R}} \wedge \varphi_Q]_{x_1',...,x_n'}^{x_1,...,x_n}$

$\Box = pre_\forall^{\mathcal{R}}(Q) := \forall x_1',...,x_n'.\varphi_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow [\varphi_Q]_{x_1,...,x_n}^{x_1',...,x_n'}$

$\overleftarrow{\Box} := suc_\forall^{\mathcal{R}}(Q) := [\forall x_1,...,x_n.\varphi_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \varphi_Q]_{x_1',...,x_n'}^{x_1,...,x_n}$



Example: $\Box / \overleftarrow{\Box}$

$pre_\forall^{\mathcal{R}}(\{S3,S4\}) = \{S0,S5\}$

$suc_\forall^{\mathcal{R}}(\{S3,S4\}) = \{S2,S5\}$

```
pre∀R(Q = {S1, ..., Sn})
for each node n in K:
 if(n points to a node
     that is not in Q)
   n ∉ pre∀R(Q)
 else
   n ∈ pre∀R(Q)
```

```
suc∀R(Q = {S1, ..., Sn})
for each node n in K:
 if(n is pointed by a node
     that is not in Q)
   n ∉ suc∀R(Q)
 else
   n ∈ suc∀R(Q)
```

Tarski-Knaster Theorem: $\mu :=$ starts $\perp \rightharpoonup$ least fixpoint ♠ $\nu :=$ starts $\top \rightharpoonup$ greatest fixpoint

Local Model Checking

$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \varphi\wedge\psi}{\{s\vdash_\Phi\varphi\} \quad \{s\vdash_\Phi\psi\}}\wedge$		$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \varphi\vee\psi}{\{s\vdash_\Phi\varphi\} \quad \{s\vdash_\Phi\psi\}}\vee$	
$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \Box\varphi}{\{s_1\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}...\{s_n\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}}\wedge$		$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \Diamond\varphi}{\{s_1\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}...\{s_n\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}}\vee$	
$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \overleftarrow{\Box}\varphi}{\{s_1'\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}...\{s_n'\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}}\wedge$		$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \overleftarrow{\Diamond}\varphi}{\{s_1'\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}...\{s_n'\vdash_\Phi\varphi\}}\vee$	
$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \mu x.\varphi}{s\vdash_\Phi\varphi}$	$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi \nu x.\varphi}{s\vdash_\Phi\varphi}$	$\frac{s\vdash_\Phi x}{s\vdash_\Phi \mathfrak{D}_\Phi(x)}$	$\mathfrak{D}_\Phi$(replace w. initial form.)
$\{s_1 \dots s_n\} = suc_\exists^{\mathcal{R}}(s)$ and $\{s_1' \dots s_n'\} = pre_\exists^{\mathcal{R}}(s)$			

Approximations and Ranks

If (s,$\mu x.\varphi$) repeats→return 1	$apx_0(\mu x.\varphi) := 0$
If (s,$\nu x.\varphi$) repeats→return 0	$apx_0(\nu x.\varphi) := 1$
$apx_{n+1}(\mu x.\varphi) := [\varphi]_x^{apxn(\mu x.\varphi)}$	
$apx_{n+1}(\nu x.\varphi) := [\varphi]_x^{apxn(\nu x.\varphi)}$	

###**AUTOMATA**

Automata types: G→Safety; F→Liveness; FG→Persistence/Co-Buchi; GF→Fairness/Buchi.

Automaton Determinization

$\mathbf{NDet_G \rightarrow Det_G}$: 1.Remove all states/edges that do not satisfy acceptance condition; 2.Use Subset construction (Rabin-Scott); 3.Acceptance condition will be the states where $\{\}$ is never reached.
$\{\mathbf{NDet_F}$ (partial) or $\mathbf{NDet_{prefix}}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Det_{FG}}$: Breakpoint Construction.
$\mathbf{NDet_F}$ (total)$\rightarrow \mathbf{Det_F}$: Subset Construction.
$\mathbf{NDet_{FG} \rightarrow Det_{FG}}$: Breakpoint Construction.
$\mathbf{NDet_{GF}} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{Det_{Rabin}}$ or $\mathbf{Det_{Streett}}\}$: Safra Algorithm.

Boolean Operations on ω-Automata

Complement

$\neg\mathcal{A}_\forall(Q,\mathcal{I},\mathcal{R},\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{A}_\exists(Q,\mathcal{I},\mathcal{R},\neg\mathcal{F})$

$\neg\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q,\mathcal{I},\mathcal{R},\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{A}_\forall(Q,\mathcal{I},\mathcal{R},\neg\mathcal{F})$

Conjunction

$(\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_1,\mathcal{I}_1,\mathcal{R}_1,\mathcal{F}_1) \wedge \mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_2,\mathcal{I}_2,\mathcal{R}_2,\mathcal{F}_2)) =$
$\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \wedge \mathcal{F}_2)$

Disjunction

$(\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_1,\mathcal{I}_1,\mathcal{R}_1,\mathcal{F}_1) \vee \mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_2,\mathcal{I}_2,\mathcal{R}_2,\mathcal{F}_2)) =$

$$\mathcal{A}_\exists\left(\begin{array}{c} Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \{q\}, \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{I}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{I}_2), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \neg q') \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{R}_2 \wedge q'), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{F}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{F}_2) \end{array}\right)$$

If both automata are totally defined,
$(\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_1,\mathcal{I}_1,\mathcal{R}_1,\mathcal{F}_1) \vee \mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_2,\mathcal{I}_2,\mathcal{R}_2,\mathcal{F}_2)) =$
$\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \vee \mathcal{F}_2)$

Eliminate Nesting - Acceptance condition **must** be an automata of the same type

$\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q^1,\mathcal{I}_1^1,\mathcal{R}_1^1,\mathcal{A}_\exists(Q^2,\mathcal{I}_1^2,\mathcal{R}_1^2,\mathcal{F}_1))$

$= \mathcal{A}_\exists(Q^1 \cup Q^2, \mathcal{I}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_1^2, \mathcal{R}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_1^2, \mathcal{F}_1))$

Boolean Operations of G

(1)$\neg G\varphi = F\neg\varphi$ $\quad$ (2)$G\varphi \wedge G\psi = G[\varphi \wedge \psi]$
(3)$G\varphi \vee G\psi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{p,q\}, p \wedge q,$
$[p' \leftrightarrow p \wedge \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \psi], G[p \vee q])$

Boolean Operations of F

(1)$\neg F\varphi = G\neg\varphi$ $\quad$ (2)$F\varphi \vee F\psi = F[\varphi \vee \psi]$
(3)$F\varphi \wedge F\psi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{p,q\}, \neg p \wedge \neg q,$
$[p' \leftrightarrow p \vee \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \psi], F[p \wedge q])$

Boolean Operations of FG

(1)$\neg FG\varphi = GF\neg\varphi$ $\quad$ (2)$FG\varphi \wedge FG\psi = FG[\varphi \wedge \psi]$
(3)$FG\varphi \vee FG\psi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \psi|\neg\varphi),$
$FG[\neg q \vee \psi])$

Boolean Operations of GF

(1)$\neg GF\varphi = FG\neg\varphi$ $\quad$ (2)$GF\varphi \vee GF\psi = GF[\varphi \vee \psi]$
(3)$GF\varphi \wedge GF\psi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \neg\psi|\varphi),$
$GF[q \wedge \psi])$

Transformation of Acceptance Conditions

Reduction of G

$G\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, q, \varphi \wedge q \wedge q', Fq))$
$G\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, FGq)$
$G\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, GFq)$

Reduction of F

$F\varphi$ can **not** be expressed by $NDet_G$
$F\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, FGq)$
$F\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, GFq)$

Reduction of FG

$FG\varphi$ can **not** be expressed by $NDet_G$
$FG\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists(\{q\}, \neg q, q \rightarrow \varphi \wedge q', Fq)$

$$FG\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists\left(\begin{array}{c} \{p,q\}, \qquad \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg\varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{array}\right], \\ G\neg q \wedge Fp \end{array}\right),$$

$$FG\varphi = \mathcal{A}_\exists\left(\begin{array}{c} \{p,q\}, \qquad \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg\varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{array}\right], \\ GF[p \wedge \neg q] \end{array}\right),$$

###**TEMPORAL LOGICS**

(S1)Pure LTL: AFGa
(s2)LTL + CTL: AFa
(S3)Pure CTL: AGEFa
(S4)CTL*: AFGa ∨ AGEFa

Remarks *Beware of Finite Paths*
E and A quantify over infinite paths.
Aφ <u>holds</u> on every state that has <u>no infinite path</u>;
Eφ is <u>false</u> on every state that has <u>no infinite path</u>;
A0 <u>holds</u> on states with <u>only finite paths</u>;
E1 is <u>false</u> on state with <u>only finite paths</u>;
$\Box$0 holds on states with no successor states;
$\Diamond$1 holds on states with successor states.

$F\varphi = \varphi \vee XF\varphi$ $\quad G\varphi = \varphi \wedge XG\varphi$
$[\varphi\ U\ \psi] = \psi \vee (\varphi \wedge X[\varphi\ U\ \psi])$
$[\varphi\ B\ \psi] = \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee X[\varphi\ B\ \psi])$
$[\varphi\ W\ \psi] = (\psi \wedge \varphi) \vee (\neg\psi \wedge X[\varphi\ W\ \psi])$

Weak Equivalences

$*[\varphi U \psi] := [\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \vee G\varphi$ $\quad * [\varphi B \psi] := [\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \vee G\neg\psi$
*same to past version $\quad [\varphi W \psi] := \neg[(\neg\varphi)\underline{W}\psi]$
$\overleftarrow{X}\varphi := \neg\underline{\overleftarrow{X}}\neg\varphi$ *(at t0 : weak true. strong false)*

Negation Normal Form

$\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) = \neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi$ $\quad \neg(\varphi \vee \psi) = \neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi$
$\neg\neg\varphi = \varphi$ $\quad \neg X\varphi = X\neg\varphi$
$\neg G\varphi = F\neg\varphi$ $\quad \neg F\varphi = G\neg\varphi$
$\neg[\varphi\ U\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ \underline{B}\ \psi]$ $\quad \neg[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ B\ \psi]$
$\neg[\varphi\ B\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ \underline{U}\ \psi]$ $\quad \neg[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ U\ \psi]$
$\neg A\varphi = E\neg\varphi$ $\quad \neg E\varphi = A\neg\varphi$
$\neg\overleftarrow{X}\varphi = \underline{\overleftarrow{X}}\neg\varphi$ $\quad \neg\underline{\overleftarrow{X}}\varphi = \overleftarrow{X}\neg\varphi$
$\neg\overleftarrow{G}\varphi = \overleftarrow{F}\neg\varphi$ $\quad \neg\overleftarrow{F}\varphi = \overleftarrow{G}\neg\varphi$
$\neg[\varphi\ \overleftarrow{U}\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ \underline{\overleftarrow{B}}\ \psi]$ $\quad \neg[\varphi\ \underline{\overleftarrow{U}}\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ \overleftarrow{B}\ \psi]$
$\neg[\varphi\ \overleftarrow{B}\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ \underline{\overleftarrow{U}}\ \psi]$ $\quad \neg[\varphi\ \underline{\overleftarrow{B}}\ \psi] = [(\neg\varphi)\ \overleftarrow{U}\ \psi]$

LTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators

$G\varphi = \neg[1\ \underline{U}\ (\neg\varphi)]$ $\quad F\varphi = [1\ \underline{U}\ \varphi]$
$[\varphi\ W\ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
$[\varphi\ \underline{W}\ \psi] = [(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$ *($\neg\psi$ holds until $\varphi \wedge \psi$)*
$[\varphi\ B\ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi)\ \underline{U}\ \psi)]$
$[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi] = [(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$*($\psi$ can't hold when φ holds)*
$[\varphi\ U\ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
$[\varphi\ U\ \psi] = [\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] \vee G\varphi$
$[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi)\ U\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
$[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi)\ W\ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$
$[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = [\psi\ \underline{W}\ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$
$[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi)\ B\ \psi]$*($\varphi$ doesn't matter when ψ holds)*
$[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = [\psi\ \underline{B}\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi]$

CTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators

Existential Operators

$EF\varphi = E[1\ \underline{U}\ \varphi]$
$EG\varphi = E[\varphi\ U\ 0]$
$E[\varphi\ U\ \psi] = E[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] \vee EG\varphi$
$E[\varphi\ B\ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)] \vee EG\neg\psi$
$E[\varphi\ B\ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi)\ U\ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
$E[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
$E[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi] = E[\neg\psi\ \underline{U}\ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
$E[\varphi\ W\ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\varphi \wedge \psi)] \vee EG\neg\psi$
$E[\varphi\ W\ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi)\ U\ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
$E[\varphi\ \underline{W}\ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$

Universal Operators

$AX\varphi = \neg EX\neg\varphi$
$AG\varphi = \neg E[1\ \underline{U}\ \neg\varphi]$
$AF\varphi = \neg EG\neg\varphi$
$AF\varphi = \neg E[(\neg\varphi)\ U\ 0]$
$A[\varphi\ U\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)] \wedge \neg EG\neg\psi$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\psi)\ U\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
$A[\varphi\ B\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\varphi)\ \underline{U}\ \psi]$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\varphi)\ U\ \psi]$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\varphi \vee \psi)\ \underline{U}\ \psi] \wedge \neg EG(\neg\varphi \vee \psi)$

$A[\varphi\ W\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{W}\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\psi)\ \underline{U}\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)] \wedge \neg EG\neg\psi$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{W}\ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\psi)\ U\ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)]$

CTL* to CTL - Existential Operators

$EX\varphi = EXE\varphi$
$EF\varphi = EFE\varphi$ $\qquad EFG\varphi \equiv EFEG\varphi$
$E[\varphi\ W\ \psi] = E[(E\varphi)\ W\ \psi]$
$E[\varphi\ \underline{W}\ \psi] = E[(E\varphi)\ \underline{W}\ \psi]$
$E[\psi\ U\ \varphi] = E[\psi\ U\ E(\varphi)]$
$E[\psi\ \underline{U}\ \varphi] = E[\psi\ \underline{U}\ E(\varphi)]$
$E[\varphi\ B\ \psi] = E[(E\varphi)\ B\ \psi]$
$E[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi] = E[(E\varphi)\ \underline{B}\ \psi]$
obs. $EGF\varphi \neq EGEF\varphi \rightarrow$ can't be converted

CTL* to CTL - Universal Operators

$AX\varphi = AXA\varphi$
$AG\varphi = AGA\varphi$
$A[\varphi\ W\ \psi] = A[(A\varphi)\ W\ \psi]$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{W}\ \psi] = A[(A\varphi)\ \underline{W}\ \psi]$
$A[\varphi\ U\ \psi] = A[A(\varphi)\ U\ \psi]$
$A[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi] = A[A(\varphi)\ \underline{U}\ \psi]$
$A[\psi\ B\ \varphi] = A[\psi\ B\ (E(\varphi)]$
$A[\psi\ \underline{B}\ \varphi] = A[\psi\ \underline{B}\ (E(\varphi)]$

Eliminate boolean op. after path quantify

$$[\varphi_1\ \underline{U}\ \psi_1] \wedge [\varphi_2\ \underline{U}\ \psi_2] = \left[(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)\ \underline{U}\ \begin{pmatrix}\psi_1 \wedge [\varphi_2\ \underline{U}\psi_2] \vee \\ \psi_2 \wedge [\varphi_1\ \underline{U}\psi_1]\end{pmatrix}\right]$$
$$[\varphi_1\ \underline{U}\ \psi_1] \wedge [\varphi_2\ U\ \psi_2] = \left[(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)\ \underline{U}\ \begin{pmatrix}\psi_1 \wedge [\varphi_2\ U\psi_2] \vee \\ \psi_2 \wedge [\varphi_1\ \underline{U}\psi_1]\end{pmatrix}\right]$$
$$[\varphi_1\ U\ \psi_1] \wedge [\varphi_2\ U\ \psi_2] = \left[(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)\ \underline{U}\ \begin{pmatrix}\psi_1 \wedge [\varphi_2\ U\psi_2] \vee \\ \psi_2 \wedge [\varphi_1\ U\psi_1]\end{pmatrix}\right]$$

Equivalences and Tips

$[\varphi\underline{B}\psi] \equiv \psi$ *can't hold when* φ *hold*
$[\varphi U\psi] \equiv [\varphi\underline{U}\psi] \vee G\varphi$
$[a\underline{U}Fb] \equiv Fb$
$F[a\underline{U}b] \equiv Fb \equiv [Fa\underline{U}Fb]$
$[\varphi B\psi] \equiv [\varphi\underline{B}\psi] \vee G\neg\psi$
$F[a\underline{B}b] \equiv F[a \wedge \neg b]$
$[\varphi W\psi] \equiv \neg[\neg\varphi\underline{W}\psi]$
$AEA \equiv A$ $\qquad GFX \equiv GXF$
$FF\varphi \equiv F\varphi$ $\qquad GG\varphi \equiv G\varphi$
$GF\varphi \equiv XGF\varphi \equiv FGF\varphi \equiv GGF\varphi \equiv GFGF\varphi \equiv FGGF\varphi$
$FG\varphi \equiv XFG\varphi \equiv FFG\varphi \equiv GFG\varphi \equiv GFFG\varphi \equiv FGFG\varphi$
$GF(x \vee y) \equiv GFx \vee GFy$
$E(\varphi \wedge \psi) \equiv E\varphi \wedge E\psi(in\ general)$
$E(\varphi \vee \psi) \equiv E\varphi \vee E\psi$
$AG(\varphi \wedge \psi) \equiv AG\varphi \wedge AG\psi$

###**MONADIC PREDICATE**
S1S

First order terms are defined as follows:
$-0 \in Term_{\Sigma}^{S1S}$
$-t \in V_{\Sigma}|typ_{\Sigma}(t) = \mathbb{N} \subseteq Term_{\Sigma}^{S1S}$
$-SUC(\tau) \in Term_{\Sigma}^{S1S} if \tau \in Term_{\Sigma}^{S1S}$
Formulas ζ_{S1S} are defined as:
$-p^{(t)} \in L_{S1S}$ (predicate p at time t)
$-\neg\varphi, \varphi \wedge \psi \in L_{S1S}$
$-\exists t.\varphi \in L_{S1S}$
$-\exists p.\varphi \in L_{S1S}$
where:
$-\tau \in Term_{\Sigma}^{S1S}$
$-\varphi, \psi \in \zeta_{S1S}$
$-t \in V_{\Sigma}\ with\ typ_{\Sigma}(t) = \mathbb{N}$
$-p \in V_{\Sigma}\ with\ typ_{\Sigma}(p) = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

LO2

first order terms are defined as:
$-t \in V_{\Sigma}|typ_{\Sigma}(t) = \mathbb{N} \subseteq Term_{\Sigma}^{LO2}$
formulas LO2 are defined as:
$-t1 < t2 \in L_{LO2}$
$-p^{(t)} \in L_{LO2}$
$-\neg\varphi, \varphi \wedge \psi \in L_{LO2}$
$-\exists t.\varphi \in L_{LO2}$
$-\exists p.\varphi \in L_{LO2}$
where:
$-t, t_1, t_2\tau \in V_{\Sigma}\ with\ typ_{\Sigma}(_t) = typ_{\Sigma}(_{t1}) = typ_{\Sigma}(t_{t2}) = \mathbb{N}$
$-\varphi, \psi \in \zeta_{LO2}$
$-t \in V_{\Sigma}\ with\ typ_{\Sigma}(t) = \mathbb{N}$
$-p \in V_{\Sigma}\ with\ typ_{\Sigma}(p) = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

LO2' Consider the following set $\zeta_{LO2'}$ of formulas:
$-Subset(p,q), Sing(p), andPSUC(p,q)belongto\zeta_{LO2'}$
$-\neg\varphi, \varphi \wedge \psi$
$-\exists p.\varphi$
where $-\varphi, \psi \in \zeta_{LO2'}$
$-p \in V_{\Sigma}\ with\ typ_{\Sigma}(p) = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$
$\zeta_{LO2'}\ hasnonumericvariables$
numeric variable t is replaced by a singleton set p_t
$\zeta_{LO2'}$ is as expressive as LO2 and S1S

###**TRANSLATIONS**

CTL* Modelchecking to LTL model checking

Let's φ_i be a pure path formula (without path quantifiers), Ψ be a propositional formula, abbreviate subformulas $E\varphi$ and $A\psi$ working bottom-up the syntax tree to obtain the following normal form: $\phi =$ let $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 = A\varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n = A\varphi_n \end{bmatrix}$ in Ψ end

Use LTL model checking to compute $Q_i := [\![A\varphi_i]\!]_{\mathcal{K}_{i-1}}$, where $\mathcal{K}_0 := \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{i+1}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{K}_i$ by labelling the states Q_i with x_i. Finally compute $[\![\Psi]\!]_{\mathcal{K}_n}$

function LO2_S1S(Φ)
case Φ **of**
$t1 < t2$: **return** $\exists p.[\forall t.p^{(t)} \rightarrow p^{(SUC(t))}] \wedge \neg p^{(t1)} \wedge p^{(t2)}$:
$p^{(t)}$: **return** $p^{(t)}$;
$\neg\varphi$: **return** $\neg LO2\_S1S(\varphi)$;
$\varphi \wedge \psi$: **return** $LO2\_S1S(\varphi) \wedge LO2\_S1S(\psi)$;
$\exists t.\varphi$: **return** $\exists t.LO2\_S1S(\varphi)$;
$\exists p.\varphi$: **return** $\exists p.LO2\_S1S(\varphi)$;
end
end

function S1S_LO2(Φ)
case Φ **of**
$p^{(n)}$:
return $\exists t0...tn.p^{(tn)} \wedge zero(t0) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} succ(ti, ti+1)$;
$p^{(t0+n)}$:
return $\exists t1...tn.p^{(tn)} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} succ(ti, ti+1)$;
$\neg\varphi$: **return** $\neg S1S\_LO2(\varphi)$;
$\varphi \wedge \psi$: **return** $S1S\_LO2(\varphi) \wedge S1S\_LO2(\psi)$;
$\exists t.\varphi$: **return** $\exists t.S1S\_LO2(\varphi)$;
$\exists p.\varphi$: **return** $\exists p.S1S\_LO2(\varphi)$;
end
end

function ElimFO(Φ) (LO2 TO LO2')
case Φ **of**
$t1 = t2$: **return** $Subset(q_{t1}, q_{t2}) \wedge Subset(q_{t2}, q_{t1})$
$t1 < t2$: $\Psi := \forall q1.\forall q2.PSUC(q1, q2) \rightarrow [Subset(q1, p) \rightarrow Subset(q2, p)]$;
return $\exists p.\Psi \wedge \neg Subset(qt1, p) \wedge Subset(qt2, p)$;
$p^{(t)}$: **return** $Subset(qt, p)$
$\neg\varphi$: **return** $\neg ElimFO(\varphi)$;
$\varphi \wedge \psi$: **return** $ElimFO(\varphi) \wedge ElimFO(\psi)$;
$\varphi \vee \psi$: **return** $ElimFO(\varphi) \vee ElimFO(\psi)$;
$\exists t.\varphi$: **return** $\exists qt.Sing(qt) \wedge ElimFO(\varphi)$;
$\exists p.\varphi$: **return** $\exists p.ElimFO(\varphi)$;
end
end

function Tp2Od(t0, Φ) *temporal to LO1*
case Φ **of**
$is\_var(\Phi) : \Psi^{(t0)}$;
$\neg\varphi$: **return** $\neg Tp2Od(\varphi)$;
$\varphi \wedge \psi$: **return** $Tp2Od(\varphi) \wedge Tp2Od(\psi)$;
$\varphi \vee \psi$: **return** $Tp2Od(\varphi) \vee Tp2Od(\psi)$;
$X\varphi : \Psi := \exists t1.(t0 < t1) \wedge \forall t2.t0 < t2 \rightarrow t1 \leq t2) \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \varphi)$;
$[\varphi\underline{U}\psi] : \Psi := \exists t1.t0 \leq t1 \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \psi) \wedge interval((t0, 1, t1, 0), \varphi)$;
$[\varphi B\psi] : \Psi := \forall t1.t0 \leq t1 \wedge interval((t0, 1, t1, 0), \neg\varphi) \rightarrow Tp2Od(t1, \neg\psi)$;
$\overleftarrow{X}\varphi : \Psi := \forall t1.(t1 < t0) \wedge (\forall t2.t2 < t0 \rightarrow t2 \leq t1) \rightarrow Tp2Od(t1, \varphi)$;
$\overleftarrow{\underline{X}}\varphi : \Psi := \exists t1.(t1 < t0) \wedge (\forall t2.t2 < t0 \rightarrow t2 \leq t1) \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \varphi)$;
$[\varphi\overleftarrow{\underline{U}}\psi] : \Psi := \exists t1.t1 \leq t0 \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \psi) \wedge interval((t1, 0, t0, 1), \varphi)$;
$[\varphi\overleftarrow{B}\psi] : \Psi := \forall t1.t1 \leq t0 \wedge interval((t1, 0, t0, 1), \neg\varphi) \rightarrow Tp2Od(t1, \neg\psi)$;
end
return Ψ
end

function interval(l, φ)
case Φ **of**
$(t0, 0, t1, 0)$:
return $\forall t2.t0 < t2 \wedge t2 < t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi)$;
$(t0, 0, t1, 1)$:
return $\forall t2.t0 < t2 \wedge t2 \leq t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi)$;
$(t0, 1, t1, 0)$:
return $\forall t2.t0 \leq t2 \wedge t2 < t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi)$;
$(t0, 1, t1, 1)$:
return $\forall t2.t0 \leq t2 \wedge t2 \leq 3t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi)$;
end
end

ω-Automaton to LO2

$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(q1, ..., qn, \psi I, \psi R, \psi F)$*(input automaton)*
$\exists q1..qn, \Theta LO2(0, \psi I) \wedge (\forall t.\Theta LO2(t, \psi R)) \wedge (\forall .t1 \exists t2.t1 < t2 \wedge \Theta LO2(t2, \psi F))$

Where ΘLO2(t, Φ) is:

-$\Theta LO2(t, p) := p(t)\ for\ variable\ p$
-$\Theta LO2(t, X\psi) := \Theta LO2(t+1, \psi)$
-$\Theta LO2(t, \neg\psi) := \neg\Theta LO2(t, \psi)$
-$\Theta LO2(t, \varphi \wedge \psi) := \Theta LO2(t, \varphi) \wedge \Theta LO2(t, \psi)$
-$\Theta LO2(t, \varphi \vee \psi) := \Theta LO2(t, \varphi) \vee \Theta LO2(t, \psi)$

LTL to ω-automata

$\phi\langle X\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow X\varphi, \phi\langle q\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle X\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q_0, q_1\}, 1, (q_0 \leftrightarrow \varphi) \wedge (q_1 \leftrightarrow Xq_0), \phi\langle q_1\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle G\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \varphi \wedge Xq, \phi\langle q\rangle_x \wedge GF[\varphi \rightarrow q])$
$\phi\langle F\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \varphi \vee Xq, \phi\langle q\rangle_x \wedge GF[q \rightarrow \varphi])$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ U\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge Xq, \phi\langle q\rangle_x \wedge GF[\varphi \rightarrow q])$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ \underline{U}\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge Xq, \phi\langle q\rangle_x \wedge GF[q \rightarrow \psi])$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ B\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee Xq), \phi\langle q\rangle_x \wedge GF[q \vee \psi])$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ \underline{B}\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee Xq), \phi\langle q\rangle_x \wedge GF[q \rightarrow \varphi])$
$\phi\langle\overleftarrow{X}\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi, \phi\langle q\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle\overleftarrow{X}\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi, \phi\langle q\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle\overleftarrow{G}\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi \wedge q, \phi\langle\varphi \wedge q\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle\overleftarrow{F}\varphi\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi \vee q, \phi\langle\varphi \vee q\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ \overleftarrow{U}\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge q, \phi\langle\psi \vee \varphi \wedge q\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ \overleftarrow{\underline{U}}\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge q, \phi\langle\psi \vee \varphi \wedge q\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ \overleftarrow{B}\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q), \phi\langle\neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q)\rangle_x)$
$\phi\langle[\varphi\ \overleftarrow{\underline{B}}\ \psi]\rangle_x \Leftrightarrow$
$\mathcal{A}_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q), \phi\langle\neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q)\rangle_x)$

CTL to $\mu - Calculus(\Phi_{inf} = \nu y.\Diamond y)$

$EX\varphi = \Diamond(\Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi)$
$EG\varphi = \nu x.\varphi \wedge \Diamond x$
$EF\varphi = \mu x.\Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi \vee \Diamond x$
$E[\varphi\underline{U}\psi] = \mu x.(\Phi_{inf} \wedge \psi) \vee \varphi \wedge \Diamond x$
$E[\varphi U\psi] = \nu x.(\Phi_{inf} \wedge \psi) \vee \varphi \wedge \Diamond x$
$E[\varphi\underline{B}\psi] = \mu x.\neg\psi \wedge (\Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi \vee \Diamond x)$
$E[\varphi B\psi] = \nu x.\neg\psi \wedge (\Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi \vee \Diamond x)$
$AX\varphi = \Box(\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi)$
$AG\varphi = \nu x.(\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge \Box x$
$AF\varphi = \mu x.\varphi \vee \Box x$
$A[\varphi\underline{U}\psi] = \mu x.\psi \vee (\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge \Box x$
$A[\varphi U\psi] = \nu x.\psi \vee (\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge \Box x$
$A[\varphi\underline{B}\psi] = \mu x.(\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \neg\psi) \wedge (\varphi \vee \Box x)$
$A[\varphi B\psi] = \nu x.(\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \neg\psi) \wedge (\varphi \vee \Box x)$

G and μ-calculus (safety property)

-$[\nu x.\varphi \wedge \Diamond x]_K$
-Contains states s where an infinite path π starts with $\forall t.\pi^{(t)} \in [\varphi]_K$
-φ holds always on π

F and μ-calculus (liveness property)

-$[\mu x.\varphi \vee \Diamond x]_K$
-Contains states s where a (possibly finite) path π starts with $\exists t.\pi^{(t)} \in [\varphi]_K$
-φ holds at least once on π

FG and μ-calculus (persistence property)

-$[\mu y.[\nu x.\varphi \wedge \Diamond x] \vee \Diamond y]_K$
-Contains states s where an infinite path π starts with $\exists t1.\forall t2.\pi^{(t1+t2)} \in [\varphi]_K$
-φ holds after some point on π

GF and μ-calculus (fairness property)

-$[\nu y.[\mu x.(y \wedge \varphi) \vee \Diamond x]]_K$
-Contains states s where an infinite path π starts with
$\forall t1.\exists t2.\pi^{(t1+t2)} \in [\varphi]_K?????t1 + t2ort1 + t0?????$
-φ holds infinitely often on π