

Propositional Logic Syntactic Sugar

$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi := (\neg \varphi \vee \psi) \wedge (\neg \psi \vee \varphi) \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi := \neg \varphi \vee \psi$   
 $\varphi \oplus \psi := (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi) \vee (\psi \wedge \neg \varphi) \quad \varphi \bar{\wedge} \psi := \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$   
 $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta | \gamma) := (\neg \alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma) \quad \varphi \bar{\vee} \psi := \neg(\varphi \vee \psi)$

**Distributivity:**  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$   
 $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$   
**De Morgan:**  $\neg(a \vee b) \equiv (\neg a \wedge \neg b)$   
 $\neg(a \wedge b) \equiv (\neg a \vee \neg b)$   
**CNF:** from truth table, take minterms that are 0.  
Each minterm is built as an OR of the negated variables. E.g.,  $(0, 0, 1) \rightarrow (x \vee y \vee \neg z)$ .

### SAT SOLVERS  
Satisfiability, Validity and Equivalence

$SAT(\varphi) := \neg VALID(\neg \varphi) \quad \varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi := VALID(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$   
 $VALID(\varphi) := (\varphi \Leftrightarrow 1) \quad SAT(\varphi) := \neg(\varphi \Leftrightarrow 0).$

Sequent Calculus:

-**Validity:** start with  $\{\} \vdash \phi$ ; valid iff  $\Gamma \cap \Delta \neq \{\}$   
FOR ALL leaves.  
-**Satisfiability:** start with  $\{\phi\} \vdash \{\}$ ; satisfiable iff  $\Gamma \cap \Delta = \{\}$  for AT LEAST ONE leaf.  
-Counterexample/sat variable assignment: var is true, if  $x \in \Gamma$ ; false otherwise; "don't care", if variable doesn't appear.

OPER.	LEFT	RIGHT
NOT	$\frac{\neg \phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \phi, \Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg \phi, \Delta}{\phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}$
AND	$\frac{\phi \wedge \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\phi, \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \phi, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi, \Delta}$
OR	$\frac{\phi \vee \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \vee \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \phi, \psi, \Delta}$

Resolution Calculus  $\frac{\{ \neg x \} \cup C_1 \quad \{ x \} \cup C_2}{C_1 \cup C_2}$

To prove unsatisfiability of given clauses in CNF: If we reach  $\{\}$ , the formula is unsatisfiable. E.g.,  $\{\{a\}, \{\neg a, b\}, \{\neg b\}\}$ , we get:  $\{a\} + \{\neg a, b\} \rightarrow \{b\}; \{b\} + \{\neg b\} \rightarrow \{\}$  (unsatisfiable).  
To prove validity, prove UNSAT of negated formula.

Davis Putnam Procedure - proves SAT; To prove validity: prove unsatisfiability of negated formula.

- (1) Compute Linear Clause Form  
(Don't forget to create the last clause  $\{x_n\}$ )
- (2) Last variable has to be  $\underline{1}$  (true)  $\rightarrow$  find implied variables.
- (3) For remaining variables: assume values and compute newly implied variables.
- (4) If contradiction reached: backtrack.

Linear Clause Forms (Computes CNF) - Bottom up (inside out) in the syntax tree: convert "operators and variables" into new variable. E.g.,  $\neg a \vee b$  becomes  $x_1 \leftrightarrow \neg a; x_2 \leftrightarrow x_1 \vee b$ . Use rules below to find CNF. Create last clause  $\{X_n\}$

$x \leftrightarrow \neg y \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee \neg y) \wedge (x \vee y)$   
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \wedge y_2 \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee y_1) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$   
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \vee y_2 \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_2)$   
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y_2 \Leftrightarrow (x \vee y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$   
 $x \leftrightarrow (y_1 \leftrightarrow y_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$   
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \oplus y_2 \Leftrightarrow (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$

<pre>Compose(int x, BddNode ψ, α) int m; BddNode h, l; if x&gt;label(ψ) then   return ψ; elseif x=label(ψ) then   return ITE(α, high(ψ), low(ψ)); else   m=max{label(ψ), label(α)};   (α0, α1) := Ops(α, m);   (ψ0, ψ1) := Ops(ψ, m);   h:=Compose(x, ψ1, α1);   l:=Compose(x, ψ0, α0);   return CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; end</pre>	<pre>ITE(BddNode i, j, k) int m; BddNode h, l; if i = 0 then return k elseif i=1 then   return j elseif j=k then   return k else   m = max{label(i), label(j), label(k)}   (i0, i1) := Ops(i, m);   (j0, j1) := Ops(j, m);   (k0, k1) := Ops(k, m);   l:=ITE(i0, j0, k0);   h:=ITE(i1, j1, k1);   return CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; end</pre>
<pre>Constrain(Φ, β) if β=0 then   ret 0 elseif Φ ∈ {0, 1} (β = 1)   ret Φ else   m=max{label(β), label(Φ)}   (Φ0, Φ1) := Ops(Φ, m);   (β0, β1) := Ops(β, m);   if β0=0     ret Constrain(Φ1, β1)   elseif β1=0 then     ret Constrain(Φ0, β0)   else     l:=Constrain(Φ0, β0);     h:=Constrain(Φ1, β1);     ret CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; endif; end</pre>	<pre>Apply(⊙, Bddnode a, b) int m; BddNode h, l; if isLeaf(a)&amp;isLeaf(b)   then     return Eval(⊙, label(a), label(b)); else   m=max{label(a), label(b)}   (a0, a1) := Ops(a, m);   (b0, b1) := Ops(b, m);   h:=Apply(⊙, a1, b1);   l:=Apply(⊙, a0, b0);   return CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; end</pre>
<pre>Restrict(Φ, β) if β=0   return 0 elseif   Φ ∈ {0, 1} ∨ (β = 1)   return Φ else   m=max{label(β), label(Φ)}   (Φ0, Φ1) := Ops(Φ, m);   (β0, β1) := Ops(β, m)   if β0=0     return Restrict(Φ1, β1)   elseif β1=0     return Restrict(Φ0, β0)   elseif m=label(Φ)     return CreateNode(m, Restrict(Φ1, β1), Restrict(Φ0, β0))   else     return Restrict(Φ, Apply(∨, β0, β1)) endif; endif; end</pre>	<pre>Exists(BddNode e, φ) if isLeaf(φ) VisLeaf(e)   return φ; elseif label(e)&gt;label(φ)   return Exist(high(e), φ) elseif label(e)=label(φ)   h=Exist(high(e), high(φ))   l=Exist(high(e), low(φ))   return Apply(∨, l, h) else label(e)&lt;label(φ))   h:=Exists(e, high(φ))   l:=Exists(e, low(φ))   return CreateNode(label(φ), h, l) endif; end function.  ZDD: If positive cofactor = 0, redirect edge to negative cofactor.  If variable not in the formula, add with both edges pointing to same node.  FDD: Positive Davio Decomposition. (Keep both edges to 1 if happens!) φ = [φ]x<sup>0</sup> ⊕ x ∧ (∂φ/∂x) (∂φ/∂x) := [φ]x<sup>0</sup> ⊕ [φ]x<sup>1</sup></pre>

Local Model Checking (follow precedence!)

$\frac{s \vdash \phi \wedge \psi}{\{s \vdash \phi\} \wedge \{s \vdash \psi\}}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi \vee \psi}{\{s \vdash \phi\} \vee \{s \vdash \psi\}}$
$\frac{s \vdash \phi \perp \psi}{\{s_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \phi\} \wedge \{s_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \psi\}}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi \perp \psi}{\{s_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \phi\} \vee \{s_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \psi\}}$
$\frac{s \vdash \phi \Box \psi}{\{s'_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \phi\} \wedge \{s'_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \psi\}}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi \Diamond \psi}{\{s'_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \phi\} \vee \{s'_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \psi\}}$
$\frac{s \vdash \phi \mu x. \varphi}{s \vdash \phi} \quad \frac{s \vdash \phi \nu x. \varphi}{s \vdash \phi}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi x}{s \vdash \phi} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{D}\phi(\text{replace w. initial form.})}{s \vdash \phi \mathfrak{D}\phi(x)}$
$\{s_1 \dots s_n\} = suc^R_{\exists}(s) \text{ and } \{s'_1 \dots s'_n\} = pre^R_{\exists}(s)$	

Approximations and Ranks

If $(s, \mu x. \varphi)$ repeats $\rightarrow$ return 0	$apx_0(\mu x. \varphi) := 0$
If $(s, \nu x. \varphi)$ repeats $\rightarrow$ return 1	$apx_0(\nu x. \varphi) := 1$


**Tarski-Knaster Theorem:**  $\mu$ : starts  $\perp \rightarrow$  least fixpoint  $\blacktriangledown \nu$ : starts  $\top \rightarrow$  greatest fixpoint

**Quantif.**  $\exists x. \varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \vee [\varphi]_x^0 \quad \forall x. \varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \wedge [\varphi]_x^0$

Predecessor and Successor

$\diamond := pre^R_{\exists}(Q) := \exists x'_1, \dots, x'_n. \varphi_R \wedge [\varphi_Q]_{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$

$\heartsuit := suc^R_{\exists}(Q) := [\exists x_1, \dots, x_n. \varphi_R \wedge \varphi_Q]_{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$   
 $\square = pre^R_{\forall}(Q) := \forall x'_1, \dots, x'_n. \varphi_R \rightarrow [\varphi_Q]_{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$   
 $\spadesuit := suc^R_{\forall}(Q) := [\forall x_1, \dots, x_n. \varphi_R \rightarrow \varphi_Q]_{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$   
 $\diamondsuit$  (Points to some in the set? Yes, enter!)  
 $\heartsuit$  (Is pointed by some in the set? Yes, enter!)  
 $\square$  (Points to some outside the set? Yes, don't enter!)  
 $\spadesuit$  (Pointed by some out the set? Yes, don't enter!)



**Example:**  $\square/\heartsuit$   
 $pre^R_{\forall}(\{S3, S4\}) = \{S0, S5\}$   
 $suc^R_{\forall}(\{S3, S4\}) = \{S2, S5\}$

### AUTOMATA

**Automata types:** G  $\rightarrow$  Safety; F  $\rightarrow$  Liveness;  
FG  $\rightarrow$  Persistence/Co-Buchi; GF  $\rightarrow$  Fairness/Buchi.  
**Automaton Determinization**  
**NDet<sub>G</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Det<sub>G</sub>:** 1.Remove all states/edges that do not satisfy acceptance condition; 2.Use Subset construction (Rabin-Scott); 3.Acceptance condition will be the states where  $\{\}$  is never reached.  
**{NDet<sub>F</sub> (partial) or NDet<sub>prefix</sub>}  $\rightarrow$  Det<sub>FG</sub>:** Breakpoint Construction.  
**NDet<sub>F</sub> (total)  $\rightarrow$  Det<sub>F</sub>:** Subset Construction.  
**NDet<sub>FG</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Det<sub>FG</sub>:** Breakpoint Construction.  
**NDet<sub>GF</sub>  $\rightarrow$  {Det<sub>Rabin</sub> or Det<sub>street</sub>}: Safra Automathm.**

Boolean Operations on  $\omega$ -Automata Complement

$\neg A_{\forall}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}) = A_{\exists}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \neg \mathcal{F})$   
 $\neg A_{\exists}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}) = A_{\forall}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \neg \mathcal{F})$

Conjunction

$(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \wedge A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \wedge \mathcal{F}_2)$

Disjunction

$(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \vee A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}\left(\begin{matrix} Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \{q\}, \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{I}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{I}_2), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{R}_2 \wedge q'), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{F}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{F}_2) \end{matrix}\right)$

If both automata are totally defined,

$(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \vee A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \vee \mathcal{F}_2)$

Eliminate Nesting - Acceptance condition **must** be an automata of the same type

$A_{\exists}(Q^1, \mathcal{I}_1^1, \mathcal{R}_1^1, A_{\exists}(Q^2, \mathcal{I}_1^2, \mathcal{R}_1^2, \mathcal{F}_1)) = A_{\exists}(Q^1 \cup Q^2, \mathcal{I}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_1^2, \mathcal{R}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_1^2, \mathcal{F}_1))$

Boolean Operations of G

(1)  $\neg G\varphi = F\neg\varphi$  (2)  $G\varphi \wedge G\psi = G[\varphi \wedge \psi]$   
(3)  $G\varphi \vee G\psi = A_{\exists}(\{p, q\}, p \wedge q, [p' \leftrightarrow p \wedge \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \psi], G[p \vee q])$

Boolean Operations of F

(1)  $\neg F\varphi = G\neg\varphi$  (2)  $F\varphi \vee F\psi = F[\varphi \vee \psi]$   
(3)  $F\varphi \wedge F\psi = A_{\exists}(\{p, q\}, \neg p \wedge \neg q, [p' \leftrightarrow p \vee \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \psi], F[p \wedge q])$

Boolean Operations of FG

(1)  $\neg FG\varphi = GF\neg\varphi$  (2)  $FG\varphi \wedge FG\psi = FG[\varphi \wedge \psi]$   
(3)  $FG\varphi \vee FG\psi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \neg \psi | \neg \varphi), FG[\neg q \vee \psi])$

Boolean Operations of GF

(1)  $\neg GF\varphi = FG\neg\varphi$  (2)  $GF\varphi \vee GF\psi = GF[\varphi \vee \psi]$   
(3)  $GF\varphi \wedge GF\psi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \neg \psi | \neg \varphi), GF[q \wedge \psi])$

Transformation of Acceptance Conditions

**Reduction of G**  
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, \varphi \wedge q \wedge q', Fq)$   
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, FGq)$   
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, GFq)$   
**Reduction of F**  
 $F\varphi$  can **not** be expressed by  $NDet_G$   
 $F\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, FGq)$   
 $F\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, GFq)$   
**Reduction of FG**  
 $FG\varphi$  can **not** be expressed by  $NDet_G$   
 $FG\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q \rightarrow \varphi \wedge q', Fq)$

$FG\varphi = A_{\exists}\left(\begin{matrix} \{p, q\}, & \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[ \begin{matrix} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg \varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{matrix} \right], \\ G\neg q \wedge Fp \end{matrix}\right),$

$FG\varphi = A_{\exists}\left(\begin{matrix} \{p, q\}, & \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[ \begin{matrix} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg \varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{matrix} \right], \\ GF[p \wedge \neg q] \end{matrix}\right),$

### TEMPORAL LOGICS

(S1) Pure LTL: AFGa  
(S2) LTL + CTL: AFa  
(S3) Pure CTL: AGEFa  
(S4) CTL\*: AFGa  $\vee$  AGEFa  
**Remarks** Beware of *Finite Paths*  
E and A quantify over infinite paths.  
 $\triangleright A\varphi$  holds on every state that has no infinite path;  
 $\triangleright E\varphi$  is false on states that have no infinite path;  
A0 holds on states with only finite paths;  
E1 is false on state with only finite paths;  
 $\Box 0$  holds on states with no successor states;  
 $\Diamond 1$  holds on states with successor states.

$F\varphi = \varphi \vee XF\varphi$   $G\varphi = \varphi \wedge XG\varphi$   
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = \psi \vee (\varphi \wedge X[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ])$   
 $[ \varphi \ B \ \psi ] = \neg \psi \wedge (\varphi \vee X[ \varphi \ B \ \psi ])$   
 $[ \varphi \ W \ \psi ] = (\psi \wedge \varphi) \vee (\neg \psi \wedge X[ \varphi \ W \ \psi ])$

LTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators

$G\varphi = \neg[1 \ U \ (\neg \varphi)]$   $F\varphi = [1 \ U \ \varphi]$   
 $[ \varphi \ W \ \psi ] = \neg[(\neg \varphi \vee \neg \psi) \ U \ (\neg \varphi \wedge \psi)]$   
 $[ \varphi \ W \ \psi ] = [(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$  ( $\neg \psi$  holds until  $\varphi \wedge \psi$ )  
 $[ \varphi \ B \ \psi ] = \neg[(\neg \varphi) \ U \ \psi]$   
 $[ \varphi \ B \ \psi ] = [(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi)]$  ( $\psi$  can't hold when  $\varphi$  holds)  
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = \neg[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\neg \varphi \wedge \neg \psi)]$   
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = [\varphi \ U \ \psi] \vee G\varphi$   
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = \neg[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\neg \varphi \wedge \neg \psi)]$   
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = \neg[(\neg \psi) \ W \ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$   
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = [\psi \ W \ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$   
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = \neg[(\neg \varphi) \ B \ \psi]$  ( $\varphi$  doesn't matter when  $\psi$  holds)  
 $[ \varphi \ U \ \psi ] = [\psi \ B \ (\neg \varphi \wedge \neg \psi)]$

CTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators

**Existential Operators**  
 $EF\varphi = E[1 \ U \ \varphi]$   
 $EG\varphi = E[\varphi \ U \ 0]$   
 $E[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = E[\varphi \ U \ \psi] \vee EG\varphi$   
 $E[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = E[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi)] \vee EG\neg \psi$   
 $E[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = E[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi)]$   
 $E[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = E[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi)]$   
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = E[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)] \vee EG\neg \psi$   
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = E[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$   
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = E[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$   
**Universal Operators**  
 $AX\varphi = \neg EX\neg \varphi$   
 $AG\varphi = \neg E[1 \ U \ \neg \varphi]$   
 $AF\varphi = \neg EG\neg \varphi$   
 $AF\varphi = \neg E[(\neg \varphi) \ U \ 0]$   
 $A[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg \psi) \ U \ (\neg \varphi \wedge \neg \psi)]$

