

Propositional Logic Syntactic Sugar

$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi := (\neg \varphi \vee \psi) \wedge (\neg \psi \vee \varphi) \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi := \neg \varphi \vee \psi$
 $\varphi \oplus \psi := (\varphi \wedge \neg \psi) \vee (\psi \wedge \neg \varphi) \quad \varphi \bar{\wedge} \psi := \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$
 $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta | \gamma) := (\neg \alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma) \quad \varphi \bar{\vee} \psi := \neg(\varphi \vee \psi)$

Distributivity: $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$
 $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$
De Morgan: $\neg(a \vee b) \equiv (\neg a \wedge \neg b)$
 $\neg(a \wedge b) \equiv (\neg a \vee \neg b)$
CNF: from truth table, take minterms that are 0.
Each minterm is built as an OR of the negated variables. E.g., $(0, 0, 1) \rightarrow (x \vee y \vee \neg z)$.

SAT SOLVERS
Satisfiability, Validity and Equivalence

$SAT(\varphi) := \neg VALID(\neg \varphi) \quad \varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi := VALID(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$
 $VALID(\varphi) := (\varphi \Leftrightarrow 1) \quad SAT(\varphi) := \neg(\varphi \Leftrightarrow 0).$

Sequent Calculus:

-**Validity:** start with $\{\} \vdash \phi$; valid iff $\Gamma \cap \Delta \neq \{\}$
FOR ALL leaves.
-**Satisfiability:** start with $\{\phi\} \vdash \{\}$; satisfiable iff $\Gamma \cap \Delta = \{\}$ for AT LEAST ONE leaf.
-Counterexample/sat variable assignment: var is true, if $x \in \Gamma$; false otherwise; "don't care", if variable doesn't appear.

OPER.	LEFT	RIGHT
NOT	$\frac{\neg \phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \phi, \Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg \phi, \Delta}{\phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}$
AND	$\frac{\phi \wedge \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\phi, \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \psi, \Delta}$
OR	$\frac{\phi \vee \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta, \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi \vee \psi, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \phi, \psi, \Delta}$

Resolution Calculus $\frac{\{ \neg x \} \cup C_1 \quad \{ x \} \cup C_2}{C_1 \cup C_2}$

To prove unsatisfiability of given clauses in CNF: If we reach $\{\}$, the formula is unsatisfiable. E.g., $\{\{a\}, \{\neg a, b\}, \{\neg b\}\}$, we get: $\{a\} + \{\neg a, b\} \rightarrow \{b\}; \{b\} + \{\neg b\} \rightarrow \{\}$ (unsatisfiable).
To prove validity, prove UNSAT of negated formula.

Davis Putnam Procedure - proves SAT; To prove validity: prove unsatisfiability of negated formula.

- (1) Compute Linear Clause Form
(*Don't forget to create the last clause $\{x_n\}$*)
- (2) Last variable has to be 1 (true) \rightarrow find implied variables.
- (3) For remaining variables: assume values and compute newly implied variables.
- (4) If contradiction reached: backtrack.

Linear Clause Forms (Computes CNF) - Bottom up (inside out) in the syntax tree: convert "operators and variables" into new variable. E.g., $\neg a \vee b$ becomes $x_1 \leftrightarrow \neg a; x_2 \leftrightarrow x_1 \vee b$. Use rules below to find CNF. Create last clause $\{X_n\}$

$x \leftrightarrow \neg y \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee \neg y) \wedge (x \vee y)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \wedge y_2 \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee y_1) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \vee y_2 \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y_2 \Leftrightarrow (x \vee y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow (y_1 \leftrightarrow y_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \oplus y_2 \Leftrightarrow (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$

<pre>Compose(int x, BddNode ψ, α) int m; BddNode h, l; if x>label(ψ) then return ψ; elseif x=label(ψ) then return ITE(α, high(ψ), low(ψ)); else m=max{label(ψ), label(α)}; (α0, α1) := Ops(α, m); (ψ0, ψ1) := Ops(ψ, m); h:=Compose(x, ψ1, α1); l:=Compose(x, ψ0, α0); return CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; end</pre>	<pre>ITE(BddNode i, j, k) int m; BddNode h, l; if i = 0 then return k elseif i=1 then return j elseif j=k then return k else m = max{label(i), label(j), label(k)} (i0, i1) := Ops(i, m); (j0, j1) := Ops(j, m); (k0, k1) := Ops(k, m); l:=ITE(i0, j0, k0); h:=ITE(i1, j1, k1); return CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; end</pre>
<pre>Constrain(Φ, β) if β=0 then ret 0 elseif Φ ∈ {0, 1} (β = 1) ret Φ else m=max{label(β), label(Φ)} (Φ0, Φ1) := Ops(Φ, m); (β0, β1) := Ops(β, m); if β0=0 ret Constrain(Φ1, β1) elseif β1=0 then ret Constrain(Φ0, β0) else l:=Constrain(Φ0, β0); h:=Constrain(Φ1, β1); ret CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; endif; end</pre>	<pre>Apply(⊙, Bddnode a, b) int m; BddNode h, l; if isLeaf(a)&isLeaf(b) then return Eval(⊙, label(a), label(b)); else m=max{label(a), label(b)} (a0, a1) := Ops(a, m); (b0, b1) := Ops(b, m); h:=Apply(⊙, a1, b1); l:=Apply(⊙, a0, b0); return CreateNode(m, h, l) endif; end</pre>
<pre>Restrict(Φ, β) if β=0 return 0 elseif Φ ∈ {0, 1} ∨ (β = 1) return Φ else m=max{label(β), label(Φ)} (Φ0, Φ1) := Ops(Φ, m); (β0, β1) := Ops(β, m) if β0=0 return Restrict(Φ1, β1) elseif β1=0 return Restrict(Φ0, β0) elseif m=label(Φ) return CreateNode(m, Restrict(Φ1, β1), Restrict(Φ0, β0)) else return Restrict(Φ, Apply(∨, β0, β1)) endif; endif; end</pre>	<pre>Exists(BddNode e, φ) if isLeaf(φ) VisLeaf(e) return φ; elseif label(e)>label(φ) return Exist(high(e), φ) elseif label(e)=label(φ) h=Exist(high(e), high(φ)) l=Exist(high(e), low(φ)) return Apply(∨, l, h) else label(e)<label(φ)) h:=Exists(e, high(φ)) l:=Exists(e, low(φ)) return CreateNode(label(φ), h, l) endif; end function. ZDD: If positive cofactor = 0, redirect edge to negative cofactor. If variable not in the formula, add with both edges pointing to same node. FDD: Positive Davio Decomposition. (Keep both edges to 1 if happens!) φ = [φ]x⁰ ⊕ x ∧ (∂φ/∂x) (∂φ/∂x) := [φ]x⁰ ⊕ [φ]x¹</pre>

Local Model Checking (follow precedence!)	
$\frac{s \vdash \phi \wedge \psi}{\{s \vdash \phi\} \wedge \{s \vdash \psi\}}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi \vee \psi}{\{s \vdash \phi\} \vee \{s \vdash \psi\}}$
$\frac{s \vdash \phi \perp \psi}{\{s_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \phi\} \wedge \{s_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \psi\}}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi \perp \psi}{\{s_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \phi\} \vee \{s_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \psi\}}$
$\frac{s \vdash \phi \Box \psi}{\{s'_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \phi\} \wedge \{s'_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \psi\}}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi \Diamond \psi}{\{s'_1 \vdash \phi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \phi\} \vee \{s'_1 \vdash \psi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \psi\}}$
$\frac{s \vdash \phi \mu x. \varphi}{s \vdash \phi} \quad \frac{s \vdash \phi \nu x. \varphi}{s \vdash \phi}$	$\frac{s \vdash \phi x}{s \vdash \phi} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{D}\phi(\text{replace w. initial form.})}{s \vdash \phi}$
$\{s_1 \dots s_n\} = suc^R_{\exists}(s) \text{ and } \{s'_1 \dots s'_n\} = pre^R_{\exists}(s)$	

Approximations and Ranks	
If $(s, \mu x. \varphi)$ repeats \rightarrow return 0	$apx_0(\mu x. \varphi) := 0$
If $(s, \nu x. \varphi)$ repeats \rightarrow return 1	$apx_0(\nu x. \varphi) := 1$

Tarski-Knaster Theorem: μ : starts $\perp \rightarrow$ least fixpoint $\blacktriangledown \nu$: starts $\top \rightarrow$ greatest fixpoint

Quantif. $\exists x. \varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \vee [\varphi]_x^0 \quad \forall x. \varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \wedge [\varphi]_x^0$
 $\diamond := pre^R_{\exists}(Q) := \exists x'_1, \dots, x'_n. \varphi_R \wedge [\varphi Q]_{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$

$\diamond := suc^R_{\exists}(Q) := [\exists x_1, \dots, x_n. \varphi_R \wedge \varphi Q]_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}$
 $\square = pre^R_{\forall}(Q) := \forall x'_1, \dots, x'_n. \varphi_R \rightarrow [\varphi Q]_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}$
 $\Box := suc^R_{\forall}(Q) := [\forall x_1, \dots, x_n. \varphi_R \rightarrow \varphi Q]_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}$
 $\diamond (Points to some in the set? Yes, enter!)$
 $\diamond (Is pointed by some in the set? Yes, enter!)$
 $\square (Points to some outside the set? Yes, *don't* enter!)$
 $\Box (Pointed by some out the set? Yes, *don't* enter!)$

Example: \square / \Box
 $pre^R_{\forall}(\{S3, S4\}) = \{S0, S5\}$
 $suc^R_{\forall}(\{S3, S4\}) = \{S2, S5\}$

AUTOMATA
Automata types: G \rightarrow Safety; F \rightarrow Liveness;
FG \rightarrow Persistence/Co-Buchi; GF \rightarrow Fairness/Buchi.
Automaton Determinization
NDet_G \rightarrow Det_G: 1.Remove all states/edges that do not satisfy acceptance condition; 2.Use Subset construction (Rabin-Scott); 3.Acceptance condition will be the states where $\{\}$ is never reached.
{NDet_F (partial) or NDet_{prefix}} \rightarrow Det_{FG}: Breakpoint Construction.
NDet_F (total) \rightarrow Det_F: Subset Construction.
NDet_{FG} \rightarrow Det_{FG}: Breakpoint Construction.
NDet_{GF} \rightarrow {Det_{Rabin} or Det_{street}}: Safra Automathm.

Boolean Operations on ω -Automata Complement	
$\neg A_{\forall}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}) = A_{\exists}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \neg \mathcal{F})$	
$\neg A_{\exists}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}) = A_{\forall}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \neg \mathcal{F})$	
Conjunction	
$(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \wedge A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \wedge \mathcal{F}_2)$	
Disjunction	
$(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \vee A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}\left(\begin{matrix} Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \{q\}, \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{I}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{I}_2), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{R}_2 \wedge q'), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{F}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{F}_2) \end{matrix}\right)$	

If both automata are totally defined,
 $(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \vee A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \vee \mathcal{F}_2)$
Eliminate Nesting - Acceptance condition **must be an automata of the same type**
 $A_{\exists}(Q^1, \mathcal{I}_1^1, \mathcal{R}_1^1, A_{\exists}(Q^2, \mathcal{I}_1^2, \mathcal{R}_1^2, \mathcal{F}_1)) = A_{\exists}(Q^1 \cup Q^2, \mathcal{I}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_1^2, \mathcal{R}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_1^2, \mathcal{F}_1))$

Boolean Operations of G	
(1) $\neg G\varphi = F\neg\varphi$	(2) $G\varphi \wedge G\psi = G[\varphi \wedge \psi]$
(3) $G\varphi \vee G\psi = A_{\exists}(\{p, q\}, p \wedge q, [p' \leftrightarrow p \wedge \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \psi], G[p \vee q])$	
Boolean Operations of F	
(1) $\neg F\varphi = G\neg\varphi$	(2) $F\varphi \vee F\psi = F[\varphi \vee \psi]$
(3) $F\varphi \wedge F\psi = A_{\exists}(\{p, q\}, \neg p \wedge \neg q, [p' \leftrightarrow p \vee \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \psi], F[p \wedge q])$	
Boolean Operations of FG	
(1) $\neg FG\varphi = GF\neg\varphi$	(2) $FG\varphi \wedge FG\psi = FG[\varphi \wedge \psi]$
(3) $FG\varphi \vee FG\psi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \neg \psi \neg \varphi), FG[\neg q \vee \psi])$	

Boolean Operations of GF	
(1) $\neg GF\varphi = FG\neg\varphi$	(2) $GF\varphi \vee GF\psi = GF[\varphi \vee \psi]$
(3) $GF\varphi \wedge GF\psi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \neg \psi \neg \varphi), GF[q \wedge \psi])$	

Transformation of Acceptance Conditions
Reduction of G
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, \varphi \wedge q \wedge q', Fq)$
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, FGq)$
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, GFq)$
Reduction of F
 $F\varphi$ can **not** be expressed by $NDet_G$
 $F\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, FGq)$
 $F\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, GFq)$
Reduction of FG
 $FG\varphi$ can **not** be expressed by $NDet_G$
 $FG\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q \rightarrow \varphi \wedge q', Fq)$
$$FG\varphi = A_{\exists} \left(\begin{matrix} \{p, q\}, & \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[\begin{matrix} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg \varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{matrix} \right], & \\ G\neg q \wedge Fp \end{matrix} \right),$$

$$FG\varphi = A_{\exists} \left(\begin{matrix} \{p, q\}, & \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[\begin{matrix} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg \varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{matrix} \right], & \\ GF[p \wedge \neg q] \end{matrix} \right),$$

TEMPORAL LOGICS
(S1) Pure LTL: AFGa
(S2) LTL + CTL: AFa
(S3) Pure CTL: AGEFa
(S4) CTL*: AFGa \vee AGEFa
Remarks *Beware of Finite Paths*
E and A quantify over infinite paths.
 $\triangleright A\varphi$ holds on every state that has no infinite path;
 $\triangleright E\varphi$ is false on states that have no infinite path;
A0 holds on states with only finite paths;
E1 is false on state with only finite paths;
 $\Box 0$ holds on states with no successor states;
 $\Diamond 1$ holds on states with successor states.
 $F\varphi = \varphi \vee XF\varphi$ $G\varphi = \varphi \wedge XG\varphi$
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = \psi \vee (\varphi \wedge X[\varphi \ U \ \psi])$
 $[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = \neg \psi \wedge (\varphi \vee X[\varphi \ B \ \psi])$
 $[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = (\psi \wedge \varphi) \vee (\neg \psi \wedge X[\varphi \ W \ \psi])$

LTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators
 $G\varphi = \neg[1 \ U \ (\neg\varphi)]$ $F\varphi = [1 \ U \ \varphi]$
 $[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi) \ U \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
 $[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = [(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$ ($\neg\psi$ holds until $\varphi \wedge \psi$)
 $[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi) \ U \ \psi]$
 $[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = [(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$ (ψ can't hold when φ holds)
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = [\varphi \ U \ \psi] \vee G\varphi$
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi) \ W \ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = [\psi \ W \ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi) \ B \ \psi]$ (φ doesn't matter when ψ holds)
 $[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = [\psi \ B \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$

CTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators
Existential Operators
 $EF\varphi = E[1 \ U \ \varphi]$
 $EG\varphi = E[\varphi \ U \ 0]$
 $E[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = E[\varphi \ U \ \psi] \vee EG\varphi$
 $E[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)] \vee EG\neg\psi$
 $E[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $E[\varphi \ B \ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)] \vee EG\neg\psi$
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
Universal Operators
 $AX\varphi = \neg EX\neg\varphi$
 $AG\varphi = \neg E[1 \ U \ \neg\varphi]$
 $AF\varphi = \neg EG\neg\varphi$
 $AF\varphi = \neg E[(\neg\varphi) \ U \ 0]$
 $A[\varphi \ U \ \psi] = \neg E[(\neg\psi) \ U \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$

