

Propositional Logic Syntactic Sugar

$\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi := (\neg\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge (\neg\psi \vee \varphi) \quad \varphi \rightarrow \psi := \neg\varphi \vee \psi$
 $\varphi \oplus \psi := (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi) \vee (\psi \wedge \neg\varphi) \quad \varphi \bar{\wedge} \psi := \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$
 $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta|\gamma) := (\neg\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma) \quad \varphi \bar{\vee} \psi := \neg(\varphi \vee \psi)$

Distributivity: $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$
 $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$
De Morgan: $\neg(a \vee b) \equiv (\neg a \wedge \neg b)$
 $\neg(a \wedge b) \equiv (\neg a \vee \neg b)$
CNF: from truth table, take minterms that are 0.
Each minterm is built as an OR of the negated variables. E.g., $(0,0,1) \rightarrow (x \vee y \vee \neg z)$.

SAT SOLVERS
Satisfiability, Validity and Equivalence
 $\text{SAT}(\varphi) := \neg \text{VALID}(\neg\varphi) \quad \varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi := \text{VALID}(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$
 $\text{VALID}(\varphi) := (\varphi \Leftrightarrow 1) \quad \text{SAT}(\varphi) := \neg(\varphi \Leftrightarrow 0).$

Sequent Calculus:
- *Validity:* start with $\{\} \vdash \phi$; valid iff $\Gamma \cap \Delta \neq \{\}$ FOR ALL leaves.
- *Satisfiability:* start with $\{\phi\} \vdash \{\}$; satisfiable iff $\Gamma \cap \Delta = \{\}$ for AT LEAST ONE leaf.
- Countexample/sat variable assignment: var is true, if $x \in \Gamma$; false otherwise; "don't care", if variable doesn't appear.

OPER.	LEFT	RIGHT
NOT	$\neg\phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta$ $\Gamma \vdash \phi, \Delta$	$\Gamma \vdash \neg\phi, \Delta$ $\phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta$
AND	$\phi \wedge \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta$ $\phi, \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta$	$\Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi, \Delta$ $\Gamma \vdash \phi, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi, \Delta$
OR	$\phi \vee \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta$ $\phi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad \psi, \Gamma \vdash \Delta$	$\Gamma \vdash \phi \vee \psi, \Delta$ $\Gamma \vdash \phi, \psi, \Delta$

Resolution Calculus $\frac{\{ \neg x \} \cup C_1 \quad \{ x \} \cup C_2}{C_1 \cup C_2}$

To prove unsatisfiability of given clauses in CNF: If we reach $\{\}$, the formula is unsatisfiable. E.g., $\{\{a\}, \{\neg a, b\}, \{\neg b\}\}$, we get: $\{a\} + \{\neg a, b\} \rightarrow \{b\}$; $\{b\} + \{\neg b\} \rightarrow \{\}$ (unsatisfiable). To prove validity, prove UNSAT of negated formula.

Davis Putnam Procedure - proves SAT; To prove validity: prove unsatisfiability of negated formula.
(1) Compute Linear Clause Form (Don't forget to create the last clause $\{x_n\}$)
(2)Last variable has to be $\underline{1}$ (true) \rightarrow find implied variables.
(3)For remaining variables: assume values and compute newly implied variables.
(4)If contradiction reached: backtrack.

Linear Clause Forms (Computes CNF) - Bottom up (inside out) in the syntax tree: convert "operators and variables" into new variable. E.g., $\neg a \vee b$ becomes $x_1 \leftrightarrow \neg a$; $x_2 \leftrightarrow x_1 \vee b$. Use rules below to find CNF. Create last clause $\{X_n\}$

$x \leftrightarrow \neg y \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee \neg y) \wedge (x \vee y)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \wedge y_2 \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee y_1) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \vee y_2 \Leftrightarrow (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \rightarrow y_2 \Leftrightarrow (x \vee y_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow (y_1 \leftrightarrow y_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2)$
 $x \leftrightarrow y_1 \oplus y_2 \Leftrightarrow (x \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x \vee y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2)$

```
Compose(int x, BddNode ψ, α)
int m; BddNode h, l;
if x>label(ψ) then
  return ψ;
elseif x=label(ψ) then
  return ITE(α,high(ψ),low(ψ));
else
  m=max{label(ψ),label(α)};
  (α0,α1):=Ops(α,m);
  (ψ0,ψ1):=Ops(ψ,m);
  h:=Compose(x,ψ1,α1);
  l:=Compose(x,ψ0,α0);
  return CreateNode(m,h,l)
endif;end
```

```
ITE(BddNode i, j, k)
int m; BddNode h, l;
if i = 0 then return k
elseif i=1 then
  return j
elseif j=k then
  return k
else
  m = max{label(i),label(j),label(k)};
  (i0,i1):=Ops(i,m);
  (j0,j1):=Ops(j,m);
  (k0,k1):=Ops(k,m);
  l:=ITE(i0,j0,k0);
  h:=ITE(i1,j1,k1);
  return CreateNode(m,h,l)
endif;end
```

```
Constrain(Φ, β)
if β=0 then
  ret 0
elseif Φ ∈ {0,1}(β = 1)
  ret Φ
else
  m=max{label(β),label(Φ)};
  (Φ0,Φ1):=Ops(Φ,m);
  (β0,β1):=Ops(β,m);
  if β0=0
    ret Constrain(Φ1,β1)
elseif β1=0 then
  ret Constrain(Φ0,β0)
else
  l:=Constrain(Φ0,β0);
  h:=Constrain(Φ1,β1);
  ret CreateNode(m,h,l)
endif;endif;end
```

```
Exists(BddNode e, φ)
if isLeaf(φ)VisLeaf(e)
  return φ;
elseif label(e)>label(φ)
  return Exist(high(e),φ)
elseif label(e)=label(φ)
  h=Exist(high(e),high(φ))
  l=Exist(high(e),low(φ))
  return Apply(∨,l,h)
else (label(e)<label(φ))
  h:=Exists(e,high(φ))
  l:=Exists(e,low(φ))
  return CreateNode(label(φ),h,l)
endif; end function.
```

```
Restrict(Φ,β)
if β=0
  return 0
elseif
  Φ ∈ {0,1} ∨ (β = 1)
  return Φ
else
  m=max{label(β),label(Φ)};
  (Φ0,Φ1):=Ops(Φ,m);
  (β0,β1):=Ops(β,m)
  if β0=0
    return Restrict(Φ1,β1)
elseif β1=0
    return Restrict(Φ0,β0)
elseif m=label(Φ)
  return CreateNode(m, Restrict(Φ1,β1), Restrict(Φ0,β0))
else
  return Restrict(Φ, Apply(∨,β0,β1))
endif;endif;end
```

```
Ops(v,m)
x:=label(v);
if m=degree(x)
  return (low(v),high(v))
else return(v, v)
endif;end
```

```
FDD: Positive Davio
Decomposition. (
  Keep both edges to i if happens!)
φ = [φ]x0 ⊕ x ∧ (∂φ/∂x)
(∂φ/∂x) := [φ]x0 ⊕ [φ]x1
```

Local Model Checking

$\frac{s \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi}{\{s \vdash \varphi\} \cdot \{s \vdash \psi\} \wedge}$	$\frac{s \vdash \varphi \vee \psi}{\{s \vdash \varphi\} \cdot \{s \vdash \psi\} \vee}$
$\frac{s \vdash \perp \vee \varphi}{\{s_1 \vdash \varphi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \varphi\} \wedge}$	$\frac{s \vdash \perp \vee \varphi}{\{s_1 \vdash \varphi\} \dots \{s_n \vdash \varphi\} \vee}$
$\frac{s \vdash \perp \vee \varphi}{\{s'_1 \vdash \varphi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \varphi\} \wedge}$	$\frac{s \vdash \perp \vee \varphi}{\{s'_1 \vdash \varphi\} \dots \{s'_n \vdash \varphi\} \vee}$
$\frac{s \vdash \mu x. \varphi}{\{s_1 \dots s_n\} = suc^R_{\mu}(s)}$	$\frac{s \vdash \nu x. \varphi}{\{s_1 \dots s'_n\} = pre^R_{\nu}(s)}$

Approximations and Ranks

If $(s, \mu x. \varphi)$ repeats \rightarrow return 1	$apx_0(\mu x. \varphi) := 0$
If $(s, \nu x. \varphi)$ repeats \rightarrow return 0	$apx_0(\nu x. \varphi) := 1$

Tarski-Knaster Theorem: $\mu :=$ starts $\bot \rightarrow$ least fixpoint $\blacklozenge \nu :=$ starts $\top \rightarrow$ greatest fixpoint

Quantif. $\exists x. \varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \vee [\varphi]_x^0 \quad \forall x. \varphi := [\varphi]_x^1 \wedge [\varphi]_x^0$

Predecessor and Successor

$\diamond := pre^R_{\exists}(Q) := \exists x'_1, \dots, x'_n. \varphi_R \wedge [\varphi_Q]_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}$

$\heartsuit := suc^R_{\exists}(Q) := [\exists x_1, \dots, x_n. \varphi_R \wedge \varphi_Q]_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$

$\square := pre^R_{\forall}(Q) := \forall x'_1, \dots, x'_n. \varphi_R \rightarrow [\varphi_Q]_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{x'_1, \dots, x'_n}$

$\boxdot := suc^R_{\forall}(Q) := [\forall x_1, \dots, x_n. \varphi_R \rightarrow \varphi_Q]_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$

Example: \square/\boxdot

$pre^R_{\forall}(\{S3, S4\}) = \{S0, S5\}$

$suc^R_{\forall}(\{S3, S4\}) = \{S2, S5\}$

```
pre^R_{\forall}(Q = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\})
for each node n in K:
  if (n points to a node that is not in Q)
    n ∉ pre^R_{\forall}(Q)
  else
    n ∈ pre^R_{\forall}(Q)
```

```
suc^R_{\forall}(Q = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\})
for each node n in K:
  if (n is pointed by a node that is not in Q)
    n ∉ suc^R_{\forall}(Q)
  else
    n ∈ suc^R_{\forall}(Q)
```

AUTOMATA
Automata types: G \rightarrow Safety; F \rightarrow Liveness;
FG \rightarrow Persistence/Co-Buchi; GF \rightarrow Fairness/Buchi.
Automaton Determinization
NDet_G \rightarrow Det_G: 1.Remove all states/edges that do not satisfy acceptance condition; 2.Use Subset construction (Rabin-Scott); 3.Acceptance condition will be the states where $\{\}$ is never reached.
{NDet_F(partial) or NDet_{prefix}} \rightarrow Det_{FG}: Breakpoint Construction.
NDet_F (total) \rightarrow Det_F: Subset Construction.
NDet_{FG} \rightarrow Det_{FG}: Breakpoint Construction.
NDet_{GF} \rightarrow {Det_{Rabin} or Det_{Streett}}: Safral algorithm.
Boolean Operations on ω -Automata
Complement
 $\neg A_{\forall}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}) = A_{\exists}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \neg \mathcal{F})$
 $\neg A_{\exists}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}) = A_{\forall}(Q, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{R}, \neg \mathcal{F})$
Conjunction
 $(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \wedge A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \wedge \mathcal{F}_2)$
Disjunction
 $(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \vee A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}\left(\begin{matrix} Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \{q\}, \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{I}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{I}_2), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \neg q') \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{R}_2 \wedge q'), \\ (\neg q \wedge \mathcal{F}_1) \vee (q \wedge \mathcal{F}_2) \end{matrix}\right)$
If both automata are totally defined,
 $(A_{\exists}(Q_1, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \vee A_{\exists}(Q_2, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)) = A_{\exists}(Q_1 \cup Q_2, \mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{R}_1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \vee \mathcal{F}_2)$
Eliminate Nesting - Acceptance condition **must** be an automata of the same type
 $A_{\exists}(Q^1, \mathcal{I}_1^1, \mathcal{R}_1^1, A_{\exists}(Q^2, \mathcal{I}_1^2, \mathcal{R}_1^2, \mathcal{F}_1)) = A_{\exists}(Q^1 \cup Q^2, \mathcal{I}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{I}_1^2, \mathcal{R}_1^1 \wedge \mathcal{R}_1^2, \mathcal{F}_1))$

Boolean Operations of G

(1) $\neg G\varphi = F\neg\varphi$ (2) $G\varphi \wedge G\psi = G[\varphi \wedge \psi]$
(3) $G\varphi \vee G\psi = A_{\exists}(\{p, q\}, p \wedge q, [p' \leftrightarrow p \wedge \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \psi], G[p \vee q])$

Boolean Operations of F

(1) $\neg F\varphi = G\neg\varphi$ (2) $F\varphi \vee F\psi = F[\varphi \vee \psi]$
(3) $F\varphi \wedge F\psi = A_{\exists}(\{p, q\}, \neg p \wedge \neg q, [p' \leftrightarrow p \vee \varphi] \wedge [q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \psi], F[p \wedge q])$

Boolean Operations of FG

(1) $\neg FG\varphi = GF\neg\varphi$ (2) $FG\varphi \wedge FG\psi = FG[\varphi \wedge \psi]$
(3) $FG\varphi \vee FG\psi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \psi | \neg\varphi), FG[\neg q \vee \psi])$

Boolean Operations of GF

(1) $\neg GF\varphi = FG\neg\varphi$ (2) $GF\varphi \vee GF\psi = GF[\varphi \vee \psi]$

(3) $GF\varphi \wedge GF\psi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow (q \Rightarrow \neg\psi | \varphi), GF[q \wedge \psi])$

Transformation of Acceptance Conditions
Reduction of G
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, \varphi \wedge q \wedge q', Fq)$
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, FGq)$
 $G\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, q, q' \leftrightarrow q \wedge \varphi, GFq)$
Reduction of F
 $F\varphi$ can **not** be expressed by $NDet_G$
 $F\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, FGq)$
 $F\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q' \leftrightarrow q \vee \varphi, GFq)$
Reduction of FG
 $FG\varphi$ can **not** be expressed by $NDet_G$
 $FG\varphi = A_{\exists}(\{q\}, \neg q, q \rightarrow \varphi \wedge q', Fq)$
 $FG\varphi = A_{\exists}\left(\begin{matrix} \{p, q\}, & \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[\begin{matrix} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg \varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{matrix} \right], \\ G\neg q \wedge Fp \end{matrix}\right)$
 $FG\varphi = A_{\exists}\left(\begin{matrix} \{p, q\}, & \neg p \wedge \neg q, \\ \left[\begin{matrix} (p \rightarrow p') \wedge (p' \rightarrow p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (q' \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg \varphi) \vee (p \wedge q)) \end{matrix} \right], \\ GF[p \wedge \neg q] \end{matrix}\right)$

TEMPORAL LOGICS
(S1)Pure LTL: AFGa
(S2)LTL + CTL: AFa
(S3)Pure CTL: AGEFa
(S4)CTL*: AFGa \vee AGEFa
Remarks *Beware of Finite Paths*
E and A quantify over infinite paths.
 $A\varphi$ holds on every state that has no infinite path;
 $E\varphi$ is false on every state that has no infinite path;
A0 holds on states with only finite paths;
E1 is false on state with only finite paths;
 $\square 0$ holds on states with no successor states;
 $\diamond 1$ holds on states with successor states.
 $F\varphi = \varphi \vee X F\varphi$ $G\varphi = \varphi \wedge X G\varphi$
 $[\varphi U \psi] = \psi \vee (\varphi \wedge X[\varphi U \psi])$
 $[\varphi B \psi] = \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee X[\varphi B \psi])$
 $[\varphi W \psi] = (\psi \wedge \varphi) \vee (\neg\psi \wedge X[\varphi W \psi])$

LTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators
 $G\varphi = \neg[1 \underline{U} (\neg\varphi)] \quad F\varphi = [1 \underline{U} \varphi]$
 $[\varphi W \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi) \underline{U} (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
 $[\varphi W \psi] = [(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \psi)]$ ($\neg\psi$ holds until $\varphi \wedge \psi$)
 $[\varphi B \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi) \underline{U} \psi]$
 $[\varphi B \psi] = [(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$ (ψ can't hold when φ holds)
 $[\varphi U \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $[\varphi U \psi] = [\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \vee G\varphi$
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi) U (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] = \neg[(\neg\psi) W (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] = [\psi \underline{W} (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)]$
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] = \neg[(\neg\varphi) B \psi]$ (φ doesn't matter when ψ holds)
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] = [\psi \underline{B} (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$

CTL Syntactic Sugar: analog for past operators
Existential Operators
 $EF\varphi = E[1 \underline{U} \varphi]$
 $EG\varphi = E[\varphi U 0]$
 $E[\varphi U \psi] = E[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \vee EG\varphi$
 $E[\varphi B \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)] \vee EG\neg\psi$
 $E[\varphi B \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $E[\varphi B \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $E[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $E[\varphi W \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)] \vee EG\neg\psi$
 $E[\varphi W \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $E[\varphi W \psi] = E[(\neg\psi) \underline{U} (\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
Universal Operators
 $AX\varphi = \neg EX\neg\varphi$
 $AG\varphi = \neg E[1 \underline{U} \neg\varphi]$

$AF\varphi \equiv \neg EG\neg\varphi$
 $AF\varphi \equiv \neg E[(\neg\varphi) \ U \ 0]$
 $A[\varphi \ U \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\psi) \ \underline{U} \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $A[\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\psi) \ \underline{U} \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)] \wedge \neg EG\neg\psi$
 $A[\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\psi) \ \underline{U} \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)]$
 $A[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi]$
 $A[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi]$
 $A[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\varphi \vee \psi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \wedge \neg EG(\neg\varphi \vee \psi)$
 $A[\varphi \ W \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\psi) \ \underline{U} \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
 $A[\varphi \ W \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\psi) \ \underline{U} \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)] \wedge \neg EG\neg\psi$
 $A[\varphi \ W \ \psi] \equiv \neg E[(\neg\psi) \ \underline{U} \ (\neg\varphi \wedge \psi)]$
CTL* to CTL - Existential Operators
 $EX\varphi \equiv EXE\varphi$
 $EF\varphi \equiv EFE\varphi$ $EFG\varphi \equiv EFEG\varphi$
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] \equiv E[(E\varphi) \ W \ \psi]$
 $E[\varphi \ W \ \psi] \equiv E[(E\varphi) \ W \ \psi]$
 $E[\psi \ \underline{U} \ \varphi] \equiv E[\psi \ U \ E(\varphi)]$
 $E[\psi \ \underline{U} \ \varphi] \equiv E[\psi \ U \ E(\varphi)]$
 $E[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv E[(E\varphi) \ B \ \psi]$
 $E[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv E[(E\varphi) \ B \ \psi]$
obs. $EGF\varphi \neq EGEF\varphi \rightarrow$ can't be converted
CTL* to CTL - Universal Operators
 $AX\varphi \equiv AXA\varphi$
 $AG\varphi \equiv AGA\varphi$
 $A[\varphi \ W \ \psi] \equiv A[(A\varphi) \ W \ \psi]$
 $A[\varphi \ W \ \psi] \equiv A[(A\varphi) \ W \ \psi]$
 $A[\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \equiv A[A(\varphi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi]$
 $A[\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \equiv A[A(\varphi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi]$
 $A[\psi \ \underline{B} \ \varphi] \equiv A[\psi \ B \ (E(\varphi))]$
 $A[\psi \ \underline{B} \ \varphi] \equiv A[\psi \ B \ (E(\varphi))]$
Weak Equivalences
 $*[\varphi U \psi] := [\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \vee G\varphi$ $*[\varphi B \psi] := [\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \vee G\neg\psi$
 $*\text{same to past version}$ $[\varphi W \psi] := \neg[(\neg\varphi) \underline{W} \psi]$
 $\underline{X}\varphi := \neg \underline{X}\neg\varphi$ (at $t0$: weak true. strong false)
Negation Normal Form
 $\neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \equiv \neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi$ $\neg(\varphi \vee \psi) \equiv \neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi$
 $\neg\neg\varphi \equiv \varphi$ $\neg X\varphi \equiv X\neg\varphi$
 $\neg G\varphi \equiv F\neg\varphi$ $\neg F\varphi \equiv G\neg\varphi$
 $\neg[\varphi \ U \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{B} \ \psi]$ $\neg[\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ B \ \psi]$
 $\neg[\varphi \ B \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi]$ $\neg[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ U \ \psi]$
 $\neg A\varphi \equiv E\neg\varphi$ $\neg E\varphi \equiv A\neg\varphi$
 $\neg \underline{X}\varphi \equiv \underline{X}\neg\varphi$ $\neg \underline{X}\varphi \equiv \underline{X}\neg\varphi$
 $\neg \underline{G}\varphi \equiv \underline{F}\neg\varphi$ $\neg \underline{F}\varphi \equiv \underline{G}\neg\varphi$
 $\neg[\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{B} \ \psi]$ $\neg[\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{B} \ \psi]$
 $\neg[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi]$ $\neg[\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi] \equiv [(\neg\varphi) \ \underline{U} \ \psi]$
Equivalences and Tips
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \equiv \varphi$ don't matter when ψ hold
 $[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \equiv \psi$ can't hold when φ hold
 $[\varphi \underline{W} \psi] \equiv \neg\psi$ hold until $\varphi \wedge \psi$
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \equiv [\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \vee G\varphi$
 $[a \underline{U} Fb] \equiv Fb$
 $F[a \underline{U} b] \equiv Fb \equiv [Fa \underline{U} Fb]$
 $[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \equiv [\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \vee G\neg\psi$
 $F[a \underline{B} b] \equiv F[a \wedge \neg b]$
 $[\varphi \underline{W} \psi] \equiv \neg[\neg\varphi \underline{W} \psi]$
 $AEA \equiv A$ $\bullet GFX \equiv GXF$
 $FF\varphi \equiv F\varphi$ $\bullet GG\varphi \equiv G\varphi$
 $GF\varphi \equiv XGF\varphi \equiv FGF\varphi \equiv GGF\varphi \equiv GFGF\varphi \equiv$
 $FGGF\varphi$
 $FG\varphi \equiv XFG\varphi \equiv FFG\varphi \equiv GFG\varphi \equiv GFFG\varphi \equiv$
 $FGFG\varphi$
 $GF(x \vee y) \equiv GFx \vee GFy$
 $E(\varphi \wedge \psi) \equiv E\varphi \wedge E\psi$ (Careful! Only sometimes!)
 $E(\varphi \vee \psi) \equiv E\varphi \vee E\psi$ (Careful! Only sometimes!)
 $E[(a \underline{U} b) \wedge (c \underline{U} d)] \equiv$
 $E[(a \wedge c) \underline{U} (b \wedge c) \underline{U} d \wedge E(a \underline{U} b)]$

$AG(\varphi \wedge \psi) \equiv AG\varphi \wedge AG\psi$
 $A(G[a \underline{U} b]) \equiv G(a \vee b)$
 $A(G[a \underline{B} b]) \equiv G(\neg b)$
 $A(G[a \underline{W} b]) \equiv G(b \rightarrow a)$
 $A(G[a \underline{U} b]) \equiv G(a \vee b) \wedge G(Fb)$
 $A(G[a \underline{B} b]) \equiv G(\neg b) \wedge G(Fa)$
► The following are initially but not generally valid
 $A(G \underline{X} a \equiv Ga)$ $\bullet A(G \underline{X} a \equiv false)$
 $A(G \underline{G} a \equiv Ga)$ $\bullet A(G \underline{F} a \equiv a)$
 $A(G[a \underline{U} b]) \equiv G(a \vee b)$ $\bullet A(G[a \underline{U} b]) \equiv b \wedge G(a \vee b)$
 $A(G[a \underline{B} b]) \equiv G(\neg b)$ $\bullet A(G[a \underline{B} b]) \equiv a \wedge G(\neg b)$
 $A(G[a \underline{W} b]) \equiv G(b \rightarrow a)$ $\bullet A(G[a \underline{W} b]) \equiv b \wedge G(b \rightarrow a)$
 $A(F \underline{X} a \equiv true)$ $\bullet A(F \underline{X} a \equiv Fa)$
 $A(F \underline{G} a \equiv a)$ $\bullet A(F \underline{F} a \equiv Fa)$
 $A(F[a \underline{U} b]) \equiv Fb \vee FPGa$ $\bullet A(F[a \underline{U} b]) \equiv Fb$
 $A(F[a \underline{B} b]) \equiv F(a \wedge \neg b) \vee FPG(\neg a \wedge \neg b)$
 $A(F[a \underline{B} b]) \equiv F(a \wedge \neg b)$
 $A(F[a \underline{W} b]) \equiv F(a \wedge b) \vee FPG\neg b$
 $A(F[a \underline{W} b]) \equiv F(a \wedge b)$
Eliminate boolean op. after path quantify
 $[\varphi_1 \ U \ \psi_1] \wedge [\varphi_2 \ \underline{U} \ \psi_2] \equiv$

$$\left[(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \ \underline{U} \ \left(\psi_1 \wedge [\varphi_2 \ \underline{U} \ \psi_2] \vee \right) \right]$$

 $[\varphi_1 \ \underline{U} \ \psi_1] \wedge [\varphi_2 \ U \ \psi_2] \equiv$

$$\left[(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \ \underline{U} \ \left(\psi_1 \wedge [\varphi_2 \ U \ \psi_2] \vee \right) \right]$$

 $[\varphi_1 \ U \ \psi_1] \wedge [\varphi_2 \ U \ \psi_2] \equiv$

$$\left[(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \ \underline{U} \ \left(\psi_1 \wedge [\varphi_2 \ U \ \psi_2] \vee \right) \right]$$

MONADIC PREDICATE LO2
first order terms are defined as:
 $-t \in V_\Sigma [typ_\Sigma(t) = \mathbb{N} \subseteq Term_\Sigma^{LO2}]$
formulas LO2 are defined as:
 $-t1 < t2 \in L_{LO2}$
 $-p^{(t)} \in L_{LO2}$
 $-\neg\varphi, \varphi \wedge \psi \in L_{LO2}$
 $-\exists t. \varphi \in L_{LO2}$
 $-\exists p. \varphi \in L_{LO2}$
where:
 $-t, t1, t2 \tau \in V_\Sigma$ with $typ_\Sigma(t) = typ_\Sigma(t1) = typ_\Sigma(t2) = \mathbb{N}$
 $-\varphi, \psi \in \zeta_{LO2}$
 $-t \in V_\Sigma$ with $typ_\Sigma(t) = \mathbb{N}$
 $-p \in V_\Sigma$ with $typ_\Sigma(p) = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$
TRANSLATIONS
CTL* Modelchecking to LTL model checking
Let's φ_i be a pure path formula (without path quantifiers), Ψ be a propositional formula, abbreviate subformulas $E\varphi$ and $A\psi$ working bottom-up the syntax tree to obtain the following
normal form: $\phi = \text{let } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 = A\varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n = A\varphi_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \Psi \text{ end}$
Use LTL model checking to compute
 $Q_i := \llbracket A\varphi_i \rrbracket_{\mathcal{K}_{i-1}}$, where $\mathcal{K}_0 := \mathcal{K}$ and \mathcal{K}_{i+1} is obtained from \mathcal{K}_i by labelling the states Q_i with x_i .
Finally compute $\llbracket \Psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{K}_n}$
function LO2_S1S(Φ)
case Φ of
 $t1 < t2 : \text{return } \exists p. [\forall t. p^{(t)} \rightarrow$

$p^{(suc(t))}] \wedge \neg p^{(t1)} \wedge p^{(t2)} :$
 $p^{(t)} : \text{return } p^{(t)} ;$
 $\neg\varphi : \text{return } \neg LO2_S1S(\varphi);$
 $\varphi \wedge \psi : \text{return } LO2_S1S(\varphi) \wedge LO2_S1S(\psi);$
 $\exists t. \varphi : \text{return } \exists t. LO2_S1S(\varphi);$
 $\exists p. \varphi : \text{return } \exists p. LO2_S1S(\varphi);$
end
end
function S1S_LO2(Φ)
case Φ of
 $p^{(n)} :$
return $\exists t0...tn. p^{(tn)} \wedge zero(t0) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} succ(ti, ti+1);$
 $p^{(t0+n)} :$
return $\exists t1...tn. p^{(tn)} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} succ(ti, ti+1);$
 $\neg\varphi : \text{return } \neg S1S_LO2(\varphi);$
 $\varphi \wedge \psi : \text{return } S1S_LO2(\varphi) \wedge S1S_LO2(\psi);$
 $\exists t. \varphi : \text{return } \exists t. S1S_LO2(\varphi);$
 $\exists p. \varphi : \text{return } \exists p. S1S_LO2(\varphi);$
end
end
function Tp2Od($t0, \Phi$) *temporal to LO1*
case Φ of
 $is_var(\Phi) : \Psi^{(t0)} ;$
 $\neg\varphi : \text{return } \neg Tp2Od(\varphi);$
 $\varphi \wedge \psi : \text{return } Tp2Od(\varphi) \wedge Tp2Od(\psi);$
 $\varphi \vee \psi : \text{return } Tp2Od(\varphi) \vee Tp2Od(\psi);$
 $X\varphi : \Psi := \exists t1. (t0 < t1) \wedge$
 $\forall t2. t0 < t2 \rightarrow t1 \leq t2 \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \varphi);$
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] : \Psi := \exists t1. t0 \leq t1 \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \psi) \wedge$
 $interval((t0, 1, t1, 0), \varphi);$
 $[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] : \Psi := \forall t1. t0 \leq t1 \wedge$
 $interval((t0, 1, t1, 0), \neg\varphi) \rightarrow Tp2Od(t1, \neg\psi);$
 $\underline{X}\varphi : \Psi := \forall t1. (t1 < t0) \wedge$
 $(\forall t2. t2 < t0 \rightarrow t2 \leq t1) \rightarrow Tp2Od(t1, \varphi);$
 $\underline{X}\varphi : \Psi := \exists t1. (t1 < t0) \wedge$
 $(\forall t2. t2 < t0 \rightarrow t2 \leq t1) \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \varphi);$
 $[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] : \Psi := \exists t1. t1 \leq t0 \wedge Tp2Od(t1, \psi) \wedge$
 $interval((t1, 0, t0, 1), \varphi);$
 $[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] : \Psi := \forall t1. t1 \leq t0 \wedge$
 $interval((t1, 0, t0, 1), \neg\varphi) \rightarrow Tp2Od(t1, \neg\psi);$
end
return Ψ
end
function interval(l, φ)
case Φ of
 $(t0, 0, t1, 0) :$
return $\forall t2. t0 < t2 \wedge t2 < t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi);$
 $(t0, 0, t1, 1) :$
return $\forall t2. t0 < t2 \wedge t2 \leq t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi);$
 $(t0, 1, t1, 0) :$
return $\forall t2. t0 \leq t2 \wedge t2 < t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi);$
 $(t0, 1, t1, 1) :$
return $\forall t2. t0 \leq t2 \wedge t2 \leq 3t1 \rightarrow Tp2Od(t2, \varphi);$
end
end
ω-Automaton to LO2
 $A_3(q1, ..., qn, \psi I, \psi R, \psi F)$ (input automaton)
 $\exists q1..qn. \Theta LO2(0, \psi I) \wedge (\forall t. \Theta LO2(t, \psi R)) \wedge$
 $(\forall t1 \exists t2. t1 < t2 \wedge \Theta LO2(t2, \psi F))$
Where ΘLO2(t, Φ) is:
 $-\Theta LO2(t, p) := p(t)$ for variable p
 $-\Theta LO2(t, X\psi) := \Theta LO2(t+1, \psi)$
 $-\Theta LO2(t, \neg\psi) := \neg \Theta LO2(t, \psi)$
 $-\Theta LO2(t, \varphi \wedge \psi) := \Theta LO2(t, \varphi) \wedge \Theta LO2(t, \psi)$
 $-\Theta LO2(t, \varphi \vee \psi) := \Theta LO2(t, \varphi) \vee \Theta LO2(t, \psi)$

LTL to ω-automata
 $\Phi(X\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow A_3(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow X\varphi, \Phi(q)_x)$
 $\Phi(X\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q0, q1\}, 1, (q0 \leftrightarrow \varphi) \wedge (q1 \leftrightarrow Xq0), \Phi(q1)_x)$
 $\Phi(G\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \varphi \wedge Xq, \Phi(q)_x \wedge GF[\varphi \rightarrow q])$
 $\Phi(F\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \varphi \wedge Xq, \Phi(q)_x \wedge GF[q \rightarrow \varphi])$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ U \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge Xq, \Phi(q)_x \wedge GF[\varphi \rightarrow q])$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge Xq, \Phi(q)_x \wedge GF[q \rightarrow \psi])$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee Xq), \Phi(q)_x \wedge GF[q \vee \psi])$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, 1, q \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee Xq), \Phi(q)_x \wedge GF[q \rightarrow \varphi])$
 $\Phi(\underline{X}\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow A_3(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi, \Phi(q)_x)$
 $\Phi(\underline{X}\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow A_3(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi, \Phi(q)_x)$
 $\Phi(\underline{G}\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow A_3(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi \wedge q, \Phi(\varphi \wedge q)_x)$
 $\Phi(\underline{F}\varphi)_x \Leftrightarrow A_3(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \varphi \vee q, \Phi(\varphi \vee q)_x)$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge q, \Phi(\psi \vee \varphi \wedge q)_x)$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ \underline{U} \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \psi \vee \varphi \wedge q, \Phi(\psi \vee \varphi \wedge q)_x)$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, q, Xq \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q), \Phi(\neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q))_x)$
 $\Phi([\varphi \ \underline{B} \ \psi])_x \Leftrightarrow$
 $A_3(\{q\}, \neg q, Xq \leftrightarrow \neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q), \Phi(\neg\psi \wedge (\varphi \vee q))_x)$
CTL to μ-Calculus ($\Phi_{inf} = \nu y. \Diamond y$)
 $EX\varphi \equiv \Diamond(\Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi)$
 $EG\varphi \equiv \nu x. \varphi \wedge \Diamond x$
 $EF\varphi \equiv \mu x. \Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi \vee \Diamond x$
 $E[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \equiv \mu x. (\Phi_{inf} \wedge \psi) \vee \varphi \wedge \Diamond x$
 $E[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \equiv \nu x. (\Phi_{inf} \wedge \psi) \vee \varphi \wedge \Diamond x$
 $E[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \equiv \mu x. \neg\psi \wedge (\Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi \vee \Diamond x)$
 $E[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \equiv \nu x. \neg\psi \wedge (\Phi_{inf} \wedge \varphi \vee \Diamond x)$
 $AX\varphi \equiv \Box(\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi)$
 $AG\varphi \equiv \nu x. (\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge \Box x$
 $AF\varphi \equiv \mu x. \varphi \vee \Box x$
 $A[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \equiv \mu x. \psi \vee (\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge \Box x$
 $A[\varphi \underline{U} \psi] \equiv \nu x. \psi \vee (\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge \Box x$
 $A[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \equiv \mu x. (\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \neg\psi) \wedge (\varphi \vee \Box x)$
 $A[\varphi \underline{B} \psi] \equiv \nu x. (\Phi_{inf} \rightarrow \neg\psi) \wedge (\varphi \vee \Box x)$
G and μ-calculus (safety property)
 $-\{ \nu x. \varphi \wedge \Diamond x \}_K$
-Contains states s where an infinite path π starts with $\forall t. \pi^{(t)} \in [\varphi]_K$
- φ holds always on π
F and μ-calculus (liveness property)
 $-\{ \mu x. \varphi \vee \Diamond x \}_K$
-Contains states s where a (possibly finite) path π starts with $\exists t. \pi^{(t)} \in [\varphi]_K$
- φ holds at least once on π
FG and μ-calculus (persistence property)
 $-\{ \mu y. [\nu x. \varphi \wedge \Diamond x] \vee \Diamond y \}_K$
-Contains states s where an infinite path π starts with $\exists t1. \forall t2. \pi^{(t1+t2)} \in [\varphi]_K$
- φ holds after some point on π
GF and μ-calculus (fairness property)
 $-\{ \nu y. [\mu x. (y \wedge \varphi) \vee \Diamond x] \}_K$
-Contains states s where an infinite path π starts with $\forall t1. \exists t2. \pi^{(t1+t2)} \in [\varphi]_K$????? $t1 + t2$ or $t1 + t0$?????
- φ holds infinitely often on π