KEY MANAGEMENT

Luke Anderson

luke@lukeanderson.com.au

31st March 2017

University Of Sydney



Overview

- 1. Crypto-Bulletin
- 2. Key Exchange
- 2.1 Preliminaries
- 3. Key Distribution Centre
- 4. Merkle's Puzzles
- 5. Diffie Hellman
- 5.1 Strength of Diffie-Hellman

CRYPTO-BULLETIN

Crypto-Bulletin

VMware plugs critical hypervisor holes

https://www.itnews.com.au/news/vmware-plugs-critical-hypervisor-holes-456588

Necurs botnet swaps Locky for pump'n'dump scams https://www.itnews.com.au/news/necurs-botnet-swaps-locky-for-pumpndump-scams-455935

Google to punish Symantec for issuing bogus certs ${\tt https://www.itnews.com.au/news/google-to-punish-symantec-for-issuing-bogus-certs-455916}$

Lastpass patches creds-stealing bugs in browser plugins

KEY EXCHANGE

Key Management


major issue!

Suppose we have a symmetric key network where Alice, Bob, Carol and Dave want to talk to each other.

For secure communication with $\mathfrak n$ parties, we require:

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text{keys}$$

Key distribution and management becomes a



 $k_{ab}, k_{ac}, k_{ad}, k_{bc}, k_{bd}, k_{cd}$

Definitions

Key Establishment is the process whereby a shared key becomes available to two or more parties for subsequent cryptographic use.

Key Management is the set of processes and mechanisms which support key establishment and the maintenance of on going key relationships between parties, including replacing older keys with newer ones. Includes:


- Key agreement
- Key transport

KEY DISTRIBUTION CENTRE

Key Distribution Centre: Naïve

Protocol:

- Alice → KDC
 I want to talk to Bob
- 2. KDC →Alice
 - KDC chooses random k_{ab}
 - Returns: $E_{k_a}(k_{ab}), E_{k_b}(k_{ab}, \text{"for talking to Alice"})$
- 3. Alice decrypts $E_{k_a}(k_{ab})$ to get k_{ab}
- 4. Alice \rightarrow Bob $E_{k_b}(k_{ab},$ "for talking to Alice")
- 5. Bob decrypts using k_b to get $k_{\alpha b}$
- 6. Alice & Bob now share $k_{\alpha b}$




A Key Distribution Centre

Key Distribution Centre: Naïve

Problems:

- The Key Distribution Centre is a single point of failure – likely to be attacked
- No authentication
- Poor scalability
- Slow



A Key Distribution Centre

Merkle's Puzzles

Merkle's Puzzles

Merkle's Puzzles are a way of doing key exchange between Alice and Bob without the need for a third party.

1. Alice creates N puzzles P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_N , of the form

$$P_{\mathfrak{i}} = E_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}}(\text{``This is puzzle } \#X_{\mathfrak{i}}\text{''}, k_{\mathfrak{i}})$$

- \circ N \approx 200
- $|p_i| \approx 20 \text{ bits (weak)}$
- $|k_i| \approx 128 bits (strong)$
- o X_i , p_i , and k_i are chosen randomly and different for each i.
- 2. Alice sends all puzzles to Bob: P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_N .
- 3. Bob chooses a random puzzle P_j for some $j \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$.
 - \circ Finds p_j by brute force (key space search)
 - Recovers k_j and X_j
 - Bob sends X_i to Alice unencrypted
- 4. Alice looks up the index of X_i to find they key k_i chosen by Bob.
- 5. Alice & Bob both share key k_i

Attacking Merkle's Puzzles

On average, Eve must break half of the puzzles to find which puzzle contains X_i (and hence obtain k_i).

So for 2^{20} puzzles, Eve must try 2^{19} puzzles on average. Each puzzle is encrypted with the 20 bit key p_i . Eve must search, on average, half of the key space: 2^{19} . $2^{19} \times 2^{19} = 2^{38}$

If Alice and Bob can try 10,000 keys per second:

- Olt will take about 1 minute for each to perform their steps. Alice to generate, and Bob to break $p_i = 2^{19}$ keys
- Plus another minute to communicate all the puzzles over ADSL

With comparable resources, it will take Eve about a year to break the system.

Note: Merkle's puzzles uses a lot of bandwidth – impractical!



Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

Diffie-Hellman key exchange (Stanford, 1976) is a protocol for establishing a cryptographic key using mathematical tricks. It is a worldwide standard for use in SSL, smartcards, etc.


The rough idea is this: (details later)

- 1. Alice and Bob agree on some number g.
- 2. Alice generates a random number α , and sends g^{α} to Bob.
- 3. Bob generates a random number b, and sends g^b to Alice.
- 4. Alice and Bob can each compute $g^{\alpha b}$, their shared secret.

An eavesdropper only has g^{α} , g^{b} , and g. Assuming that taking logarithms is hard, they cannot recover α or b.

Next lecture: the maths behind making logarithms hard.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange



The Strength of Diffie-Hellman

The strength of Diffie-Hellman is based upon two issues:

- O Given p, g, g^{α} It is difficult to calculate α (the discrete logarithm problem)
- O Given p, g, g^a , g^b it is difficult to calculate g^{ab} (the **Diffie-Hellman problem**)
- \bigcirc We know that DL \Rightarrow DH but it is not known that DH \Rightarrow DL.

The Strength of Diffie-Hellman

Essentially the security is based on number tricks:

- The strength is proportional to the difficulty of factoring numbers the same size as p.
- \bigcirc The generator (g) can be **small**.
- \bigcirc Do not use the secret g^{ab} as a key!

Protip: It is better to either hash it or use it as a seed for a PRNG - not all bits of the secret have a flat distribution!