

COMPUTER & NETWORK SECURITY

Lecture 1:

Introduction to Security



**WE ARE ENTERING A BRAVE
NEW WORLD...**

■ DID CYPHERPUNK COME TRUE?

In Japan, half a billion dollars of cryptocurrency gets stolen from a website originally set up to sell Magic: The Gathering trading cards. (**MtGox**).

This decentralised cryptocurrency is based on an open source, peer to peer protocol, developed by a pseudonymous developer using what is believed to be a fake Japanese name. (**Bitcoin**)

In New Zealand, the world's top Call of Duty player starts a political party to revenge himself on the government who swooped in black helicopters on his James Bond villain's lair, impounding his sports cars with plates like HACKER and MAFIA (**Kim Dotcom**).

US & other allied nations claim they are under constant attack from a Chinese army of government sponsored hackers. China similarly claims it is under constant attack from US government hackers. (**Unit 61398**)

Purchasing drugs is safer and easier using a client for an encrypted global onion routing network on the darknet than hanging out in Kings Cross. (**Silk Road, Sheep, Atlantis..**)

■ DID CYPHERPUNK COME TRUE?

A hacker with silver hair dressed in a suit flies around the world and uses disposable mobile phones and a laptop to spray corporate and government secrets across (relatively) uncontrollable cyberspace. Takes refuge in the Ecuadorian embassy after potentially being “framed” by the US government for sex crimes (**Julian Assange, a character practically out of a William Gibson novel**)

A government sponsored software worm attacks a uranium enrichment facility in Iran (**Stuxnet**)

An nebulous, headless, anonymous collective of hackers, cypherpunks, kids, criminals and n00bz assemble at a moment's notice to hack websites, go on social crusades, or alternately catch criminals and deliver random acts of kindness (**4chan & Anonymous**)

In Belize, the founder of a billion dollar antivirus company sets up a clandestine drug lab to do secret research into psychotropic drugs. While there, he secretly sets up his own intelligence network by handing out bugged laptops to government officials. They find out, and he is framed for murder. He disguises himself as a drunk old German man with boot polish on his face and cotton wool shoved up his nose and lurks out the front of his house while it is raided before fleeing the country- while blogging furiously to the world. (**John McAfee**)

■ DID CYPHERPUNK COME TRUE?

The company behind the world's biggest search engine goes on a buying spree of defence robotics, humanoid robotics and artificial intelligence companies. In addition to this robotic army they also have their own airforce and navy (**Google**).

Jamaica enters a bobsled team in the Winter Olympics hosted in Russia. The team is partially funded by \$30,000 in crypto currency based on the meme of a shiba uni dog (**Dogecoin**).

Most telling, **William Gibson** stopped writing novels about the future.

■ ACTUAL HEADLINES

- “Accelerometer used to log smartphone keystrokes”
- “Stealing ATM pins with thermal cameras”
- “How to turn a phone into a covert bugging device? Infect the printer”
- “Tampered heart monitors, simulating failure in human organs”
- “Github SSL replaced by self-signed certificate in China”
- “Youth expelled from Montreal college after finding ‘sloppy coding’ that compromised security of 250,000 students personal data”
- “Chinese Army Unit Is Seen as Tied to Hacking Against U.S.”
- “At Facebook, zero-day exploits bring war games drill to life”
- “\$15 phone, 3 minutes all that's needed to eavesdrop on GSM call”
- “Shopping For Zero-Days: A Price List For Hackers' Secret Software Exploits”
- “U.S. House approves life sentences for hackers”
- “Fingerprints can now be scanned from 2 meters away”
- “Breakthrough silicon scanning discovers backdoor in military chip”
- “Russian nuclear warheads armed by computer malfunction”

■ EVERYTHING IS “SMART” AND CONNECTED

Vulnerable to “anyone on the network” now means “every computer on every network”

Viruses have been found pre-installed (deliberately) in **digital photo frames**, **multifunction printers** and installed on **pet RFID tags**..

Photo frames were shipped by BestBuy with viruses pre-installed. They sniffed your home traffic, infected your computers and sent your credit card information to China.

Soon every product made by man will be networked and have a chip in it. RFID is already the new barcode. Garbage bins in London now have LCDs and are networked.

Everything now runs software... ***but all software is buggy. And the bigger the software, the more buggy it is.***

When a 25-GPU cluster can crack **every standard Windows password in less than 6 hours**, what password are you going to pick?

How are we going to protect all these things adequately? Who on earth is going to write antivirus for a photoframe?!? Certainly not John McAfee!

Our traditional models of how we think about security are breaking down. Fast.



AND NOW THE BAD NEWS...

■ NOTHING IS SECURE IN THE DIGITAL WORLD

The digital world behaves differently to the physical world:

- Everything in the digital world is made of bits
- Bits have no uniqueness
- It's easy to copy bits perfectly

Therefore, if you have something, I can copy it

- Information
- Privileges
- Identity
- Media
- Software
- Digital money

Much of information security revolves around making it hard to copy bits.

This is like trying to make water not wet.

MATT'S DEFINITION OF INFORMATION SECURITY

You spend X so that your opponent has to spend Y to do something you don't want them to do

Y is rarely greater than X

... and there are lots of opponents

It's all a resource game

Time

\$\$\$

Computational power (time x \$\$\$)

Implication:

Given enough resources, someone's going to get in

Given enough attackers, someone's going to get in

Given enough time, someone's going to get in

Thus all systems can and will fail

The trick is to raise the bar to an adequate level of (in)security for the resource you are trying to protect

■ SECURITY REQUIREMENTS

Everything you've been taught in engineering revolves around building dependable systems that *always* work

Security engineering traditionally revolves around building dependable systems that work in the face of a world full of clever, malicious attackers (sometimes those hackers are a government)

Reality is complex and requirements differ on system

Miss this and ...

[“Dropbox Security Bug Made Passwords Optional For Four Hours”](#)

BANK SECURITY REQUIREMENTS

Core of a bank's operations is its bookkeeping system

Most likely threat: internal staff stealing petty cash

Goal: highest level of integrity

ATMs

Most likely threat: petty thieves

Goal: authentication of customers, resist attack

High value transaction systems

Most likely threat: internal staff, sophisticated criminals

Goal: integrity of transactions

Internet banking

Most likely threat: hacking the website or account

Goal: authentication and availability

Safe

Threat: physical break-ins, stealing safe

Goal: physical integrity, difficult to transport, slow to open

MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS

Electronic warfare systems

Objective: jam enemy radar without being jammed yourself

Goal: covertness, availability

Result: countermeasures, countercountermeasures etc.

Military communications

Objective: Low probability of intercept (LPI)

Goal: confidentiality, covertness, availability

Result: spread spectrum communications etc.

Compartmentalisation

Objective example: logistics software- administration of boot polish
different from stinger missiles

Goal: confidentiality, availability, resilience to traffic analysis?

Nuclear weapons command & control

Goal: prevent weapons from being used outside the chain of command

HOSPITAL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS

Use of web based technologies

Goal: harness economies of the Internet (Eol) e.g. online reference books

Goal: integrity of data

Remote access for doctors

Goal: authentication, confidentiality

Patient record systems

Goal: “nurses may only look at records of patients who have been in their ward in the last 90 days”

Goal: anonymity of records for research

Paradigm shifts introduce new threats

Shift to online drug databases means paper records are no longer kept

Results in new threats on

availability e.g. denial of service of network

integrity e.g. malicious “temporary” tampering of information

■ WHY DO SYSTEMS FAIL?

Systems often fail because designers :

- Protect the **wrong things**

- Protect the **right things** in the **wrong way**

- Make **poor assumptions** about their systems

- Do not understand the **threat model** properly

- Fail to account for **paradigm shifts** (e.g. the Internet)

- Fail to understand the **scope** of their system

FOCUS ON THE IMPORTANT RISKS

	IMPACT					
FREQ		Extreme	High	Medium	Low	Negligible
	Certain	1	1	2	3	4
	Likely	1	2	3	4	5
	Moderate	2	3	4	5	6
	Unlikely	3	4	5	6	7
	Rare	4	5	6	7	7

- | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Severe | Must be managed by senior management with detailed plan |
| 2 | High | Detailed research and management required at senior levels |
| 3 | Major | Senior management attention is needed |
| 4 | Significant | Management responsibility must be specified |
| 5 | Moderate | Manage by specific monitoring or response procedures |
| 6 | Low | Manage by routine procedures |
| 7 | Trivial | Unlikely to need specific application of resources |

AXIOMS OF INFORMATION SECURITY

Information security is a resource game

All systems are buggy

The bigger the system the more buggy it is

Nothing works in isolation

Humans are most often the weakest link

It's a lot easier to break a system than to make it secure

A SYSTEM CAN BE..

A product or component

e.g. software program, cryptographic protocol, smart card

... plus infrastructure

e.g. PC, operating system, communications

... plus applications

e.g. web server, payroll system

... plus IT staff

... plus users and management

... plus customers and external users

... plus partners, vendors

... plus the law, the media, competitors, politicians, regulators...

It's a lot easier to break a system than to make it secure

ASPECTS OF SECURITY

Authenticity

Proof of a message's origin

Integrity plus freshness (i.e. message is not a replay)

Confidentiality

The ability to keep messages secret (for time t)

Integrity

Messages should not be able to be modified in transit

Attackers should not be able to substitute fakes

Non-repudiation

Cannot deny that a message was sent (related to authenticity)

Availability

Guarantee of quality of service (fault tolerance)

Covertiness

Message existence secrecy (related to anonymity)

PASSIVE ATTACKS

Those that do not involve modification or fabrication of data

Examples include eavesdropping on communications

Interception

An unauthorised party gains access to an asset

Release of message contents: an attack on confidentiality

Traffic analysis: an attack on coverttness

ACTIVE ATTACKS

Those which involve some modification of the data stream or creation of a false stream

Fabrication

An unauthorised party inserts counterfeit objects into the system

Examples include masquerading as an entity to gain access to the system

An attack on authenticity

Interruption

An asset of the system is destroyed or becomes unavailable or unusable

Examples include denial-of-service attacks on networks

An attack on availability

Modification

An unauthorised party not only gains access to but tampers with an asset

Examples include changing values in a data file or a virus

An attack on integrity

■ DEFINITIONS

Secrecy

A technical term which refers to the effect of actions to limit access to information

Confidentiality

An obligation to protect someone or some organisation's secrets

Privacy

The ability and/or right to protect the personal secrets of you or your family; including invasions of your personal space

Privacy does not extend to corporations

Anonymity

The ability/desire to keep message source/destination confidentiality

■ TRUST

A trusted system is one whose failure can break security policy.

A trustworthy system is one which won't fail.

A NSA employee caught selling US nuclear secrets to a foreign diplomat is trusted but not trustworthy.

In information security trust is your enemy.

■ TRUST IS YOUR ENEMY

You cannot trust software or vendors

They won't tell you their software is broken

They won't fix it if you tell them

You cannot trust the Internet nor its protocols

It's built from broken pieces

It's a monoculture; something breaks \Rightarrow everything breaks

It was designed to work, not be secure

You cannot trust managers

They don't want to be laggards nor leaders

Security is a cost centre, not a profit centre!

You cannot trust the government

They only want to raise the resource game to their level

You cannot trust your employees or users

They are going to pick poor passwords

They are going to mess up the configuration and try to hack in

They account for 90% of security problems

— TRUST IS YOUR ENEMY

You cannot trust your peers

They are as bad as you

You cannot trust algorithms nor curves

Moore's law does not keep yesterday's secrets

Tomorrow they might figure out how to factor large numbers

Tomorrow they might build a quantum computer

You cannot trust the security community

They are going to ridicule you when they find a problem

They are going to tell the whole world about it

You cannot trust information security

It's always going to be easier to break knees than break codes

You cannot trust yourself

You are human

One day you will screw up

TENET OF INFORMATION SECURITY

Security through obscurity does not work

Full disclosure of the mechanisms of security algorithms and systems (except secret key material) is the only policy that works

Kirchoff's Principle: For a system to be truly secure, all secrecy must reside in the key

If the algorithms are known but cannot be broken, the system is a good system

If an algorithm is secret and no-one has looked at it, nothing can be said for its security

MORALS OF THE STORY

Nothing is perfectly secure

Information security is a resource game

Nothing works in isolation

Know your system

Know your threat model

Trust is your enemy

All systems can and will fail

Humans are usually the weakest link

Attackers often know more about your system than you do

■ REFERENCES

Stallings

§1

Interesting Websites

<http://www.csl.sri.com/users/neumann/illustrative.html>

<http://www.packetstormsecurity.org>

<http://www.securityfocus.com>

<http://www.cryptome.org>

<http://www.phrack.org>

<http://www.eff.org>