CMSI 370-01

INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2015

Assignment 1211 Feedback—Direct Manipulation Widget

Christopher Dellomes

cjdellomes / cjdellomes@gmail.com

Notes while running (asterisks indicate major observations):

- Your demo runs generally OK, but the default browser behavior is interfering with the drag. That is probably why you allowed a click, but ideally the drag feels more natural. (2b, 3a, 3b, 4a)
- Upon an initial click, the "ghost" object temporarily appears in the wrong location. It shouldn't—this can disorient users. (2b, 3a, 3b)
- The trash can should provide some kind of feedback that a drop can take place. (2b)
- Mouse positioning within the dragged object can be better too (i.e., sync mouse position with mouse-down location). This was in the boxes sample code. (2b, 3a, 3b, 4d)
- Integration with the front-end is straightforward and natural. Of course, with full permissions, this action would perform an actual delete (or anything else that can be signified by a drag-and-drop). (+2b, +4a, 4b)

Code review (asterisks indicate major observations):

- 1. Yay, no tabs...in your widget code. Tabs lingering in api-front-end.js. (4c)
- 2. **** Hmmm, not a good sign: this is (should be) just the plugin code. The code that *invokes* the plugin needs to be *outside* the plugin... (4b)
- 3. **** And here's the culprit—this should have been *outside* the plugin code. (4b)
- 4. Indent line-broken constructs. (I usually do double-indent to emphasize that it is a continuation of the line above) (4*c*)
- 5. **** Magic numbers/hardcodes in the condition below. Not good. (4b, 4c)
- 6. An else clause is still in the same statement as the preceding if, so don't break them up. (4i)
- 7. In a fully-realized version of the plugin, this should be a customizable callback. (4b)
- 8. **** Why is your plugin file *copied?* I told the class that I would run the web server *above* the directories so that you can use relative URLs, avoiding copied code. (4b)
- 9. **** And of course, the other issue is the very order of loading/invocation. You are forced to load in this way because you are *invoking the plugin from within its own file*. That is not right. The plugin should be treated like a *library*—load it first so it is ready to use when needed. Then, your main code starts up, and *somewhere in there*, the plugin is invoked. This is shown in all of the code you've seen, whether it's my samples, or Bootstrap, or any other plugin usage. (4b)
- 10. This is where you can trigger some trash feedback so that the user knows when they are "in range." Will need some refactoring of course (i.e., the bounds-checking code). (2b, 3a, 3b)

20 — Decent general experience, but with some inigering glitches.
<i>3a</i> — +
<i>3b</i> — +
4a — +
$4b - / \dots$ So the functionality all checks out; reusability and generality are the major areas of improvement.
4c — Code is mostly presented well but with a few hiccupsmagnified because the overall code is rela-
tively short.

CMSI 370-01

INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2015

Assignment 1211 Feedback—Direct Manipulation Widget

4d —	Information	used well	for fu	nctionality;	need	more	background	and	exposure	for	proper	code
reuse an	nd generalization.	•										
4e — +												

4f — | ...Work started and done one day after the due date.