

APPENDIX A
COMBINATORIAL MATRIX ANALYSIS

Here, we prove Lem. 1, which is the main ingredient in our proof of Thm. 1. We then outline how additionally assuming the spark condition for B simplifies the proof and also allows for its extension to the case where only an upper bound on the number of columns m of A is known. This extension is applied to the proof of Thm. 3 in Appendix B.

We first prove some auxiliary lemmas. Given a collection of sets \mathcal{T} , let $\cap \mathcal{T}$ denote their intersection.

Lemma 3. *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. If every $2k$ columns of M are linearly independent, then for any $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \bigcup_{\ell \leq k} \binom{[m]}{\ell}$, we have:*

$$\text{Span}\{M_{\cap \mathcal{T}}\} = \bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{T}} \text{Span}\{M_S\}.$$

Proof. By induction, it is enough to prove the lemma when $|\mathcal{T}| = 2$. The proof now follows directly from the assumption. \square

Lemma 4. *Fix $k \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ be subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m and let $V = \bigcap \mathcal{V}$. For every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have:*

$$|\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \xi(\mathcal{V})} \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathbf{x} - \Pi_{V_i} \mathbf{x}|_2, \quad (19)$$

provided $\xi(\mathcal{V}) \neq 1$, where ξ is given in Def. 3.

Proof. Fix $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $k \geq 2$. The proof consists of two parts. First, we shall show that:

$$|\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2 \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^k |\mathbf{x} - \Pi_{V_\ell} \mathbf{x}|_2 + |\Pi_{V_k} \Pi_{V_{k-1}} \dots \Pi_{V_1} \mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2. \quad (20)$$

For each $\ell \in \{2, \dots, k+1\}$ (when $\ell = k+1$, the product $\Pi_{V_k} \dots \Pi_{V_\ell}$ is set to I), we have by the triangle inequality and the fact that $\|\Pi_{V_\ell}\|_2 \leq 1$ (as Π_{V_ℓ} are projections):

$$|\Pi_{V_k} \dots \Pi_{V_\ell} \mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}| \leq |\Pi_{V_k} \dots \Pi_{V_{\ell-1}} \mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{x} - \Pi_{V_{\ell-1}} \mathbf{x}|.$$

Summing these inequalities over ℓ gives (20).

Next, we show how the result (19) follows from (20) and the following result of [13, Thm. 9.33]:

$$|\Pi_{V_k} \Pi_{V_{k-1}} \dots \Pi_{V_1} \mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2 \leq z |\mathbf{x}|_2 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (21)$$

for $z^2 = 1 - \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-1} (1 - z_\ell^2)$ and $z_\ell = \cos \theta_F(V_\ell, \cap_{s=\ell+1}^k V_s)$. To see this, note that:

$$|\Pi_{V_k} \Pi_{V_{k-1}} \dots \Pi_{V_1} (\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}) - \Pi_V (\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x})|_2 \quad (22)$$

$$= |\Pi_{V_k} \Pi_{V_{k-1}} \dots \Pi_{V_1} \mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2, \quad (23)$$

since $\Pi_{V_\ell} \Pi_V = \Pi_V$ for all $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ and $\Pi_V^2 = \Pi_V$. Therefore by (21) and (22), it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Pi_{V_k} \Pi_{V_{k-1}} \dots \Pi_{V_1} \mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2 \\ &= |\Pi_{V_k} \Pi_{V_{k-1}} \dots \Pi_{V_1} (\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}) - \Pi_V (\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x})|_2 \\ &\leq z |\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (20) and rearranging, we arrive at:

$$|\mathbf{x} - \Pi_V \mathbf{x}|_2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - z} \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathbf{x} - \Pi_{V_i} \mathbf{x}|_2. \quad (24)$$

Finally, since the ordering of the subspaces is arbitrary, we can replace z in (24) with $\xi(\mathcal{V})$ to obtain (19). \square

Lemma 5. *Fix integers $k < m$, and let $T = \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ be the set of contiguous length k intervals in some cyclic order of $[m]$. Suppose there exists a map $\pi : T \rightarrow \binom{[m]}{k}$ such that:*

$$|\bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)| \leq |\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i| \quad \text{for } J \in \binom{[m]}{k}. \quad (25)$$

Then, $|\pi(S_{j_1}) \cap \dots \cap \pi(S_{j_k})| = 1$ for all consecutive (modulo m) indices j_1, \dots, j_k .

Proof. Consider the set $Q_m = \{(r, t) : r \in \pi(S_t), t \in [m]\}$, which has mk elements. By the pigeon-hole principle, there is some $q \in [m]$ and $J \in \binom{[m]}{k}$ such that $(q, j) \in Q_m$ for all $j \in J$. In particular, we have $q \in \bigcap_{j \in J} \pi(S_j)$ so that from (25) there must be some $p \in [m]$ with $p \in \bigcap_{j \in J} S_j$. Since $|J| = k$, this is only possible if the elements of $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ are consecutive modulo m , in which case $|\bigcap_{j \in J} S_j| = 1$. Hence, $|\bigcap_{j \in J} \pi(S_j)| = 1$ as well.

We next consider if any other $t \notin J$ is such that $q \in \pi(S_t)$. Suppose there were such a t ; then, we would have $q \in \pi(S_t) \cap \pi(S_{j_1}) \cap \dots \cap \pi(S_{j_k})$ and (25) would imply that the intersection of every k -element subset of $\{S_t\} \cup \{S_j : j \in J\}$ is nonempty. This would only be possible if $\{t\} \cup J = [m]$, in which case the result then trivially holds since then $q \in \pi(S_j)$ for all $j \in [m]$. Suppose now there exists no such t ; then, letting $Q_{m-1} \subset Q_m$ be the set of elements of Q_m not having q as a first coordinate, we have $|Q_{m-1}| = (m-1)k$.

By iterating the above arguments, we arrive at a partitioning of Q_m into sets $R_i = Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, each having a unique element of $[m]$ as a first coordinate common to all k elements while having second coordinates which form a consecutive set modulo m . In fact, every set of k consecutive integers modulo m is the set of second coordinates of some R_i . This must be the case because for every consecutive set J we have $|\bigcap_{j \in J} S_j| = 1$, whereas if J is the set of second coordinates for two distinct sets R_i , we would have $|\bigcap_{j \in J} \pi(S_j)| \geq 2$, violating (25). \square

Proof of Lem. 1 (Main Lemma). We assume $k \geq 2$ since the case $k = 1$ was proved at the beginning of Sec. III. Let S_1, \dots, S_m be length k intervals in some cyclic ordering of $[m]$. We begin by showing that $\dim(\text{Span}\{B_{\pi(S_i)}\}) = k$ for all $i \in [m]$. Fix $i \in [m]$ and note that by (8), all unit vectors $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Span}\{A_{S_i}\}$ satisfy $d(\mathbf{u}, \text{Span}\{B_{\pi(S_i)}\}) \leq \frac{\phi_k(A)}{\rho k} \delta$ for $\delta < \frac{L_2(A)}{\sqrt{2}}$. By definition of $L_2(A)$, for all 2-sparse $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$L_2(A) \leq \frac{|A\mathbf{x}|_2}{|\mathbf{x}|_2} \leq \rho \frac{|\mathbf{x}|_1}{|\mathbf{x}|_2} \leq \rho \sqrt{2}.$$

Hence, $\delta < \rho$. Since $\phi_k \leq 1$, we have $d(\mathbf{u}, \text{Span}\{B_{\pi(S_i)}\}) < 1$, and it follows by Lem. 2 that $\dim(\text{Span}\{B_{\pi(S_i)}\}) \geq \dim(\text{Span}\{A_{S_i}\}) = k$. Since $|\pi(S_i)| = k$, we in fact have $\dim(\text{Span}\{B_{\pi(S_i)}\}) = k$.

We will now show that:

$$\left| \bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i) \right| \leq \left| \bigcap_{i \in J} S_i \right| \quad \text{for } J \in \binom{[m]}{k}. \quad (26)$$

Fix $J \in \binom{[m]}{k}$. By (8), we have for all unit vectors $\mathbf{u} \in \bigcap_{i \in J} \text{Span}\{B_{\pi(S_i)}\}$ that $d(\mathbf{u}, A_{S_i}) \leq \frac{\phi_k(A)}{\rho k} \delta$ for all $j \in J$, where $\delta < \frac{L_2(A)}{\sqrt{2}}$. It follows from Lem. 4 that:

$$d\left(\mathbf{u}, \bigcap_{i \in J} \text{Span}\{A_{S_j}\}\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{\rho} \left(\frac{\phi_k(A)}{1 - \xi(\{A_{S_i} : i \in J\})} \right) \leq \frac{\delta}{\rho},$$

where the 2nd inequality follows from the definition of $\phi_k(A)$.

Now, since $\text{Span}\{B_{\bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)}\} \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in J} \text{Span}\{B_{\pi(S_i)}\}$ and (by Lem. 3) $\bigcap_{i \in J} \text{Span}\{A_{S_i}\} = \text{Span}\{A_{\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i}\}$, we have:

$$d(\mathbf{u}, A_{\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i}) \leq \frac{\delta}{\rho}, \quad \text{for unit } \mathbf{u} \in \text{Span}\{B_{\bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)}\}. \quad (27)$$

In particular, by Lem. 2 (since $\delta/\rho < 1$) we have that $\dim(\text{Span}\{B_{\bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)}\}) \leq \dim(\text{Span}\{A_{\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i}\})$ and (26) follows by the linear independence of the columns of A_{S_i} and $B_{\pi(S_i)}$ for all $i \in [m]$.

Suppose now that $J = \{i - k + 1, \dots, i\}$ so that $\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i = \{i\}$. By (26), we have that $\bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)$ is either empty or it contains a single element. Lem. 5 ensures that the latter case is the only possibility. Thus, the association $i \mapsto \bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)$ defines a map $\hat{\pi} : [m] \rightarrow [m]$. Recalling (10), it follows from (27) that for all unit vectors $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Span}\{A_i\}$, we have $d(\mathbf{u}, B_{\hat{\pi}(i)}) \leq \delta/\rho$ also. Since i is arbitrary, it follows that for every basis vector $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, letting $c_i = |A\mathbf{e}_i|_2^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon = \delta/\rho$, there exists some $c'_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|c_i A\mathbf{e}_i - c'_i B\mathbf{e}_{\hat{\pi}(i)}|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon < \frac{L_2(A)}{\sqrt{2}} \min_{j \in [m]} c_j$. This is exactly the supposition in (13) and the result follows from the subsequent arguments of Sec. III. \square

The arguments above can easily be modified to prove the following variation of Lem. 1, key to proving Thm. 3.

Lemma 6 (Main Lemma for $m < m'$). *Fix positive integers n, m, m' , and k where $k < m < m'$, and let T be the set of intervals of length k in some cyclic ordering of $[m]$. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m'}$ both satisfy spark condition (2) with A having maximum column ℓ_2 -norm ρ . If there exists a map $\pi : T \rightarrow \binom{[m']}{k}$ and some $\delta < \frac{L_2(A)}{\sqrt{2}}$ such that for $S \in T$:*

$$\Theta(A_S, B_{\pi(S)}) \leq \frac{\delta}{\rho k} \min(\phi_k(A), \phi_k(B)), \quad (28)$$

then (9) holds for some $n \times m$ submatrix of B .

We state the required modifications briefly. Since $m' > m$, we may not invoke Lem. 5 (which requires $m = m'$) to show that $|\bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)| = 1$ for $J = \{i - k + 1, \dots, i\}$. Instead, under the additional assumption that B satisfies the spark condition, we may simply swap the roles of A and B in the proof of (27) to show that $\dim(\text{Span}\{B_{\bigcap_{i \in J} \pi(S_i)}\}) = \dim(\text{Span}\{A_{\bigcap_{i \in J} S_i}\})$ and from which the required fact then follows. The map $\hat{\pi}$ is then defined similarly, only now with codomain $[m']$, thereby reducing the proof to the $k = 1$ case where the $n \times m$ submatrix of B is formed from the columns indexed by the image of $\hat{\pi}$.

APPENDIX B

PROOFS OF THMS. 2 & 3 AND CORS. 1 & 2

Proof of Cor. 1. We need only demonstrate how to produce N vectors \mathbf{a}_i such that for every interval of length k in some cyclic order on $[m]$, there are $(k-1)\binom{m}{k} + 1$ vectors in general linear position supported there. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ be any distinct numbers. Then the columns of the $k \times N$ matrix $V = (\gamma_j^i)_{i,j=1}^{k,N}$ are in general linear position (since the γ_j are distinct, any $k \times k$ “Vandermonde” sub-determinant is nonzero). Next, fix a cyclic order on $[m]$ and let T be the set of contiguous length k intervals in the order. Finally, form the k -sparse vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with supports $S \in T$ (partitioning the a_i evenly among these supports so that each contains $(k-1)\binom{m}{k} + 1$ vectors a_i) by setting the nonzero values \mathbf{a}_i to be those contained in the i th column of V . \square

We now determine classes of datasets Y having a stable sparse coding that are cut out by a single polynomial equation.

Proof of Thm. 2. We sketch the argument, leaving the details to the reader. Let M be the $n \times m$ matrix with columns $A\mathbf{a}_i$, $i \in [N]$. Consider the following polynomial [9, Sec. IV] in the entries of A and the \mathbf{a}_i :

$$g(A, \{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i=1}^N) = \prod_{S \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \sum_{S' \in \binom{[N]}{k}} (\det M_{S',S})^2,$$

with notation as in Sec. II.

It can be checked that when g is nonzero for a substitution of real numbers for the indeterminates, all of the genericity requirements on A and \mathbf{a}_i in our proofs of stability in Thm. 1 are satisfied (in particular, the spark condition on A). The statement of the theorem now follows directly. \square

Proof of Cor. 2. First, note that if a set of measure spaces $\{(X_i, \Sigma_i, \nu_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ is such that ν_i is absolutely continuous with respect to μ for all $i = 1, \dots, p$, where μ is the standard Borel measure on \mathbb{R} , then the product measure $\prod_{i=1}^p \nu_i$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the standard Borel product measure on \mathbb{R}^p . By Thm. 2, there is a polynomial such that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and \mathbf{a}_i which is nonzero whenever Y has a stable k -sparse representation in \mathbb{R}^m ; in particular, this property (stability) holds with probability one. \square

Proof of Thm. 3. The proof is very similar to the proof of Thm. 1 in Sec. III, the difference being that now we establish a map $\pi : [m] \rightarrow [m']$ satisfying the requirements of Lem. 6 by pigeonholing $(k-1)\binom{m'}{k} + 1$ vectors with respect to holes $[m']$ and eventually applying Lem. 6 in place of Lem. 1. The value of C in this case is:

$$C = \left(\frac{\sqrt{k^3}}{\min(\phi_k(A), \phi_k(B))} \right) \frac{\max_{j \in [m]} |A_j|_2}{\min_{S \in T} L_k(AX_{I(S)})}. \quad (29)$$

The same manipulations in Rem. 3 show how (5) then follows from (4) for some subset of m coefficients in the \mathbf{b}_i . \square