

# Combinatorics of Uniqueness in Sparse Dictionary Learning

Charles J. Garfinkle, Christopher J. Hillar

## Abstract

We study uniqueness in sparse dictionary learning when reconstruction of data is approximate.

Fix positive integers  $k < m$  and let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_0, \dots, S_{m-1}\}$ , where

$$S_i = \{i, i+1, \dots, i+(k-1)\} \text{ modulo } m, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

**Lemma 1.** Fix positive integers  $k < m$  and let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_0, \dots, S_{m-1}\}$ , where for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ ,

$$S_i = \{i, i+1, \dots, i+(k-1)\} \text{ mod } m.$$

Suppose there exists a map  $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \binom{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}{k}$  such that for all  $\mathcal{I} \in \binom{[m]}{k}$ ,

$$\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} S_i = \emptyset \implies \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \pi(S_i) = \emptyset. \quad (1)$$

Then  $\pi(S_i) \cap \dots \cap \pi(S_{i+(k-1)}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2:* Consider the set  $T_m = \{(i, j) : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, j \in \pi(S_i)\}$ , which has  $mk$  elements. By the pigeon-hole principle, there is some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  and at least  $k$  distinct  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  such that  $\{(i_1, p), \dots, (i_k, p)\} \subseteq T_m$ . Hence,  $p \in \pi(S_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap \pi(S_{i_k})$  and by (1) there must be some  $v \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $v \in S_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap S_{i_k}$ . This is only possible (given  $\mathcal{S}$ ) if  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  are consecutive modulo  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} = \{v - (k-1), \dots, v\}$ .

We now claim there exists no additional  $i^* \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  such that  $p \in \pi(S_{i^*})$ . To see why, note that we would then have  $p \in \pi(S_{i^*}) \cap \pi(S_{v-(k-1)}) \cap \dots \cap \pi(S_v)$  and (1) would imply that every  $k$ -element subset of  $\{i^*\} \cup \{v - (k-1), \dots, v\}$  is a consecutive set. This is only possible if  $m = k+1$ ; but then there can't have been  $k+1$  distinct elements of  $\binom{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}{k}$  all containing  $p$  since there are only  $\binom{m-1}{m-2} = m-1 = k$  distinct elements of  $\binom{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}{m-1}$  which contain  $p$ . Thus, letting  $T_{m-1} \subset T_m$  be the set of elements of  $T_m$  not having  $p$  as a second coordinate, we have  $|T_{m-1}| = (m-1)k$  and the proof follows by iterating these arguments. ■

**Lemma 2.** Let  $k \geq 2$  and  $m > 2k$  (or  $m > 3$  if  $k = 2$ ) and suppose there exists a map  $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \binom{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}{k}$  such that for all  $S, S' \in \mathcal{S}$  we have

$$S \cap S' = \emptyset \implies \pi(S) \cap \pi(S') = \emptyset. \quad (2)$$

Then we also have  $S \cap S' \neq \emptyset \implies \pi(S) \cap \pi(S') \neq \emptyset$  for all  $S, S' \in \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2:* Consider the set  $T_m = \{(i, j) : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, j \in \pi(S_i)\}$ , which has  $mk$  elements. By the pigeon-hole principle, there is some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  and  $k$  distinct  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  such that  $(i_1, p), \dots, (i_k, p) \in T_m$ . Letting  $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ , we have  $p \in \pi(S_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and by (2) we must have  $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{I}$  must therefore consist of consecutive integers modulo  $m$ . To see why, suppose w.l.o.g. that  $k-1 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I} \subset [0, 2k-2]$ . If  $k = 2$  then we are done; otherwise, suppose that for some  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $i > j$  we have  $(S_i \cap S_j) \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset$ . Then  $j + (k-1) < i$ , i.e.  $j \in [0, k-2]$  whereas  $i + (k-1) \geq j \geq m > 2k$ , i.e.  $i \in [k+2, 2k-2]$ . Hmm... **[Proof idea: You can't have three pairwise intersecting sets which don't share a common element.]** Hence there exists some  $v \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{I} = \{v - (k-1), \dots, v\}$  and  $p \in \pi(S_{v-(k-1)}) \cap \dots \cap \pi(S_v)$ .

Suppose now that there exists some additional  $i^* \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{v - (k-1), \dots, v\}$  such that  $p \in \pi(S_{i^*})$ . Then  $p \in \pi(S_{i^*}) \cap \pi(S_i)$  for all  $i \in \{v - (k-1), \dots, v\}$ . Hence by (2) we have  $S_{i^*} \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \{v - (k-1), \dots, v\}$  which is impossible since  $m \geq 2k$ . Thus there can be no such  $i^*$ . Letting  $T_{m-1} \subset T_m$  be the set of elements of  $T_m$  not having  $p$  as a second coordinate, we have  $|T_{m-1}| = (m-1)k$  and the proof follows by iterating the previous arguments. ■

**Lemma 3.** Suppose there exists a map  $\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \binom{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}{k}$  such that for  $k' \in \{r, r+1\}$ ,

$$|\cap_{\ell=1}^{k'} \pi(S_{i_\ell})| \leq |\cap_{\ell=1}^{k'} S_{i_\ell}| \quad (3)$$

for any set of distinct  $i_1, \dots, i_{k'} \in [m]$ . Then  $\pi$  is injective and  $|\pi(S_v) \cap \dots \cap \pi(S_{v+(r-1)})| = k - (r - 1)$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $m \geq 2k - 1$  and there is a function  $\pi : \{S_0, \dots, S_{m-1}\} \rightarrow \binom{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}{k}$  such that for  $k' \in \{k, k + 1\}$ ,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k S_{i_j} = \emptyset \implies \bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi(S_{i_j}) = \emptyset.$$

Then  $\pi$  is injective and we have:

$$|S_{i_1} \cap S_{i_2}| = 1 \implies |\pi(S_{i_1}) \cap \pi(S_{i_2})| = 1.$$

[We need to make a table for small  $k, m$  of the .]

Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph on  $m$  nodes (each edge has exactly  $k$  elements). What is the smallest collection of edges

**Problem 1.** Find the smallest family  $\mathcal{F} = \{S_j : j \in J\}$  of  $k$ -element subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  having the property that for all  $v \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\{v\} = \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i, \text{ for some } I \subseteq J.$$

**Lemma 5.** Given a family above of size  $|\mathcal{F}|$ , one can find  $N = k \binom{m}{k} |\mathcal{F}|$   $k$ -sparse  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N$  such that for any  $A$  satisfying the spark condition, the dataset  $Y = \{A\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{a}_N\}$  has a unique sparse coding.