

## Ch. 2 Highlights from book notes

Neyman-Pearson Review

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \quad H_1: \theta = \theta_1 \quad X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ RS } f(x|\theta)$$

$$f_0(x) \quad f_1(x)$$

Let  $\delta$  denote a test.

$$\alpha(\delta) = P(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid \theta = \theta_0)$$

$$\beta(\delta) = P(\text{Do not reject } H_0 \mid \theta = \theta_1)$$

$$\text{Power} = 1 - \beta$$

So  $\alpha \uparrow, \beta \downarrow, \text{Power} \uparrow$

Suppose we fix  $\alpha_0$  and want min  $\beta(\delta)$ .

Let  $\delta^*$  be a test with form:  $k > 0$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $\frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)} > k$ , with  $\alpha(\delta^*) = \alpha_0$ .

NP Lemma.

If  $\delta$  is another test such that  $\alpha(\delta) \leq \alpha(\delta^*)$   
then  $\beta(\delta) \geq \beta(\delta^*)$ . (Can remove =)

$\delta^*$  is most powerful @  $\alpha_0$  and uses likelihood ratio!

Ex. Bernoulli( $p$ )  $\alpha(\delta) = 0.05$  RS.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$   
 $H_0: p = 0.2$   $H_A: p = 0.4$

$$f_0(x) = (0.2)^{\sum x_i} (0.8)^{n - \sum x_i} \text{ and } f_1(x) = (0.4)^{\sum x_i} (0.6)^{n - \sum x_i}$$

$$\frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)} = \frac{\left(\frac{4}{10}\right)^{\sum x_i} \left(\frac{6}{10}\right)^{n - \sum x_i}}{\left(\frac{2}{10}\right)^{\sum x_i} \left(\frac{8}{10}\right)^{n - \sum x_i}} = \left(\frac{6}{8}\right)^n \left(\frac{4 \cdot 8}{2 \cdot 6}\right)^{\sum x_i} =$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{\sum x_i} \text{ is our likelihood ratio}$$

So, we reject  $H_0$  if  $\frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)} > k \Rightarrow$

$$k < \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{\sum X_i} \Rightarrow$$

$$\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n k < \left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{\sum X_i} \Rightarrow \text{take logs}$$

$$n \log \frac{4}{3} + \log k < \sum X_i \log \frac{8}{3} \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{Reject if } \sum X_i > \frac{n \log \frac{4}{3} + \log k}{\log \frac{8}{3}} = k'$$

Now we apply the lemma. We want  $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $\beta$  to be a min. Under  $H_0$ ,  $p = 0.2$ . or some value

$$\sum X_i \mid p = 0.2 \sim \text{Binomial}(n, 0.2)$$

Fix on  $n$  so we can examine cutoffs.  $n=10$

$$P(\sum X_i > 3 \mid p = 0.2) = 0.1209$$

$$P(\sum X_i > 4 \mid p = 0.2) = 0.0328$$

> could use either of these

No test @  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Can develop further to Uniformly Most Powerful tests  
UMP's exist if dist has a monotone likelihood ratio (MLR)

In general,  $f_n(x \mid \theta)$  has a MLR in  $r(x)$  if  
 $\forall$  pairs of  $\theta$  values  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Omega \ni \theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,  
 $\frac{f_n(x \mid \theta_2)}{f_n(x \mid \theta_1)}$  depends on data only through  $r(x)$   
and is  $\uparrow$  as a fn of  $r(x)$

MLRs exist for dists in exponential family  
(more in Ch. 5)

## Stat 495 Lecture Notes

Ch. 2 Verify MLR for the Bernoulli example

$$f_n(\mathbf{x} | p) = p^{\sum x_i} (1-p)^{n - \sum x_i} \quad \text{let } p_1 < p_2.$$

$$\frac{f_n(\mathbf{x} | p_2)}{f_n(\mathbf{x} | p_1)} = \left[ \frac{p_2(1-p_1)}{p_1(1-p_2)} \right]^{\sum x_i} \left( \frac{1-p_2}{1-p_1} \right)^n$$

depends on data only through  $r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum x_i$   
and it is an  $\uparrow$  fn of  $\sum x_i$  if  $0 < p_1 < p_2 < 1$

So, we could return to our example to say

Reject  $H_0$  if  $\sum x_i \geq 4$  ie.  $\sum x_i \geq 5$  is UMP@

$\alpha = 0.0328$  for  $H_0: p = 0.2$  vs.  $H_A: p = 0.4$   
 $\Rightarrow p > 0.2$

Pivotal Statistic

Textbook shows 2-sample t example

Will show 1-sample t example for CI for  $\mu$

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is RS  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and note we have statistics

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{\sum X_i}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad S_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$$

Now we define  $Z = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)/\sigma$  and  $Y = S_n^2/\sigma^2$

You can show that  $Y$  and  $Z$  must be  $\perp$ , and

$$Y \sim \chi^2(n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad Z \sim N(0,1).$$



Now we construct  $U = \frac{\bar{Z}}{(\frac{1}{k-1})^{1/2}}$ .  $U \sim t(n-1)$  proven by Fisher

Rewrite:

$$U = \frac{n^{1/2} (\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{(\frac{S_n^2}{n-1})^{1/2}} \rightarrow \text{pivot!}$$

Now, consider  $\bar{Z} = \frac{\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$ . If you don't know  $\sigma$ , use plug-in MLE!

$$\hat{\sigma} = (S_n^2/n)^{1/2} \rightarrow \text{so plug in.} \quad \text{Note: biased!}$$

$$\bar{Z}' = \frac{\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\hat{\sigma}} = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{1/2} U$$

this is a scalar shift of  $U$ , so  $\bar{Z}' \sim t(n-1)$  too.

Plug-in ex. 2

Use  $s = \sigma' = \left[\frac{S_n^2}{n-1}\right]^{1/2}$  instead of  $\sigma$  in  $\bar{Z}$ .

$$\bar{Z}'' = \frac{\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{s} \sim t(n-1) \quad \text{pivot!} \quad \leftarrow \text{result}$$

$\Rightarrow$  use to create a CI for  $\mu$ . Let  $c = t_{\alpha/2}^{\text{upper } \alpha/2 \text{ th cutoff } t(n-1)}$

$$P(-c < \bar{Z}'' < c) = 1 - \alpha \rightarrow \text{helps solve for } c$$

$$P(-c < \frac{\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{s} < c) = P\left(-\frac{cs}{\sqrt{n}} < \bar{X}_n - \mu < \frac{cs}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ = P(\bar{X}_n - cs/\sqrt{n} < \mu < \bar{X}_n + cs/\sqrt{n}) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\bar{X} \pm t_{\alpha/2}^* s/\sqrt{n} \quad \text{is } (1-\alpha)100\% \text{ CI for } \mu$$

## Ch. 3 Bayesian Conjugate Family Example Poisson/Gamma

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a RS from a Poisson dist for which  $\theta$  is unknown ( $\theta > 0$ ), but has a prior dist. of Gamma( $\alpha, \beta$ ) with  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ .

Then the posterior dist of  $\theta | X_i, i=1, \dots, n$  is Gamma( $\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \beta + n$ ).

Parameterization in reverse

Pf. Hint: Use proportionality. Let  $y = \sum X_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x | \theta) &\propto e^{-n\theta} \theta^y \\ g(\theta) &\propto \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\theta}, \theta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

You may be used to  $\lambda = 1/\theta$ , just a reparameterization for Gamma.

Then remember  $\overset{\text{posterior}}{g(\theta | x)} \propto f_n(x | \theta) g(\theta) \Rightarrow$

$$g(\theta | x) \propto \theta^{\alpha+y-1} e^{-\theta(n+\beta)} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha+y, \beta+n).$$

## Ex. Application

You are sampling Poisson obs and you want posterior variance to be 0.01 or less.

Suppose prior is Gamma( $\alpha=3, \beta=6$ ).

Then the posterior for  $\theta$  will be Gamma( $y+3, n+6$ ).

Next, recall variance of Gamma is  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} \Rightarrow$  Your text has other parameterization

posterior variance is  $V = \frac{y+3}{(n+6)^2}$  sample sequentially until  $V \leq 0.01$ .

For hypothesis testing, can use Bayes Factors  
- can find evidence in favor of  $H_0$

For CIs, use credible intervals, where you can  
say

$$P(\_ < \theta < \_) = 0.95, \text{ etc.}$$

Gamma Dist.

Textbook  $\text{Gamma}(\gamma, \sigma)$

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{\gamma-1} e^{-x/\sigma}}{\sigma^\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \quad \begin{aligned} E(x) &= \sigma\gamma \\ V(x) &= \sigma^2\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Dobrow textbook:  $\text{Gamma}(\gamma, \lambda) = \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

★  
used  
here

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} \quad \begin{aligned} E(x) &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \\ V(x) &= \alpha/\beta^2 \end{aligned}$$