

Chapter 15 Large-Scale Hypothesis Testing and False-Discovery Rates

Notation

$n =$

$N =$

$\alpha =$

FDR =

$P_{(i)} =$

$H_{0(i)} =$

What does large-scale refer to?

15.1-15.2 We outline 4 procedures related to adjusting for multiple testing covered in these sections.

1. No adjustment. Reject $H_{0(i)}$ if $P_{(i)} \leq \alpha$.

2. Bonferroni. Reject $H_{0(i)}$ if $P_{(i)} \leq \frac{\alpha}{N}$.

3. Holm's procedure.

a. Order p-values from smallest to largest

$$P_{(1)} \leq P_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq P_{(N)}$$

b. Let i_0 be the smallest index i such that $P_{(i)} > \frac{\alpha}{(N-i+1)}$.

c. Reject $H_{0(i)}$ for $i < i_0$.

4. Benjamini-Hochberg FDR procedure.

a. Order p-values as in Holm's.

b. Define i_{\max} to be the largest index for which $P_{(i)} \leq \frac{i}{N} \cdot q$.

$q = 0.1$ is typical practice.

c. Reject $H_{0(i)}$ for $i \leq i_{\max}$. Call results "interesting" rather than "significant".

Be careful with the text's "acceptance" language.

Now we compare / contrast these methods.

FWER stands for...

Procedure #1 does NOT control FWER.

Procedures #2 and #3 control FWER @ level —, but the difference btw them is...

The False discovery proportion is $\frac{\# \text{ true null hyp. rejected}}{\# \text{ total rejections}}$. This is Fdp .

For a decision rule D , how is $FDR(D)$ related to $Fdp(D)$?

Procedure #4 controls FDR @ level g , i.e. $FDR(D) \leq g$.

Example

In a setting where $n = 20$ and $N = 100$, with $\alpha = .05$ and $g = 0.1$, the smallest 15 p -values were:

0.00005 0.00016 0.00196 0.00214 0.00694 0.00963 0.01256 0.01657
0.02804 0.04022 0.04024 0.04345 0.05524 0.05822 0.06142

Apply the four procedures. How many times do you reject H_0 for each?

15.3

The theoretical development in this section uses cdfs and Bayes rule.

Let z_0 be a threshold and z_i be the test statistic for the i^{th} case.

$$\text{Fdr}(z_0) = P(\text{case } i \text{ is null} \mid z_i \geq z_0)$$

$\text{Fdr}(z_0)$ is the _____ false-discovery rate, as contrasted with FQR which is _____.

Can obtain an empirical Bayesian estimate of $\text{Fdr}(z_0)$, $\hat{\text{Fdr}}(z_0)$.

Concludes FDR control relates to Bayes posterior probability of nullness.

$\hat{\text{Fdr}}(z_0)$ rejects $H_{0(i)}$ when...
(general, no formula)

15.4

$\text{Fdr}(z_0)$ is based on _____ areas. This is not desirable from a Bayesian perspective. Instead, we can define

$$\text{fdr}(z_0) = P(\text{case } i \text{ is null} \mid z_i = z_0) \text{ as the } \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ false-discovery rate.}$$

We can get reasonable empirical Bayes estimates of fdr .

How are $\text{Fdr}(z_0)$ and $\text{fdr}(z_0)$ related?

15.5

4/

Using the empirical null dist. means the significance of an outlying case is judged relative to the dispersion of the majority, not a theoretical ideal.

What are some reasons to doubt the theoretical null in large-scale situations?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

Should you always expect to need to adjust the theoretical null?

15.6

List four "big" take-away messages from the chapter summary.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.