

Ten simple principles for engineering reproducible solutions to environmental management challenges from primary research.

zenrunner 10/20/2019 2:38 PM

Deleted: Simple

Christopher J. Lortie^{1,2*} and Malory Owen²

1. The National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, UCSB. California, USA.

2. Department of Biology, York University. Toronto, ON, Canada. M3J 1P3.

* PH: 416.736.2100 x20588

lortie@yorku.ca

Abstract

An environmental management challenge is an opportunity to use fundamental science to inform evidence-based decisions for environmental stakeholders and conservationists. Contemporary science is embracing open science and increasingly conscious of reproducibility. Synergistically, applying these two paradigms in concert advances our capacity to move beyond context dependency and singular, unidirectional linear thinking to reverse engineer solutions from published scientific evidence associated with one challenge to many. Solutions can scale, and we need to better reuse scientific literature. Herein, we provide a succinct list of principles that can guide those that seek solutions to address environmental management through primary scientific literature. This extends and supports science-policy-practice developments and the increasing attention to scientific co-production as a mechanism to better connect knowledge and sustainable societies.

Keywords

Conservation, decision making, environmental challenges, evidence, grand challenges, reproducible science, scientific co-production, scientific knowledge

zenrunner 10/20/2019 5:55 PM

Deleted: l

zenrunner 10/20/2019 5:56 PM

Deleted: short

34 Introduction

35 Conservation decisions typically reside with legislators or with environmental managers.
 36 To focus on the latter, managers typically have scientific backgrounds and routinely
 37 navigate the technical literature. However, engagement with scientific literature is non-
 38 trivial for all practitioners because of time, restricted access, relevance of the science, and
 39 reporting standards. Environmental managers and conservationists need to be able to use
 40 primary evidence to inform decisions (Cash *et al.*, 2003; Koontz & Thomas, 2018) and
 41 provide clear roadmaps of change in coupled natural-human systems (Fischer &
 42 Riechers, 2019). Ideally, critical research is co-produced with stakeholders in key
 43 sustainability contexts (Maillet *et al.*, 2019; Regeer *et al.*, 2009). However, there are very
 44 high volumes of useful research produced globally and there can also be a gap in
 45 communication between basic science in these other contexts and management for at
 46 least three reasons. Firstly, the research is not a direct study of an ecosystem, and an
 47 immediate, real-world solution is needed by managers - preferably with a demonstrable
 48 outcome and reasonable cost estimate (Iacona *et al.*, 2018; Naidoo *et al.*, 2006). This is a
 49 very real limitation in the primary science literature restoration ecology for instance
 50 (Lortie *et al.*, 2018). Secondly, the link between the biology or ecology present in the
 51 literature is not articulately connected to the similar process for the system at hand. There
 52 are notable examples with journals just as the Journal of Applied Ecology, Basic and
 53 Applied Ecology, the Journal of Environmental Engineering, People and Nature, and
 54 others. Nonetheless, there remains an opportunity for solution development from
 55 publications in other journals that are not necessarily directly linked to stakeholders or
 56 co-produced. Context-specific findings in science are a legitimate and useful means to
 57 advance discovery, but at times, studies from one system can be re-purposed for insights
 58 into another (Fischer & Riechers, 2019). Finally, the capacity to see the forest for the
 59 trees for even large-scale or broad basic research study can be a challenge. Science can be
 60 very specialized (Baron, 2010), and mobilizing knowledge for solutions requires both
 61 detailed expertise, scientific synthesis tools (Lortie, 2014), or a focus on identifying the
 62 salient elements associated with a study (Hao, 2018; Lewinsohn *et al.*, 2015). Often,
 63 seeing the forest also requires sampling many trees. This leads to the general proposal
 64 here that experts that not currently engaged in collaboration and co-production with
 65 stakeholders can promote reproducible and mobile knowledge for many fundamental
 66 scientific endeavors by considering these principles. This is both a set of principles to
 67 enable reusable research by environmental managers and conservationists (Gerstner *et*
 68 *al.*, 2017) and inform solutions for the environmental crisis. We do not meant to imply
 69 that knowledge transfer is linear or exclusively the domain of experts (Calo, 2018;
 70 Fernández, 2016), but that the wealth of published environmental science can be made
 71 more accessible through these simple ideas.

72 An environmental management challenge is a problem presented in scientific literature or
 73 society that, when redefined and reviewed using these principles, can result in a solution
 74 to the original problem. Typically, a challenge is ethical, legal, social, or derived from
 75 implications associated with research and evidence of change or anthropogenic stress
 76 (Acocella, 2015; Bonebrake *et al.*, 2018). Grand challenges for the environment in
 77 particular are ones that necessitate connections between disciplines and require evidence
 78 from potential studies that examine different components of the environment such as

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:19 PM

Deleted: can

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:14 PM

Deleted: generate meaningful leverage points or opportunities to induce

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:16 PM

Deleted: vast pool of

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:17 PM

Deleted: for how to make your research potentially

climate, ecology, species biology, or research from any number of levels (Bonebrake *et al.*, 2018; Macpherson & Segarra, 2017). A (reverse-engineered) reproducible solution is a suggested solution to a challenge derived from identifying all the components of the challenge. In software engineering, this process includes analysis of the architecture of a system, examining the relationships between subsystems, and creating a mental model of how the system functions (Fiutem & Antoniol, 1996). The same process can be applied to basic science as a system for supporting environmental management decisions. It should be applicable to multiple local-extent challenges when adjusted to fit the circumstances (like a software application that can run under different operating systems). Finally, a tool or solution is the desired outcome from the primary research to support evidence-based/informed decision making in conservation (Maillet *et al.*, 2019). In this case, a tool is a methodology researchers can promote to either identify ways to measure/identify issues or to provide solutions for their specific challenge - not necessarily directly examined in a publication or produced through stakeholder collaboration a priori. Any tool is linked to its respective reproducible solution by the fundamental concepts of reproducibility (Baker, 2016). These can include conceptual replication, i.e. repeating the ideas, but there are many other solutions. Here, we propose that both direct replication (replicating the same approach in another context) and conceptual replication (repeated tests of the same concept but with different methods) (Kelly, 2006) will advance our capacity to explore reproducibility of basic science to different challenges associated with environmental management. The primary goal is to escape the ‘everything is context-specific’ assumption sometimes applied to many natural science sub-disciplines.

The heuristic developed here was inspired by the ‘ten simple rules’ paper format pioneered by Phillip Bourne in the field of computational biology (Bourne & Chalupa, 2006). We propose that by distilling the concepts that promote engagement with scientific literature outside of the research community, managers can rely on broader sources of scientific knowledge to make decisions in addition to those co-produced and collaboratively developed. Furthermore, researchers can reframe their scientific communication (when appropriate) to make it more relevant to managers without compromising their respective fundamental research programs. Here, we will outline and discuss simple “principles” scientists can use to make their research more applicable to managers and that managers can in turn use to identify basic science that fits their needs.

Principles

1. Reframe the problem as challenge. Doom-and-gloom is a pervasive theme in the media discussions of ecology and environmental sciences that reduces our productivity and capacity to solve problems. It can shut down even the most motivated of minds. Reframing a problem as a *challenge* can illuminate solutions. For example, human-wildlife conflict between carnivores and the people living near the Ruaha National Park boundary in Tanzania. The *problem* is that 98.5% of people perceive wildlife as a threat to their livestock resulting in increased likelihood for human-wildlife conflict (Dickman *et al.*, 2014). Re-framed, the *challenge* is to improve perception of wildlife in areas with high human-wildlife interactions. It is a small change in semantics but a potentially

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:52 PM

Deleted: a methodology researchers use

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:53 PM

Deleted: that can facilitate managers

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:53 PM

Deleted: best

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:55 PM

Deleted: /

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:56 PM

Deleted: primarily

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:56 PM

Deleted:

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:58 PM

Deleted: Additionally

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:59 PM

Deleted: better understand the perspective of managers facilitating science and

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:59 PM

Deleted: that is

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:00 PM

Deleted: respective

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:02 PM

Deleted: reporting and social

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:02 PM

Deleted: can

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:01 PM

Deleted: – but b

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:04 PM

Deleted: beyond the issue of motivation, r

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:03 PM

Deleted: reveal

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:04 PM

Deleted: sets that otherwise remain hidden

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:02 PM

Deleted: consider the problem of

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:04 PM

Formatted: Font:Italic

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:04 PM

Deleted: can be

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:04 PM

Deleted: conflict opportunities

148 | profound change in social context. The challenge can also include improving experiences
 149 | for people with wildlife or reducing their losses to wildlife.

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:06 PM
 Deleted: direction

150 | **2. Describe the scope and extent of the challenge.** Defining the scope of a challenge
 151 | conceptually and the extent geographically will ensure that potential solutions fit the
 152 | challenge. Moving across scales is a common issue in ecology (Sandel, 2015), and
 153 | proposing a spatial scale, using common terms, and describing the breadth of the
 154 | challenge will accelerate interdisciplinary solutions (i.e. the wildlife-human challenge
 155 | above is ecological and societal). The challenge can be problematic on local, regional, or
 156 | global scales, and solutions can be needed for each. Conceptually, the scope is broad in
 157 | the human-wildlife conflict example whilst the extent is primarily local to the area
 158 | surrounding the Southern border of the Ruaha National Park. Articulating scope and scale
 159 | informs assessment of severity.

160 | **3. Explicitly link the basic science to management implications and policy.** Perhaps
 161 | the most facile principle, a simple description and definition of the basic scientific
 162 | evidence in a study and how it can be reused is a fundamental step in linking science to
 163 | evidence-based decision making for environmental challenges. In the wildlife-human
 164 | challenge, depredation of livestock impacted 61.1% of households in some form, but
 165 | livestock losses due to disease or theft were actually the most consistent negative drivers
 166 | of total loss (Dickman *et al.*, 2014). Perception of loss and actual losses were not
 167 | necessarily equivalent, and culture was shaping subsequent conflicts not direct evidence.
 168 | Consequently, a clear and balanced statement of evidence can highlight limitations in the
 169 | science relative to the social acceptability of a solution (Bonebrake *et al.*, 2018).

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:08 PM
 Deleted: illuminate the most viable solution
 sets in some instances

170 | **4. Propose implications of ignoring this challenge.** A description of the impact a
 171 | challenge on a system if left unchecked will help clarify the severity of the challenge. The
 172 | trickle-down effects and indirect implications of the challenge should also be examined.
 173 | For instance, anti-carnivore sentiment will likely only grow as climate change and
 174 | pressures to confine pastoral herders makes livestock more difficult to manage (Jones &
 175 | Thornton, 2009; Lindsey *et al.*, 2009). Many large carnivores are already threatened and
 176 | endangered, and further anthropogenic pressures on the populations will lead to severe
 177 | declines in populations including potential extinction of keystone species (Bagchi &
 178 | Mishra, 2006; Johnson *et al.*, 2006; Towns *et al.*, 2009); but it is often associated with
 179 | underlying human-human conflict (Dickman, 2010). Hence, citizens are not only the
 180 | recipients of scientific knowledge but relevant stakeholders in both the potential
 181 | knowledge production processes and the consequences socially and ecologically
 182 | (Fernández, 2016; Kates *et al.*, 2001). Implications should encompass both the ecology of
 183 | a system and the people.

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:10 PM
 Deleted:

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:10 PM
 Deleted: raise

184 | **5. State the direct human needs associated with this challenge.** State the direct needs
 185 | of humans as part of the process of generating reproducible solutions for environmental
 186 | challenges. The intrinsic value of the ecosystem is impossible to quantify (Davidson,
 187 | 2013), but linking the challenge and its solutions to direct human needs makes it less
 188 | likely to be dismissed. Identifying anthropogenic needs will help a problem solver create
 189 | a solution that is appropriate for the challenge, and it can also prevent the emergence of
 190 | new related challenges or pressures on the system in question. This statement can also
 191 | include engagement with stakeholders as a mechanism to inform benefits and solutions

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:14 PM
 Deleted: principle

(Colvin *et al.*, 2016; Reed, 2008). Benefits to stakeholders can include cultural ecosystem services and these will in turn further sustainable local planning and more directed science (Tew *et al.*, 2019). The science-practice connection is not that simple (Regeer *et al.*, 2009) but articulating human needs in any ecological system will go a long way to more acceptable science and collaboration.

6. List at least one limitation of the study and explain. There is no perfect experiment (Ruxton, 2018) or synthesis (Kotiaho & Tomkins, 2002). Critically reading the study associated with the challenge can mean the difference between success and failure of a derived management solution that otherwise follows all other principles presented here. A clear analysis of causation and correlation can help avoid a fatal misstep and ensures effective framing of expected outcomes with an environmental intervention for conservationists. This is not to say that interventions must always be cause-effect studies or that decisions cannot be made with compelling preliminary evidence or mensurative data. We are simply proposing that a statement of the relative strength of evidence and gaps in the research provides a future direction for additional research and for implementation.

7. Explore the benefits of minimal intervention for stakeholders. Resources are limiting, and at times, the business-as-usual model can provide a guide to intervention for some environmental management challenges (Ferguson, 2015; Mosnier *et al.*, 2017). At the minimum, exploration of a hope-for-the-best strategy or minimal intervention is critical because of costs. Business-as-usual models can also provide an economic mechanism to value ecosystems services (Fu *et al.*, 2018; Karttunen *et al.*, 2018), and whilst this is not without debate, this can expand the breadth of stakeholders and potential investors in a solution for a particular challenge. A best and worst case scenario analysis is also likely a frequent need for many environmental challenges because of inertia in the socio-political structures that we use to manage people and resources.

8. List the tools applied to this challenge. In an environmental management challenge case study, there is typically at least one primary tool that the researchers used to explore a challenge, but there are many tools such as meta-analyses (Busch & Ferretti-Gallon, 2017), big data (Hampton *et al.*, 2013), mapping (Halpern *et al.*, 2008), modelling (Vogt *et al.*, 2017), citizen science (Burkle *et al.*, 2013), and team science (Nielsen *et al.*, 2017). The tools in basic biology and ecology relevant to environmental management can be reproducible if, at least conceptually, they can be replicated in another system or applied to similar challenge – i.e. citizen science as a means to collect environmental data (McKinley *et al.*, 2017) is relevant to many of the challenges we face including global warming, water quality, and declining biodiversity.

9. Link the primary reproducible tool to the outcome. A reproducible science tool can provide a means to collect data, detect patterns, directly solve an environmental challenge, or inform policy. If the paper was a direct test of basic ecology for an environmental challenge, this can be very straightforward. For instance, the paper entitled “Odonata (Insecta) as a tool for the bio-monitoring of environmental quality” (Miguel *et al.*, 2017) clearly provides a means to measure and detect. However, the other proposed roles can address challenges in a diversity of ways. The identification of or provision of research evidence is the most ‘basic’ role, and it is also likely the most typical role for

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:19 PM

Deleted: later implemented

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:19 PM

Deleted: need

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:20 PM

Deleted: evidence-based

much of ecology for example. Tools that can function in this capacity include surveys, citizen science data collection, mapping, open-access data, and modelling to predict changes. Tests in the second category that directly examine the efficacy of a management strategy or intervention can further include bio-monitoring (Miguel *et al.*, 2017), mitigation and remediation experiments (Zhu *et al.*, 2010), and population demography studies (Botero *et al.*, 2015). Studies that inform policy are typically more indirect and synthetic and can take the form of anthropocentric studies that consider ecological or environmental policy. Any of the above tools can serve this role, but some tools that fit most squarely include economic incentivization models (Tilman *et al.*, 2018), human health impact studies (Chiabai *et al.*, 2018), and human well-being monitoring associated with environmental interventions (McKinnon *et al.*, 2015).

10. Apply the tool to another challenge or explain how it is generalizable. This principle proposes that the primary tool is reproducible if it can be applied to another challenge or context. It ties together the concept that reverse-engineered reproducible solutions are relevant to more than the unpacked, single environmental management challenge case. This can promote increased in efficiency for tackling novel environmental challenges as they emerge, and it also supports the overarching philosophy here for basic science that we cannot continue to ignore reuse given the global environmental needs for better decision making.

Implications

These principles can distribute the burden of scientific communication between scientists and stakeholders and enable better two-way interactions with scientific knowledge. This is not a surrogate for scientific co-production with stakeholders, but it is a heuristic that can enable adaptive management for the environmental sciences from studies that are not necessarily coupled to issues or partnerships. A core tenet of adaptive management is that managing and learning should be connected and iterative in the natural resource sciences (Williams & Brown, 2016). Decision making adjusts as understanding improves both through doing and through learning. This is not a new approach to managing the environment but requires a well articulated framework to be an active process for stakeholders and to improve long-term conservation outcomes (McDonald-Madden *et al.*, 2010). Making the research literature more functional through these principles will accelerate the learning phase of adaptive management. We can make deliberation (i.e. planning) and iteration (i.e. testing) integrate with evidence by adopting these principles (Williams & Brown, 2016). Reuse is also not the sole criterion for useful science nor should it be, but professional advocacy and knowledge mobilization are increasingly important priorities for universities and science in general (Pace *et al.*, 2010). Evidence-informed decision making is a critical area for growth and knowledge in many disciplines (Aarons *et al.*, 2011; Roy-Byrne *et al.*, 2010; Tranfield *et al.*, 2003) – not just environmental management. Increased consumption and production of scientific evidence by managers and practitioners that is more accessible to a broader audience will result in increased functional use of scientific literature. Collaboration with stakeholders will facilitate this process at every step of the scientific endeavour, and open science will be pivotal to adaptive management opportunities. A recent discussion of rewilding

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:28 PM

Deleted: and embodies a spirit of dialog between senders and receivers (or between producers and consumers)

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:27 PM

Deleted: This

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:27 PM

Deleted: also

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:15 PM

Deleted: The philosophy behind

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:29 PM

Deleted: for decisio

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:15 PM

Deleted: ning

zenrunner 10/20/2019 6:16 PM

Deleted: for

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:45 PM

Deleted: s

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:46 PM

Deleted:

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:46 PM

Deleted:

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:46 PM

Deleted: based

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:47 PM

Deleted: palatable

zenrunner 10/20/2019 7:47 PM

Deleted: written by researchers

ecosystems formally modeled societal context as a boundary that must always be considered in all dimensions of restoration efforts by managers and stakeholders (Perino *et al.*, 2019). Using at least some of these principles similarly advances connecting people to nature to primary research. This integrated thinking is critical. Better reporting of research and discussion of relevance and thus perception will increase the stickiness of our ideas and enable novel connections between evidence and outcome, challenge and solution, and people and nature.

Simple principles for the framing of environmental science that enable more connected science to people augment extensive discussion and developments in the field of science, technology, and society and the social studies of science. Knowledge transfer and scientific co-production are profoundly useful to the environmental sciences but at times can be decoupled from basic science (Lang *et al.*, 2012). Transdisciplinary science strongly contrasts with a linear knowledge-deficit model that assumes knowledge moves from experts to citizens and instead emphasizes that integrated thinking focusing on overlap between disciplines and between scientists and citizens eclipses simplistic models of scientific knowledge (Lang *et al.*, 2012). Joint production of knowledge is an ideal, but it is not without debate and challenges (Maillet *et al.*, 2019; Regeer *et al.*, 2009; Williams & Brown, 2016). It has been proposed that production of knowledge always includes social and cultural factors and that decision making is always political (Fernández, 2016). Knowledge-policy interactions in particular are likely non-linear and complex, require multiple knowledge domains with multiple perspectives, and are shaped by personal and professional filters. Moving from data to decisions must include consideration of biases, beliefs, values, and heuristics (such as the ones proposed herein) (Glynn *et al.*, 2017). Even with standardized and accessible data, it is a substantial challenge to develop mechanisms that incorporate these forms of evidence into policy development (Magnusson, 2019). Consequently, framing scientific publications in these fields to ensure that they provide the means for two-way interactions with evidence provides a means to translate principles into action. We implicitly adopted a 'science-policy-practice' perspective linking science to management (Dale *et al.*, 2019) in developing these principles to ensure that a wider subset of basic science can be used to inform decisions - primarily through a simple checklist that authors and readers can use to promote and structure reuse. Science is a movement, and the language we use is important (Wezel *et al.*, 2009). Knowledge is not a static concept held by experts but a series of actions that we engage with through principles, concepts, data, beliefs, and relationships (Maillet *et al.*, 2019). Here, we provide principles that we hope build a bridge and stepping-stones between publications that are not necessarily co-produced and immediately relevant to people that need to use, reuse, and interact with these ideas to inform sustainable societies.

zenrunner 10/20/2019 8:31 PM
Formatted: Underline

Literature cited

- Aarons, G.A., Hurlburt, M., & Horwitz, S.M. (2011) Advancing a conceptual model of evidence-based practice implementation in public service sectors. *Administration and policy in mental health*, **38**, 4-23.
- Acocella, V. (2015) Grand challenges in Earth science: research toward a sustainable environment. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, **3**, 68.
- Bagchi, S. & Mishra, C. (2006) Living with large carnivores: predation on livestock by the snow leopard (*Uncia uncia*). *Journal of Zoology*, **268**, 217-224.
- Baker, M. (2016) Is there a reproducibility crisis? *Nature*, **533**, 452-454.
- Baron, N. (2010) *Escape from the Ivory Tower: A Guide to Making Your Science Matter* Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Bonebrake, T.C., Brown, C.J., Bell, J.D., Blanchard, J.L., Chauvenet, A., Champion, C., Chen, I.C., Clark, T.D., Colwell, R.K., Danielsen, F., Dell, A.I., Donelson, J.M., Evengård, B., Ferrier, S., Frusher, S., Garcia, R.A., Griffiths, R.B., Hobday, A.J., Jarzyna, M.A., Lee, E., Lenoir, J., Linnetved, H., Martin, V.Y., McCormack, P.C., McDonald, J., McDonald-Madden, E., Mitchell, N., Mustonen, T., Pandolfi, J.M., Pettorelli, N., Possingham, H., Pulsifer, P., Reynolds, M., Scheffers, B.R., Sorte, C.J.B., Strugnell, J.M., Tuanmu, M.-N., Twiname, S., Vergés, A., Villanueva, C., Wapstra, E., Wernberg, T., & Pecl, G.T. (2018) Managing consequences of climate-driven species redistribution requires integration of ecology, conservation and social science. *Biological Reviews*, **93**, 284-305.
- Botero, C.A., Weissing, F.J., Wright, J., & Rubenstein, D.R. (2015) Evolutionary tipping points in the capacity to adapt to environmental change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **112**, 184-189.
- Bourne, P.E. & Chalupa, L.M. (2006) Ten simple rules for getting grants. *PLOS Computational Biology*, **2**, 59-60.
- Burkle, L.A., Marlin, J.C., & Knight, T.M. (2013) Plant-Pollinator Interactions over 120 Years: Loss of Species, Co-Occurrence, and Function. *Science*, **339**, 1611.
- Busch, J. & Ferretti-Gallon, K. (2017) What Drives Deforestation and What Stops It? A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, **11**, 3-23.
- Calo, A. (2018) How knowledge deficit interventions fail to resolve beginning farmer challenges. *Agriculture and Human Values*, **35**, 367-381.
- Cash, D.W., Clark, W.C., Alcock, F., Dickson, N.M., Eckley, N., Guston, D.H., Jäger, J., & Mitchell, R.B. (2003) Knowledge systems for sustainable development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **100**, 8086.
- Chiabai, A., Quiroga, S., Martinez-Juarez, P., Higgins, S., & Taylor, T. (2018) The nexus between climate change, ecosystem services and human health: Towards a conceptual framework. *Science of the Total Environment*, **635**, 1191-1204.
- Colvin, R.M., Witt, G.B., & Lacey, J. (2016) Approaches to identifying stakeholders in environmental management: Insights from practitioners to go beyond the 'usual suspects'. *Land Use Policy*, **52**, 266-276.
- Dale, P., Sporne, I., Knight, J., Sheaves, M., Eslami-Andergoli, L., & Dwyer, P. (2019) A conceptual model to improve links between science, policy and practice in coastal management. *Marine Policy*, **103**, 42-49.
- Davidson, M.D. (2013) On the relation between ecosystem services, intrinsic value, existence value and economic valuation. *Ecological Economics*, **95**, 171-177.

- Dickman, A.J. (2010) Complexities of conflict: the importance of considering social factors for effectively resolving human–wildlife conflict. *Animal Conservation*, **13**, 458-466.
- Dickman, A.J., Hazzah, L., Carbone, C., & Durant, S.M. (2014) Carnivores, culture and ‘contagious conflict’: Multiple factors influence perceived problems with carnivores in Tanzania’s Ruaha landscape. *Biological Conservation*, **178**, 19-27.
- Ferguson, P. (2015) The green economy agenda: business as usual or transformational discourse? *Environmental Politics*, **24**, 17-37.
- Fernández, R.J. (2016) How to be a more effective environmental scientist in management and policy contexts. *Environmental Science & Policy*, **64**, 171-176.
- Fischer, J. & Riechers, M. (2019) A leverage points perspective on sustainability. *People and Nature*, **1**, 115-120.
- Fiutem, T. & Antonioli, M. (1996) A cliché-based environment to support architectural reverse engineering. In 1996 Proceedings of International Conference on Software Maintenance, pp. 319-328.
- Fu, Q., Hou, Y., Wang, B., Bi, X., Li, B., & Zhang, X. (2018) Scenario analysis of ecosystem service changes and interactions in a mountain-oasis-desert system: a case study in Altay Prefecture, China. *Scientific Reports*, **8**, 12939.
- Gerstner, K., Moreno-Mateos, D., Gurevitch, J., Beckmann, M., Kambach, S., Jones, H.P., & Seppelt, R. (2017) Will your paper be used in a meta-analysis? Make the reach of your research broader and longer lasting. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **8**, 777-784.
- Glynn, P.D., Voinov, A.A., Shapiro, C.D., & White, P.A. (2017) From data to decisions: Processing information, biases, and beliefs for improved management of natural resources and environments. *Earth's Future*, **5**, 356-378.
- Halpern, B.S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K.A., Kappel, C.V., Micheli, F., Agrosa, C., Bruno, J.F., Casey, K.S., Ebert, C., Fox, H.E., Fujita, R., Heinemann, D., Lenihan, H.S., Madin, E.M.P., Perry, M.T., Selig, E.R., Spalding, M., Steneck, R., & Watson, R. (2008) A Global Map of Human Impact on Marine Ecosystems. *Science*, **319**, 948.
- Hampton, S.E., Strasser, C.A., Tewksbury, J.J., Gram, W.K., Budden, A.E., Batcheller, A.L., Duke, C.S., & Porter, J.H. (2013) Big data and the future of ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology & the Environment*, **11**, 156-162.
- Hao, J. (2018) Reconsidering ‘cause inside the clause’ in scientific discourse – from a discourse semantic perspective in systemic functional linguistics. *Text & Talk - An Interdisciplinary Journal of Language Discourse Communication Studies*, **38**.
- Iacona, G.D., Sutherland, W.J., Mappin, B., Adams, V.M., Armsworth, P.R., Coleshaw, T., Cook, C., Craigie, I., Dicks, L.V., Fitzsimons, J.A., McGowan, J., Plumptre, A.J., Polak, T., Pullin, A.S., Ringma, J., Rushworth, I., Santangeli, A., Stewart, A., Tulloch, A., Walsh, J.C., & Possingham, H.P. (2018) Standardized reporting of the costs of management interventions for biodiversity conservation. *Conservation Biology*, **32**, 979-988.
- Johnson, A., Vongkhamheng, C., Hedemark, M., & Saithongdam, T. (2006) Effects of human–carnivore conflict on tiger (*Panthera tigris*) and prey populations in Lao PDR. *Animal Conservation*, **9**, 421-430.

- 435 Jones, P.G. & Thornton, P.K. (2009) Croppers to livestock keepers: livelihood transitions
436 to 2050 in Africa due to climate change. *Environmental Science & Policy*, **12**,
437 427-437.
- 438 Karttunen, K., Ahtikoski, A., Kujala, S., Törmä, H., Kinnunen, J., Salminen, H.,
439 Huuskonen, S., Kojola, S., Lehtonen, M., Hynynen, J., & Ranta, T. (2018)
440 Regional socio-economic impacts of intensive forest management, a CGE
441 approach. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **118**, 8-15.
- 442 Kates, R.W., Clark, W.C., Corell, R., Hall, J.M., Jaeger, C.C., Lowe, I., McCarthy, J.J.,
443 Schellnhuber, H.J., Bolin, B., Dickson, N.M., Faucheux, S., Gallopin, G.C.,
444 Grubler, A., Huntley, B., Jäger, J., Jodha, N.S., Kasperson, R.E., Mabogunje, A.,
445 Matson, P., Mooney, H., Moore, B., Riordan, T., & Svedin, U. (2001)
446 Sustainability Science. *Science*, **292**, 641.
- 447 Kelly, C.D. (2006) Replicating Empirical Research in Behavioral Ecology: How and
448 Why It Should Be Done But Rarely Ever Is. *THE QUARTERLY REVIEW OF*
449 *BIOLOGY*, **81**, 221-236.
- 450 Koontz, T.M. & Thomas, C.W. (2018) Use of science in collaborative environmental
451 management: Evidence from local watershed partnerships in the Puget Sound.
452 *Environmental Science & Policy*, **88**, 17-23.
- 453 Kotiaho, J.S. & Tomkins, J.L. (2002) Meta-analysis, can it ever fail? *Oikos*, **96**, 551-553.
- 454 Lang, D.J., Wiek, A., Bergmann, M., Stauffacher, M., Martens, P., Moll, P., Swilling, M.,
455 & Thomas, C.J. (2012) Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science:
456 practice, principles, and challenges. *Sustainability science*, **7**, 25-43.
- 457 Lewinsohn, T.M., Attayde, J.L., Fonseca, C.R., Ganade, G., Jorge, L.R., Kollmann, J.,
458 Overbeck, G.E., Prado, P.I., Pillar, V.D., Popp, D., da Rocha, P.L.B., Silva, W.R.,
459 Spiekermann, A., & Weisser, W.W. (2015) Ecological literacy and beyond:
460 Problem-based learning for future professionals. *AMBIO*, **44**, 154-162.
- 461 Lindsey, P.A., Romañach, S.S., & Davies-Mostert, H.T. (2009) The importance of
462 conservancies for enhancing the value of game ranch land for large mammal
463 conservation in southern Africa. *Journal of Zoology*, **277**, 99-105.
- 464 Lortie, C.J. (2014) Formalized synthesis opportunities for ecology: systematic reviews
465 and meta-analyses. *Oikos*, **123**, 897-902.
- 466 Lortie, C.J., Filazzola, A., Kelsey, R., Hart, A.K., & Butterfield, H.S. (2018) Better late
467 than never: a synthesis of strategic land retirement and restoration in California.
468 *Ecosphere*, **9**, e02367.
- 469 Macpherson, I. & Segarra, I. (2017) Commentary: Grand challenge: ELSI in a changing
470 global environment. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **8**, 135.
- 471 Magnusson, W.E. (2019) Biodiversity: the chasm between what we know and we need to
472 know. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, **91**.
- 473 Maillet, D.G.C., Wiber, M.G., & Barnett, A. (2019) Actions towards the joint production
474 of knowledge: the risk of salmon aquaculture on American Lobster. *Journal of*
475 *Risk Research*, **22**, 67-80.
- 476 McDonald-Madden, E., Probert, W.J.M., Hauser, C.E., Runge, M.C., Possingham, H.P.,
477 Jones, M.E., Moore, J.L., Rout, T.M., Vesk, P.A., & Wintle, B.A. (2010) Active
478 adaptive conservation of threatened species in the face of uncertainty. *Ecological*
479 *Applications*, **20**, 1476-1489.

- McKinley, D.C., Miller-Rushing, A.J., Ballard, H.L., Bonney, R., Brown, H., Cook-Patton, S.C., Evans, D.M., French, R.A., Parrish, J.K., Phillips, T.B., Ryan, S.F., Shanley, L.A., Shirk, J.L., Stepenuck, K.F., Weltzin, J.F., Wiggins, A., Boyle, O.D., Briggs, R.D., Chapin, S.F., Hewitt, D.A., Preuss, P.W., & Soukup, M.A. (2017) Citizen science can improve conservation science, natural resource management, and environmental protection. *Biological Conservation*, **208**, 15-28.
- McKinnon, M.C., Cheng, S.H., Garside, R., Masuda, Y.J., & Miller, D.C. (2015) Sustainability: Map the evidence. *Nature*, **528**, 185-187.
- Miguel, T.B., Oliveira-Junior, J.M.B., Ligeiro, R., & Juen, L. (2017) Odonata (Insecta) as a tool for the biomonitoring of environmental quality. *Ecological Indicators*, **81**, 555-566.
- Mosnier, C., Duclos, A., Agabriel, J., & Gac, A. (2017) What prospective scenarios for 2035 will be compatible with reduced impact of French beef and dairy farm on climate change? *Agricultural Systems*, **157**, 193-201.
- Naidoo, R., Balmford, A., Ferraro, P.J., Polasky, S., Ricketts, T.H., & Rouget, M. (2006) Integrating economic costs into conservation planning. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **21**, 681-687.
- Nielsen, J.A., Grøndahl, E., Callaway, R.M., Dickinson, K.J.M., & Ehlers, B.K. (2017) Home and away: biogeographical comparison of species diversity in *Thymus vulgaris* communities. *Biological Invasions*, **19**, 2533-2542.
- Pace, M.L., Hampton, S.E., Limburg, K.E., Bennett, E.M., Cook, E.M., Davis, A.E., Grove, J.M., Kaneshiro, K.Y., LaDeau, S.L., Likens, G.E., McKnight, D.M., Richardson, D.C., & Strayer, D.L. (2010) Communicating with the public: opportunities and rewards for individual ecologists. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **8**, 292-298.
- Perino, A., Pereira, H.M., Navarro, L.M., Fernández, N., Bullock, J.M., Ceașu, S., Cortés-Avizanda, A., van Klink, R., Kuemmerle, T., Lomba, A., Pe'er, G., Plieninger, T., Rey Benayas, J.M., Sandom, C.J., Svenning, J.-C., & Wheeler, H.C. (2019) Rewilding complex ecosystems. *Science*, **364**, eaav5570.
- Reed, M.S. (2008) Stakeholder participation for environmental management: A literature review. *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 2417-2431.
- Regeer, B.J., Hoes, A.-C., van Amstel-van Saane, M., Caron-Flinterman, F.F., & Bunders, J.F.G. (2009) Six Guiding Principles for Evaluating Mode-2 Strategies for Sustainable Development. *American Journal of Evaluation*, **30**, 515-537.
- Roy-Byrne, P., Craske, M.G., Sullivan, G., Rose, R.D., Edlund, M.J., Lang, A.J., Bystritsky, A., Welch, S.S., Chavira, D.A., Golinelli, D., Campbell-Sills, L., Sherbourne, C.D., & Stein, M.B. (2010) Delivery of Evidence-Based Treatment for Multiple Anxiety Disorders in Primary Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA*, **303**, 1921-1928.
- Ruxton, G.D., and N. Colgrave. (2018) *Experimental Design for the Life Sciences*. , Fourth edn. Oxford University Press., Oxford, UK.
- Sandel, B. (2015) Towards a taxonomy of spatial scale-dependence. *Ecography*, **38**, 358-369.
- Tew, E.R., Simmons, B.I., & Sutherland, W.J. (2019) Quantifying cultural ecosystem services: Disentangling the effects of management from landscape features. *People and Nature*, **1**, 70-86.

- 526 Tilman, A.R., Levin, S., & Watson, J.R. (2018) Revenue-sharing clubs provide economic
 527 insurance and incentives for sustainability in common-pool resource systems.
 528 *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **454**, 205-214.
- 529 Towns, L., Derocher, A.E., Stirling, I., Lunn, N.J., & Hedman, D. (2009) Spatial and
 530 temporal patterns of problem polar bears in Churchill, Manitoba. *Polar Biology*,
 531 **32**, 1529-1537.
- 532 Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003) Towards a Methodology for Developing
 533 Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review.
 534 *British Journal of Management*, **14**, 207-222.
- 535 Vogt, R., Sharma, S., & Leavitt, P. (2017) Direct and interactive effects of climate,
 536 meteorology, river hydrology, and lake characteristics on water quality in
 537 productive lakes of the Canadian Prairies. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and*
 538 *Aquatic Sciences*, **75**.
- 539 Wezel, A., Bellon, S., Doré, T., Francis, C., Vallod, D., & David, C. (2009) Agroecology
 540 as a science, a movement and a practice. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable*
 541 *Development*, **29**, 503-515.
- 542 Williams, B.K. & Brown, E.D. (2016) Technical challenges in the application of adaptive
 543 management. *Biological Conservation*, **195**, 255-263.
- 544 Zhu, L., Lu, L., & Zhang, D. (2010) Mitigation and remediation technologies for organic
 545 contaminated soils. *Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering in China*,
 546 **4**, 373-386.

547