

## **Methods**

The method is only used as a reference for publication purpose.

Customers are responsible for the related risks of duplicate  
checking.

# 1. Experimental Procedure

## 1.1 Sample Quality Control

Please refer to Novogene's QC report for methods of sample quality control.

## 1.2 Library Construction, Quality Control and Sequencing

The genomic DNA sample was fragmented into short fragments. These DNA fragments were then end-polished, A-tailed, and ligated with full-length adapters for Illumina sequencing before further size selection. PCR amplification was then conducted unless specified as PCR-free. Purification was then conducted through the AMPure XP system (Beverly). The resulting library was assessed on the Agilent Fragment Analyzer System (Agilent) and quantified to 1.5 nM through Qubit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and qPCR.

The qualified libraries were pooled and sequenced on Illumina platforms, according to the effective library concentration and data amount required.

# 2. Bioinformatic analysis Pipeline

## 2.1 Data quality control

### 2.1.1 Raw data

The original fluorescence image files obtained from Illumina platform are transformed to short reads (Raw data) by base calling and these short reads are recorded in FASTQ format (Chen et al., 2018), which contains sequence information and corresponding sequencing quality information.

### 2.1.2 Evaluation of data (Data quality control)

Sequence artifacts, including reads containing adapter contamination, low-quality nucleotides and unrecognizable nucleotide (N), undoubtedly set the barrier for the subsequent reliable bioinformatics analysis. Hence quality control is an essential step and applied to guarantee the meaningful downstream analysis. We used Fastp (version 0.23.1) (Chen et al., 2018) to perform basic statistics on the quality of the raw reads. The steps of data processing were as follows:

- (1) Discard a paired reads if either one read contains adapter contamination ( $>10$  nucleotides aligned to the adapter, allowing  $\leq 10\%$  mismatches);
- (2) Discard a paired reads if more than 10% of bases are uncertain in either one read;
- (3) Discard a paired reads if the proportion of low quality (Phred quality  $<5$ ) bases is over 50% in either one read.

## 2.2 Reads Mapping to Reference Sequence

Valid sequencing data was mapped to the reference genome by Burrows Wheeler Aligner (BWA) (Li et al., 2009a) software to get the original mapping results stored in BAM format (parameter: mem -t 4 -k 32 -M). Then, the results were dislodged duplication by SAMtools (Li et al., 2009b) (parameter: rmdup) and Picard (<http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>).

## 2.3 Variant detection and annotation

### 2.3.1 SNP/InDel

The raw SNP/InDel sets are called by GATK(DePristo et al., 2011) with the parameters as ‘-gcpHMM 10 -stand\_emit\_conf 10 -stand\_call\_conf 30’. Then we filtered this sets using the following criteria:

SNP: QD < 2.0, FS > 60.0, MQ < 30.0, HaplotypeScore > 13.0,

MappingQualityRankSum < -12.5, ReadPosRankSum < -8.0

INDEL: QD < 2.0, FS > 200.0, ReadPosRankSum < -20.0

### 2.3.2 CNV and SV

CNVs were detected by CNVnator (Abyzov et al., 2011) to acquire potential deletion and duplication (parameter: -call 100).

SVs were detected by BreakDancer(Chen et al., 2009).

## 2.4 Annotation

ANNOVAR (Wang et al., 2010) was used for functional annotation of variants. The UCSC known genes were used for gene and region annotations.

KOG (Fungi) : Currently, the universal functional database used is the KOG database.

The basic steps for functional annotation are as follows:

- 1) Perform a BLAST search between the predicted gene and various functional databases;
- 2) Filter the results of the BLAST search, selecting the one with the highest score for each sequence.

COG (Bacteria) : Currently, the universal functional database used is the COG database. The basic steps for functional annotation are as follows:

- 1) Perform a BLAST search between the predicted gene and various functional databases;
- 2) Filter the results of the BLAST search, selecting the one with the highest score for each sequence.

### **3 References**

Abyzov A, Urban AE, Snyder M, Gerstein M. CNVnator: an approach to discover, genotype, and characterize typical and atypical CNVs from family and population genome sequencing. *Genome Res.* 2011;21(6):974-984. doi:10.1101/gr.114876.110

Chen K, Wallis JW, McLellan MD, et al. BreakDancer: an algorithm for high-resolution mapping of genomic structural variation. *Nat Methods.* 2009;6(9):677-681. doi:10.1038/nmeth.13635

Cock PJ, Fields CJ, Goto N, Heuer ML, Rice PM. The Sanger FASTQ file format for sequences with quality scores, and the Solexa/Illumina FASTQ variants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010;38(6):1767-1771. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp1137

Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics.* 2009a;25(14):1754-1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics.* 2009b;25(16):2078-2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

DePristo M A, Banks E, Poplin R, et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nature Genetics.* 2011, 43(5):491-498 .doi: 10.1038/ng.806

Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010;38(16):e164. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq603