

Bacteria draftmap Method

1 Genome sequencing

1.1 Sample Quality Control

Please refer to Novogene's QC report for methods of sample quality control.

1.2 Library Construction, Quality Control and Sequencing

The genomic DNA sample was fragmented into short fragments. These DNA fragments were then end-repaired, A-tailed, and ligated with full-length adapters for Illumina sequencing before further size selection. PCR amplification was then conducted unless specified as PCR-free. Purification was then conducted through the AMPure XP system (Beverly). The resulting library was assessed on the Agilent Fragment Analyzer System (Agilent) and quantified to 1.5nM through Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and qPCR.

The qualified libraries were pooled and sequenced on Illumina platforms, according to the effective library concentration and data amount required.

2 Genome assembly

2.1 Data processing

The raw data obtained by sequencing (Raw Data) had a certain proportion of low-quality data. In order to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the subsequent information analysis results, the original data must be filtered to obtain valid data (Clean Data).

The specific processing steps included as follows:

- 1) The reads containing low-quality bases (mass value ≤ 20) over a certain percentage (the default was 40%) were removed;
- 2) The number of N in reads beyond a certain proportion (the default was 10%) were removed;
- 3) Some reads, the overlap between them and the Adapter, which exceeded a certain threshold (the default was 15bp) and had less than 3 mismatches between

them, were removed;

4) If the sample such as minigenome was contaminated by host, it needs to be blast with the host data to filter out reads that may originate from the host.

2.2 Assembly

The specific processing steps for genome assembly with Clean Data included as follows:

1) Assembled with SOAPdenovo^[2] software:

Different K-mers (the default were 95, 107, 119) were selected for assembly, According to

the project type, the optimal K-mer, further adjusting other parameters (-d -u -R - F, etc.) and the least scaffolds were choosed as the preliminary assembly result;

2) Assembled with SPAdes^[4] software:

Different K-mers (the default were 99 and 127) were selected for assembly, According to the project type, the assembly result was obtained with the optimal kmer and the least scaffolds;

3) Assembled with Abyss^[5] software:

K-mer 64 was selected for assembly and the assembly result was obtained:

4) The assembly results of the three softwares were integrated with CISA software and the assembly result with the least scaffolds was selected;

5)The gapclose software was used to fill the gap of preliminary assembly results.The same lane pollution by filtering the reads with low sequencing depth (less than 0.35 of the average depth) was removed to obtain the final assembly result;

6) Fragments below 500 bp were filtered out and the final result was counted for gene prediction.

3 Genome Component prediction

Genome component prediction included the prediction of the coding gene, repetitive sequences, non-coding RNA, genomics islands, transposon, prophage, and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat sequences (CRISPR). The available steps were proceeded as follows:

- 1) For bacteria, we used the GeneMarkS^[6] program to retrieve the related coding gene.
- 2) The interspersed repetitive sequences were predicted using the RepeatMasker^[7] (<http://www.repeatmasker.org/>). The tandem Repeats were analyzed by the TRF^[8] (Tandem repeats finder).
- 3) Transfer RNA (tRNA) genes were predicted by the^{[9][10]} tRNAscan-SE. Ribosome RNA (rRNA) genes were analyzed by the rRNAmmer Smallnuclear RNAs(snRNA)were predicted by BLAST against the Rfam^{[11][12]} database.
- 4) The^[13] IslandPath-DIOMB program was used to predict the Genomics Islands. The^[14] phiSpy was used for the prophage prediction(<http://phast.wishartlab.com/>) and the^[15] CRISPRdigger was used for the CRISPR identification.

4 Gene function

We used seven databases to predict gene functions. They were respective GO^[16] (Gene Ontology), KEGG^{[17][18]} (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), COG^[19] (Clusters of Orthologous Groups), NR^[20] (Non-Redundant Protein Database), TCDB^[21] (Transporter Classification Database), and, Swiss-Prot^[22]. A whole genome Blast^[23] search (E-value less than 1e-5, minimal alignment length percentage larger than 40%) was performed against above seven databases. The secretory proteins were

predicted by the SignalP^[24] database, and the prediction of Type I-VII proteins secreted by the pathogenic bacteria were based on the EffectiveT3^[25] software. Meanwhile, we analyzed the secondary metabolism gene clusters by the antiSMASH^[26]. For pathogenic bacteria, we added the pathogenicity and drug resistance analyses. We used the PHI^[27] (Pathogen Host Interactions), VFDB^[28] (Virulence Factors of Pathogenic Bacteria), ARDB^[29] (Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database) to perform the above analyses.

CARD^[30] (Comprehensive Antibiotic Research Database) Carbohydrate-Active enzymes were predicted by the Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes Database^[31].

Reference

- [1] Lim H J , Lee, E.-H, Yoon Y , et al. Portable lysis apparatus for rapid single-step DNA extraction of *Bacillus subtilis*[J]. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 2016, 120(2):379-387.
- [2] Li R, Zhu H, Ruan J, et al. De novo assembly of human genomes with massively parallel short read sequencing[J]. Genome research, 2010, 20(2): 265-272.
- [3] Li R, Li Y, Kristiansen K, et al. SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignment program[J]. Bioinformatics, 2008, 24(5): 713-714.
- [4] Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing[J]. Journal of Computational Biology, 2012, 19(5): 455-477.
- [5] Simpson JT, Wong K, Jackman SD, et al. ABySS: a parallel assembler for short read sequence data.[J]. Genome Research, 2009, 19(6):1117.
- [6] Besemer J, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M. GeneMarkS: a self-training method for prediction of gene starts in microbial genomes. Implications for finding sequence

- motifs in regulatory regions[J]. Nucleic Acids Research, 2001, 29(12): 2607-2618.
- [7] Saha S, Bridges S, Magbanua Z V, et al. Empirical comparison of ab initio repeat finding programs[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2008, 36(7): 2284-2294.
- [8] Benson G. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences[J]. Nucleic acids research, 1999, 27(2): 573.
- [9] Lowe T M, Eddy S R. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence[J]. Nucleic acids research, 1997, 25(5): 0955-964.
- [10] Lagesen K, Hallin P, Rødland E A, et al. RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2007, 35(9): 3100-3108.
- [11] Gardner P P, Daub J, Tate J G, et al. Rfam: updates to the RNA families database[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2009, 37(suppl 1): D136-D140.
- [12] Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR: Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments. Bioinformatics 2009, 25(10):1335-1337.
- [13] Bertelli C, Brinkman FSL: Improved genomic island predictions with IslandPath-DIMOB. Bioinformatics 2018, 34:2161-2167.
- [14] Akhter S, Aziz RK, Edwards RA: PhiSpy: a novel algorithm for finding prophages in bacterial genomes that combines similarity- and composition-based strategies. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40:e126.
- [15] Ge R, Mai G, Wang P, Zhou M, Luo Y, Cai Y, Zhou F: CRISPRdigger: detecting CRISPRs with better direct repeat annotations. Sci Rep 2016, 6:32942.
- Ashburner M, Ball C A, Blake J A, et al. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology[J]. Nature genetics, 2000, 25(1): 25-29.
- [16] Ashburner M, Ball C A, Blake J A, et al. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology[J]. Nature genetics, 2000, 25(1): 25-29.
- [17] Kanehisa M, Goto S, Kawashima S, et al. The KEGG resource for deciphering the genome[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2004, 32(suppl 1): D277-D280.

- [18] Kanehisa M, Goto S, Hattori M, et al. From genomics to chemical genomics: new developments in KEGG[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2006, 34(suppl 1): D354-D357.
- [19] Galperin MY, Makarova KS, Wolf YI, et al. Expanded microbial genome coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database[J]. Nucleic Acids Research, 2015, 43(Database issue): 261-9.
- [20] Li W, Jaroszewski L, Godzik A. Tolerating some redundancy significantly speeds up clustering of large protein databases[J]. Bioinformatics, 2002, 18(1): 77-82.
- [21] Milton SJ, Vamsee SR, Dorjee GT, et al. The Transporter Classification Database. Nucleic Acids Research, 2014, doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1097.
- [22] Amos B, Rolf A. The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000, 28(1): 45-48.
- [23] Mount DW: Using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). CSH Protoc 2007, 2007: pdb top17.
- [24] Petersen T N, Brunak S, von Heijne G, et al. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions[J]. Nature methods. 2011, 8(10): 785-786.
- [25] Eichinger V, Nussbaumer T, Platzer A, et al. Effective DB-updates and novel features for a better annotation of bacterial secreted proteins and Type III, IV, VI secretion systems. 2016, Nucleic Acids Res. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1269.
- [26] Medema M H, Blin K, Cimermancic P, et al. antiSMASH: rapid identification, annotation and analysis of secondary metabolite biosynthesis gene clusters in bacterial and fungal genome sequences[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2011, 39(suppl 2): W339-W346.
- [27] Martin U, Rashmi P, Arathi R et al. The Pathogen-Host Interactions database (PHI-base): additions and future developments. Nucleic Acids Research. 2015, doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1165.
- [28] Chen L, Xiong Z, Sun L, et al. VFDB 2012 update: toward the genetic diversity and molecular evolution of bacterial virulence factors[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2012, 40(D1): D641-D645.

- [29]Liu B, Pop M. ARDB-antibiotic resistance genes database[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2009, 37(suppl 1): D443-D447.
- [30]Jia B, Raphenya A R, Alcock B, et al. CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database:[J]. Nucleic Acids Research, 2017, 45(Database issue):D566- D573.
- [31]Cantarel B L, Coutinho P M, Rancurel C, et al. The Carbohydrate-Active EnZymes database (CAZy): an expert resource for glycogenomics[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2009, 37(suppl 1): D233-D238.