CS229 Project: Detecting Steady States of the Complex Ginzburg-Landau Equation

Chih-Wei Joshua Liu^{1,2,*} and Wen-Chieh Chao^{2,†}

¹Biophysics Program, Leland Stanford Junior University, Stanford, California 94305, U.S.A. ²Department of Bioengineering, Leland Stanford Junior University, Stanford, California 94305, USA (Dated: 08 November 2024)

I. INTRODUCTION

The complex Ginzburg-Landau (CGL) equation

$$\partial_t A = A + (1+ib)\nabla^2 A - (1+ic)|A|^2 A$$
 (1)

describes the evolution of a wavefunction $A = |A| \exp(i\phi)$ with both amplitude |A| and phase ϕ varying in space and time, where ∇^2 denotes the spatial Laplacian. Originally introduced to describe superconductors [1], the CGL equation captures the coarse-grained behavior of all dissipative, weakly nonlinear U(1) gauge-invariant systems in continuous media under fairly weak assumptions about the nature of diffusion [2]. In developmental biology, Eq. 1 arises as a model of Turing patterning [3], in which the diffusion of reacting biomolecules gives rise to spatial structures (such as stripes or spots) [4]. In this context, Re(A) is the concentration of some biomolecule, the Laplacian term corresponds to diffusion, and the cubic nonlinearity corresponds to a chemical reaction [5].

At some biologically relevant values of c_1 and c_2 , the CGL equation exhibits spiral waves (vortices) that emanate from topological defects (vortex cores) [6]. At these defects, amplitudes |A| vanish and phases ϕ are undefined. CGL topological defects have ± 1 topological charge (are bosons) and can anihilate with defects of opposite charge [7]. Upon initialization from random conditions, CGL wavefunctions of this regime evolve by a coarsening of vortex domains, in which defects form and anihilate in often long-lived transients [8]. CGL wavefunction eventually settles into a 'vortex glass' steady state [9], in which defects have fixed locations and no longer form or anihilate ¹. Toward the end of a transient, defect anihilation can occur very slowly [7]. Wavefunctions in late transients and steady states are barely, if at all, distinguishable to the human eye (Fig. 1). In the biological context, ± 1 defects in developing tissues often correspond to the subsequent locations of important structures, such as mouths and limbs [10]. Methods for distinguishing transient and steady-state defect configurations of the CGL equation would thus aid in studies of developmental processes that cannot be observed over the long timescales of vortex coarsening, especially as biological processes can enter short-lived steady states (for

example, during developmental pauses [11]) that may be difficult to distinguish from true transients. In the following, we propose and assess several machine-learning classifiers for detecting steady-state CGL wavefunctions from image data.




FIG. 1. Snapshots of randomly sampled transient $(t=10^3)$ and steady-state CGL wavefunctions. Neither amplitudes nor phases show obvious inter-class differences. Note that vortices in the phase field center on low-amplitude defects (blue).

II. RELATED WORK

III. DATA AND FEATURES

For simplicity and representative dynamics, we simulated Eq. 1 with b=0 and c=1 using previously published code [12, 13]. Initial conditions were Gaussians of mean zero and standard deviation 10^{-4} at each pixel of a 32×32 grid with periodic boundary conditions. Wavefunctions were evolved using ETD2 exponential differencing with timesteps of 0.1 [14]. Wavefunctions were saved at times 10^3 and 10^4 for transient and steady-state snapshots. We simulated 10^4 independent initial conditions. Our data thus consist of 10^4 matched pairs of transients and steady states, with each transient snapshot evolved for $(10^4-10^3)/0.1$ additional timesteps to obtain a matching steady-state snapshot. As CGL wavefunctions are complex-valued, we preprocess all snapshots into arrays of amplitudes and phases over simu-

^{*} cjoshliu@stanford.edu

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ wenj@stanford.edu

¹ Strictly speaking, defects anihilate at nearly vanishing rates. This is analogous to the extremely slow but still liquid-like flow of glass materials.




FIG. 2. Transients and steady states are more readily distinguished in matched pairs. Transients exhibit slightly more low-amplitude defects (blue) and wider high-amplitude vortex-domain boundaries (yellow) than steady states.

lation domains. Amplitudes are normalized to the range [0, 1); phases are expressed in units of cycles and thus intrinsically fall in the range [0, 1). Transients are labeled 0 and steady-states are labeled 1.

For all models we used a random 60:20:20 split of training, development, and test data. Splits were performed by matched pair, such that no pairs were shared between datasets. For example, if the transient initialized with seed 8634 was allocated to the development dataset, then the steady-state initialized with seed 8634 was also allocated to the development dataset. Full training datasets thus consist of 6000 matched pairs. To examine effects of matched-pair training data on model performance, models were first trained using random halves of each matched pair (3000 unmatched transients and 3000 unmatched steady states for 6000 total samples), which we term 'unmatched halves.' Models were then trained on 3000 randomly sampled matched pairs (6000 total samples), which we term 'half matches': only 3000 of 6000 matched pairs were used so that the total number of samples, 6000, would equal that of an unmatched dataset and permit fair comparison. To examine effects of dataset size on model performance, models were additionally trained on all 6000 matched pairs (12000 total samples), which we term 'all matches.' We posited that matched-pair training data would improve model performance, as transients and steady states are more readily distinguishable to the human eye when presented as matched pairs (Fig. 2). To avoid dependence between matched samples, halves of each matched pair were randomly dropped in development and test sets. Development and test sets thus each consist of 1000 unmatched transients and 1000 unmatched steady states.

IV. LOGISTIC REGRESSION

A. Method

As a simple baseline, we classified our data using the LogisticRegression class from the Python package scikit-learn [15]. In brief, this class implements the standard logistic regression hypothesis function

$$h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta^T x^{(i)})}$$
 (2)

with loss function

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{C} \times \text{regularization}(\theta) -$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y^{(i)} \log h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log[1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})] \quad (3)$$

where θ is a vector of learned hyperparameters, i indexes N samples, each x is a vector of features (amplitudes and phases in our case) including a constant intercept, each y is the corresponding label, and C is a hyperparameter that controls the strength of the regularization.

B. Experiments, results, and discussion

We first tested the effects of dataset characteristics by training such models on each of our three training datasets (unmatched halves, half matches, and all matches) using the default lbfgs solver (Limited-memory Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno Algorithm) [16]. Using approximations of the Hessian, lbfgs implements Newton's method to minimize the loss function Eq. 3 for smooth regularizations. We increased the maximum number of iterations from 100 to 3000 to assure convergence; all other hyperparameters were set to defaults (C = 1 with L2 regularization). Evaluated on the development set, models trained on unmatched halves, half matches, and all matches achieved accuracies of 0.67, 0.68, and 0.73, respectively. Though dataset structure and size contribute only modest differences in accuracy, we trained subsequent logistic-regression models on all matches to maximize performance.

To tune the bias-variance tradeoff, we trained further models using L1, L2, and no regularization. Models with L1 regularization were trained using the saga solver [17], which implements stochastic gradient descent with a momentum term. Models with L2 regularization were trained using 1bfgs and models without regularization were trained using Newton's method. We chose these solvers as the default 1bfgs is suitable for L2 but unsuitable for L1; saga is recommended by scikit-learn documentation for L1; and, while neither 1bfgs nor saga appears to converge without regularization, Newton's method converges quickly and guarantees a globally optimal solution in that case. Scanning through inverse regularization coefficients C and evaluating trained models on

the development set, we found that the best-performing model achieved an accuracy of 0.761 using L2 regularization with C=0.02.

Training ten such models and evaluating on the test set (which is balanced and does not contain matched pairs), we observed that all models achieved the same accuracy, 0.7415. While still unacceptably low, this accuracy is likely already greater than human accuracy on non-matched-pair data. The lbfgs solver appears to converge to the global optimum. To interpret model decisions, we map parameters to the locations of their features on the 32×32 CGL simulation domain. Parameters for amplitudes are uniformly negative, while parameters for phases are close to zero (Fig. 3). This makes sense, as transients have more high-amplitude regions than their matched-pair steady states (Fig. 2). Negatively weighting high amplitudes decreases the hypothesis function Eq. 2, pushing the model toward class 0 (transient) predictions. Phases seem to differ little between classes (Fig. 1).




FIG. 3. Logistic regression learns negative weights for amplitudes and nearly zero weights for phases.

V. RANDOM FORESTS

A. Method

To assess whether ensembles of weak estimators might have better performance, we then trained models to classify CGL wavefunctions using the RandomForestClassifier class from the scikit-learn package [15]. This class implements a standard random forest using the CART (Classification and Regression Tree) algorithm [18, 19]. Briefly, a hyperparameter number of decision trees are each trained using a bootstrap sample of the training data. For a given tree at each step, a subset of the number of features (with cardinality equal to the square root of the total number of features) is considered: the split that most decreases the Gini impurity is selected. Trees are expanded up to a maximum depth

that may be up to $\lceil \log_2 N \rceil$, where N is the dataset size. Predictions are assigned by a soft vote of trained trees.

B. Experiments, results, and discussion

As before, we first assessed effects of dataset characteristics by training models on each of our three training datasets. All hyperparameters were set to defaults, with 100 trees per model each grown until all nodes reached zero impurity. Evaluated on the development set, the models trained on unmatched halves, half matches, and all matches achieve accuracies of 0.9525, 0.9485, and 0.9575, respectively. As accuracies are similar across datasets, we train subsequent models on unmatched halves. We note that these accuracies likely considerably exceed those of humans in distinguishing unmatched CGL transients from steady states. Accuracies are already close to 1, suggesting that there is little overfitting. We thus tune the number of trees without using pruning. Scanning through forest sizes, we find that all evaluation metrics plateau around 128 trees (Fig. 4).




FIG. 4. Random-forest metrics plateau at 128 trees. Error bars show 0.95 confidence intervals.

We thus train and interpret a final model with 128 trees, which achieves an accuracy of 0.9565 on the test set. To interpret model decisions, we examine mean decreases in Gini impurity by feature. Like logistic-regression models, random forests heavily weigh amplitudes but not phases. This may be because transients show more extreme amplitudes (more defects and high-amplitude regions) than steady states. We also perform error analyses by examining a random sample of misclassified wavefunctions. Misclassified wavefunctions are visually similar to correctly classified wavefunctions (Fig. 5), suggesting that different models may be required to achieve higher accuracies. We will next use AdaBoost [20], as our preliminary analyses find it achieves superior performance with greater interpretability.




FIG. 5. Samples misclassified by random forests are not visually distinctive.

VI. CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK

A. Method

As data are images that may contain nonlocal data relevant to transient identification, such as adjacent defects prone to anihilation, we next considered using convolutional neural network (CNN) models.

B. Experiments, results, and discussion

VII. FUTURE WORK

- V. L. Ginzburg and L. D. Landau, K teorij sverkhprovodimosti, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 20, 1064 (1950).
- [2] I. S. Aranson and L. Kramer, The world of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 99 (2002).
- [3] Y. Kuramoto, Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence (Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, Baden-Württemberg, Germany, 1984) pp. 111–140.
- [4] A. M. Turing, The chemical basis of morphogenesis, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 237, 37 (1952).
- [5] M. Wigbers, T. Tan, F. Brauns, J. Liu, S. Swartz, E. Frey, and N. Fakhri, A hierarchy of protein patterns robustly decodes cell shape information, Nat. Phys. 17, 578 (2021).
- [6] T. Tan, J. Liu, P. Miller, M. Tekant, J. Dunkel, and N. Fakhri, Topological turbulence in the membrane of a living cell, Nat. Phys. 16, 657 (2020).
- [7] J. Liu, J. Totz, P. Miller, A. Hastewell, Y.-C. Chao, J. Dunkel, and N. Fakhri, Topological braiding and virtual particles on the cell membrane, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2104191118 (2021).
- [8] H. Chaté and P. Manneville, Phase diagram of the twodimensional complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, Physica A 224, 348 (1996).
- [9] G. Huber, P. Alstrøm, and T. Bohr, Nucleation and transients at the onset of vortex turbulence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2380 (1992).
- [10] P. Guillamat, C. Blanch-Mercader, G. Pernollet, K. Kruse, and A. Roux, Integer topological defects organize stresses driving tissue morphogenesis, Nat. Mater. 21, 588 (2022).
- [11] M. Van Glist, A time to grow and a time to pause, Science 367, 851 (2020).
- [12] D. Winterbottom, The complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, https://github.com/

- codeinthehole/codeinthehole.com/blob/58ad3d28ddefb64350ec883b291d4dbe1df096f7/www/static/tutorial/files/CGLsim2D.m (2005).
- [13] J. Li, C. W. J. Liu, M. Szurek, and N. Fakhri, Measuring irreversibility from learned representations of biological patterns, PRX Life 2, 033013 (2024).
- [14] S. Cox and P. Matthews, Exponential time differencing for stiff systems, J. Comput. Phys. 176, 430 (2002).
- [15] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay, Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 12, 2825 (2011).
- [16] D. C. Liu and J. Nocedal, On the limited memory bfgs method for large scale optimization, Math. Program. 45, 503 (1989).
- [17] A. Defazio, F. Bach, and S. Lacoste-Julien, SAGA: a fast incremental gradient method with support for nonstrongly convex composite objectives, in *Proceedings of* the 27th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS'14, Vol. 1 (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2014) p. 1646–1654.
- [18] L. Breiman, Bagging predictors, Machine Learning 24, 123 (1996).
- [19] L. Breiman, J. Friedman, R. A. Olshen, and C. J. Stone, Classification and Regression Trees (Taylor & Francis, New York, NY, USA, 1984).
- [20] Y. Freund and R. E. Schapire, A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting, J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 55, 119 (1997).