

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE  
13<sup>th</sup> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR  
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA

DEBORAH BEISER,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: 22-CA-002738

-v-

RYAN STACK and  
COAST DENTAL SERVICES, LLC,  
a Florida Limited Liability Company,

Defendants.

**DEFENDANT, COAST DENTAL SERVICES, LLC's REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS**

Defendants, Coast Dental Services, LLC, by and through the undersigned attorney, pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.370, request the Plaintiff, Deborah Beiser, to admit in this action, that each of the following statements are true:

1. Plaintiff received benefits from a collateral source, as defined by Florida Statute 627.736 or Florida Statute 768.76, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

2. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits from a collateral source, as defined by Florida Statute 627.736 or Florida Statute 768.76, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

3. Plaintiff received benefits from a collateral source, as defined by Florida Statute 627.736 or Florida Statute 768.76, for loss of wages or income, alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

4. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits from a collateral source, as defined by Florida Statute 627.736 or Florida Statute 768.76, for loss of wages or income, alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

5. Plaintiff received benefits under the Personal Injury Protection portion of an automobile policy for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

6. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits under the Personal Injury Protection portion of an automobile policy for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

7. Plaintiff received benefits under the Personal Injury Protection portion of the automobile insurance policy for loss of wages or income alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

8. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits under the Personal Injury Protection portion of the automobile insurance policy for loss of wages or income alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

9. Plaintiff received benefits under the medical payments provisions of an automobile insurance policy for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

10. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits under the medical payments provisions of an automobile insurance policy for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

11. Plaintiff is subject to a deductible under the Personal Injury Protection portion of an automobile insurance policy.

12. Plaintiff received benefits pursuant to a personal or group health insurance policy or policies, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

13. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits pursuant to a personal or group health insurance policy or policies, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

14. Plaintiff received benefits pursuant to a personal or group wage continuation plan or policy, for loss of wages or income alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

15. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits pursuant to a personal or group wage continuation plan or policy, for loss of wages or income alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

16. Plaintiff, at the time and place of the incident described in the Complaint, had available a functional and operational seat belt/shoulder harness restraint system,

17. Plaintiff, at the time and place of the incident described in the Complaint was not using the available functional and operational seat belt/shoulder harness restraint system.

18. Plaintiff s use of the available functional and operational seat belt/shoulder harness restraint system, at the time and place of the incident described in the Complaint, would have prevented or lessened the injury and damage alleged by the Plaintiff.

19. This action is subject to the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, Florida Statute Sections 627.730 - 627.7405.

20. At the time and place of the incident described in the Complaint, Plaintiff complied with the provisions and security requirements set forth in Florida Statute 627.733.

21. Plaintiff is an insured person under the Personal Injury Protection portion of an automobile insurance policy which was in force on the date of the incident described in the Complaint which provides payment of benefits of eighty (80%) percent of all reasonable and necessary medical expenses incurred and sixty (60%) percent of loss of income or earning capacity from inability to work as a result of the injury sustained from the incident described in the Complaint to a maximum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00).

22. As a result of the collision which is the subjective of this litigation you were not permanently injured.

23. One or more of your medical providers has a letter of protection in their favor, for your care and/or treatment, as a result of the incident which is the subject matter of the above captioned matter.

24. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this lawsuit, Plaintiff has suffered from the same injuries and/or conditions for which he is claiming in this matter.

25. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this lawsuit, Plaintiff was assigned a permanent impairment rating by a physician.

26. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this lawsuit, Plaintiff has been involved in a motor vehicle accident in which he injured the same parts of his body for which he is claiming injury in this matter.

27. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this lawsuit, Plaintiff has made a claim and/or filed a lawsuit for the same injuries and/or conditions for which he is claiming in this matter.

28. After the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this lawsuit, Plaintiff has been involved in a motor vehicle accident in which he injured the same parts of his body for which he is claiming injury in this matter.

29. After the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this lawsuit, Plaintiff has made a claim and/or filed a lawsuit for the same injuries and/or conditions for which he is claiming in this matter.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was E-Filed with the Court in accordance with Fla.R.Jud.Admin.Rule 2.516(b)(1)(A) on July 11, 2022 to:

Crosby Crane, Esquire  
Morgan & Morgan, P.A.  
201 N Franklin St Ste 700  
Tampa, FL 33602-5138  
CCrane@ForThePeople.com  
accpleadings@forthepeople.com  
amurray@forthepeople.com  
Attorney for Plaintiff, Deborah Beiser

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN E. KORF  
U.S. MAIL: P.O. Box 94743, Chicago, IL 60690-4743  
1200 South Pine Island Road, Suite 750  
Plantation, FL 33324  
954-424-4660 (Main Office Number)  
407-848-8063 (Direct Line)  
866-390-9484 (Fax)  
Direct: leslie.moore@cna.com  
Main: CNAFlorida@cna.com  
Attorney for Coast Dental Services, LLC and Ryan Stack

BY



---

Leslie A. Moore  
Florida Bar No. 0581283