IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SEMINOLE COUNTY, FLORIDA

THOM?	$\Lambda S'$	ΓU	PP	${ m ER}.$

Plaintiff,

v. CASE NO.: 2022-CA-887

MICHAEL SANFORD and BLAKE
NESBETH,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT'S, FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO PLAINTIFF

Defendant, BLAKE NESBETH, by and through the undersigned counsel, requests Plaintiff, **THOMAS TUPPER**, pursuant to the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, to make the following admissions for the purpose of this action only, and subject to all pertinent objections to admissibility which may be interposed at trial:

ADMIT OR DENY EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Plaintiff received benefits from a collateral source, as defined by Florida Statute 627.736 or Florida Statute 768.76, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.
- 2. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits from a collateral source, as defined by Florida Statute 627.736 of Florida Statute 768.76, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.
- 3. Plaintiff received benefits from a collateral source, as defined by Florida Statute 627.736 or Florida Statute 768.76, for loss of wages or income, alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits from a collateral source, as

defined by Florida Statute 627.736 or Florida Statute 768.76, for loss of wages or

income, alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the

Complaint.

4.

5. Plaintiff received benefits under the Personal Injury Protection portion

of an automobile policy for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result of

the incident described in the Complaint.

6. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits under the Personal Injury

Protection portion of an automobile policy for medical bills alleged to have been

incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

7. Plaintiff received benefits under the Personal Injury Protection portion

of the automobile insurance policy for loss of wages or income alleged to have been

sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

8. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits under the Personal Injury

Protection portion of the automobile insurance policy for loss of wages or income

alleged to have been sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

9. Plaintiff received benefits under the medical payments provisions of an

automobile insurance policy for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result

of the incident described in the Complaint.

10. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits under the medical payments

provisions of an automobile insurance policy for medical bills alleged to have been

incurred as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

2 HAMILTON, MILLER & BIRTHISEL LLP

11. Plaintiff is subject to a deductible under the Personal Injury Protection

portion of an automobile insurance policy.

12. Plaintiff received benefits pursuant to a personal or group health

insurance policy or policies, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as a result

of the incident described in the Complaint.

13. Plaintiff is entitled to receive benefits pursuant to a personal or group

health insurance policy or policies, for medical bills alleged to have been incurred as

a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

14. Plaintiff received benefits pursuant to a personal or group wage

continuation plan or policy, for loss of wages or income alleged to have been sustained

as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

15. Plaintiff is entitled received benefits pursuant to a personal or group

wage continuation plan or policy, for loss of wages or income alleged to have been

sustained as a result of the incident described in the Complaint.

16. Plaintiff, at the time and place of the incident described in the

Complaint, had available a functional and operational seat belt/should harness

restraint system.

17. Plaintiff, at the time and place of the incident described in the

Complaint, was not using the available functional and operational seat belt/should

harness restraint system.

18. Plaintiff's use of the available functional and operational seat

belt/shoulder harness restraint system, at the time and place of the incident described

in the Complaint, would have prevented or lessened the injury and damage alleged

by the Plaintiff.

19. The action is subject to the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, Florida

Statute Sections 627.730 – 627.7405.

20. At the time and place of the incident described in the Complaint.

Plaintiff complied with the provisions and security requirements set forth in Florida

Statute 627.733.

21. Plaintiff is an insured person under the Personal Injury Protection

portion of an automobile insurance policy which was in force on the date of the

incident described in the Complaint which provides payment of benefits for all

reasonable and necessary medical expenses incurred and a percentage of loss of

income or earing capacity from inability to work as a result of the injury sustained

from the incident described in the Complaint.

22. As a result of the collision which is the subject of this litigation, you were

not permanently injured.

23. One or more of your medical providers has a letter of protection in their

favor, for your care and/or treatment, as a result of the incident which is the subject

matter of the above captioned matter.

24. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of

this lawsuit, Plaintiff has suffered from the same injuries and/or conditions for which

he is claiming in this matter.

4
HAMILTON, MILLER & BIRTHISEL LLP

25. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of

this lawsuit, Plaintiff was assigned a permanent impairment rating by a physician.

26. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of

this lawsuit. Plaintiff has been involved in a motor vehicle accident in which he

injured the same parts of his body for which he is claiming injury in this matter.

27. Prior to the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of

this lawsuit, Plaintiff has made a claim and/or filed a lawsuit for the same injuries

and/or conditions for which he is claiming in this matter.

28. After the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this

lawsuit, Plaintiff has been involved in a motor vehicle accident in which he injured

the same parts of his body for which he is claiming injury in this matter.

29. After the date of the motor vehicle accident, which is the subject of this

lawsuit. Plaintiff has made a claim and/or filed a lawsuit for the same injuries and/or

conditions for which he is claiming in this matter.

30. Admit that, pursuant to the Certificate of Title issued on September 10,

2020 (pages 70, 72, 87, and 89 of Defendant's production), Jennifer Sanford is the

owner of the red, 2013 Toyota Camry involved in the subject incident.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on December 7, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the court using the E-Filing Portal. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List by electronic mail.

Isl Chivonne A.S. Thomas
Chivonne A.S. Thomas, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 093098
cthomas@hamiltonmillerlaw.com
Jennifer Miller Brooks, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 124656
jmiller@hamiltonmillerlaw.com
HAMILTON, MILLER & BIRTHISEL, LLP
Attorneys for the Defendant
150 S.E. Second Avenue Suite 1200
Miami, FL, 33131

Telephone: (305) 379-3686 Facsimile: (305) 379-3690

SERVICE LIST

Ryan Christopher Rodems, Esq. Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 20 North Orange Avenue Suite 1600 Orlando, FL 32801

Email: rrodems@forthepeople.com

Attornevs for Plaintiff