Prediction Based on Betting Markets

Earlier in the chapter, we studied the prediction of election outcomes using polls. Here, we study the prediction of election outcomes based on betting markets. In particular, we analyze data for the 2008 and 2012 US presidential elections from the online betting company, called Intrade. At Intrade, people trade contracts such as ‘Obama to win the electoral votes of Florida.’ Each contract’s market price fluctuates based on its sales. Why might we expect betting markets like Intrade to accurately predict the outcomes of elections or of other events? Some argue that the market can aggregate available information efficiently. In this exercise, we will test this *efficient market hypothesis* by analyzing the market prices of contracts for Democratic and Republican nominees’ victories in each state.

The data files for 2008 and 2012 are available in CSV format as intrade08.csv and intrade12.csv, respectively. The variables in these datasets are:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name | Description |
| day | Date of the session |
| statename | Full name of each state (including District of Columbia in 2008) |
| state | Abbreviation of each state (including District of Columbia in 2008) |
| PriceD | Closing price (predicted vote share) of Democratic Nominee’s market |
| PriceR | Closing price (predicted vote share) of Republican Nominee’s market |
| VolumeD | Total session trades of Democratic Party Nominee’s market |
| VolumeR m | Total session trades of Republican Party Nominee’s arket |

Each row represents daily trading information about the contracts for either the Democratic or Republican Party nominee’s victory in a particular state.

We will also use the election outcome data. These data files are pres08.csv and pres12.csv with variables:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name | Description |
| state.name | Full name of state (only in pres2008) |
| state | Two letter state abbreviation |
| Obama | Vote percentage for Obama |
| McCain | Vote percentage for McCain |
| EV | Number of electoral college votes for this state |

We’ll also use poll data from 2008 and 2012 in the files polls08.csv and polls12.csv, The variables in the polling data are:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name | Description |
| state | Abbreviated name of state in which poll was conducted |
| Obama | Predicted support for Obama (percentage) |
| Romney | Predicted support for Romney (percentage) |
| Pollster | Name of organization conducting poll |
| middate | Middle of the period when poll was conducted |

## Question 1

We will begin by using the market prices on the day before the election to predict the 2008 election outcome. To do this, subset the data such that it contains the market information for each state and candidate only on the day before the election. Note that in 2008 the election day was November 4. We compare the closing prices for the two candidates in a given state and classify a candidate whose contract has a higher price as the predicted winner of that state. Which states were misclassified? How does this compare to the classification by polls presented earlier in this chapter? Repeat the same analysis for the 2012 election, which was held on November 6. How well did the prediction market do in 2012 compared to 2008? Note that in 2012 some less competitive states have missing data on the day before the election because there were no trades on the Republican and Democratic betting markets. Assume Intrade predictions would have been accurate for these states.

## Answer 1

pres08 <- read.csv("pres08.csv")  
intrade08 <- read.csv("intrade08.csv")  
elections2008 <- merge(pres08, intrade08, by = "state")  
summary(elections2008)

## state state.name Obama McCain   
## Length:36891 Length:36891 Min. :33.00 Min. : 7.00   
## Class :character Class :character 1st Qu.:43.00 1st Qu.:40.00   
## Mode :character Mode :character Median :51.00 Median :47.00   
## Mean :51.37 Mean :47.06   
## 3rd Qu.:58.00 3rd Qu.:56.00   
## Max. :92.00 Max. :66.00   
## EV day statename PriceD   
## Min. : 3.00 Length:36891 Length:36891 Min. : 0.00   
## 1st Qu.: 4.00 Class :character Class :character 1st Qu.:12.50   
## Median : 8.00 Mode :character Mode :character Median :43.00   
## Mean :10.55 Mean :46.78   
## 3rd Qu.:12.00 3rd Qu.:82.50   
## Max. :55.00 Max. :99.90   
## VolumeD PriceR VolumeR   
## Min. : 0.0 Min. : 0.00 Min. : 0.000   
## 1st Qu.: 0.0 1st Qu.:15.20 1st Qu.: 0.000   
## Median : 0.0 Median :51.00 Median : 0.000   
## Mean : 6.4 Mean :51.27 Mean : 5.583   
## 3rd Qu.: 0.0 3rd Qu.:85.00 3rd Qu.: 0.000   
## Max. :17353.0 Max. :99.50 Max. :14885.000

class(elections2008$day)

## [1] "character"

DaystoElection <- as.Date("2008-11-04")

## Question 2

How do the predictions based on the betting markets change over time? Implement the same classification procedure as above on each of the last 90 days of the 2008 campaign rather than just the day before the election. Plot the predicted number of electoral votes for the Democratic party nominee over this 90-day period. The resulting plot should also indicate the actual election result. Note that in 2008, Obama won 365 electoral votes. Briefly comment on the plot.

## Answer 2

## Question 3

Repeat the previous exercise but this time use the seven-day *moving-average* price, instead of the daily price, for each candidate within a state. This can be done with a loop. For a given day, we take the average of the Session Close prices within the past seven days (including that day). To answer this question, we must first compute the seven-day average within each state. Next, we sum the electoral votes for the states Obama is predicted to win. Using the tapply function will allow us to efficiently compute the predicted winner for each state on a given day.

## Answer 3

## Question 4

Create a similar plot for 2008 state-wide poll predictions using the data file polls08.csv. Notice that polls are not conducted daily within each state. Therefore, within a given state for each of the last 90 days of the campaign, we compute the average margin of victory from the most recent poll(s) conducted. If multiple polls occurred on the same day, average these polls. Based on the most recent predictions in each state, sum Obama’s total number of predicted electoral votes. One strategy to answer this question is to program two loops - an inner loop with 51 iterations for each state and an outer loop with 90 iterations for each day.

## Answer 4