## Response to Referee

## July 31, 2018

We would like to thank the reviewer for their remarks. We have addressed each of their points as follows:

## Main Points:

1.

## **Minor Points:**

- 1. We agree that this notation was awkward. We have changed all of the function definitions to the more standard notation.
- 2. We changed 'its' to 'their' to have consistent pronoun usage.
- 3. We added 'game'.
- 4. We have used the pronoun 'their' throughout the text, so we will continue to use it here.
- 5. The missing parenthesis have been added.
- 6. We agree. Now page 6 line 10 reads 'According to the Pontryagin stochastic maximum principle, a sufficient condition for optimality is...'
- 7. Yes, this was a typo. On page 6 line 13, we have replaced x by  $X_t$ . At this point, the fixed point is not addressed, and the control is the best response when the mean field is fixed. So we should not yet replace  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}$ .
- 8. On page 6 line -6, we have added: 'Note  $c^{MFG}(t)=a^{MFG}(t)+b^{MFG}(t)$ .' We also added 'Note  $c^{MKV}(t)=a^{MKV}(t)+b^{MKV}(t)$ .' on page 8 line -12.
- 9. The extra parenthesis has been removed.
- 10. We have added equation (6) and refer to it in the proof of Corollary 2, page 13 line 2. And yes, it should be  $\bar{x}_t^{MFG}$ .
- 11. We changed 'tends' to 'tend'.

- 12. In the proof of Proposition 3, page 15 line -6, we replaced the limit of  $v_t^r$  with our new notation  $v_t^{r\to\infty}$ .
- 13. Yes, this was a typo. These values were indeed after taking the limit. The limits in a slightly different presentation now appear in the proof of Proposition 5 Case 2, page 19 line 12.

In addition to the suggested revisions, we have also made the following minor modifications:

1.

Finally, we would like to acknowledge that the length of our paper has increased from 26 pages at submission to 33 pages for the final version. The issues in our proofs raised by the reviewer required us to write many more details, and in some cases, new approaches altogether. We feel that the increase in length was necessary to address all of the issues pointed out by the reviewer. (ToDo: Is this comment necessary?)