## Ten simple rules for selecting an R package

Caroline J. Wendt  $^{1}$  ,  $^{2}$  , G. Brooke Anderson  $^{3}$  \*

- 1 Department of Statistics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, United States of America
- 2 Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, United States of America
- **3** Department of Environmental & Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, United States of America

## Abstract

Write the abstract here.

## Author summary

Write the author summary here.

Text based on plos sample manuscript, see

http://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/s/latex

Introduction

Explain what R is and how its package ecosystem works. Points:

- Open source project, where many people contribute with their own extensions
- Large variation in the quality of different extensions (packages)
- That some R users, particularly new ones, struggle with finding and picking which packages to use.

11

Ideas of 10 things Finding packages:

- CRAN task views
- Textbooks ("[x] with R"). May not be latest...
- Google searches, social media (#rstats)
- Conferences (and online streams of those). RStudio, UseR.

Picking a good package:

• On a public repository like CRAN or Bioconductor. Explain more about these repositories and what their standards are. Explain their role in the community. Give the alternative ways that R packages can be shared (GitHub, zipped file posted somewhere else). How these regularly check code and help with managing the web of dependencies.

June 12, 2020 1/4

st Corresponding author: Brooke.Anderson@colostate.edu

- Quality of the documentation. Types of documentation (help files, vignette, packagedown website, bookdown book).
- Coverage by tests. Explain about unit testing and how it can help control quality.
- Peer review. ROpenSci. Associated with a peer reviewed paper. Associated with a book put out by a scientific publisher?
- Looking up package authors. Is there role in R development (RStudio, some big bio labs)? Is the work part of their work from an academic lab? Do they have a history of a lot of R development? GitHub profile. Google scholar profile. Also, is it a team of developers? Robust team?
- Evidence of established package. Lots of version. Clear NEWS providing explanations of changes. History of Issues and those being resolved.
- Exploring the code yourself. How open source framework provides this. GitHub mirror of CRAN if you don't want to download the zipped package file yourself.

Here are two sample references: [1,2].

Introduction

R is a language and environment for statistical computing and graphics that was developed by statisticians and is collaboratively maintained by an international core group of contributors. Unlike many popular proprietary languages (e.g., MATLAB, SAS, SPSS), R is highly extensible, free and open-source software; the user can access and thus change, extend, and share code for desired applications. Accordingly, a vibrant community of R users has emerged, many of which engage in the development of extensions to the functionality of base R software known as packages. A prominent contributor in the R community, Hadley Wickham, views functional programming as analogous to following a recipe; to conceptualize packages, imagine R is the kitchen and packages are the special gadgets which allow you to cook and bake new recipes. R packages are coding delectables that enable the user to perform practical tasks (e.g., wrangling and cleaning data frames, designing interactive apps for visualizing data, performing dimensionality reduction) and solve problems (e.g., training regression and classification models, assessing the beta diversity of a population, analyzing gene expression microarray data) with interesting techniques.

As a natural consequence of the open-source nature of R, there is variation in the quality of different packages among the numerous choices that exist. The advanced R user—having developed an intuition for their workflow—may tend to be relatively confident when searching for and selecting packages. By contrast, a common experience that characterizes learning R at the outset is the struggle to 1) find a package to accomplish a particular task and 2) choose the best package to perform that task. Even so, there remain obscure and complicated problems that morph selecting an R package into a barrier despite experience.

52

53

61

63

In coding as in life, we endeavor to make choices that optimize outcomes. Just as one may go about shopping for shoes, deciding which graduate program to pursue, or conducting a literature review, there is a science behind selection. We inform our decisions by assessing, comparing, and filtering options based on indicators of quality such as utility, association, and reputation. Likewise, choosing an R package requires attending to similar details. We outline ten simple rules for finding and selecting R packages so that you will spend less time searching for the right tools and more time coding delicious recipes.

June 12, 2020 2/4

List of 10 rules	6
(currently in no particular order and not precisely worded)	6
1. Consider your purpose	7
<ul> <li>What do you want to use the package to accomplish?</li> <li>features</li> <li>functions</li> <li>organization</li> <li>package description</li> <li>compare similar options</li> </ul>	7 7 7 7
2. Spend time searching; find and collect options	7
<ul> <li>internet searches (keyword "in R")</li> <li>textbooks ("[x] with R" series)</li> <li>tutorials</li> <li>courses</li> <li>social media (#rstats)</li> <li>conferences (e.g., RStudio, useR!)</li> <li>consult collaborators</li> <li>CRAN task views</li> </ul>	7 7 8 8 8 8 8
3. Check the repository association	8
<ul> <li>purpose: mechanisms of quality control that regularly check code and mana webs of dependencies</li> <li>CRAN</li> <li>Bioconductor</li> <li>GitHub</li> <li>collaborators</li> <li>zipped file</li> <li>alternative ways R packages can be shared</li> </ul>	ge 8
4. View the documentation	Ğ
<ul> <li>help files</li> <li>help()</li> <li>vignettes</li> <li>DOCUMENTATION file</li> <li>"cheatsheets" from RSudio</li> <li>RDocumentation (key word search, task views)</li> <li>websites (e.g., packagedown)</li> <li>bookdown books</li> <li>compare documentation completeness and resource quality</li> </ul>	9 9 9 10 10 10 10
5. Verify the credibility of the author(s)	10
<ul> <li>team or single author (robust team?)</li> <li>associations (e.g., academia, industry, labs)</li> <li>expertise</li> <li>reputation</li> <li>experience (e.g., portfolio of packages, history of R development)</li> <li>role in R development (e.g., RStudio, regarded bio labs)</li> </ul>	10 10 10 11

June 12, 2020 3/4

• profiles (e.g., GitHub, Google Scholar, Research Gate, Twitter)	112
6. Investigate the package development	113
• best practices	114
• unit testing (manage quality control)	115
• dependencies	116
• coverage by tests	117
• number of versions	118
• clarity of NEWS (explain updates and changes)	119
• GitHub Issues (history, resolution)	120
7. Read, research literature, seek evidence of peer review	121
<ul> <li>publications</li> </ul>	122
• package itself	123
• papers about the package	124
• ROpenSci	125
• associations with books or publications from scientific publishers	126
8. Quantify how established the package is	127
<ul> <li>dependencies</li> </ul>	128
• versions	129
• updates	130
• number of downloads	131
• popularity	132
• leaderboard	133
• ranking systems	134
9. Put the package to the test	135
• explore code	136
• interact with trial and error	137
• get a feel for using it in context of your goal	138
• open-source framework	139
• GitHub mirror of CRAN as an alternative to downloading zipped package file	140
10. Develop your own package	141
• necessity	142
• innovative idea	143
<ul> <li>novel approach or method</li> </ul>	144
• unique and specialized purpose	145
References	146
	146
1. Feynman RP, Vernon Jr. FL. The theory of a general quantum system interacting	147
with a linear dissipative system. Annals of Physics. 1963;24: 118–173.	148
doi:10.1016/0003-4916(63)90068-X	149
2. Dirac PAM. The lorentz transformation and absolute time. Physica. 1953;19:	150
888-896. doi:10.1016/S0031-8914(53)80099-6	151

June 12, 2020 4/4