

part 1 d b
~~part 2~~
~~part 3~~
 part 2 >> 1
 >> 2

part 3

Because with bytecode, we can maintain a process pointer, which allows us to exit a function call without losing the state of main call stack.

part 4
 The third resume will cause an error. The interpreter need to track the number of yields or return in the coroutine.

part 5
 a yield after resume ~~will~~ will cause an error. ~~If the number of resume reaches the number of yield in the coroutine, we need to implement the~~
 coroutine as an object, so that we will know a yield is inside a coroutine or not

- part 6.
- For each coroutine, we need to store
 - ① A coroutine state (running, suspended, dead)
 - ② A call stack
 - ③ For each frame in the call stack, we need:
 - 1) the program counter
 - 2) the bytecode into which the PC indexes
 - 3) the environment.

- part 7. $\text{coroutine1} = \text{coroutine}(\text{sourceCoFun})$
 $\text{coroutine2} = \text{coroutine}(\text{processCoFun})$
- ① print "create source coroutine"
 coroutine1 suspend
 coroutine2 (not define)
 frames: 1) the frame for call to sourceCoFun.
 PC before "yield(1)"
 - ② print "Running Process Coroutine"
 coroutine1 suspended
 coroutine2. Running
 frames: 1) the frame for sourceCoFun
 PC After "yield(1)"
 2) the frame for call to processCoFun.
 PC After yield("First" + X1).
 - ③ print "Running source coroutine"
 coroutine1 Running
 coroutine2. suspended
 frames: 1) the frame for call to sourceCoFun.
 PC After print "Running source coroutine".
 2) the frame for call to processCoFun.
 - ④ print "Finish process coroutine"
 coroutine1 dead
 coroutine2 Running
 frames: 1) the frame for call to ~~processCoFun~~ processCoFun
 PC After yield("First" + X1).
 PC After print "Finish process coroutine"
 - ⑤ print "End"
 coroutine1 dead
 coroutine2 dead.
 frames: None