

Practical: Sample Assignment

Names

Emails

This is the template you should use for submitting your practical assignment. Your write-up must answer all questions under the approaches, results, and discussion subsections for Parts A and B. Recall that Part C is optional.

If you used existing packages or referred to papers or blogs for ideas, you should cite these in your report. You must have *at least one plot or table* for each of the results sections in Parts A and B that details the performances of different methods tried, such as one like table 1. Credit will be given for quantitatively reporting (with clearly labeled and captioned figures and/or tables) on the performance of the methods you tried compared to your baselines.

Note that the limit for the report is 4 pages, please prioritize quality over quantity in your submission.

1 Part A: Feature Engineering, Baseline Models

1.1 Approach

What did you do? When relevant, provide mathematical descriptions or pseudocode. Credit will be given for:

- Representation: How do the two representations differ? What are potential drawbacks or advantages of each?
- Logistic regression: Describe how the model you trained predicts output probabilities for each class.

Model	Overall Acc (%)	Class 0 Acc	...
BASELINE 1	0.45	0.60	...
BASELINE 2	2.59	5.00	...
MODEL 1	10.59	17.54	...
MODEL 2	13.42	16.77	...
MODEL 3	7.49	9.82	...

Table 1: Result tables can compactly illustrate absolute performance, but a plot may be more effective at illustrating a trend.

1.2 Results

This section should report on the following questions:

- What is the **overall** and **per-class** classification accuracy of the models that you implemented?

1.3 Discussion

This section should report on the following questions:

- Why do you hypothesize one feature representation performed better than the other?
- Do you have an intuition for why these baselines might be performing as well/poorly as they are?

2 Part B: More Modeling

2.1 First Step

2.1.1 Approach

What did you do? Credit will be given for:

- Provide mathematical descriptions or pseudocode to help us understand how the models you tried make predictions and are trained.

2.1.2 Results

This section should report on the following questions:

- What is the overall and per-class classification accuracy of the models that you implemented?

2.1.3 Discussion

Compare your results to the logistic regression models in Part A and discuss what your results imply about the task.

2.2 Hyperparameter Tuning and Validation

2.2.1 Approach

What did you do? Credit will be given for:

- Making tuning and configuration decisions using thoughtful experimentation. How did you perform your hyperparameter search, and what hyperparameters did you search over?

2.2.2 Results

Present your results of your hyperparameter search in a way that best reflects how to communicate your conclusions.

2.2.3 Discussion

Why do you expect the tuned models to perform better than the baseline models and the model used in First Step? Discuss your validation strategy and your conclusions.

3 Final Write-up and Reflections

3.1 Discussion:

Include your paragraph reflections on the key components listed in the instructions.

4 Optional Exploration, Part C: Explore some more!

4.1 Approach

What did you do? Dive deeply into all of the model classes and/or pre-processing algorithms that you tried (rather than just trying off-the-shelf tools with default settings). When relevant, provide mathematical descriptions or pseudocode to help us understand how the models you tried make predictions and are trained.

4.2 Results

Describe your results in a way that is appropriate for the experiments that you ran.

4.3 Discussion

Explain your reasoning for why you sequentially chose to try the approaches you did (i.e. what was it about your initial approach that made you try the next change?). Explain the results. Did the adaptations you tried improve the results? Why or why not? Did you do additional tests to determine if your reasoning was correct?