

The Interchange Law: A Principle of Concurrent Programming

Mechanisation in Isabelle/HOL

Tony Hoare

Bernard Möller

Georg Struth

Frank Zeyda

July 23, 2017

Abstract

Contents

1	Preliminaries	3
1.1	Type Synonyms	3
1.2	Lattice Syntax	3
1.3	Monad Syntax	3
1.4	Type Class for Equivalence	3
2	The Option Monad	5
2.1	Syntax and Definitions	5
2.2	Instantiations	5
2.3	Proof Support	5
3	Strict Operators	7
3.1	Equality	7
3.2	Relational Operators	7
3.3	Generic Lifting	8
3.4	Lifted Operators	8
3.5	ICL Interpretations	9
3.6	ICL Lifting Lemmas	9
4	Machine Numbers	11
4.1	Type Class	11
4.2	Type Definition	11
4.3	Proof Support	11
4.4	Instantiations	12
4.4.1	Linear Order	12
4.4.2	Arithmetic Operators	12

5	The Overflow Monad	14
5.1	Type Definition	14
5.2	Proof Support	14
5.3	Ordering Relation	15
5.4	Monadic Constructors	15
5.5	Generic Lifting	16
5.6	Lifted Operators	17
5.7	Instantiation Example	17
5.8	Proof Experiments	17
6	Partiality	18
6.1	Type Definition	18
6.2	Proof Support	18
6.3	Monadic Constructors	18
6.4	Generic Lifting	19
6.5	Lifted Operators	19
6.6	Ordering Relation	20
6.7	Class Instantiations	20
6.7.1	Preorder	20
6.7.2	Partial Order	20
6.7.3	Linear Order	21
6.7.4	Lattice	21
6.7.5	Complete Lattice	22
6.8	ICL Lifting Lemmas	23
7	The Interchange Law	24
7.1	Locale Definitions	24
7.1.1	Locale: <code>preorder</code>	24
7.1.2	Locale: <code>iclaw</code>	25
7.2	Interpretations	25
7.3	Proof Support	25
8	Example Applications	26
8.1	Arithmetic: addition (+) and subtraction (-) of numbers.	26
8.2	Arithmetic: multiplication (x) and division (/) of numbers.	27
8.3	Natural numbers: multiplication (x) and truncated division (-:-).	28
8.4	Propositional calculus: conjunction (\wedge) and implication (\Rightarrow).	28
8.5	Boolean Algebra: conjunction (\wedge) and disjunction (\vee).	29
8.6	Self-interchanging operators: +, *, \vee , \wedge	29
8.7	Computer arithmetic: Overflow (\top).	31
8.8	Note: Partial operators.	33
8.9	Sets: union (\cup) and disjoint union (+) of sets, ordered by inclusion \subseteq	33
8.10	Strings of characters: catenation (;) interleaving and empty string (<code>e</code>).	33
8.11	Note: Small interchange laws.	35
8.12	Note: an example derivation	35

1 Preliminaries

```
theory Preliminaries
imports Main Real Eisbach
  "~/src/Tools/Adhoc_Overloading"
  "~/src/HOL/Library/Monad_Syntax"
begin
```

1.1 Type Synonyms

Type synonym for homogeneous relational operators on a type 'a.

```
type_synonym 'a relop = "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool"
```

Type synonym for homogeneous unary operators on a type 'a.

```
type_synonym 'a unop = "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a"
```

Type synonym for homogeneous binary operators on a type 'a.

```
type_synonym 'a binop = "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a"
```

1.2 Lattice Syntax

We use the constants below for ad hoc overloading to avoid ambiguities.

```
consts global_bot :: "'a" ("⊥")
consts global_top :: "'a" ("⊤")
```

Declaration of global notations for lattice operators.

```
notation
  inf (infixl "⊓" 70) and
  sup (infixl "⊔" 65)
```

```
notation
  Inf ("⊓") and
  Sup ("⊔")
```

1.3 Monad Syntax

We use the constant below for ad hoc overloading to avoid ambiguities.

```
consts return :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b" ("return")
```

1.4 Type Class for Equivalence

```
class equiv = ord +
  fixes equiv :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix " $\cong$ " 50)
  assumes equiv_mutual_ord : "x  $\cong$  y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y  $\wedge$  y  $\leq$  x"
```

```
lemma equiv_refl:
fixes x :: "'a::{equiv, preorder}"
shows "x  $\cong$  x"
apply (unfold equiv_mutual_ord)
apply (clarsimp)
done
```

```
lemma equiv_sym:
```

```

"x  $\cong$  y  $\implies$  y  $\cong$  x"
apply (unfold equiv_mutual_ord)
apply (auto)
done

lemma equiv_trans:
fixes x :: "'a::{equiv, preorder}"
fixes y :: "'a::{equiv, preorder}"
fixes z :: "'a::{equiv, preorder}"
shows "x  $\cong$  y  $\implies$  y  $\cong$  z  $\implies$  x  $\cong$  z"
apply (unfold equiv_mutual_ord)
using order_trans by (auto)
end

```

2 The Option Monad

```
theory Option_Monad
imports Preliminaries
  "~/src/HOL/Library/Option_ord"
begin
```

Whilst Isabelle/HOL already provides an encoding of the option type and monad, we include a few supplementary definitions and tactics here that are useful for readability and automatic proof later on.

2.1 Syntax and Definitions

The notation \perp is introduced for the constructor `None`.

```
adhoc_overloading global_bot None
```

We moreover define a `return` function for the option monad.

```
definition option_return :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a option" where
[simp]: "option_return x = Some x"
```

```
adhoc_overloading return option_return
```

Note that `op $\gg=$` is already defined for type `option`.

2.2 Instantiations

More instantiations can be added here as we desire.

```
instantiation option :: (zero) zero
begin
definition zero_option :: "'a option" where
[simp]: "zero_option = Some 0"
instance ..
end
```

```
instantiation option :: (one) one
begin
definition one_option :: "'a option" where
[simp]: "one_option = Some 1"
instance ..
end
```

2.3 Proof Support

Attribute used to collect definitional laws for operators.

```
named_theorems option_ops "definitional laws for operators on option values"
```

Tactic that facilitates proofs about option values.

```
lemmas split_option =
  split_option_all
  split_option_ex
```

```
method option_tac = (
```

```
(atomize (full))?,  
(simp add: split_option option_ops),  
(clarsimp; simp?)?)  
end
```

3 Strict Operators

```
theory Strict_Operators
imports Preliminaries Option_Monad ICL
begin
```

All strict operators (on option types) carry a subscript $_?$.

3.1 Equality

We define a strong notion of equality between undefined values.

```
fun equals_option :: "'a option  $\Rightarrow$  'a option  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix " $=_?$ " 50) where
"Some x  $=_?$  Some y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = y" |
"Some x  $=_?$  None  $\longleftrightarrow$  False" |
"None  $=_?$  Some y  $\longleftrightarrow$  False" |
"None  $=_?$  None  $\longleftrightarrow$  True"
```

The above indeed coincides with HOL equality.

```
lemma equals_option_is_eq:
"(op  $=_?$ ) = (op =)"
apply (rule ext)+
apply (rename_tac x y)
apply (option_tac)
done
```

3.2 Relational Operators

We also define lifted versions of the default orders \leq and $<$.

```
fun leq_option :: "'a::ord option  $\Rightarrow$  'a option  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix " $\leq_?$ " 50) where
"Some x  $\leq_?$  Some y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y" |
"Some x  $\leq_?$  None  $\longleftrightarrow$  False" |
"None  $\leq_?$  Some y  $\longleftrightarrow$  True" |
"None  $\leq_?$  None  $\longleftrightarrow$  True"
```

```
fun less_option :: "'a::ord option  $\Rightarrow$  'a option  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix " $<_?$ " 50) where
"Some x  $<_?$  Some y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x < y" |
"Some x  $<_?$  None  $\longleftrightarrow$  False" |
"None  $<_?$  Some y  $\longleftrightarrow$  True" |
"None  $<_?$  None  $\longleftrightarrow$  False"
```

Likewise, we can prove these correspond to HOL's default lifted order.

```
lemma leq_option_is_less_eq:
"(op  $\leq_?$ ) = (op  $\leq$ )"
apply (rule ext)+
apply (rename_tac x y)
apply (option_tac)
done
```

```
lemma less_option_is_less:
"(op  $<_?$ ) = (op <)"
apply (rule ext)+
apply (rename_tac x y)
apply (option_tac)
done
```

Lastly, we lift subset inclusion into the `option` type.

From Tony's note, it is not entirely clear to me how to define this operator. It turns out that `None ⊆? Some y` has to be `True` in order to prove the ICL example (10). Besides, may the result of `x ⊆? y` be undefined too? Or do we always expected a simple `boolean` value when applying lifted relational operators? Discuss this with Tony and Georg at a suitable moment.

```
fun subset_option :: "'a set option ⇒ 'a set option ⇒ bool" (infix "⊆?" 50) where
  "Some x ⊆? Some y ⟷ x ⊆ y" |
  "Some x ⊆? None ⟷ (*True*) False" |
  "None ⊆? Some y ⟷ (*True*) False" |
  "None ⊆? None ⟷ True"
```

3.3 Generic Lifting

We use the constant below for ad hoc overloading to avoid ambiguities.

```
consts lift_option :: "'a ⇒ 'b" ("↑?" [1000] 1000)
```

```
definition ulift_option ::
  "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a option ⇒ 'b option)" where
  "ulift_option f x = do {x' ← x; return (f x')}"
```

```
definition blift_option ::
  "('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒
  ('a option ⇒ 'b option ⇒ 'c option)" where
  "blift_option f x y = do {x' ← x; y' ← y; return (f x' y')}"
```

```
adhoc_overloading lift_option ulift_option
adhoc_overloading lift_option blift_option
```

Note that we do not add the above operators to `option_ops`.

```
lemma ulift_option_simps [simp]:
  "ulift_option f ⊥ = ⊥"
  "ulift_option f (Some x) = Some (f x)"
  apply (unfold ulift_option_def)
  apply (simp_all)
  done
```

```
lemma blift_option_simps [simp]:
  "blift_option f x ⊥ = ⊥"
  "blift_option f ⊥ y = ⊥"
  "blift_option f (Some x') (Some y') = Some (f x' y')"
  apply (unfold blift_option_def)
  apply (simp_all)
  done
```

3.4 Lifted Operators

Addition and Subtraction

```
definition plus_option :: "'a::plus option binop" (infixl "+?" 70) where
  "(op +?) = (op +)↑?"
```

```
definition minus_option :: "'a::minus option binop" (infixl "-?" 70) where
  "(op -?) = (op -)↑?"
```


Multiplication and Division

```
definition times_option :: "'a::times option binop" (infixl "*" 70) where
"(op *?) = (op *)↑?"
```

```
definition divide_option :: "'a::{divide, zero} option binop" (infixl "/" 70) where
"x /? y = do {x' ← x; y' ← y; if y' ≠ 0 then return (x' div y') else ⊥}"
```

Union and Disjoint Union

```
definition union_option :: "'a set option binop" (infixl "∪?" 70) where
"(op ∪?) = (op ∪)↑?"
```

```
definition disjoint_union :: "'a set option binop" (infixl "⊕?" 70) where
"x ⊕? y = do {x' ← x; y' ← y; if x' ∩ y' = {} then return (x' ∪ y') else ⊥}"
```

Proof Support

```
declare plus_option_def [option_ops]
declare minus_option_def [option_ops]
declare times_option_def [option_ops]
declare divide_option_def [option_ops]
declare union_option_def [option_ops]
declare disjoint_union_def [option_ops]
```

3.5 ICL Interpretations

```
interpretation preorder_equals_option:
  preorder "TYPE('a option)" "(op =?)"
  apply (unfold_locales)
  apply (option_tac)+
  done

interpretation preorder_leq_option:
  preorder "TYPE('a::preorder option)" "(op ≤?)"
  apply (unfold_locales)
  apply (option_tac)
  apply (option_tac)
  using order_trans apply (auto)
  done

interpretation preorder_subset_option:
  preorder "TYPE('a set option)" "(op ⊆?)"
  apply (unfold_locales)
  apply (option_tac)
  apply (option_tac)
  apply (auto)
  done
```

We make the above interpretation lemmas automatic simplifications.

```
declare preorder_equals_option.preorder_axioms [simp]
declare preorder_leq_option.preorder_axioms [simp]
declare preorder_subset_option.preorder_axioms [simp]
```

3.6 ICL Lifting Lemmas

```
lemma iclaw_eq_lift_option [simp]:
```

```

"iclaw (op =) seq_op par_op ==>
  iclaw (op =?) seq_op↑? par_op↑?"
apply (unfold iclaw_def iclaw_axioms_def)
apply (option_tac)
done

```

```

lemma preorder_leq_lift_option [simp]:
"preorder (op ≤::'a::ord relop) ==>
  preorder (op ≤?::'a::ord option relop)"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
apply (meson preorder.refl)
apply (option_tac)
apply (meson preorder.trans)
done

```

```

lemma iclaw_leq_lift_option [simp]:
"iclaw (op ≤) seq_op par_op ==>
  iclaw (op ≤?) seq_op↑? par_op↑?"
apply (unfold iclaw_def iclaw_axioms_def)
apply (option_tac)
done
end

```

4 Machine Numbers

```
theory Machine_Number
imports Preliminaries
begin
```

4.1 Type Class

Machine numbers are introduced via a type class `machine_number`. The class extends a linear order by including a constant `max_number` that yields the largest representable number.

```
class machine_number = linorder +
  fixes max_number :: "'a"
begin
```

All numbers less or equal to `max_number` are within range.

```
definition number_range :: "'a set" where
[simp]: "number_range = {x. x ≤ max_number}"
end
```

We can easily prove that `number_range` is a non-empty set.

```
lemma ex_leq_max_number:
"∃x. x ≤ max_number"
apply (rule_tac x = "max_number" in exI)
apply (rule order_refl)
done
```

```
lemma ex_in_number_range:
"∃x. x ∈ number_range"
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule ex_leq_max_number)
done
```

4.2 Type Definition

The above lemma enables us to introduce a type for representable numbers.

```
typedef (overloaded)
'a::machine_number machine_number = "number_range::'a set"
apply (rule ex_in_number_range)
done
```

The notation `MN(_)` will be used for the abstraction function.

```
notation Abs_machine_number ("MN'(_)'")
```

The notation `[[_]]` will be used for the representation function.

```
notation Rep_machine_number ("[[_]]")
```

```
setup_lifting type_definition_machine_number
```

4.3 Proof Support

```
lemmas Rep_machine_number_inject_sym = sym [OF Rep_machine_number_inject]
```

```
declare Abs_machine_number_inverse
```

```

[simplified number_range_def mem_Collect_eq, simp]

declare Rep_machine_number_inverse
[simplified number_range_def mem_Collect_eq, simp]

declare Abs_machine_number_inject
[simplified number_range_def mem_Collect_eq, simp]

declare Rep_machine_number_inject_sym
[simplified number_range_def mem_Collect_eq, simp]

```

4.4 Instantiations

4.4.1 Linear Order

```

instantiation machine_number :: (machine_number) linorder
begin
definition less_eq_machine_number ::
  "'a machine_number ⇒ 'a machine_number ⇒ bool" where
[simp]: "less_eq_machine_number x y ⟷ ⌊x⌋ ≤ ⌊y⌋"

definition less_machine_number ::
  "'a machine_number ⇒ 'a machine_number ⇒ bool" where
[simp]: "less_machine_number x y ⟷ ⌊x⌋ < ⌊y⌋"
instance
apply (intro_classes)
apply (unfold less_eq_machine_number_def less_machine_number_def)
— Subgoal 1
apply (transfer')
apply (rule less_le_not_le)
— Subgoal 2
apply (transfer')
apply (rule order_refl)
— Subgoal 3
apply (transfer')
apply (erule order_trans)
apply (assumption)
— Subgoal 4
apply (transfer')
apply (erule antisym)
apply (assumption)
— Subgoal 5
apply (transfer')
apply (rule linear)
done
end

```

4.4.2 Arithmetic Operators

```

instantiation machine_number :: ("{machine_number, zero}") zero
begin
definition zero_machine_number :: "'a machine_number" where
[simp]: "zero_machine_number = MN(0)"
instance ..
end

```

```

instantiation machine_number :: ("{machine_number, one}") one
begin
definition one_machine_number :: "'a machine_number" where
[simp]: "one_machine_number = MN(1)"
instance ..
end

instantiation machine_number :: ("{machine_number, plus}") plus
begin
definition plus_machine_number :: "'a machine_number binop" where
[simp]: "plus_machine_number x y = MN( $\llbracket x \rrbracket + \llbracket y \rrbracket$ )"
instance ..
end

instantiation machine_number :: ("{machine_number, minus}") minus
begin
definition minus_machine_number :: "'a machine_number binop" where
[simp]: "minus_machine_number x y = MN( $\llbracket x \rrbracket - \llbracket y \rrbracket$ )"
instance ..
end

instantiation machine_number :: ("{machine_number, times}") times
begin
definition times_machine_number :: "'a machine_number binop" where
[simp]: "times_machine_number x y = MN( $\llbracket x \rrbracket * \llbracket y \rrbracket$ )"
instance ..
end

instantiation machine_number :: ("{machine_number, divide}") divide
begin
definition divide_machine_number :: "'a machine_number binop" where
[simp]: "divide_machine_number x y = MN( $\llbracket x \rrbracket \text{ div } \llbracket y \rrbracket$ )"
instance ..
end
end

```

5 The Overflow Monad

```
theory Overflow_Monad
imports Machine_Number
begin
```

5.1 Type Definition

Any type with a linear order can be lifted into a type that includes \top .

```
datatype 'a::linorder overflow =
  Value "'a" | Overflow
```

The notation \top is introduced for the constructor `Overflow`.

```
adhoc_overloading global_top Overflow
```

5.2 Proof Support

Attribute used to collect definitional laws for operators.

```
named_theorems overflow_ops "definitional laws for operators on overflow values"
```

Tactic that facilitates proofs about `overflow` values.

```
lemma split_overflow_all:
  "( $\forall x. P\ x$ ) = (P Overflow  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x. P$  (Value x)))"
apply (safe)
— Subgoal 1
apply (clarsimp)
— Subgoal 2
apply (clarsimp)
— Subgoal 3
apply (case_tac x)
apply (simp_all)
done
```

```
lemma split_overflow_ex:
  "( $\exists x. P\ x$ ) = (P Overflow  $\vee$  ( $\exists x. P$  (Value x)))"
apply (safe)
— Subgoal 1
apply (case_tac x)
apply (simp_all) [2]
— Subgoal 2
apply (auto) [1]
— Subgoal 3
apply (auto) [1]
done
```

```
lemmas split_overflow =
  split_overflow_all
  split_overflow_ex
```

```
method overflow_tac = (
  (atomize (full))?,
  (simp add: split_overflow overflow_ops),
  (clarsimp; simp?))?
```

5.3 Ordering Relation

Overflow (\top) resides above any other value in the order.

```
instantiation overflow :: (linorder) linorder
begin
fun less_eq_overflow :: "'a overflow  $\Rightarrow$  'a overflow  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
  "Value x  $\leq$  Value y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y" |
  "Value x  $\leq$  Overflow  $\longleftrightarrow$  True" |
  "Overflow  $\leq$  Value x  $\longleftrightarrow$  False" |
  "Overflow  $\leq$  Overflow  $\longleftrightarrow$  True"

fun less_overflow :: "'a overflow  $\Rightarrow$  'a overflow  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
  "Value x < Value y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x < y" |
  "Value x < Overflow  $\longleftrightarrow$  True" |
  "Overflow < Value x  $\longleftrightarrow$  False" |
  "Overflow < Overflow  $\longleftrightarrow$  False"
instance
  apply (intro_classes)
  — Subgoal 1
  apply (overflow_tac)
  apply (rule less_le_not_le)
  — Subgoal 2
  apply (overflow_tac)
  — Subgoal 3
  apply (overflow_tac)
  — Subgoal 4
  apply (overflow_tac)
  — Subgoal 5
  apply (overflow_tac)
done
end
```

More instantiations can be added here as we desire.

```
instantiation overflow :: ("{linorder, zero}") zero
begin
definition zero_overflow :: "'a overflow" where
  [simp]: "zero_overflow = Value 0"
instance ..
end
```

```
instantiation overflow :: ("{linorder, one}") one
begin
definition one_overflow :: "'a overflow" where
  [simp]: "one_overflow = Value 1"
instance ..
end
```

5.4 Monadic Constructors

To support monadic syntax, we define the bind and return functions below.

```
primrec overflow_bind ::
  "'a::linorder overflow  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::linorder overflow)  $\Rightarrow$  'b overflow" where
  "overflow_bind (Overflow) f = Overflow" |
  "overflow_bind (Value x) f = f x"
```

```
ad hoc overloading bind overflow_bind
```

```
definition overflow_return :: "'a::linorder ⇒ 'a overflow" where
[simp]: "overflow_return x = Value x"
```

```
ad hoc overloading return overflow_return
```

5.5 Generic Lifting

Extended machine numbers are machine numbers that record an overflow.

```
type_synonym 'a machine_number_ext = "'a machine_number overflow"
```

translations

```
(type) "'a machine_number_ext" ← (type) "'a machine_number overflow"
```

We use the constant below for ad hoc overloading to avoid ambiguities.

```
consts lift_overflow :: "'a ⇒ 'b" ("↑∞" [1000] 1000)
```

```
default_sort machine_number
```

```
definition ulift_overflow ::
```

```
"('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒
 ('a machine_number_ext ⇒ 'b machine_number_ext)" where
"ulift_overflow f x =
 do {x' ← x; if (f ⟦x'⟧) ∈ number_range then return MN(f ⟦x'⟧) else ⊤}"
```

```
definition blift_overflow ::
```

```
"('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒
 ('a machine_number_ext ⇒ 'b machine_number_ext ⇒ 'c machine_number_ext)" where
"blift_overflow f x y = do {x' ← x; y' ← y;
 if (f ⟦x'⟧ ⟦y'⟧) ∈ number_range then return MN(f ⟦x'⟧ ⟦y'⟧) else ⊤}"
```

```
default_sort type
```

```
ad hoc overloading lift_overflow ulift_overflow
```

```
ad hoc overloading lift_overflow blift_overflow
```

Note that we do not add the above operators to `overflow_ops`.

```
lemma ulift_overflow_simps [simp]:
```

```
"ulift_overflow f ⊤ = ⊤"
```

```
"ulift_overflow f (Value x) =
```

```
  (if (f ⟦x⟧) ≤ max_number then Value MN(f ⟦x⟧) else ⊤)"
```

```
apply (unfold ulift_overflow_def)
```

```
apply (simp_all)
```

```
done
```

```
lemma blift_overflow_simps [simp]:
```

```
"blift_overflow f x ⊤ = ⊤"
```

```
"blift_overflow f ⊤ y = ⊤"
```

```
"blift_overflow f (Value x') (Value y') =
```

```
  (if (f ⟦x'⟧ ⟦y'⟧) ≤ max_number then Value MN(f ⟦x'⟧ ⟦y'⟧) else ⊤)"
```

```
apply (unfold blift_overflow_def)
```

```
apply (simp_all)
```

```
apply (case_tac x; simp)
```


done

5.6 Lifted Operators

definition plus_overflow::

```
"'a::{plus, machine_number} machine_number_ext binop" (infixl "+∞" 70) where
"plus_overflow = (op +)∞"
```

definition minus_overflow ::

```
"'a::{minus, machine_number} machine_number_ext binop" (infixl "-∞" 70) where
"minus_overflow = (op -)∞"
```

definition times_overflow::

```
"'a::{times, machine_number} machine_number_ext binop" (infixl "*∞" 70) where
"times_overflow = (op *)∞"
```

definition divide_overflow ::

```
"'a::{divide, machine_number} machine_number_ext binop" (infixl "div∞" 70) where
"divide_overflow = (op div)∞"
```

Proof Support

```
declare plus_overflow_def [overflow_ops]
declare minus_overflow_def [overflow_ops]
declare times_overflow_def [overflow_ops]
declare divide_overflow_def [overflow_ops]
```

5.7 Instantiation Example

We give an instantiation for natural numbers.

```
instantiation nat :: machine_number
begin
definition max_number_nat :: "nat" where
"max_number_nat = 2 ^ 32 - 1"
instance ..
end
```

5.8 Proof Experiments

lemma

fixes x :: "nat machine_number_ext"

fixes y :: "nat machine_number_ext"

shows "x *_∞ y = y *_∞ x"

— Is there another way to turn free variables in meta-quantified ones?

apply (transfer)

apply (overflow_tac)

apply (simp add: mult.commute)

done

Yes, using the below. Turn this into a tactic command! [TODO]

ML {* Induct.arbitrary_tac *

end

6 Partiality

```
theory Partiality
imports Preliminaries ICL
begin
```

6.1 Type Definition

We define a datatype `'a partial` that adds a distinct \perp and \top to a type `'a`.

```
datatype 'a partial =
  Bot | Value "'a" | Top
```

The notation \perp is introduced for the constructor `Bot`.

```
adhoc_overloading global_bot Bot
```

The notation \top is introduced for the constructor `Top`.

```
adhoc_overloading global_top Top
```

6.2 Proof Support

Attribute used to collect definitional laws for operators.

```
named_theorems partial_ops "definitional laws for operators on partial values"
```

Tactic that facilitates proofs about `partial` values.

```
lemma split_partial_all:
  "( $\forall x::'a\ \text{partial}.\ P\ x$ ) = ( $P\ Bot \wedge P\ Top \wedge (\forall x::'a.\ P\ (\text{Value } x))$ )"
apply (safe; simp?)
apply (case_tac x)
apply (simp_all)
done
```

```
lemma split_partial_ex:
  "( $\exists x::'a\ \text{partial}.\ P\ x$ ) = ( $P\ Bot \vee P\ Top \vee (\exists x::'a.\ P\ (\text{Value } x))$ )"
apply (safe; simp?)
apply (case_tac x)
apply (simp_all) [3]
apply (auto)
done
```

```
lemmas split_partial =
  split_partial_all
  split_partial_ex
```

```
method partial_tac = (
  (atomize (full))?,
  (simp add: split_partial partial_ops),
  (clarsimp; simp?)?)
```

6.3 Monadic Constructors

Note that we have to ensure strictness in both \perp and \top .

```
primrec partial_bind ::
  "'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b partial)  $\Rightarrow$  'b partial" where
```

```
"partial_bind Bot f = Bot" |
"partial_bind (Value x) f = f x" |
"partial_bind Top f = Top"
```

```
adhoc_overloading bind partial_bind
```

```
definition partial_return :: "'a ⇒ 'a partial" where
[simp]: "partial_return x = Value x"
```

```
adhoc_overloading return partial_return
```

6.4 Generic Lifting

We use the constant below for ad hoc overloading to avoid ambiguities.

```
consts lift_partial :: "'a ⇒ 'b" ("↑p" [1000] 1000)
```

```
fun ulift_partial :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a partial ⇒ 'b partial)" where
"ulift_partial f Bot = Bot" |
"ulift_partial f (Value x) = Value (f x)" |
"ulift_partial f Top = Top"
```

```
fun blift_partial ::
  "('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('a partial ⇒ 'b partial ⇒ 'c partial)" where
"blift_partial f Bot Bot = Bot" |
"blift_partial f Bot (Value y) = Bot" |
"blift_partial f Bot Top = Bot" | — ⊥ dominates.
"blift_partial f (Value x) Bot = Bot" |
"blift_partial f (Value x) (Value y) = Value (f x y)" |
"blift_partial f (Value x) Top = Top" |
"blift_partial f Top Bot = Bot" | — ⊥ dominates.
"blift_partial f Top (Value y) = Top" |
"blift_partial f Top Top = Top"
```

```
adhoc_overloading lift_partial ulift_partial
adhoc_overloading lift_partial blift_partial
```

6.5 Lifted Operators

What about relational operators? How do we lift those? [TODO]

Addition and Subtraction

```
definition plus_partial :: "'a::plus partial binop" (infixl "+p" 70) where
"(op +p) = (op +)↑p"
```

```
definition minus_partial :: "'a::minus partial binop" (infixl "-p" 70) where
"(op -p) = (op -)↑p"
```

Multiplication and Division

```
definition times_partial :: "'a::times partial binop" (infixl "*p" 70) where
"(op *p) = (op *)↑p"
```

```
definition divide_partial :: "'a::{divide, zero} partial binop" (infixl "'/p" 70) where
"x /p y = do {x' ← x; y' ← y; if y' ≠ 0 then return (x' div y') else ⊥}"
```

Union and Disjoint Union

```
definition union_partial :: "'a set partial binop" (infixl " $\cup_p$ " 70) where
"(op  $\cup_p$ ) = (op  $\cup$ ) $\uparrow_p$ "
```

```
definition disjoint_union :: "'a set partial binop" (infixl " $\oplus_p$ " 70) where
"x  $\oplus_p$  y = do {x'  $\leftarrow$  x; y'  $\leftarrow$  y; if x'  $\cap$  y' = {} then return (x'  $\cup$  y') else  $\perp$ }"
```

Proof Support

```
declare plus_partial_def [partial_ops]
declare minus_partial_def [partial_ops]
declare times_partial_def [partial_ops]
declare divide_partial_def [partial_ops]
declare union_partial_def [partial_ops]
declare disjoint_union_def [partial_ops]
```

6.6 Ordering Relation

```
primrec partial_ord :: "'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  nat" where
"partial_ord Bot = 0" |
"partial_ord (Value x) = 1" |
"partial_ord Top = 2"

instantiation partial :: (ord) ord
begin
fun less_eq_partial :: "'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
"(Value x)  $\leq$  (Value y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y" |
"a  $\leq$  b  $\longleftrightarrow$  (partial_ord a)  $\leq$  (partial_ord b)"

fun less_partial :: "'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
"(Value x) < (Value y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x < y" |
"a < b  $\longleftrightarrow$  (partial_ord a) < (partial_ord b)"
instance ..
end
```

6.7 Class Instantiations

6.7.1 Preorder

```
instance partial :: (preorder) preorder
apply (intro_classes)
— Subgoal 1
apply (partial_tac)
apply (rule less_le_not_le)
— Subgoal 2
apply (partial_tac)
— Subgoal 3
apply (partial_tac)
apply (erule order_trans)
apply (assumption)
done
```

6.7.2 Partial Order

```
instance partial :: (order) order
apply (intro_classes)
```

```

apply (partial_tac)
done

```

6.7.3 Linear Order

```

instance partial :: (linorder) linorder
apply (intro_classes)
apply (partial_tac)
done

```

6.7.4 Lattice

```

instantiation partial :: (type) bot
begin
definition bot_partial :: "'a partial" where
[partial_ops]: "bot_partial = Bot"
instance ..
end

```

```

instantiation partial :: (type) top
begin
definition top_partial :: "'a partial" where
[partial_ops]: "top_partial = Top"
instance ..
end

```

```

instantiation partial :: (lattice) lattice
begin
fun inf_partial :: "'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  'a partial" where
"Bot  $\sqcap$  Bot = Bot" |
"Bot  $\sqcap$  (Value y) = Bot" |
"Bot  $\sqcap$  Top = Bot" |
"(Value x)  $\sqcap$  Bot = Bot" |
"(Value x)  $\sqcap$  (Value y) = Value (x  $\sqcap$  y)" |
"(Value x)  $\sqcap$  Top = (Value x)" |
"Top  $\sqcap$  Bot = Bot" |
"Top  $\sqcap$  Value y = Value y" |
"Top  $\sqcap$  Top = Top"

```

```

fun sup_partial :: "'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  'a partial  $\Rightarrow$  'a partial" where
"Bot  $\sqcup$  Bot = Bot" |
"Bot  $\sqcup$  (Value y) = (Value y)" |
"Bot  $\sqcup$  Top = Top" |
"(Value x)  $\sqcup$  Bot = (Value x)" |
"(Value x)  $\sqcup$  (Value y) = Value (x  $\sqcup$  y)" |
"(Value x)  $\sqcup$  Top = Top" |
"Top  $\sqcup$  Bot = Top" |
"Top  $\sqcup$  (Value y) = Top" |
"Top  $\sqcup$  Top = Top"
instance
apply (intro_classes)
— Subgoal 1
apply (partial_tac)
— Subgoal 2
apply (partial_tac)
— Subgoal 3

```

```

apply (partial_tac)
— Subgoal 4
apply (partial_tac)
— Subgoal 5
apply (partial_tac)
— Subgoal 6
apply (partial_tac)
done
end

```

Validation of the definition of meet and join above.

```

lemma partial_ord_inf_lemma [simp]:
"∀ a b. partial_ord (a ⊓ b) = min (partial_ord a) (partial_ord b)"
apply (partial_tac)
done

```

```

lemma partial_ord_sup_lemma [simp]:
"∀ a b. partial_ord (a ⊔ b) = max (partial_ord a) (partial_ord b)"
apply (partial_tac)
done

```

6.7.5 Complete Lattice

```

instantiation partial :: (complete_lattice) complete_lattice
begin
definition Inf_partial :: "'a partial set ⇒ 'a partial" where
[partial_ops]:
"Inf_partial xs =
  (if Bot ∈ xs then Bot else
    let values = {x. Value x ∈ xs} in
    if values = {} then Top else Value (Inf values))"

definition Sup_partial :: "'a partial set ⇒ 'a partial" where
[partial_ops]:
"Sup_partial xs =
  (if Top ∈ xs then Top else
    let values = {x. Value x ∈ xs} in
    if values = {} then Bot else Value (Sup values))"

instance
apply (intro_classes)
— Subgoal 1
apply (partial_tac)
apply (simp add: Inf_lower)
— Subgoal 2
apply (partial_tac)
apply (metis Inf_greatest mem_Collect_eq)
— Subgoal 3
apply (partial_tac)
apply (simp add: Sup_upper)
— Subgoal 4
apply (partial_tac)
apply (metis Sup_least mem_Collect_eq)
— Subgoal 5
apply (partial_tac)
— Subgoal 6
apply (partial_tac)

```

```
done
end
```

6.8 ICL Lifting Lemmas

```
lemma iclaw_eq_lift_partial [simp]:
  "iclaw (op =) seq_op par_op  $\implies$ 
   iclaw (op =) seq_op $\uparrow_p$  par_op $\uparrow_p$ "
apply (unfold iclaw_def iclaw_axioms_def)
apply (partial_tac)
done
```

```
lemma preorder_less_eq_lift_partial [simp]:
  "preorder (op  $\leq$ ::'a::ord relop)  $\implies$ 
   preorder (op  $\leq$ ::'a::ord partial relop)"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (partial_tac)
apply (meson preorder.refl)
apply (partial_tac)
apply (meson preorder.trans)
done
```

```
lemma iclaw_less_eq_lift_partial [simp]:
  "iclaw (op  $\leq$ ) seq_op par_op  $\implies$ 
   iclaw (op  $\leq$ ) seq_op $\uparrow_p$  par_op $\uparrow_p$ "
apply (unfold iclaw_def iclaw_axioms_def)
apply (partial_tac)
done
end
```

7 The Interchange Law

```
theory ICL
imports Preliminaries
begin
```

We are going to use the $|$ symbol for parallel composition.

```
no_notation (ASCII)
  disj (infixr "|" 30)
```

7.1 Locale Definitions

In this section, we encapsulate the interchange law via an Isabelle locale. This gives us an elegant way to formulate conjectures that particular types, orderings and operator pairs fulfill the interchange law. It also aids us in structuring proofs. We define two locales here: one to introduce the notion of order (which has to be a preorder) and another, extending the former, to introduce the two operators. The interchange law thus becomes an assumption of the second locale.

7.1.1 Locale: preorder

The underlying relation has to be a preorder. Our definition of preorder is, however, deliberately weaker than Isabelle/HOL's, as encapsulated by its `ordering` locale. In particular, we shall not require the caveat `ordering ?less_eq ?less \implies ?less ?a ?b = (?less_eq ?a ?b \wedge ?a \neq ?b)`. Moreover, interpretations only have to provide the \leq operator and not $<$ as well. We use bold-face symbols to distinguish our ordering relations from those of Isabelle's type classes.

```
locale preorder =
  fixes type :: "'a itself"
  fixes less_eq :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix " $\leq$ " 50)
  assumes refl: " $x \leq x$ "
  assumes trans: " $x \leq y \implies y \leq z \implies x \leq z$ "
begin
```

Equivalence \equiv of elements is defined in terms of mutual \leq .

```
definition equiv :: "'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix " $\equiv$ " 50) where
" $x \equiv y \iff x \leq y \wedge y \leq x$ "
```

We can easily prove that \equiv is an equivalence relation.

```
lemma equiv_refl:
" $x \equiv x$ "
apply (unfold equiv_def)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule local.refl)
done
```

```
lemma equiv_sym:
" $x \equiv y \implies y \equiv x$ "
apply (unfold equiv_def)
apply (clarsimp)
done
```

```
lemma equiv_trans:
" $x \equiv y \implies y \equiv z \implies x \equiv z$ "
```



```

apply (unfold equiv_def)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule conjI)
using local.trans apply (blast)
using local.trans apply (blast)
done
end

```

7.1.2 Locale: iclaw

We next define the `iclaw` locale as an extension of the `ICL.preorder` locale above. The interchange law is encapsulated by the single assumption of the locale. Instantiations will have to discharge this assumption and thereby show that the interchange law holds for a particular type, ordering relation, and binary operator pair.

```

locale iclaw = preorder +
  fixes seq_op :: "'a binop" (infixr ";" 100)
  fixes par_op :: "'a binop" (infixr "|" 100)
  assumes interchange_law: "(p | r) ; (q | s) ≤ (p ; q) | (r ; s)"

```

7.2 Interpretations

We lastly prove a few useful interpretations of `ICL.preorders`. Due to the structuring mechanism of (sub)locales, we will later on be able to reuse these interpretation proofs when interpreting the `iclaw` locale for particular operators.

```

interpretation preorder_eq:
  preorder "TYPE('a)" "(op =)"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (simp_all)
done

interpretation preorder_leq:
  preorder "TYPE('a::preorder)" "(op ≤)"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (rule order_refl)
apply (erule order_trans; assumption)
done

```

7.3 Proof Support

We make the above instantiation lemmas automatic simplifications.

```

declare preorder_eq.preorder_axioms [simp]
declare preorder_leq.preorder_axioms [simp]
end

```

8 Example Applications

```
theory ICL_Examples
imports ICL Strict_Operators Computer_Arith Partiality
begin
```

```
hide_const Partiality.Value
```

We are going to use the `|` symbol for parallel composition.

```
no_notation (ASCII)
  disj (infixr "|" 30)
```

Example applications of the interchange law from the working note.

8.1 Arithmetic: addition (+) and subtraction (-) of numbers.

We prove the ICL for the HOL types `int`, `rat` and `real`, as well as the corresponding `option` type instances of those types.

— Note that the law does not hold for type `nat`.

```
interpretation icl_plus_minus_nat:
  iclaw "TYPE(nat)" "op =" "op +" "op -"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (linarith?)
oops
```

```
interpretation icl_plus_minus_int:
  iclaw "TYPE(int)" "op =" "op +" "op -"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (linarith)
done
```

```
interpretation icl_plus_minus_rat:
  iclaw "TYPE(rat)" "op =" "op +" "op -"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (linarith)
done
```

```
interpretation icl_plus_minus_real:
  iclaw "TYPE(real)" "op =" "op +" "op -"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (linarith)
done
```

Corresponding ICL proofs for option types and strict operators.

```
interpretation icl_plus_minus_int_option:
  iclaw "TYPE(int option)" "op =" "op +?" "op -?"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
done
```

```
interpretation icl_plus_minus_rat_option:
  iclaw "TYPE(rat option)" "op =" "op +?" "op -?"
apply (unfold_locales)
```

```

apply (option_tac)
done

interpretation icl_plus_minus_real_option:
  iclaw "TYPE(real option)" "op =" "op +" "op -"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
done

```

8.2 Arithmetic: multiplication (x) and division (/) of numbers.

This is proved for rat, real, and option types thereof.

```

interpretation icl_mult_div_rat:
  iclaw "TYPE(rat)" "op =" "op *" "op /"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (simp)
done

```

```

interpretation icl_mult_div_real:
  iclaw "TYPE(real)" "op =" "op *" "op /"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (simp)
done

```

```

interpretation icl_mult_div_rat_option:
  iclaw "TYPE(rat option)" "op =" "op *" "op /"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
done

```

```

interpretation icl_mult_div_real_real:
  iclaw "TYPE(real option)" "op =" "op *" "op /"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
done

```

The theorem below holds for any division_ring...

```

context division_ring
begin
lemma div_mult_exchange:
fixes p :: "'a"
fixes q :: "'a"
shows "(p / q) * q = (p * q) / q"
apply (metis eq_divide_eq mult_eq_0_iff)
done
end

```

... and hence for rational and real numbers.

```

lemma rat_div_mult_exchange:
fixes p :: "rat"
fixes q :: "rat"
shows "(p / q) * q = (p * q) / q"
apply (rule div_mult_exchange)
done

```

```

lemma real_div_mult_exchange:
fixes p :: "real"
fixes q :: "real"
shows "(p / q) * q = (p * q) / q"
apply (rule div_mult_exchange)
done

```

8.3 Natural numbers: multiplication (\times) and truncated division (\div).

We note that $x \div y$ is used in Isabelle for truncated division.

We first prove the lemma below which is also described in the paper.

```

lemma nat_div_mult_leq:
fixes p :: "nat"
fixes q :: "nat"
— The assumption  $q > 0$  is not needed because of  $x \div 0 = 0$ .
shows "(p div q) * q ≤ (p * q) div q"
apply (case_tac "q > 0")
apply (metis div_mult_self_is_m mult.commute split_div_lemma)
apply (simp)
done

```

Since Isabelle/HOL defines $x \div 0 = 0$, we can prove the interchange law even in HOL's weak treatment of undefinedness, as well as our strong one.

```

interpretation icl_mult_trunc_div_nat:
  iclaw "TYPE(nat)" "op ≤" "op *" "op div"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (case_tac "r = 0"; simp_all)
apply (case_tac "s = 0"; simp_all)
apply (subgoal_tac "(p div r) * (q div s) * (r * s) ≤ p * q")
apply (metis div_le_mono div_mult_self_is_m nat_0_less_mult_iff)
apply (unfold semiring_normalization_rules(13))
apply (metis div_mult_self_is_m mult_le_mono nat_div_mult_leq)
done

```

```

interpretation icl_mult_trunc_div_nat_option:
  iclaw "TYPE(nat option)" "op ≤?" "op *?" "op /?"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
apply (rule icl_mult_trunc_div_nat.interchange_law)
done

```

8.4 Propositional calculus: conjunction (\wedge) and implication (\Rightarrow).

RE: Implication $p \Rightarrow q$ is defined in the usual way as $\neg p \vee q$.

We can easily verify the above equivalence in HOL.

```

lemma "(p  $\longrightarrow$  q)  $\equiv$  ( $\neg$  p  $\vee$  q)"
apply (auto)
done

```

We note that \vdash is encoded by object-logic implication (\longrightarrow).

```

definition turnstile :: "bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool" (infix " $\vdash$ " 50) where
[iff]: "turnstile p q  $\equiv$  p  $\longrightarrow$  q"

```

```

interpretation icl_imp_conj:
  iclaw "TYPE(bool)" "op  $\longrightarrow$ " "op  $\wedge$ " "op  $\vdash$ "
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (auto)
done

```

8.5 Boolean Algebra: conjunction (\wedge) and disjunction (\vee).

Numerical value of a boolean.

```

definition valOfBool :: "bool  $\Rightarrow$  nat" where
"valOfBool p = (if p then 1 else 0)"

```

Order on boolean values induced by valOfBool.

```

definition numOrdBool :: "bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
"numOrdBool p q  $\longleftrightarrow$  (valOfBool p)  $\leq$  (valOfBool q)"

```

We show that the numerical order above is just implication.

```

lemma numOrdBool_is_imp [simp]:
"(numOrdBool p q) = (p  $\longrightarrow$  q)"
apply (unfold numOrdBool_def valOfBool_def)
apply (induct_tac p; induct_tac q)
apply (simp_all)
done

```

Note that \vee is \vee and \wedge is \wedge .

```

interpretation icl_boolean_algebra:
  iclaw "TYPE(bool)" "numOrdBool" "op  $\vee$ " "op  $\wedge$ "
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (unfold numOrdBool_is_imp)
apply (auto)
done

```

8.6 Self-interchanging operators: $+$, $*$, \vee , \wedge .

For convenience, we define a locale for self-interchanging operators.

```

locale self_iclaw =
  iclaw "type" "op =" "self_op" "self_op"
  for type :: "'a itself" and self_op :: "'a binop"

```

We next introduce separate locales to capture associativity, commutativity and existence of units for some binary operator. We use a bold circle (\circ) to avoid clashes with Isabelle/HOL's symbol (\circ) for functional composition.

```

locale associative =
  fixes operator :: "'a binop" (infix "o" 100)
  assumes assoc: "x o (y o z) = (x o y) o z"

```

```

locale commutative =
  fixes operator :: "'a binop" (infix "o" 100)
  assumes comm: "x o y = y o x"

```

```

locale has_unit =
  fixes operator :: "'a binop" (infix "o" 100)

```

```

fixes unit :: "'a" ("1")
assumes left_unit [simp]: "1 o x = x"
assumes right_unit [simp]: "x o 1 = x"

```

Finally, we can set to prove the laws in the paper.

```

lemma assoc_comm_imp_self_iclaw:
"(associative bop  $\wedge$  commutative bop)  $\implies$  (self_iclaw bop)"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (unfold associative_def commutative_def)
apply (clarify)
apply (auto)
done

```

```

lemma self_iclaw_and_unit_imp_assoc:
"(self_iclaw bop)  $\wedge$  (has_unit bop one)  $\implies$  associative bop"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (unfold self_iclaw_def iclaw_def iclaw_axioms_def)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (drule_tac x = "x" in spec)
apply (drule_tac x = "one" in spec)
apply (drule_tac x = "y" in spec)
apply (drule_tac x = "z" in spec)
apply (simp add: has_unit_def)
done

```

```

lemma self_iclaw_and_unit_imp_comm:
"(self_iclaw bop)  $\wedge$  (has_unit bop one)  $\implies$  commutative bop"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (unfold self_iclaw_def iclaw_def iclaw_axioms_def)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (drule_tac x = "one" in spec)
apply (drule_tac x = "x" in spec)
apply (drule_tac x = "y" in spec)
apply (drule_tac x = "one" in spec)
apply (simp add: has_unit_def)
done

```

Lastly, we prove the self-interchange law for $+$, $*$, \vee and \wedge .

```

interpretation self_icl_plus:
  self_iclaw "TYPE('a::comm_monoid_add)" "op +"
apply (rule assoc_comm_imp_self_iclaw)
apply (rule conjI)
— Subgoal 1
apply (unfold associative_def)
apply (simp add: add.assoc)
— Subgoal 2
apply (unfold commutative_def)
apply (simp add: add.commute)
done

```

```

interpretation self_icl_mult:
  self_iclaw "TYPE('a::comm_monoid_mult)" "op *"
apply (rule assoc_comm_imp_self_iclaw)
apply (rule conjI)
— Subgoal 1

```

```

apply (unfold associative_def)
apply (simp add: mult.assoc)
— Subgoal 2
apply (unfold commutative_def)
apply (simp add: mult.commute)
done

interpretation self_icl_conj:
  self_iclaw "TYPE(bool)" "op ^"
apply (rule assoc_comm_imp_self_iclaw)
apply (rule conjI)
— Subgoal 1
apply (standard) [1]
apply (blast)
— Subgoal 2
apply (standard) [1]
apply (blast)
done

interpretation self_icl_disj:
  self_iclaw "TYPE(bool)" "op ∨"
apply (rule assoc_comm_imp_self_iclaw)
apply (rule conjI)
— Subgoal 1
apply (standard) [1]
apply (blast)
— Subgoal 2
apply (standard) [1]
apply (blast)
done

```

8.7 Computer arithmetic: Overflow (\top).

We note that the various necessary types and operators to formalise machine calculations are developed in the theories:

- `Strict_Operators`;
- `Machine_Number`;
- `Overflow_Monad`; and
- `Computer_Arith`.

Cancellation Laws

```

lemma Section_8_cancel_law_1a:
fixes p :: "nat machine_number_ext"
fixes q :: "nat machine_number_ext"
shows "q ≠ 0  $\implies$  p ≤ (p *∞ q) div∞ q"
apply (transfer) — Just to quantify free variables!
apply (overflow_tac)
done

```

```

lemma Section_8_cancel_law_1b:

```

```

fixes p :: "nat comparith"
fixes q :: "nat comparith"
shows "q  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  q  $\neq$   $\perp \implies$  p  $\leq$  (p *c q) /c q"
apply (transfer) — Just to quantify free variables!
apply (comparith_tac)
done

lemma Section_8_cancel_law_2a:
fixes p :: "nat option"
fixes q :: "nat option"
shows "(p /? q) *? q  $\leq$  p"
apply (transfer) — Just to quantify free variables!
apply (option_tac)
apply (metis mult.commute split_div_lemma)
done

lemma Section_8_cancel_law_2b:
fixes p :: "nat comparith"
fixes q :: "nat comparith"
shows "q  $\neq$   $\top \implies$  (p /c q) *c q  $\leq$  p"
apply (transfer) — Just to quantify free variables!
apply (comparith_tac)
apply (transfer)
apply (clarsimp; safe)
— Subgoal 1
apply (metis mult.commute split_div_lemma)
— Subgoal 2
using div_le_dividend dual_order.trans apply (blast)
— Subgoal 3
apply (metis dual_order.trans mult.commute split_div_lemma)
done

```

Interchange Law

```

lemma overflow_times_neq_Value_MN_0:
fixes x :: "nat machine_number_ext"
fixes y :: "nat machine_number_ext"
shows
"x  $\neq$  Value MN(0)  $\implies$ 
 y  $\neq$  Value MN(0)  $\implies$  x * $\infty$  y  $\neq$  Value MN(0)"
apply (transfer) — Just to quantify free variables!
apply (overflow_tac)
done

interpretation icl_mult_trunc_div_nat_overflow:
  iclaw "TYPE(nat comparith)" "op  $\leq$ " "op *c" "op /c"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
apply (simp add: overflow_times_neq_Value_MN_0)
apply (unfold times_overflow_def divide_overflow_def)
apply (thin_tac "r  $\neq$  Value MN(0)")
apply (thin_tac "s  $\neq$  Value MN(0)")
apply (overflow_tac)
apply (transfer)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (safe)

```



```

using icl_mult_trunc_div_nat.interchange_law apply (blast)
using div_le_dividend dual_order.trans apply (blast)
apply (meson dual_order.trans icl_mult_trunc_div_nat.interchange_law)
using div_le_dividend dual_order.trans apply (blast)
done

```

8.8 Note: Partial operators.

Partial operators are formalised in a separate theory `Partiality`.

8.9 Sets: union (\cup) and disjoint union (\oplus) of sets, ordered by inclusion \subseteq .

Talk to Tony and Georg about the failed proof below! [TODO]

```

interpretation preorder_option_subset:
  iclaw "TYPE('a set option)" "(op  $\subseteq_?$ )" "op  $\oplus_?$ " "op  $\cup_?$ "
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (rename_tac p q r s)
apply (option_tac)
apply (auto)
— Cannot be proved unless we change the definition of op  $\subseteq_?$ !
oops

```

RE: Disjoint union has a unit $\{\}$, and so it interchanges with itself.

```

interpretation disjoint_union_unit:
  has_unit "op  $\oplus_?$ " "Some  $\{\}$ "
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
apply (option_tac)
done

```

```

interpretation self_icl_disjoint_union:
  self_iclaw "TYPE('a set option)" "op  $\oplus_?$ "
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (option_tac)
apply (auto)
done

```

RE: But it is clearly not idempotent: $p \oplus_? p = p$ only when $p = \{\}$ or $p = \perp$ or $p = \top$

Use the type `partial` to prove this also for \top . [TODO]

```

lemma [rule_format]:
  " $\forall p. p \oplus_? p = p \iff (p = \perp \vee p = \text{Some } \{\})$ "
apply (option_tac)
done

```

8.10 Strings of characters: catenation ($;$) interleaving \mid and empty string (ϵ).

We first define a datatype to capture the syntax of our string algebra.

Note that I added a constructor for a single character (`atom`).

```

datatype 'a str_calc =
  empty_str ("ε") |
  atom "'a" |

```

```
seq_str "'a str_calc" "'a str_calc" (infix ";" 100) |
par_str "'a str_calc" "'a str_calc" (infix "|" 100)
```

The following function facilitates the construction of strings.

```
primrec mk_str :: "string  $\Rightarrow$  char str_calc" ("«_»") where
"mk_str [] =  $\varepsilon$ " |
"mk_str (h # t) = seq_str (atom h) (mk_str t)"

value "«'frank'»"
```

The function `ch` yields all characters in a `str_calc` term.

```
primrec ch :: "'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  'a set" where
"ch  $\varepsilon$  = {}" |
"ch (atom c) = {c}" |
"ch (p ; q) = (ch p)  $\cup$  (ch q)" |
"ch (p | q) = (ch p)  $\cup$  (ch q)"
```

The function `sd` the sequential dependencies and uses `ch` for that.

```
primrec sd :: "'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a) set" where
"sd  $\varepsilon$  = {}" |
"sd (atom c) = {}" |
"sd (p ; q) = {(c, d). c  $\in$  (ch p)  $\wedge$  d  $\in$  (ch q)}  $\cup$  sd(p)  $\cup$  sd(q)" |
"sd (p | q) = sd(p)  $\cup$  sd(q)"

value "ch «'frank'»"
value "sd «'frank'»"
```

We are now able to define the ordering of strings.

```
instantiation str_calc :: (type) ord
begin
definition less_eq_str_calc :: "'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
"less_eq_str_calc p q  $\longleftrightarrow$  sd(q)  $\subseteq$  sd(p)"
definition less_str_calc :: "'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
"less_str_calc p q  $\longleftrightarrow$  sd(q)  $\subset$  sd(p)"
instance ..
end
```

```
instantiation str_calc :: (type) equiv
begin
definition equiv_str_calc :: "'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  'a str_calc  $\Rightarrow$  bool" where
"equiv_str_calc p q  $\longleftrightarrow$  sd(q) = sd(p)"
instance
apply (intro_classes)
apply (unfold less_eq_str_calc_def less_str_calc_def equiv_str_calc_def)
apply (auto)
done
end
```

```
instance str_calc :: (type) preorder
apply (intro_classes)
apply (unfold less_eq_str_calc_def less_str_calc_def)
apply (auto)
done
```

```
interpretation preorder_str_calc:
```

```

preorder "TYPE('a str_calc)" "op ≤"
apply (rule ICL.preorder_leq.preorder_axioms)
done

interpretation iclaw_str_calc:
  iclaw "TYPE('a str_calc)" "op ≤" "op ;" "op |"
apply (unfold_locales)
apply (unfold less_eq_str_calc_def less_str_calc_def)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (simp add: subset_iff)
done

```

8.11 Note: Small interchange laws.

```

lemma empty_str_seq_unit:
  "ε ; s ≅ s"
  "s ; ε ≅ s"
apply (unfold equiv_str_calc_def)
apply (simp_all add: set_eq_iff)
done

```

```

lemma empty_str_par_unit:
  "ε | s ≅ s"
  "s | ε ≅ s"
apply (unfold equiv_str_calc_def)
apply (simp_all add: set_eq_iff)
done

```

```

lemma small_interchange_laws:
  "(p | q) ; s ≤ p | (q ; s)"
  "p ; (r | s) ≤ (p ; r) | s"
  "q ; (r | s) ≤ r | (q ; s)"
  "(p | q) ; r ≤ (p ; r) | q"
  "p ; s ≤ p | s"
  "q ; s ≤ s | q"
apply (unfold less_eq_str_calc_def less_str_calc_def)
apply (simp)
apply (auto)
done

```

8.12 Note: an example derivation

TODO: Construct a proof that replicates the step-wise calculation.

```

lemma example_derivation:
  assumes lhs: "LHS = <<'abcd''> | <<'xyzw''>"
  assumes rhs: "RHS = <<'xaybzwcd''>"
  shows "LHS ≥ RHS"
apply (unfold lhs rhs)
apply (unfold less_eq_str_calc_def less_str_calc_def)
apply (auto)
done
end

```