

Product Evaluation

In order to produce a feasible application that can be accepted by a majority of users, we had to ensure that throughout each stage of iterative development, we evaluated different implementations that we created in our app. In order to evaluate an implementation, we made sure that the app was tested by current students of the university. To produce a fair evaluation, we decided to question two audiences: students who hold a sports membership and students who don't. This allowed us to understand whether, as a whole, our application would be accepted. We evaluated each implementation based on ease of use and whether it is functional. We allowed our audience to test the implementation and provide feedback by means of a questionnaire.

It was important to select an appropriate environment in order to evaluate our application. To target students who hold sports memberships, we believed the Indoor Sports Centre was the most appropriate location. Targeting non-members didn't require us to be in a specific environment but we tried to avoid areas that may have a majority of membership holders i.e sport facilities. To test an implementation, we'd use an android smartphone which already has the application installed with the implementation required to be evaluated. We'd allow the user to test aspects such as the general ease to access that implementation and use it. We then asked them to fill out a short questionnaire which is targeted to that implementation specifically. We aimed to have our implementation tested by at least 15 people (members and non-members) to ensure we receive accurate results.

Alongside the testing of our implementation, we used a questionnaire in order to document our responses. The questionnaire mainly highlighted aspects such as how easy it is to use/navigate the app, whether the user interface is appealing, whether they'd use the app again, if they liked the implementation, etc.

Using the responses from our questionnaire, we then looked into how we can further improve our application. This meant carefully analysing the responses and deciding how we can change that implementation for our final product. For example, when we first implemented the user interface, we received a lot of responses that mentioned the colour scheme was a bit too dark and unappealing. We therefore opted for a much more appealing colour scheme. We made sure to have this re-evaluated and the majority of the responses stated the UI was much more appealing with the new colour scheme. When we implemented the events page of the app, a lot of the responses stated that they'd like an option to filter the results. We took this response onboard and implemented a filter for searching for events. This resulted in more positive responses.

HWB Questionnaire

Do you currently hold a sports membership with Bristol Uni?

Yes No

How did you find the general design of the User Interface?

Very appealing appealing Not appealing

How easy was it to navigate through the User Interface?

Very Easy Easy Moderately Easy Quite Hard Very Hard

Did you experience any bugs/crashes when using the feature?

Yes No

If yes, please explain what the issue was:

Do you think this feature is useful?

Yes No

Would you use this feature again?

Yes No

If previously answered no, why?
