

1.

One way to solve this problem is by dynamic programming.

Subproblems: Define $L(i, j)$ to be the probability of obtaining exactly j heads amongst the first i coin tosses.

Algorithm and Recursion: By the definition of L and the independence of the tosses, it is clear that:

$$L(i, j) = p_i L(i-1, j-1) + (1-p_i) L(i-1, j) \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, i$$

We can then compute all $L(i, j)$ by initializing $L(0, 0) = 1$, $L(i, j) = 0$ for $j < 0$, and proceeding incrementally (in the order $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with inner loop $j = 0, 1, \dots, i$). The final answer is given by $L(n, k)$.

Correctness and Running Time: The recursion is correct as we can get j heads in i coin tosses either by obtaining $j-1$ heads in the first $i-1$ coin tosses and throwing a head on the last coin, which takes place with probability $p_i L(i-1, j-1)$, or by having already j heads after $i-1$ tosses and throwing a tail last, which has probability $(1-p_i) L(i-1, j)$. Besides, these two events are disjoint, so the sum of their probabilities equals $L(i, j)$. Finally, computing each subproblem takes constant time, so the algorithm runs in $O(n^2)$ time.

Another approach is to observe that the probability is exactly the coefficient of x^k in the polynomial $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i x + (1-p_i))$. We can compute $g(x)$ using divide-and-conquer in $O(n \log^2 n)$ time by recursively computing $g_1(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (p_i x + (1-p_i))$, $g_2(x) = \prod_{i=n/2+1}^n (p_i x + (1-p_i))$ and then using FFT to calculate $g(x) = g_1(x) g_2(x)$.

2.

Since FACTORING is in NP (we can check a factorization in polynomial time), $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ would mean the factors of a number can be *found* in polynomial time. Since in RSA, we know (N, e) as the public key, we can factor N to find p and q and in polynomial time. We can then compute $d = e^{-1} \bmod (p-1)(q-1)$ using Euclid's algorithm. If X is the encrypted message, then $X^e \bmod N$ gives the original message.

3.

We give a reduction from CLIQUE to KITE. Given an instance (G, k) of CLIQUE, we add a tail of k new vertices to every vertex of G to obtain a new graph G' . Since the added tails are just paths and cannot contribute to a clique, G' has a kite with $2k$ nodes if and only if G has a clique of size k .

4.

- a) This is the same problem as finding a (s_1, s_2) min-cut, which can be done by a maximum flow computation in polynomial time.
- b) Find a (s_1, s_2) mincut $E_1 \subseteq E$ using maximum flow. Suppose s_1 and s_3 fall on the same side of the cut (the other case is symmetric). Compute then a (s_1, s_3) mincut $E_2 \subseteq E$ and output $E_1 \cup E_2$. To see this is a 2-approximation, consider the optimal multiway cut E^* : because E^* is both a (s_1, s_2) cut and a (s_1, s_3) cut, we have $|E_1| \leq E^*$ and $|E_2| \leq E^*$. Hence, $|E_1 \cup E_2| \leq |E_1| + |E_2| \leq 2|E^*|$, as required.
- c) We need to define a neighborhood structure on the subsets of E whose removal disconnects the input terminals. The most natural choice is to have two subsets be neighbors if the size of their symmetric difference is less than some fixed number t . Notice that the size of each neighborhood is at most $|E|^t$.