CS 590 Reference Sheet

Matt Dickenson

## **Asymptotic Notation**

- $f(n) = o(g(n)) : 0 \le f(n) < cg(n)$
- $f(n) = O(g(n)): 0 \le f(n) \le cg(n)$  (upper bound)
- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \leftrightarrow \Omega(g(n)) \& O(g(n))$
- $f(n) = \Omega(g(n): 0 \le cg(n) \le f(n)$  (lower bound)
- $f(n) = \omega(g(n): 0 \le cg(n) < f(n)$

## **Useful Equations**

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) = \Theta(n^2)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} p^i = \frac{1-p^{k+1}}{1-p}$$
Stirling:  $n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} (\frac{n}{e})^n$ 

## **Amortized Analysis**

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(D_{i}) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{c}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} + \Phi(D_{n}) - \Phi(D_{0})$$

**Master Method** For recurrences of the form T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n), where a is the number of branches at each level, b is the reduction in size at each level, and f(n) is the initial cost:

Case 1:  $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon}) \to T(n) = \Theta(n \log_b a), \epsilon > 0$ : f(n) is polynomially slower than  $n^{\log_b a}$ , weight increases toward leaves because b < a

Case 2:  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) \to T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log^{k+1} n), k \ge 0$ :  $f(n) \& n^{\log_b a}$  grow similarly, weight remains constant because b = a

Case 3:  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon}) \to T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ : weight decreases because b > a

Amortized Analysis The amortized cost (upper bound)  $\hat{c}_i$  for the potential function  $\Phi$  and data  $D_i$  is defined as:

$$\hat{c}_{i} = c_{i} + \Phi(D_{i}) - \Phi(D_{i-1})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{c}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} + \Phi(D_{n}) - \Phi(D_{0})$$

**Hashing** A hash function is *perfect* if it causes no collisions, but there is no perfect hash function if |S| > m.

Chaining: put a linked list at each of the slots in the table, cost is proportional to length of the lists. Good hash functions:

$$h(k) = k mod m$$

$$h(k) = (ak + b) mod m$$

$$h(k) = ((ax + b) mod p) mod m$$

A family H of hash functions from U to M is 2-universal if for all  $x \neq y$ ,  $Pr(h(x) = h(y)) \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . BUT there are  $u^m$  functions from U to M, requiring  $m \log u$  bits to choose/represent/store. We just pick one at random. For r operations, the expected total work is  $r(1 + \frac{s}{m})$ .

Good hashing: Let m be a prime number,  $(x_0, ..., x_r)$  represent a key  $x, \bar{a} = (a_0, ..., a_r)$ .

$$h_{\bar{a}}(x) = (\sum_{i=0}^{r} a_i x_i) \mod m$$

$$H = \{h_{\bar{a}}(x) | a_i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}\}$$

Open-addressing: Load factor for number of keys n is  $\alpha = \frac{n}{m}$ . Search takes  $\Theta(1+\alpha)$  expected time. Because elements are stored in the table itself, the load factor cannot exceed 1. The expected number of probes for inserting in a table with load factor  $\alpha$  is  $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ . The expected number of probes for a successful search is  $\frac{1}{\alpha}ln\frac{1}{1-\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha}$ .