CPS 430/590.06 **Prof. John Reif**
Design and Analysis of Algorithms **Fall 2013**

Question 1

From largest to smallest, the asymptotic size of the given functions are (with lg taken to represent log_2 and lg^{1000} taken to mean $(lg(n))^{1000}$):

$$lg(n^{1000}) \tag{1}$$
$$(lgn)^n \tag{2}$$
$$n^{lgn} \tag{3}$$
$$n^{lglgn} \tag{4}$$
$$(lgn)^{(lgn)} \tag{5}$$
$$lg^{1000}n \tag{6}$$
$$n^2 \tag{7}$$
$$nlgn \tag{8}$$
$$n \tag{9}$$
$$lgn \tag{10}$$
$$n^{(1/lgn)} \tag{11}$$
$$(1+0.001)^n \tag{12}$$
$$lg_{1000}n \tag{13}$$
$$1 \tag{14}$$

Question 2

The answers to this question rely on the discussion of the master method in chapter 4 of the CLRS textbook.

2.1) $T(n) = 2T(n/3)+1$, so $a = 2, b = 3, f(n) = 1)$. Using the master method, this is case 1: $f(n) = O(n^{\log_3 2-\epsilon})$, so $\mathbf{T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_3 2})}$.

2.2) $T(n) = 5T(n/4) + n$, so $a = 5, b = 4, f(n) = n$. Again this is case 1 of the master method: $f(n) = O(n^{\log_4 5-\epsilon})$, so $\mathbf{T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_5 4})}$.

2.3) $T(n) = 8T(n/2)+n^3$, so $a = 8, b = 2, f(n) = n^3$. This is case 2 of the master method: $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 8})$, so $\mathbf{T(n) = \Theta(n^3 lgn)}$

2.4) $T(n) = T(n^{1/2}) + 1$. This recurrence relation cannot be directly translated into the form of the master method, so we use a change of variables $m = lgn$. $S(m) = S(m/2)+1$, so $a_m = 1, b_m = 2, f(m) = 1$. This is case 2 of the master method, because $S(m) = \Theta(m^{\log_2 1}) = \Theta(mlgm)$. Changing back to our original variables, $T(n) = T(2^m) = S(m) = \Theta(mlgm) = \mathbf{\Theta(lgn\ lglgn)}$

Question 3

Question 4

Suppose we label the three pegs P_1, P_2, and P_3. Without loss of generality, we can assume that initially all n pegs are on P_1 and our goal is to move them to P_3 under the constraints posed in the question. For convenience we also label the $n \geq 1$ discs $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ where d_1 is the smallest and d_n is the largest disc. Let $T(n)$ denote the number of steps required for n discs.

Our algorithm must first handle the base case, which is trivial: when $n = 1$, move d_n directly from P_1 to P_3. For any input n, this is the final step. (To help myself visualize the problem I drew out the solution for the first few values of n, but that illustration is omitted here due to preference for a more general solution).

In general, we can solve the the Towers of Hanoi problem in three steps with recursion:

1. Move all discs $d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1}$ from P_1 to P_2
2. Move disc d_n from P_1 to P_3
3. Move discs $d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1}$ from P_2 to P_3.

Notice that by relabeling the discs that step 1 is equivalent to solving the problem at level $T(n-1)$ if our original goal was to move from P_1 to P_2. Similarly, step 3 is equivalent to $T(n-1)$ if the original goal was to move from P_2 to P_3. Step 2 will always take exactly 1 operation.

This gives us the recurrence relation $T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1$. We can get the total number of moves as a function of n in two ways: by building up from the base case and by simple algebra. We will see that they yield the same answer.

Method 1: The first method is inductive. We can easily see that in the base case $T(n = 1) = 1$. Thus, $T(n = 2) = 2(1) + 1 = 3$. Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n = 3) &= 2(3) + 1 && (15) \\
&= 2(2(1)) + 2(1) + 1 && (16) \\
&= 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0 && (17) \\
&= 7 && (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

. More generally, $T(n)$ can be viewed as a summation series: $T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i$.

Method 2: The second method for solving the recurrence relation is more similar to the one we learned in class. For this method we use the recurrence relation $T(n) = 2T(n-1)+1$ and see that at each step we add 2^{n-1} steps (this is also apparent from the result in Method 1 above). In the base case we already have a minimum of $2^1 - 1 = 1$ steps. For $n = 2$ we add $2^{2-1} = 2$ steps for a total of $2 + 1 = 3$ steps. In general, at the n^{th} step we are adding 2^{n-1} steps to a total of $2^{n-1} - 1$ steps from the $(n-1)^{th}$ iteration. Because $2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1} = 2 \times 2^{n-1} = 2^n$, we can simplify the series from Method 1 into the closed form equation $2^n - 1$ steps.

That is, in general for input of size n the Towers of Hanoi algorithm above requires $\mathbf{2^n - 1}$ **operations**.