

1. (a) If $p(x) > 0$, then $\log_2(\frac{1}{p(x)}) \geq 0$, and the product $p(x) \log_2(\frac{1}{p(x)})$ is nonnegative. If $p(x) = 0$, then we have that:

$$\lim_{p(x) \rightarrow 0} p(x) \log_2 \frac{1}{p(x)} = 0.$$

Therefore the entropy is nonnegative, being a sum over nonnegative terms.

- (b) The proof below uses Jensen's inequality:

$$\text{KL}(p||q) = \sum_x p(x) \log_2 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \quad (1)$$

$$= - \sum_x p(x) \log_2 \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \quad (2)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_p \left[- \log_2 \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \quad (3)$$

$$\geq - \log_2 \mathbb{E}_p \left[\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$= - \log_2 \sum_x p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} = 0. \quad (5)$$

In line 2, we reformulate the KL-divergence in terms of the negative-log, which is convex. We then apply Jensen's inequality (line 4) to show that the KL-divergence is nonnegative.

- (c)

$$\text{KL}[p(x, y) || p(x), p(y)] \quad (6)$$

$$= - \sum_{x, y} p(x, y) \log \left(\frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x, y)} \right) = - \sum_{x, y} p(x, y) \log \left(\frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x)p(y|x)} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$= - \sum_{x, y} p(x, y) (\log p(y) - \log p(y|x)) \quad (8)$$

$$= - \sum_y \log p(y) \sum_x p(x, y) + \sum_{x, y} p(x, y) \log p(y|x) \quad (9)$$

$$= - \sum_y p(y) \log p(y) + \sum_x p(x) \sum_y p(y|x) \log p(y|x) \quad (10)$$

$$= H(Y) - \mathbb{E}_{p(x)} [H(Y|X = x)] \quad (11)$$

$$= H(Y) - H(Y|X). \quad (12)$$

2. To use the hint provided in the appendix of the homework, for a fixed t , we define $\phi_t(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2$. First, we prove that this function is convex by using the definition of a convex function. Consider $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. For simplicity in the proof, denote $v_1 = u_1 + t, v_2 = u_2 + t$.

For any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ we have,

$$\phi_t(\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2) = \frac{1}{2}((\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2) - t)^2 \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda)v_2)^2 \quad (14)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda v_1 + (1 - \lambda)v_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(1 - \lambda)(v_1 - v_2)^2 \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\lambda v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)v_2^2 \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\lambda(u_1 - t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(u_2 - t)^2 \quad (17)$$

$$= \lambda\phi_t(u_1) + (1 - \lambda)\phi_t(u_2) \quad (18)$$

The inequality (15) holds since the red term is always non-negative. The red term is the difference between $\lambda\phi_t(u_1) + (1 - \lambda)\phi_t(u_2)$ and $\phi_t(\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2)$. This shows that ϕ_t is a convex function (Another way to show the convexity is to look at the second derivatives of the function).

Consider the set $X = \{h_1(x), \dots, h_m(x)\}$, and suppose a uniform distribution for the elements of X . In other words, for each $h_i(x)$ consider we have a probability $p(h_i(x)) = \frac{1}{m}$. Note that $\mathbb{E}[h(x)] = \bar{h}(x)$. Using the Jensen inequality for ϕ_t and the defined probability distribution,

$$L(\bar{h}(x), t) = \phi_t(\bar{h}(x)) = \phi_t(E[h(x)]) \leq E[\phi_t(h(x))] = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{m} \phi_t(h_i(x)) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L(h_i(x), t) \quad (19)$$

Note: This question can also be solved without using Jensen inequality, by rewriting the difference between the right and the left hand side of the statement as a sum of positive terms.

3. We write the err'_t using the previous weights as,

$$err'_t = \frac{\sum_{i \in E} w'_i}{\sum_{i \in E} w'_i + \sum_{i \in E^c} w'_i} = \frac{\sum_{i \in E} w_i e^{\alpha_t}}{\sum_{i \in E} w_i e^{\alpha_t} + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i e^{-\alpha_t}} \quad (20)$$

Multiplying by $\frac{e^{\alpha_t}}{e^{\alpha_t}}$,

$$= \frac{\sum_{i \in E} w_i e^{2\alpha_t}}{\sum_{i \in E} w_i e^{2\alpha_t} + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i} \quad (21)$$

We also know that $e^{2\alpha_t} = \frac{1 - err_t}{err_t}$. Moreover, using the fact in the second tip,

$$\sum_{i \in E} w_i e^{2\alpha_t} = e^{2\alpha_t} \sum_{i \in E} w_i = \frac{1 - err_t}{err_t} \sum_{i \in E} w_i \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i \in E^c} w_i}{\sum_{i \in E} w_i} \sum_{i \in E} w_i = \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i \quad (23)$$

Using this equality in eq (21) yields the result.

This fact means that if the weak learner of the t -th iteration is used for the $t + 1$ -th iteration, there will be no improvement in our exponential classification loss. Roughly speaking, α_t is the *best* possible ratio at the iteration t for the t -th weak learner. (Similar interpretations might also be correct)