

LINO LASTELLA - 1001237654

①

a. Let X be a discrete random variable with probability mass function p .

Then, by definition, $H(X) = -\sum_x p(x) \log_2(p(x))$, where $x \in \mathcal{X}$, for some finite \mathcal{X} .

Also by definition, $0 < p(x) \leq 1$. We exclude the single value $p(x) = 0$ since $\log_2(0)$ is not defined.

Then, $H(X) = -\sum_x p(x) \log_2(p(x))$ is a

non-negative sum, since $p(x)$ is non-negative and the function \log_2 outputs values less than or equal to zero for any input between 0 and 1, not included (the two minus signs cancel).

b. Let X be a ^{discrete} random variable with probability mass functions p and q , and expectation p .

Then, by definition,

$$KL(p||q) = \sum_x p(x) \log_2\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) = -\sum_x p(x) \log_2\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right).$$

Now notice that since $\log_2(x)$ is concave for any $x > 0$, $-\log_2(x)$ is convex.

Therefore, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} KL(p||q) &= \sum_x \left[p(x) \cdot \left(-\log\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) \right) \right] \\ &= E\left[-\log(X')\right], \text{ for some r.v. } X'(X) = \frac{q(X)}{p(X)} \\ &\geq -\log(E[X']) \\ &= -\log\left(\sum_x p(x) \cdot \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) \\ &= -\log\left(\sum_x q(x)\right) = -\log(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

↓
because $q(x)$ is
still a valid pmf

This means that $KL(p||q)$ is non-negative.

Note: I assumed that both distributions p and q are ≥ 0 and that $KL(p||q) = 0$ whenever $p = q$, which includes the case $p = q = 0$.

New Note: Instructor said to ignore the case $p = q = 0$.

c. Let X, Y be two discrete random variables, where $p(x) = \sum_y p(x, y)$ is the marginal distribution of X .

Define $I(Y; X)$ to be $H(Y) - H(Y|X)$.

Then,

$$I(Y; X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

$$= - \sum_y p(y) \log_2(p(y)) - \sum_x p(x) H(Y|X=x)$$

from marginal d.o. $= - \sum_y (\log_2(p(y)) \sum_x p(x, y)) - \sum_x p(x) H(Y|X=x)$

from $H(Y|X=x)$ formula $= - \sum_{x,y} \log_2(p(y)) \cdot p(x, y) - \sum_x p(x) \left(- \sum_y p(y|x) \log_2(p(y|x)) \right)$

$$= - \sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \log_2(p(y)) + \sum_{x,y} p(x) p(y|x) \log_2(p(y|x))$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \log_2 \left(\frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)} \right) - \sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \log_2(p(y))$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \cdot \left(\log_2 \left(\frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)} \right) + \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{p(y)} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x,y} p(x, y) \log_2 \left(\frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)p(y)} \right)$$

$$= KL(p(x, y) || p(x)p(y))$$

② Let $L(y, t) = \frac{1}{2} (y - t)^2$. Let $\bar{h}(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x)$.

Claim: L is a convex function.

proof:

Let L be a function as above.

then,

$$\nabla L = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y - t \\ t - y \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\nabla^2 L = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y \partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial t \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial t \partial t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

then $v^T \nabla^2 L v = (v_1, v_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$= v_1^2 - v_1 v_2 - v_2 v_1 + v_2^2 = (v_1 - v_2)^2 \geq 0$$

It then follows that the Hessian matrix of L is positive-semidefinite, which implies L is convex.

We can now apply Jensen's Inequality to the estimators h_1, \dots, h_m .

$$L(E[X]) = L(\bar{h}(x), t) \leq E[L(h_i(x), t)] = E[L(h_i(x), t)]$$

(Notice: in this case outputs of each estimator are all equally likely, so average and expected value are equivalent)

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L(h_i(x), t)$$

3

$$\text{err}'_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i' I\{h_t(x^{(i)}) \neq t^{(i)}\}}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i'}$$

Let E , E^c be as described in the handout.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then, } \text{err}'_t &= \frac{\sum_{i \in E} w_i' \cdot 1 + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i' \cdot 0}{\sum_{i \in E} w_i' + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i'} = \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i \in E} w_i \cdot \exp(2\alpha_t I\{h_t(x^{(i)}) \neq t^{(i)}\})}{\sum_{i \in E} w_i \cdot \exp(2\alpha_t I\{h_t(x^{(i)}) \neq t^{(i)}\}) + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i \cdot \exp(2\alpha_t I\{h_t(x^{(i)}) \neq t^{(i)}\})} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i \in E} w_i e^{2\alpha_t}}{\sum_{i \in E} w_i \cdot e^{2\alpha_t} + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i} = \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i \in E} w_i \cdot e^{\log \frac{1-\text{err}_t}{\text{err}_t}}}{\sum_{i \in E} w_i \cdot e^{\log \frac{1-\text{err}_t}{\text{err}_t}} + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i} \quad \text{Since } 2\alpha_t = \log \frac{1-\text{err}_t}{\text{err}_t} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1-\text{err}_t}{\text{err}_t} \cdot \sum_{i \in E} w_i}{\frac{1-\text{err}_t}{\text{err}_t} \cdot \sum_{i \in E} w_i + \sum_{i \in E^c} w_i} \quad \text{Since } \frac{1-\text{err}_t}{\text{err}_t} \text{ does not depend on summation} \\ &= (\text{Next Page}) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that any fraction of the form $\frac{x}{x+y}$ can be rearranged to be $\frac{x}{x+y} = \frac{x}{x(1+\frac{y}{x})} = \frac{1}{1+\frac{y}{x}}$.

So in our case, to show that $en'_t = \frac{1}{2}$ it is sufficient to show that $\frac{\sum_{i \in E^c} w_i}{\frac{1-en_t}{en_t} \cdot \sum_{i \in E} w_i} = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{i \in E^c} w_i}{\frac{1-en_t}{en_t} \sum_{i \in E} w_i} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i - \sum_{i \in E} w_i}{\frac{1-en_t}{en_t} \sum_{i \in E} w_i} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{1-en_t}{en_t}} = \frac{en_t}{1-en_t} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\frac{\sum_{i \in E} w_i}{\sum_{i \in N} w_i} \right)^{-1} = \left(en_t \right)^{-1}$

$$= \frac{1}{en_t} \cdot \frac{en_t}{1-en_t} = \frac{1-en_t}{1-en_t} = 1.$$

$$\text{I.E. } en'_t = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sum_{i \in E^c} w_i}{\frac{1-en_t}{en_t} \sum_{i \in E} w_i}} = \frac{1}{1+1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

The interpretation of this result is that on any new iteration, the error w.r.t. the new weights is constant, which means that a large number of classifiers will not cause overfitting, only improve.