

# Tutorial 9: Multivariate linear regression

Carlo Knotz

## Table of contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Setup</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Packages, data import, and first data cleaning . . . . .	3
2.2	New data management: additional independent variables . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>(New) descriptive statistics</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Regression analysis</b>	<b>7</b>
4.0.1	Models & formulas (again) . . . . .	7
4.1	The <code>lm()</code> function . . . . .	8
4.2	Presenting the regression results in a publication-quality table . . . . .	10
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>12</b>

## 1 Introduction

In the previous tutorial, you learned how to estimate a *bivariate* linear regression model in R. You used a linear regression model to see if people’s level of education influences their level of trust in politicians. The expectation was that higher education would lead to greater trust in politicians, and that is also what we found.

In this tutorial, we go one step further and test if this bivariate relationship stays the same (“is robust”) when we control for additional factors. We do so by estimating a *multivariate* regression model. In this type of model, we estimate the effects of several independent variables (*predictors*) on a dependent variable simultaneously.



Tip

*Hvis du ønsker å lese en norsk tekst **i tillegg**: “Lær deg  $R$ ”, Kapittel 8.*

## 2 Setup

### 2.1 Packages, data import, and first data cleaning

As before, we use the `tidyverse` to help with data management and visualization and `texreg` to make neat-looking regression tables.

```
library(tidyverse)
library(texreg)
```

You should now already know what to do next, and how to do it:

1. Use `haven::read_dta()` to import the ESS round 7 (2014) dataset; save it as `ess7`;
2. Transform the dataset into the familiar format using `labelled::unlabelled()`;
3. Trim the dataset:
  - Keep only observations from Norway;
  - Select the following variables: `essround`, `idno`, `cntry`, `trstplt`, `eduyrs` — and also `agea`, and `gndr`;
  - Use the pipe to link everything;
  - Save the trimmed dataset as `ess7`;
4. If you like, create a data dictionary using `labelled::generate_dictionary()`;
5. Transform the `trstplt` variable from factor to numeric using `as.numeric()`; do not forget to adjust the scores; store the new variable as `trstplt_num`;

## 2.2 New data management: additional independent variables

In addition to the main predictor from the previous tutorial (`eduyrs`, the respondent's level of education), we want to add controls for two additional variables:

- The respondent's age in years, using `agea`
- The respondent's gender, using `gndr`

We take a quick look at how these variables are stored:

```
class(ess7$agea)
[1] "numeric"
class(ess7$gndr)
[1] "factor"
```

Luckily, these variables are stored correctly: `agea` is numeric, and `gndr` is a factor — as they should be.

### 3 (New) descriptive statistics

As before, we print out some descriptive statistics for our variables. It is important to report these so that readers get an idea of how our data look like and can interpret the results correctly.


To report statistics for our numeric variables, we simply expand the descriptive table we created in the previous tutorial:

```
bst290::oppsumtabell(dataset = ess7,  
                      variables = c("trstplt_num", "agea", "eduyrs"))
```

Variable	trstplt_num	agea	eduyrs
Observations	1429.00	1436.00	1434.00
Average	5.26	46.77	13.85
25th percentile	4.00	32.00	11.00
Median	5.00	47.00	14.00
75th percentile	7.00	61.25	17.00
Stand. Dev.	1.95	18.68	3.72
Minimum	0.00	15.00	0.00
Maximum	10.00	104.00	30.00
Missing	7.00	0.00	2.00

The gender-variable is not numeric, so including it in the summary table is not recommended. But you can report its distribution using a simple bar graph:

```
ess7 %>%  
  ggplot(aes(x = gndr)) +  
    geom_bar() +  
    labs(x = "Respondent's gender",  
         y = "Number of observations") +  
    theme_bw()
```



Alternatively, you could also create a simple table with `table()`:

```
table(ess7$gndr)  
  
Male Female  
  764    672
```

## 4 Regression analysis

### 4.0.1 Models & formulas (again)

Recall the formula for the *bivariate* regression model we estimated in the last tutorial. This model included only a single independent variable (`eduyrs`) but no control variables:

$$\text{trstplt\_num} = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{eduyrs} + \epsilon$$

Now we expand this model by adding more independent variables — the model becomes *multivariate*:

$$\text{trstplt\_num} = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{eduyrs} + \beta_2 \text{gndr} + \beta_3 \text{agea} + \epsilon$$

As before:

- $\alpha$  is still the intercept (*konstantledd*).
- The  $\beta$ s are the regression coefficients (or “*weights*”, *stigningstall*).
- $\epsilon$  is still the error term.

In R, the formula for the multivariate model (with all control variables) would be written like this:

```
trstplt_num ~ eduyrs + gndr + agea
```

As before, R takes care of the intercept and the error term — all we have to do to get a multivariate regression model is to list more than one independent variable. Easy.

## 4.1 The `lm()` function

Just to refresh your memory, we first estimate the bivariate regression model from the previous tutorial and store the result as `model1`:

```
model1 <- lm(trstplt_num ~ eduysrs,  
             data = ess7)
```

If you like, you can also print out the result with `summary()`:

```
summary(model1)  
  
Call:  
lm(formula = trstplt_num ~ eduysrs, data = ess7)  
  
Residuals:  
      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max   
-5.7148 -1.1966  0.0996  1.4332  5.0256   
  
Coefficients:  
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)      
(Intercept)  4.23401     0.19737  21.452 < 2e-16 ***  
eduysrs       0.07404     0.01377   5.378 8.78e-08 ***  
---  
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
  
Residual standard error: 1.932 on 1425 degrees of freedom  
  (9 observations deleted due to missingness)  
Multiple R-squared:  0.0199,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.01921  
F-statistic: 28.93 on 1 and 1425 DF,  p-value: 8.779e-08
```



In the next step, we test if the effect of `eduyrs` is robust to including the additional control variables: gender and age.

This means that we estimate our multivariate model, store the result as `model2`, and print out the results:

```
model2 <- lm(trstplt_num ~ eduyrs + gndr + agea, data = ess7)
summary(model2)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = trstplt_num ~ eduyrs + gndr + agea, data = ess7)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-5.7539	-1.1985	0.1983	1.4072	5.2348

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	4.621764	0.249674	18.511	< 2e-16 ***
eduyrs	0.069118	0.013861	4.986	6.91e-07 ***
gndrFemale	0.070814	0.102590	0.690	0.49014
agea	-0.007544	0.002756	-2.737	0.00627 **

----

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.928 on 1423 degrees of freedom

(9 observations deleted due to missingness)

Multiple R-squared: 0.02526, Adjusted R-squared: 0.0232

F-statistic: 12.29 on 3 and 1423 DF, p-value: 6.121e-08

You see that the output looks the same as before, just with more coefficients listed. Notice also that R has automatically figured out that `gndr` is a factor variable and included a *dummy* only for women (`gndrFemale`) into the model (as also explained in Kellstedt & Whitten, Chapter 11.2).

Now it gets tricky: How do you make sense of these results? Kellstedt & Whitten (2018, Chapters 10 & 11) or Solbakken (*Statistikk for Nybegynnere*, Chapters 6 & 8) explain this.

## 4.2 Presenting the regression results in a publication-quality table

To present the results and export them as a nice-looking table, we use again the `texreg` package.

First, we print out a preview of our table using the `screenreg()` function. Now we have two regression models to print, so we have to list both in the function:

```
screenreg(list(model1, model2))
```

	Model 1	Model 2
(Intercept)	4.23 *** (0.20)	4.62 *** (0.25)
eduyrs	0.07 *** (0.01)	0.07 *** (0.01)
gndrFemale		0.07 (0.10)
agea		-0.01 ** (0.00)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.02	0.03
Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.02	0.02
Num. obs.	1427	1427

\*\*\* p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; \* p < 0.05

When you look at the table, you can directly see the differences between the two models. Model 1 included only the intercept and education (`eduyrs`), while model 2 also included age and gender as control variables. The table includes all the variables' coefficients: You see, for instance, the coefficients for education and how they differ (or not) between the two models.

Next, we add proper labels for the coefficients and trim the significance stars:

```
screenreg(list(model1,model2),
          custom.coef.names = c("Intercept",
                                "Years of educ. completed",
                                "Female",
                                "Age"),
          stars = 0.05)
```

	Model 1	Model 2
Intercept	4.23 * (0.20)	4.62 * (0.25)
Years of educ. completed	0.07 * (0.01)	0.07 * (0.01)
Female		0.07 (0.10)
Age		-0.01 * (0.00)
R <sup>2</sup>	0.02	0.03
Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.02	0.02
Num. obs.	1427	1427

\* p < 0.05

Finally, we use the `wordreg()` function to export the table to a Microsoft Word document:

```
wordreg(list(model1,model2),
        custom.coef.names = c("Intercept",
                                "Years of educ. completed",
                                "Female",
                                "Age"),
        stars = 0.05,
        file = "ols_models.doc")
```

## 5 Conclusion

Now you know how to estimate, interpret, and present a multivariate linear regression model in R. This opens a lot of doors, because you can now use data such as those from the ESS to really disentangle the drivers and determinants of complex social and political phenomena. Also, if you read political science and sociological research, you will find many, many publications that use this type of method, so you now have first-hand knowledge of what is behind the results that are presented there.

You can again find an interactive tutorial to practice working with linear regression models a bit more — by continuing the earlier analysis on why some people are happier than others.