

A ball pool model to illustrate Higgs physics to the public

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2017 Phys. Educ. 52 023001

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0031-9120/52/2/023001>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 134.94.182.233

This content was downloaded on 22/05/2017 at 07:45

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[Unveiling the Higgs mechanism to students](#)

Giovanni Organtini

[Search for Higgs and Supersymmetry](#)

Dirk Zerwas

[The symmetry and simplicity of the laws of physics and the Higgs boson](#)

Juan Maldacena

[Effective SUSY modified after LHC run](#)

Jihn E Kim

[The Higgs field as an inflaton](#)

Fedor Bezrukov

[On the phenomenology of a two-Higgs-doublet model with maximal CP symmetry at the LHC](#)

M. Maniatis and O. Nachtmann

[Molasses or crowds: making sense of the Higgs boson with two popular analogies](#)

S Alsop and S Beale

[Fourth family neutrinos and the Higgs boson at the LHC](#)

T. Çuhadar-Dönszelmann, M. Karagöz Ünel, V.E. Özcan et al.

[Searching for the triplet Higgs sector via central exclusive production at the LHC](#)

M. Chaichian, P. Hoyer, K. Huitu et al.

A ball pool model to illustrate Higgs physics to the public

Giovanni Organtini

Sapienza Università di Roma & INFN-Sez. di Roma, Roma, P.le A. Moro 2 I-00185, Italy

E-mail: giovanni.organtini@roma1.infn.it



Abstract

A simple model is presented to explain Higgs boson physics to the grand public. The model consists of a children's ball pool representing a Universe filled with a certain amount of the Higgs field. The model is suitable for usage as a hands-on tool in scientific exhibits and provides a clear explanation of almost all the aspects of the physics of the Higgs field interaction with other particles.

1. Introduction

The Higgs boson was discovered in 2012 by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations at LHC [1, 2]. The physics of Higgs boson interactions with other particles and with itself has been elucidated by few qualitative models, suitable to illustrate it to undergraduate students and to the grand public. A very popular model is the one formulated by David Miller of University College London (for which he won a champagne bottle awarded by the UK Science Minister William Waldegrave intended for those who are able to explain the Higgs mechanism to people) [3].

Since then a number of analogies have been proposed to help people understand the Higgs mechanism, most of which rely on the analogy of the Higgs field with a viscous medium in which particles move slower than in air. Such an analogy provides an effective way to illustrate how the interaction of a field with a massless particle gives rise to the mass of the latter: the mass appears as a reduction of the particle speed in a *vacuum*, where the *vacuum* is a state with the minimum possible energy.

These analogies do not face the problem of explaining the nature of the vacuum state that does not correspond to an empty space, but to a space filled with a certain amount of Higgs field for which the Higgs auto-interaction potential

attains its minimum. They also fail in explaining what it means to *observe* a Higgs boson.

In our recent works we provided two alternative models addressing these problems. One is a video (in Italian) [4] illustrating the interaction of massless particles, represented by steel balls, with the Higgs field represented by magnets. In this video a massless particle interacts with the field that *limits* its ability to move in a given region of space, depending on the nature of the particle (i.e. on the coupling constant between the field and the particle). Another resource is a paper [5] illustrating the Higgs mechanism using a completely classical formalism, suitable to be understood by most of teachers (even those who does not know about quantum mechanics) and at least some of the students.

None of the models cited before are suitable for the realisation of a *hands on* installation, as a scientific museum or institution may be required. In this paper we propose a model that is very attractive because it permits the realisation of an installation in which people can immerse themselves to directly experiment the interaction with a Higgs boson. In the following sections we illustrate the installation and its usage as a pedagogical tool to address all the aspects of the interaction of the Higgs field with massless particles, namely:

- (i) contrary to familiar fields, when the Higgs potential V attains its minimum value $V_0 = V(\phi_0)$ in a volume there is, in fact, a certain amount of field $\phi_0 \neq 0$ that, however, is unobservable;
- (ii) if the amount of the Higgs field $\phi = \phi_0 + \eta$ inside this volume is reduced or increased, the potential changes and the Higgs field becomes observable (this is an optional feature);
- (iii) a massless particle in such a field moves with a speed lower than the speed of light, just because it interacts with the Higgs field;
- (iv) the interaction of matter fields with the Higgs field may lead to the observation of a Higgs boson.

Section 2 describes the setup of the installation; section 3 shows how to use the installation to illustrate the properties of the Higgs boson.

2. The setup

A very simple setup can be realised with a children's ball pool, such as in figure 1. The walls of the pool must be opaque and the pool must be partially filled. It should stay at a height such that people looking from outside cannot see its content. Optionally, the pool must sit on a scale through which its weight can be measured. The sensitivity of the scale must be good enough to make it possible to appreciate a variation in weight due to the removal of few balls and must indicate zero in normal conditions.

The pool represents the Universe, while the balls represent the Higgs field permeating the Universe. The balls have a nice feature in this context: while acting almost as a fluid when treated as a whole, they are discrete objects. Such a feature provides a very good support to explain the dual nature of quantum fields that behave, at the same time, as waves and particles.

The setup is easy to be realised, cost effective and clean (no liquids required).

3. Illustration of Higgs physics

In this section we illustrate the various aspects of Higgs physics using our model of the Universe, represented by the pool, and of the Higgs field, represented by the balls.



Figure 1. A children's ball pool is a good model of a Universe filled by a certain amount of Higgs field (image is a courtesy of Tutto Gonfiabili—Play Wily).

3.1. The Higgs field at its minimum possible energy

The first feature of the Higgs field, listed in section 1, namely the fact that the Higgs field is unobservable when it attains its minimum energy, is shown by looking at the pool from the outside. The balls cannot be seen and a *scientist* can only conclude that the Universe, represented by the pool, is empty. However, we do know that such a Universe is not empty: there is, actually, some *field* inside. The only way to try to detect this field is to interact with it.

3.2. Changing the amount of the fields changes the potential

In the Higgs mechanism, the field ϕ interacts with itself with a potential reaching its minimum for a value $\phi_0 \neq 0$. That means that the state of the lowest possible energy consists in a state in which some Higgs field is present, contrary to what happens in the case of the more familiar electromagnetic field, for which the minimum possible energy is attained when both the electric field E and the magnetic field B are zero.

A consequence of this behaviour is the following. In classical physics, if a given volume is *empty* its energy attains its lowest possible value. Such a state is called the *vacuum* state meaning that, to increase its energy, something must be *added* to the volume. In the case of the Higgs field, the lowest possible value of the energy in a volume is obtained when the volume contains a field $\phi = \phi_0$. Adding some Higgs field to such a volume increases its energy, as for the electromagnetic field. However,

in this case, the energy inside the volume increases even when the Higgs field is removed from the volume. For this reason the vacuum state, considered as the state with the lowest possible energy, no longer coincide with an empty state.

In order to detect the presence of some field or particle in a volume we must interact with that field or particle. As a result the energy of the field or particle changes. In the case of the Higgs field, the only way to detect its presence consists of interacting with it, causing an increase of the energy in the volume in which it is contained. For this reason it is impossible to *see* the field ϕ_0 : each time one tries to interact with it, the energy in the volume increases and that implies an increase of the field $\phi > \phi_0$.

Removing or adding some extra field to our setup means removing or adding balls from it. This changes the weight of the pool and the effect can be read on the scale. When the scale is not at zero the system is not in equilibrium. Correspondingly one can *see* the removed balls or the added ones from outside, concluding that there is something else in the region out of the vacuum. The only way to return the system to the stable condition is to bring it back to the original condition of zero weight. Here, the weight of the system plays the role of the Higgs potential. When such a potential is null the system is at its equilibrium and this happens when there is a specific amount of field inside the volume, that cannot however be detected. The detection of some extra Higgs field implies a non vanishing potential.

This analogy is only partial, in fact. While the Higgs potential always increases, irrespective of the sign of η , the weight of the system either increases or decreases. However, the scale can be configured such that it only shows the absolute value of the difference in weight and this recovers the analogy.

Moreover, the Higgs field would spontaneously recover the equilibrium, if the field content changes, while in this case this does not happen. Manifestly, the proposed setup is only a metaphor of the Higgs field and thus cannot be fully consistent with it.

As outlined above, this part of the exhibit can optionally be ignored, being also rather difficult to control, especially when the device is not supervised by experts. This feature makes the setup very flexible and suitable for different environmental conditions.

3.3. The interaction with matter particles

The mass of the fermions in the standard model (SM) arise from the Yukawa interaction of otherwise massless particles with the Higgs field at its minimum value [6]. In other words, the mass of a particle ceases to be a *property* of that particle, like its electric charge, but arises from dynamical effects consisting in the interaction of the Higgs field with the particle itself that, in this model, would be massless if not interacting with such a field.

Explaining how an interaction can lead to the appearance of a mass for a particle has been the goal of most of the metaphors developed so far (see, e.g. [3]).

In our analogy, a massless particle is represented by a person moving in the space around our Universe consisting of the pool. Those people can move at their own speed without limitations. If the same person walks inside the Universe filled with the Higgs field, its speed is reduced, as if it acquires inertial mass. On the other hand, inertial mass is a measure of how difficult is to make a particle accelerate. The reduction of the particle speed depends on the fact that now the *particle* interacts with the *field*.

Different people may interact differently with the balls, as different particles do with the Higgs field, leading to particles with different mass. A muon differs from an electron just because its interaction with the Higgs field is stronger than that of the electron. A tall person may move faster than a short boy in the ball filled Universe, because the degree of interaction of the latter is higher.

Experimenting the effect by themselves makes it extremely clear one of the most difficult concepts to understand in Higgs physics: how the interaction with a field can lead to a mass for an otherwise massless particle.

In summary, people observing a person (representing a massless particle) walking inside the pool can only measure the speed of it (the particle) as lower than it would be outside the *Universe*.

The conclusion is that *particles* that move at the same speed in an empty Universe, move with different speeds in a Universe filled with some Higgs field at its lowest energy state, that however appears as empty since such a field is not observable. The speed of each particle depends on the

coupling between the Higgs field and the particle: the stronger the coupling, the lower the speed, hence the higher the inertial mass.

3.4. Observing a Higgs boson

When a person moves inside the pool, people can only see part of its body. They do not see the *field*: it is still not possible to observe any Higgs boson in these conditions.

In order to observe a Higgs boson in an experiment what we need to do is to provide enough energy to the field such that it can *materialise* into a particle that eventually will disappear decaying into a pair of other particles. As a matter of fact, Higgs bosons can be produced at LHC because the energy of the collision between protons turns into the mass of the Higgs boson plus other particles. In other words, one needs to transfer enough energy to the vacuum to produce real observable Higgs bosons.

In order to provide enough energy to the vacuum field, one may run inside the pool at enough speed. In this way the kinetic energy of the *particle* interacting with the Higgs field can be gained by such a field and few balls can scatter high enough to become visible out of the walls of the pool. Soon after the balls return to their original position, however the bottom line will be that people observing a certain region of the Universe in which particles are injected with enough energy may lead to the production of new particles, otherwise unobservable: these new particles can exist only for a very limited amount of time and are what physicists call the Higgs bosons.

3.5. Caveats

It is important that a public installation conveys the right messages and does not become merely a toy to play with. To this purpose, the shape and colour of the pool can be adjusted such that the attention of the visitors is maintained on the installation topic. For example, if the pool is narrow and long, such that visitors can only walk along its length, part of the playful aspect vanishes or, at least, diminishes. A similar effect can be obtained using monochromatic balls (and possibly of a neutral colour), in contrast with those found in playgrounds and shown in figure 1.

On the other hand, the requirements in terms of size and shape of the model are not so stringent. Even if, as stated above, a narrow, long enough

pool helps in conveying the right message, the proposed metaphor can be realised at almost any size. A tabletop setup, for example, can be used almost in the same way: in this case one can use a cart moved by hands to simulate the effects of a person walking in the pool. The perception would be similar and understanding why the interaction leads to the appearance of a mass would be equally convincing.

4. Summary

We described a possible installation that can be used in scientific exhibits as well as in classrooms to explain the physics of the Higgs boson to the grand public. Such an installation is easy to realise and cost effective and potentially addresses all the features of the Higgs field interaction with other particles. It is aimed at all ages and versatile enough to modulate the level of the analogy according to the audience background.

Acknowledgments

I am indebted with the Director of Exhibits and Media Studio at the S Francisco's Exploratorium Thomas Rockwell for his precious suggestions and his advices.

Received 1 October 2016, in final form 12 November 2016

Accepted for publication 24 November 2016

doi:10.1088/1361-6552/aa4f8a

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration 2012 Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC *Phys. Lett. B* **716** 1–29
- [2] CMS Collaboration 2012 Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC *Phys. Lett. B* **716** 30–61
- [3] Miller D J 1993 A quasi-political explanation of the Higgs boson (www.hep.ucl.ac.uk/~djm/higgsa.html) (Accessed: 7 December 2016)
- [4] Organtini G 2012 Il meccanismo di Higgs on (<https://youtu.be/Dkd0--yxI0w>) (Accessed: 7 December 2016)
- [5] Organtini G 2012 Unveiling the Higgs mechanism to students *Eur. J. Phys.* **33** 1397–406
Organtini G 2016 The Higgs mechanism for undergraduate students *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.* **273–5** 2572–4
- [6] Olive K A *et al* and Particle Data Group 2014 *Chin. Phys. C* **38** 090001



Giovanni Organtini is Associate Professor of Experimental Physics at Sapienza Università di Roma and a member of the CMS Collaboration at the CERN LHC, where he contributed to the discovery of the Higgs boson having designed and built a large portion of the electromagnetic calorimeter of the detector: the fundamental tool for the observation of

the Higgs boson decay into two photons. He is currently involved in running the CMS detector and takes the responsibility of the Roma Tier-2 Computing Centre: a part of the worldwide LHC Computing Grid. He recently joined the PADME Collaboration aiming at the discovery of low mass dark matter particles at INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati. Giovanni Organtini is also deeply involved in outreach and continuous education activities and serves as the Director of the Physics Museum of Sapienza Università di Roma.