

Astrophysicists' Attitudes toward Public Outreach - Farahi Supplemental Material

Dark Energy Survey Scientists' Attitudes Towards STEM Engagement and Public Outreach

The goal of this survey is to assess the general attitudes and opinions of scientists in The Dark Energy Survey (DES) towards Education & Public Outreach (EPO) in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM). Survey results will help us learn why DES scientists are/are not inclined to participate in EPO and what factors may increase EPO participation. Published results from this survey will likely be informative for the EPO efforts of future large-scale astronomy collaborations.

All information gathered in this survey will be completely anonymous and will be used for a science communication research analysis to be published in an academic journal. By participating in this survey, you give official consent that your responses may be used for research purposes.

Your participation in this study is entirely voluntary; refusal to participate will involve no penalty or loss of benefits to which the you are otherwise entitled, and you may discontinue participation at any time without penalty or loss of benefits.

The probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated by participation in this study are not greater than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests.

By participating in this study, you will be contributing to the growing body of knowledge in the science communication research field. Your responses will help inform EPO practices for future large-scale astronomy surveys and help provide data necessary for evidence-based suggestions for EPO policy change.

The survey is divided into three sections: 1) demographic information, 2) general attitudes about EPO, and 3) attitudes specifically about the DES EPO program.

Not all questions in the survey are mandatory. We expect it will take no longer than 20 minutes to complete.

Any questions regarding data collection or analysis may be sent to des.outreach.coordinators@gmail.com

* Required

Scientists' Attitudes Towards EPO - GENERAL

In this section, you will be asked about your general opinions about STEM education and public outreach (EPO).

In answering these questions, you should consider not only your opinions about DES EPO, but your attitudes towards EPO throughout the entirety of your career. EPO across any and all STEM disciplines, not just astronomy, should be considered.

For the purpose of this survey, we will define STEM EPO as all activities which fall under the umbrella of "science communication" as defined in Burns et al. 2012. This includes undergraduate teaching, participation in science festivals, mentoring, etc.



SCIENCE COMMUNICATION (SciCom) may be defined as the use of appropriate skills, media, activities, and dialogue to produce one or more of the following personal responses to science (the vowel analogy)

Awareness, including familiarity with new aspects of science

Enjoyment or other affective responses, e.g. appreciating science as entertainment or art

Interest, as evidenced by voluntary involvement with science or its communication

Opinions, the forming, reforming, or confirming of science-related attitudes

Understanding of science, its content, processes, and social factors

Science communication may involve science practitioners, mediators, and other members of the general public, either peer-to-peer or between groups.

1.	Please take 30 seconds to list the first three (or more) STEM EPO activities which come to mind. These should be any activities which come to mind, not only activities in which you have participated. *
2.	What do you think is the general purpose of EPO? Check all that apply.*
	Check all that apply. To encourage young persons to go into STEM fields
	To educate the non-specialist public about critical thinking and the scientific method
	To increase public awareness of science and research
	To improve the status/perception of the engager/institution/collaboration
	To develop professional skils (i.e., presentation skills, writing/giving talks)
	To develop a sense of camaraderie amongst my science colleagues
	For personal enjoyment
	Other:



3. Do you think engaging in EPO is part of your professional responsibility as a scientist? *
Mark only one oval.
Yes
Yes, but only the "Education" component, i.e., teaching undergraduates, mentoring early career scientists
Yes, but only the "Public Outreach" component, i.e., participating in science festivals, doing demonstrations in K-12 classrooms
○ No
I don't know
4. Do you think engaging in EPO should be part of your professional responsibility as a scientist? *
Mark only one oval.
Yes
Yes, but only the "Education" component, i.e., teaching undergraduates, mentoring early career scientists
Yes, but only the "Public Outreach" component, i.e., participating in science festivals, doing demonstrations in K-12 classrooms
○ No
I don't know
5. Do you think engaging in EPO should be a personal responsibility of a scientist (i.e., in the spirit of teaching others and/or advancing human knowledge)? * Mark only one oval.
Yes
Yes, but only the "Education" component, i.e., teaching undergraduates, mentoring early career scientists
Yes, but only the "Public Outreach" component, i.e., participating in science festivals, doing demonstrations in K-12 classrooms
○ No
I don't know

Scientists' Attitudes Towards EPO - GENERAL ENGAGEMENT



6. On a scale of 1-5, with 1 being the "Least Impactful/Valuable to the Audience" and 5 being the "Most Impactful/Valuable to the Audience," rank the following EPO activities.

Mark only one oval per row.

	1 (Least)	2	3	4	5 (Most)	Not impactful / Should not count as EPO	l don't know
Public presentations/lectures							
Science fairs/festivals)(
On-air media (e.g., TV, radio)							
Social media (Personal, i.e. from a personal Twitter account)							
Social Media (Organizational, i.e., on behalf of DES)							
Webpage Development							
Science journalism/science writing/science blogging							
Elementary/High School Teacher Development							
Undergraduate Teaching		$\bigcirc ($					
Formal science curriculum development							
Informal science curriculum development							
Visual media (e.g., photography, painting, sculpture, animations, videos)							
Audio media (e.g., music, podcasts)							
Comedy/plays/open mic nights							
Volunteering at informal science centers (e.g., museums, community centers, public libraries)							
Volunteering at schools							
Other formal education				$\overline{)}$			
Career talks							
Mentoring							



7. Which types of EPO activities have you been involved in?

Mark only one oval per row.

	I did this activity once	I have done this activity 1-10 times	I engage in this EPO activity regularly (several times per month or year)	My engagement in this EPO activity is regular and ongoing (over several months/years)
Public presentations/lectures				
Science fairs/festivals				
On-air media (e.g., TV, radio)				
Social media (Personal, i.e. from a personal Twitter account)				
Social Media (Organizational, i.e., on behalf of DES)				
Webpage Development				
Science journalism/science writing/science blogging				
Elementary/High School Teacher Development				
Undergraduate Teaching				
Formal science curriculum development				
Informal science curriculum development				
Visual media (e.g., photography, painting, sculpture, animations, videos)				
Audio media (e.g., music, podcasts)				
Comedy/plays/open mic nights				
Volunteering at informal science centers (e.g., museums, community centers, public libraries)				
Volunteering at schools				



Other formal educat	tion _					
Career talks						
Mentoring						
8. When do you primari	ily engage	e in EPC)? *			
Mark only one oval.						
I only engage i	n EPO du	ring my	free time	e (i.e. duri	ng evening	s and on weekends)
I engage in EP	O during v	work hou	urs and d	lurina mv	free time	
	_			annig my	nee ame	
I only engage in	n EPO du	ring wor	k hours			
I don't know						
I do not engage	e in EPO					
9. How long do you spe	end (on av	verage)	ner wee	k on FPC)? *	
Mark only one oval per	-	o.ugo,	po. Woo		•	
Wark only one oval be						
wark only one oval pe.	7011.					
Mark Only One Oval pe	0-1	1-3	3-5	5-10	>10	I don't participate in
Mark Only One Oval pe.		1-3 Hrs	3-5 Hrs	5-10 Hrs	>10 Hrs	I don't participate in EPO
Preparing for activities	0-1	_				
Preparing for	0-1	_				
Preparing for activities	0-1	_				
Preparing for activities Engaging in activities	0-1 Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	EPO O
Preparing for activities Engaging in	0-1 Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	EPO O
Preparing for activities Engaging in activities	0-1 Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	EPO O
Preparing for activities Engaging in activities 10. How long would you	0-1 Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs e) per we	Hrs	PO
Preparing for activities Engaging in activities 10. How long would you	0-1 Hrs	Hrs pend (or	Hrs averag	e) per we	Hrs eek on EPC	EPO O? * I don't want to
Preparing for activities Engaging in activities 10. How long would you Mark only one oval per	0-1 Hrs	Hrs	Hrs	Hrs e) per we	Hrs	PO
Preparing for activities Engaging in activities 10. How long would you	0-1 Hrs	Hrs pend (or	Hrs averag	e) per we	Hrs eek on EPC	EPO O? * I don't want to
Preparing for activities Engaging in activities 10. How long would you Mark only one oval per	0-1 Hrs	Hrs pend (or	Hrs averag	e) per we	Hrs eek on EPC	EPO O? * I don't want to

Scientists' Attitudes Towards EPO - GENERAL VALUES, MOTIVES, & DETERRENTS



11. Are you involved in EPO acti Check all that apply. *	vities at y	your lo	cal ii	nstitut	ion/in your	local com	munity?	
Check all that apply.								
Yes, through my local research group (e.g., your research group or colleagues within the Physics department at State University)								
Yes, through my local dep	oartment ((e.g., th	e Ph	ysics d	epartment a	nt State Un	iversity)	
Yes, through my local ins Physics department)	titution (e	.g., thro	ough	State U	Jniversity bu	it not throu	gh the	
Yes, though my local com	nmunity (e	e.g., thre	ough	comm	unity center	s, pub nigh	nts, etc.)	
12. How much do you think you Mark only one oval per row.	· local ins	stitutio	n/you	ır loca	l communi	ty values ∣	EPO?*	
	1 (Least)	2	3	4	5 (Highest)	No value	l don't know	
Local Research Group (e.g., Your research group or colleagues within the Physics Department at State University)								
Local Department (e.g., Physics Department at State University)								
Local Institution (e.g., State University as a whole)								
Local Community								
13. Are you funded by a grant with Check all that apply. I have been funded to do						-		
Currently, I am funded to	do EPO;	but I ha	ive no	ot alwa	ys been fun	ded to do	EPO	
I am not currently funded	to do EP	O, but h	nave	been ii	n the past			
At some point in my care undergraduates	er, my fun	iding wa	as co	ntinge	nt on my tea	ching		
I am funded by multiple a	gencies,	some o	f whic	ch sup	port EPO			
I am not funded to do EP	0							
I do not know								



14. On a scal EPO? *	e of 1 to s	5, how	much d	oes gra	ınt fundi	ng influence	your partici	pation in
Mark only	one oval.							
	1	2	3	4	5			
Not at All						Very Much		
15. In genera that apply Check all	/. *		otivatir	g facto	ors for yo	our engagem	nent in EPO?	Check al
	funded to				vill heln e	arn me future	e funding	
	•				•	institution/de	•	
		_		•	•	st my resume	•	
I per	sonally en	ijoy eng	aging w	ith/in El	PO - it's f	un		
I am	•	•	•	th or ex	xperience	e (e.g. improv	е	
☐ I wai	nt to teach	scienc	e to sch	ool chile	dren and	or underserv	ed communiti	ies
I wai	nt to inforn	n taxpa	yers abo	out my r	esearch			
I like	the creati	ive outle	et that E	PO acti	vities offe	er		
☐ I wai	nt to educ	ate the	general	public				
☐ I wai	nt to be fa	mous						

I am not interested in participating in EPO



16. If you are not involved in EPO, or not as involved as you would like to/think you should be, what are the barriers to your engagement? Check all that apply. * Check all that apply. I am involved in EPO I don't know what counts as EPO I do not think I have the right skills and/or training to engage in EPO I am busy and do not have time to spend on EPO I am not funded to do EPO My local institution does not support (either financially or in department culture) EPO I am not aware of EPO opportunities I never thought about it I think it is waste of time I feel that there are cultural barriers which prohibit my engagement I feel that there are language barriers which prohibit my engagement I feel that there are ethnic barriers which prohibit my engagement Other: 17. Which of the following would encourage you to get involved (or more involved) in EPO activities? Check all that apply. * Check all that apply. If EPO helped with my career development If EPO were encouraged by my supervisor or the managers of my department/institution/collaboration If EPO were an explicit and official part of my job description (and hence part of what I would be getting paid to do) If EPO were more highly regarded among my peers If I saw more evidence that EPO makes a positive impact on society If it were easier to obtain funds for EPO activities If I felt that I could allocate time during the work week toward EPO If the necessary EPO infrastructure already existed and someone else told me how I could help If I knew how to efficiently communicate the technical aspects of my work to the public If I shared the same culture as my local community If I shared the same language as my local community If I shared the same ethnicity as my local community None of the above Other:



Scientists' Attitudes Towards EPO - DES

In this section, you will be asked about your opinions towards EPO specific to the Dark Energy Survey (DES EPO) and your involvement as a scientist in DES.

18. Rank how much you think the following DES groups value EPO.*

Mark only one oval per row.

	1 (Not at all)	2 3 4	5 (Very Much)	l don't know
DES Collaboration				
DES Management				
Your DES Working Group				
DES Members at your Institution				

19.	Do you think that DES EPO activities should be organized (creating new ideas, handling logistics) and implemented by hired EPO professionals? * Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	Activities should be organized by professionals but implemented by scientists
	Activities should be organized by scientists but implemented by professionals
	Activities should be organized and implemented by both scientists and professionals working together
	No, scientists should be in charge of organization and implementation of DES EPO activities

I don't know



OUTREACH	Vol. 2, June 20
20. In which of the following DES EPO initiatives have you been involved? Check all that apply. *	
Check all that apply.	
I've never participated in a DES EPO initiative	
DES Thought for the Day (#DEST4TD)	
Dark Bites	
From the DArchive	
Dark Energy Detectives	
DEScientist of the Week	
DES EPO Reporting	
DES Monthly Newsletter	
DES Adler After Dark (October 2015)	
DES Flickr Photo Gallery	
DES Astrophotography	
DES in Many Languages (e.g., translating website content, translating other posts)	
DES Website Content (e.g. writing science summaries for your working group)	
DES EPO events at collaboration meetings	
The DES Book	
The DES Movie	
I think I participated in something, but I'm not sure	
21. If you have never participated in a DES EPO initiative, why not? Check all that apply. * Check all that apply.	
I have participated in a DES EPO initiative	
I don't do EPO in general	
I forgot to respond to a DES EPO initiative request	
I prefer to participate in programming organized by my local institution/community	
I prefer to participate in independent programming I organized myself	
I did not know about the existing DES EPO programs	
I do not see value in the DES EPO programs	
The DES EPO Committee did not have time to support my involvement	
The DES EPO Committee did not have funds to support my involvement	
The DES EPO Committee did not have resources (e.g., slides, content) to support my	
involvement	
Other:	



22.	How could DES (and other large collaborations) best support your engagement in collaboration-wide EPO? Check all that apply. *
	Check all that apply.
	Collaborations could allocate funding towards EPO
	Collaborations could hire dedicated EPO staff
	Collaborations could provide communication training for scientists
	Collaborations could regularly inform members about various collaboration-wide EPO opportunities
	Collaborations could consider EPO as contribution towards infrastructure (data rights, rights to authorship, etc.)
	Collaborations could build and maintain a central repository to store slides, images, plots, and etc, to support my involvement and the media I create
	Nothing would really inspire me
	I don't know
	Other:
24.	Do you see value in centralizing EPO for large astronomy (physics) collaborations? Why or why not?

Respondent Identity Information

The questions below are required, but may be submitted with the option "Prefer not to answer."

Such demographic information is useful to study correlations between scientist age, gender, and geography and engagement in Education & Public Outreach.



25. DES Status * Mark only one oval.
Active Member
Former Member
Other:
26. Gender *
Mark only one oval.
Male
Female
Other
Prefer not to answer
27. Age *
Mark only one oval.
18-30
31-40
41-50
51-60
61+
Prefer not to answer
OO Ethylateat
28. Ethnicity * Mark only one oval.
Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Nativa
Andrican Indian or Alaska Native
Asian Native Henri i an an Other Beriffe Islander
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
White
Hispanic or Latino
Prefer not to answer



Powered by

