

Replication Materials for “Doubly Robust Local Projections and Some Unpleasant VARithmetic”

José Luis Montiel Olea Mikkel Plagborg-Møller
Eric Qian Christian K. Wolf

November 11, 2025

This document describes how to replicate our empirical surveys of (i) relative standard errors for VAR and LP, and (ii) lag length selection.

1 Empirically relevant standard error range

We here describe the construction of the empirically relevant range of ratios of VAR and LP standard errors reported in [Figure 4.1](#). We consider four applications in which the researcher has access to a direct measure of a macroeconomic shock, see the descriptions below. We estimate the dynamic causal effects of those four shocks using LPs and the corresponding recursive VAR ([Plagborg-Møller and Wolf, 2021](#)), with both specifications including the same set of observables and same number of controls. We then construct bootstrap standard errors with 2,000 bootstrap iterations, assuming homoskedasticity.

The four applications are described below. The data and series mnemonics come from the replication files of [Ramey \(2016\)](#). Our choices of shock measures, observables, samples, data treatment, trends, and lag lengths follow those in [Ramey \(2016\)](#).

1. *Monetary policy*: We use the high-frequency surprises of [Gertler and Karadi \(2015\)](#) (`ff4_tc`) as the observed shock series, and as macro observables we include log industrial production (`lip`), log prices (`lcpi`), the one-year rate (`gs1`), and the excess bond premium (`ebp`). The data are monthly from 1990:1 to 2012:6. We include two lags, and consider impulse responses of all macro variables at horizons of 1–4 years.
2. *Taxes*: The tax shock is the [Romer and Romer \(2010\)](#) series `rrtaxu`. As macro observables we include GDP (`rgdp`), federal tax revenue (`rfedtaxrev`), and government spending (`rgov`), all real, per capita, and in logs. The data are quarterly from 1950:1 to 2007:4.

Before estimation, the data are residualized with respect to a quadratic time trend and a dummy variable for 1975:2. We include four lags, and consider impulse responses of all macro variables at horizons of 1–5 years.

3. *Government purchases:* We use the [Ramey \(2011\)](#) military news series (`rameynews`). The macro observables are GDP (`rgdp`), government spending (`rgov`), and the average tax rate (`taxrate`); the first two series are in real terms, logs, and per capita. The data are quarterly from 1947:2 to 2013:4. Before estimation, the data are residualized with respect to a quadratic time trend. We include two lags, and consider impulse responses of all macro variables at horizons of 1–5 years.
4. *Technology:* We use the unanticipated TFP shock series of [Francis, Owyang, Roush, and DiCecio \(2014\)](#) (`ford_tfp`). The macro observables are GDP (`rgdp`), stock prices (`stockp_sh`), and labor productivity (`rgdp/tothours`), all in logs (and in real per capita terms for GDP). The data are quarterly from 1949:2 to 2009:4. Before estimation, the data are residualized with respect to a quadratic time trend. We include two lags, and consider impulse responses of all macro variables at horizons of 1–5 years.

Further details on data construction are provided in the online replication code. Aggregating across shocks, outcome variables, and horizons, we compute 301 ratios of VAR to LP standard errors. The mean ratio is 0.394, the median is 0.367, the 10th percentile is 0.168, and the 90th percentile is 0.638.

2 Review of applied lag length selection

A list of all included papers in our literature review, together with the recorded information on lags, impulse response horizon, data frequency, and estimation method, is provided in the table below.

| Journal | Title | Lags | Max. Hor. | Freq. | Lags crit. | Bayes | Comments |
|---------|---|------|-----------|-------|------------|-------|---|
| AER | A Sufficient Statistics Approach for Macro Policy | 4 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| AER | Sectoral Media Focus and Aggregate Fluctuations | 4 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| AER | From Weber to Kafka: Political Instability and the Overproduction of Laws | 4 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| AER | Feedbacks: Financial Markets and Economic Activity | 10 | 60 | M | MDD | 1 | MDD-based selection is suggested by Table 3. |
| AER | The Macroeconomic Effects of Oil Supply News: Evidence from OPEC Announcements | 12 | 50 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| AER | Lumpy Investment, Business Cycles, and Stimulus Policy | 3 | 20 | Q | AIC | 0 | |
| AER | Business-Cycle Anatomy | 2 | 32 | Q | BIC | 1 | Identification is about business-cycle frequencies, so we take 32 as the maximal horizon of interest. |
| AER | Turnover Liquidity and the Transmission of Monetary Policy | 10 | 120 | D | AIC | 0 | |
| AER | The Dynamic Effects of Personal and Corporate Income Tax Changes in the United States: Comment | 4 | 20 | Q | AIC | 0 | This is the main specification of Mertens-Ravn (2013), which the comment addresses. |
| AER | Structural Interpretation of Vector Autoregressions with Incomplete Identification: Revisiting the Role of Oil Supply and Demand Shocks | 12 | 17 | M | Fixed | 1 | |
| AER | Narrative Sign Restrictions for SVARs | 24 | 18 | M | Fixed | 1 | The paper has two main applications. We pick the one (oil) with more lags. |
| AER | The Market for Used Capital: Endogenous Irreversibility and Reallocation over the Business Cycle | 2 | 10 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| AER | News or Noise? The Missing Link | 4 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| AER | News Shocks and the Slope of the Term Structure of Interest Rates: Reply | 4 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| AER | Escaping the Great Recession | 2 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| AER | Fiscal Volatility Shocks and Economic Activity | 4 | 16 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| ECMA | Uniform Priors for Impulse Responses | 4 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| ECMA | The U.S. Public Debt Valuation Puzzle | 1 | 14 | A | Fixed | 0 | |
| ECMA | What Can Time-Series Regressions Tell Us About Policy Counterfactuals? | 4 | 30 | Q | Fixed | 1 | Two specifications are considered in the main text. We picked the one (for monetary shocks) with more lags. |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----|------|--|----|----|---|---------|---|---|
| C1 | ECMA | Factions in Nondemocracies: Theory and Evidence From the Chinese Communist Party | 1 | 8 | A | Fixed | 0 | Note that the VAR specification is only considered in the appendix. |
| | ECMA | Monetary Policy, Redistribution, and Risk Premia | 6 | 48 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | ECMA | Identification at the Zero Lower Bound | 4 | 24 | Q | Fixed | 0 | The main specification is the one with four lags; the other one is used to illustrate long-lag population equivalence. |
| | ECMA | Local Projections and VARs Estimate the Same Impulse Responses | 4 | 24 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | ECMA | Inference Based on Structural Vector Autoregressions Identified With Sign and Zero Restrictions: Theory and Applications | 4 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| | ECMA | Uncertainty Shocks in a Model of Effective Demand | 4 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 1 | Lag length selection is discussed in the original Christiano-Trabandt-Walentin paper. |
| | ECMA | Unemployment and Business Cycles | 2 | 14 | Q | BIC | 1 | |
| | ECMA | Sign Restrictions, Structural Vector Autoregressions, and Useful Prior Information | 8 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| | JPE | Heterogeneity and Aggregate Fluctuations | 1 | 40 | Q | MDD | 1 | The bolded specification in Table 4 has one lag; we select this one as the main specification. |
| | JPE | Big G | 12 | 24 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | JPE | Mr. Keynes Meets the Classics: Government Spending and the Real Exchange Rate | 4 | 8 | Q | Fixed | 1 | Note that the VAR is only used for shock generation, while LPs are used for IRF analysis. |
| | JPE | Macroeconomic Drivers of Bond and Equity Risks | 1 | 19 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | JPE | Are Negative Supply Shocks Expansionary at the Zero Lower Bound? | 24 | 48 | M | Fixed | 0 | This is for the TVAR specification. The authors report four-year cumulative multipliers, so the maximal horizon of interest is 16 quarters. |
| | JPE | Government Spending Multipliers in Good Times and in Bad: Evidence from US Historical Data | 4 | 16 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | QJE | Financial Market Risk Perceptions and the Macroeconomy | 4 | 10 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | QJE | The Macroeconomic Effects of Government Asset Purchases: Evidence from Postwar U.S. Housing Credit Policy | 12 | 24 | M | Fixed | 0 | Two sets of VAR specifications are reported in the appendix. We picked the one with more lags. |
| | QJE | Marginal Tax Rates and Income: New Time Series Evidence | 2 | 5 | A | AIC/BIC | 0 | |
| | QJE | Technological Innovation, Resource Allocation, and Growth | 2 | 5 | A | BIC | 0 | The lag length is reported in the Online Appendix, Table A-15. |
| | QJE | Measuring Economic Policy Uncertainty | 6 | 36 | M | Fixed | 0 | We consider the monthly VAR specification of the main paper; a quarterly specification is considered in the appendix. |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---|----|----|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| 9 | ReStud | Inflation Levels and (In)Attention | 3 | 20 | M | AIC/BIC | 0 | The authors also consider daily and quarterly VARs, but the main specification is monthly. |
| | ReStud | Sentimental Business Cycles | 18 | 48 | M | Max first-stage F-stat | 0 | |
| | ReStud | Recoverability and Expectations-Driven Fluctuations | 4 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | ReStud | Identifying Shocks via Time-Varying Volatil- ity | 4 | 19 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | ReStud | U.S. Monetary Policy and the Global Finan- cial Cycle | 12 | 24 | M | Fixed | 1 | |
| | ReStud | Uncertainty Shocks as Second-Moment News Shocks | 4 | 24 | M | AIC | 0 | |
| | ReStud | Appropriate Technology and Balanced Growth | 2 | 72 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| | ReStud | The Analytics of SVARs: A Unified Frame- work to Measure Fiscal Multipliers | 4 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| | ReStud | Signalling Effects of Monetary Policy | 4 | 20 | Q | Max. marg. likelihood | 1 | |
| | ReStud | Household Debt and the Dynamic Effects of Income Tax Changes | 4 | 12 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | ReStud | Self-Fulfilling Credit Cycles | 1 | 18 | A | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Terms-of-Trade Shocks Are Not All Alike | 1 | 10 | A | Data-selected | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Severe Weather and the Macroeconomy | 12 | 40 | M | Fixed | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | Estimating Hysteresis Effects | 3 | 40 | Q | AIC | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | Testing the Effectiveness of Unconventional Monetary Policy in Japan and the United States | 4 | 20 | Q | AIC | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | A Congestion Theory of Unemployment Fluctuations | 4 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | The Causal Effects of Lockdown Policies on Health and Macroeconomic Outcomes | 14 | 60 | D | Fixed | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | Has the Information Channel of Monetary Policy Disappeared? Revisiting the Empir- ical Evidence | 12 | 12 | M | Fixed | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | Earnings-Based Borrowing Constraints and Macroeconomic Fluctuations | 4 | 12 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Measuring Monetary Policy in the Euro Area Using SVARs with Residual Restrictions | 12 | 60 | M | Fixed | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | The main specification has one lag; a two-lag specification is only referenced in a footnote. Note that this is a time-varying VAR. |
| | | | | | | | | The main specification has four lags for the U.S. and two lags for Japan; we picked the longer one. |
| | | | | | | | | Note that the BIC is used in a different part of the paper, but not for the main VAR specification. |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---|----|----|---|-------|---|--|
| ✓ | AEJ:M | Mind the Gap! Stylized Dynamic Facts and Structural Models | 4 | 24 | Q | Fixed | 0 | The authors study the Iacoviello (2005) and Basu-Bundick (2017) specifications; the latter has more lags, so that is what we pick. |
| | AEJ:M | News Shocks under Financial Frictions | 5 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | The Decline of the Labor Share: New Empirical Evidence | 4 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | Uncertainty and Business Cycles: Exogenous Impulse or Endogenous Response? | 6 | 60 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | The Transmission of Monetary Policy Shocks | 12 | 24 | M | Fixed | 1 | The paper considers several specifications, but they are all essentially identical in terms of lags. |
| | AEJ:M | Monetary Policy and Inequality under Labor Market Frictions and Capital-Skill Complementarity | 5 | 48 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Sectoral Price Facts in a Sticky-Price Model | 4 | 16 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Managing the UK National Debt 1694–2018 | 4 | 10 | A | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Deconstructing Monetary Policy Surprises—The Role of Information Shocks | 12 | 36 | M | Fixed | 1 | AIC is only considered as an (unshown) robustness check. |
| | AEJ:M | The Aging of the Baby Boomers: Demographics and Propagation of Tax Shocks | 2 | 4 | A | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Corporate Cash and Employment | 1 | 20 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Fixed-Wage Contracts and Monetary Non-neutrality | 4 | 48 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Monetary Policy, Real Activity, and Credit Spreads: Evidence from Bayesian Proxy SVARs | 12 | 48 | M | Fixed | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | Oil and Macroeconomic (In)stability | 2 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| | AEJ:M | Noisy News in Business Cycles | 4 | 40 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Bank Leverage Cycles | 2 | 30 | Q | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | Transfer Payments and the Macroeconomy: The Effects of Social Security Benefit Increases, 1952-1991 | 12 | 12 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | The Macroeconomic Effects of Monetary Policy: A New Measure for the United Kingdom | 24 | 36 | M | Fixed | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | The Exchange Rate Response to Monetary Policy Innovations | 6 | 10 | M | AIC | 0 | |
| | AEJ:M | A New History of Banking Panics in the United States, 1825-1929: Construction and Implications | 12 | 12 | M | Fixed | 0 | We consider the monthly (and not the annual) specification as the main specification, as such specifications are more typical in VAR analyses. |
| | AEJ:M | Monetary Policy Surprises, Credit Costs, and Economic Activity | 12 | 48 | M | Fixed | 0 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|----|---|-------|---|---------------------------------------|
| AEJ:M | Understanding the Great Recession | 2 | 12 | Q | Fixed | 1 | |
| AEJ:M | The Effects of Monetary Policy on Stock Market Bubbles: Some Evidence | 4 | 4 | Q | Fixed | 1 | Note that this is a time-varying VAR. |

Table 1: *Column (1) lists the journal. Column (2) gives the title. Column (3) lists the VAR lag length. Column (4) gives the maximal impulse response horizon of interest. Column (5) gives the data frequency, with frequency codes A = annual, Q = quarterly, M = monthly, D = daily. Column (6) shows the lag-length selection criterion, with “Fixed” indicating that the lag length was not selected in any data-driven way. Column (7) is 1 if the paper uses Bayesian estimation, and 0 otherwise. Column (8) gives further comments.*




Figure 2.1: Histogram of VAR lag length relative to data frequency (left panel) and the maximal impulse response horizon (right panel). Black-dashed lines indicate means, and green-dashed lines indicate means for specifications in which the lag length was selected using information criteria.

Figure 2.1 shows histograms of the selected lag length relative to data frequency and to the maximal impulse response horizon reported. Here, “data frequency” refers to the number of observations per year (1 for annual, 4 for quarterly, 12 for monthly, and 365 for daily).

References

- FRANCIS, N., M. T. OWYANG, J. E. ROUSH, AND R. DiCECIO (2014): “A flexible finite-horizon alternative to long-run restrictions with an application to technology shocks,” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 96(4), 638–647.
- GERTLER, M., AND P. KARADI (2015): “Monetary Policy Surprises, Credit Costs, and Economic Activity,” *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 7(1), 44–76.
- PLAGBORG-MØLLER, M., AND C. K. WOLF (2021): “Local Projections and VARs Estimate the Same Impulse Responses,” *Econometrica*, 89(2), 955–980.
- RAMEY, V. A. (2011): “Identifying Government Spending Shocks: It’s all in the Timing,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126(1), 1–50.
- RAMEY, V. A. (2016): “Macroeconomic Shocks and Their Propagation,” in *Handbook of Macroeconomics*, ed. by J. B. Taylor, and H. Uhlig, vol. 2, chap. 2, pp. 71–162. Elsevier.
- ROMER, C. D., AND D. H. ROMER (2010): “The Macroeconomic Effects of Tax Changes: Estimates Based on a New Measure of Fiscal Shocks,” *American Economic Review*, 100(3), 763–801.