

# 1 10.7 Brownian Motion: textbook brownian motion by Mortes and peres

Idea: Probability studies "Macroscopic picture" in random systems defined by a lot of "Microscopic random effects"

Brownian Motion- Microscopic picture emerging from a particle moving in d-dim space without making "big jumps".

At any time step, the particle receives a small displacement.

If the initial position is  $S_0$ , then at time  $n$ ,  $S_n = S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$   $X_i$  are the independent random displacement.

The whole process  $\{S_0, S_1, \dots\}$  is a random walk, and when we look at the macroscopic picture we ask

1. Does  $S_n$  drift to  $\infty$ ?
2. Does  $S_n$  go back to a neighbor of  $S_0$  infinitely many time?
3. What is the speed at growth of  $\{max|S_n\}_{n \leq N}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

It turns out not all the features of microscopic picture contribute to the macroscopic.

If the movement of the random walk, can be negligible in an infinite amount of time ( $S_A \xrightarrow{dt \rightarrow 0} S_A$ ), then any process  $\{B_t\}$  should have

1. For time  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_k$ , the r.v.s.  $B(t_1), B(t_2) - B(t_1), \dots, B(t_k) - B(t_{k-1})$  are independent, (independent increment)
2. the distribution of  $B(t_k) - B(t)$  does not depend on  $t$  (stationary increment)
3.  $\{B(t)\}$  has continuous.

$$B(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n B(t_k^{(n)}) - B(t_{k-1}^{(n)}),$$

$$t = \frac{1}{n}$$

$B(t)$  must be normal distribution (CLT).

## 2 Definition:

A real valued stochastic process  $\{B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is called a linear B.M. with start  $x \in R$  if

1.  $B(0) = x$
2. If  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ , the increments  $B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}), \dots, B(t_2) - B(t_1)$  are independent.

3.  $B(k+h) - B(k) \sim N(0, h)$
4. The map  $t \rightarrow B(t)$  is a.s. continuous  
(For almost surely  $w \in \Omega$ , the function  $t \rightarrow B(t, w)$  is continuous).

Remark. If  $x = 0$ , then we call  $\{B(t)\}$  standard Brownian Motion. We will cover

1. construct BM
2. study the path property
3. properties of BM (reflection principle)
4. Donskov's invariant principle and skorohd Embedding

### 3 Properties of BM

- 1.

$$\begin{aligned} B(t) - B(0) &\sim N(0, t) \\ B(t) &\sim N(x, t) \text{ (for some b.m. } B(t) \sim N(0, t)) \end{aligned}$$

2.  $\text{cov}(B(t), B(s)) = \min\{t, s\}$   
pf: WLOG, assume  $X=0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(B(t), B(s)) &= E(B(t)B(s)) - E(B(t))E(B(s)) \\ &= E(B(t) - B(s) + B(s))B(s)) \quad (\text{Assume } s \leq t) \\ &= E((B(t) - B(s))B(s)) + EB^2(s) = S = \min(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

3. (Finite dimensional distribution) Suppose  $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$  Then  $(B(t_1), B(t_2), \dots, B(t_n))$  is a multivariate normal with mean vector.  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in R^n$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma \in R^{n \times n}$  with  $\Sigma_{i,j} = \min(t_i, t_j)$

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} t_1, t_1, \dots, t_1 \\ t_1, t_2, \dots, t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_1 t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n \end{pmatrix}$$

**proof**

Recall the fact that if  $X \rightarrow N(\mu, S) \in R^n$ , then  $AX$  is still normal for any  $A \in R^{m \times n}$ . Notice that

$$\tilde{B} = (B(t_1), B(t_2) - B(t_1), \dots, B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}))$$

and  $B = A\tilde{B}$  for some linear transformation  $A$ .

### 4 Invariant properties

#### 4.1 Proposition 1

Suppose  $\{B(t)\}$  standard BM then  $X(t) = \frac{1}{a}B(a^2t)$  is also Standard BM for any  $a > 0$

## 4.2 proof

1.  $X(0) = \frac{1}{a}B(a^2 * 0) = \frac{1}{a}B(0) = 0$

2. Suppose  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$

$$X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{a} \left( B(a^2 t_i) - B(a^2 t_{i-1}) \right), X(t_{i-1}) - X(t_{i-2}) = \frac{1}{a} \left( B(a^2 t_{i-1}) - B(a^2 t_{i-2}) \right)$$

3.  $X(k+h) - X(k) = \frac{1}{a} (B(a^2(k+h)) - B(a^2(k))) \sim \frac{1}{a} N(0, a^2 h) \sim N(0, h)$

**eg 1**

Let  $a < 0 < b$  and  $\{B(t)\}$  s.b.m.

Define  $T(a,b) = \inf\{t : B(t) = a \text{ or } b\}$   $ET(a,b) = a^2 E \inf\{t \geq 0, x(t) = 1 \text{ or } \frac{b}{a}\} = a^2 ET(1, \frac{b}{a})$  Suppose  $t_0$  is the exit time for  $B(t)$  at  $[a,b]$ , then  $\frac{t_0}{a^2}$  is the exit time for  $X(t)$  at  $[1, \frac{b}{a}]$

$$B(t_0) = a \iff X\left(\frac{t_0}{a^2}\right) = 1$$

$$B(t_0) = b \iff X\left(\frac{t_0}{a^2}\right) = \frac{b}{a}.$$

Suppose 1 we take  $b=-a$ , then

$$E(T(a, -a)) = a^2 ET(1, -1)$$

2:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{B(t)\} \text{ exists } [a, b] \text{ at } a) &= P(\{X(t)\} \text{ exists } [1, \frac{b}{a}] \text{ at } 1) \\ &= P(\{B(t)\} \text{ exists } [1, \frac{b}{a}] \text{ at } 1) \\ &= t\left(\frac{b}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

**eg.2**

$\{B(t)\}$  is S.B.M.  $U \perp \{B(t)\}$  and  $U \sim U[0, 1]$

$$\tilde{B}(t) := \begin{cases} B(t) & t \neq U \\ 0 & t = U \end{cases}$$

when  $W$  is fixed,  $t \rightarrow \tilde{B}(t, w)$  equals  $B(t, w)$  at every  $t \geq 0$  except for  $t = U(w) \in [0, 1]$ . However  $\tilde{B}(t, w)$  is not a.s. continuous therefore  $\tilde{B}$  is not a brownian motion.

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose  $X, Y$  are independent  $N(0, 1)$  r.v.s, then we have  $X+Y$  independent with  $X-Y$  and both  $\sim N(0, 2)$ .

*Proof.* Note that two jointly normal random variables are independent if and only if they are uncorrelated. Since  $X, Y$  are independent normal, the pair  $(X, Y)$  is normal. Since any affine transformation of a normal is also a normal,  $(X+Y, X-Y)$  is normal.

$$E[(X+Y)(X-Y)] = E[X^2 - Y^2] = 0$$

, so uncorrelated, hence independent. □

**Definition 4.1.**  $\{B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a linear B. M.

1.  $B(0) = x$

- 2.

$$0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \dots,$$

then

$$B(t_2) - B(t_1), B(t_3) - B(t_2), \dots, B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1})$$

are independent.

- 3.

$$B(t+h) - B(t) \sim N(0, h)$$

4.  $t \rightarrow B(t)$  is continuous a.s.

We say  $\{B(t)\}$  is a standard B.M. if  $x=0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** (wiener 1923) *Standard Brownian motion exists.*

*Proof.* we will first construct B.M on  $c[0,1]$ . Idea: Construct on dyadic points. We define

$$D_n = \left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} : 0 \leq k \leq 2^n \right\}$$

$$D := \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} \mid \text{for some } n \text{ and } k \leq 2^n \right\} \text{ dense enough for } \mathbb{R}.$$

$$D_0 = \{0, 1\},$$

$$D_1 = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\}$$

$$D_2 = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1 \right\}$$

Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a probability space that we can define  $\{Z_t\}_{t \in D}$  of iid  $N(0,1)$  on it. Let  $B(0) := 0, B(1) := Z_1$ . For each  $d \in D_n$ , we define  $B(d)$  such that

1. For every  $r < s < t$  in  $D_n$  the r.v.

$$B(t) - B(s) \perp B(s) - B(r),$$

and  $B(t) - B(s) \sim N(0, t - s)$ .

2.  $(B(d), d \in D_n)$  and  $(Z_t := t \in D \setminus D_n)$  are independent.

We have already done this for  $n=0$ . Suppose we have already defined  $B(d)$  for  $d \in D_{n-1}$ , then for  $d \in D_n \setminus D_{n-1}$ , it means  $d = \frac{2k+1}{2^n}$  and let

$$B(d) = \frac{B(d - 2^{-n}) + B(d + 2^{-n})}{2} + \frac{Z_d}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \quad (1)$$

interpolation of left and right neighborhood and add an independent noise.

$$\Rightarrow B(d + 2^{-n}) - B(d) \perp B(d) - B(d - 2^{-n}) \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$$

Note that both neighbors are on the previous stage.

$$B(d) - B(d - 2^{-n}) = \frac{B(d + 2^{-n}) - B(d - 2^{-n})}{2} + \frac{Z_d}{2^{(n+1)/2}},$$

$$\sim \frac{N(0, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}})}{2} + \frac{N(0, 1)}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \sim N(0, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}) + N(0, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}) \sim N(0, \frac{1}{2^n})$$

$$B(d + 2^{-n}) - B(d) = \frac{B(d + 2^{-n}) - B(d - 2^{-n})}{2} - \frac{Z_d}{2^{(n+1)/2}},$$

□

We define

$$F_0(t) = \begin{cases} Z_1, & t = 1 \\ 0, & t = 0 \\ \text{linear inbetween} \end{cases},$$

$$F_n(t) = \begin{cases} Z_t 2^{-(n+1)/2}, & t \in D_n \setminus D_{n-1} \\ 0, & t \in D_{n-1} \\ \text{linear inbetween} \end{cases},$$

we will define  $B(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i(t)$ . Then for every n and every  $d \in D_n$ , we have that

$$B(d) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i(d), \quad (\text{definition 2})$$

because when  $i > n$ , then  $d \in D_n \subset D_i$ , so  $d \in D_{i-1}$ ,  $F_i(d) = 0$ . To check (1),

$$B(d) = \frac{B(d - 2^{-n}) + B(d + 2^{-n})}{2} + \frac{Z_d}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \quad (1)$$

suppose  $d \in D_n \setminus D_{n-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n F_i(d) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F_i(d) + \frac{Z_d}{2^{-(n+1)/2}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{F_i(d - 2^{-n}) + F_i(d + 2^{-n})}{2} + \frac{Z_d}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \\ &= \frac{B(d - 2^{-n}) + B(d + 2^{-n})}{2} + \frac{Z_d}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \quad (\text{by induction of matching def 2 and 1}) \\ &= B(d) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

, where in (2), if f is a linear function on [a,b], then

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2},$$

and  $d - 2^{-n} \in D_{n-1}$ , hence  $F_i(d - 2^{-n}) = 0$  for  $i < n - 1$ , and  $F_{n-1}(d - 2^{-n}) =$  similarly, we assumed definition 2 holds upto n-1 then we showed definition 2 holds for n.

It is known that for standard normal,

$$P(|Z_d| \geq x) \leq e^{\frac{-x^2/2}{x\sqrt{2\pi}}},$$

for  $c > 1$ ,

$$P(|Z_d| \geq c\sqrt{n}) \leq e^{\frac{-c^2 n}{2}}$$

moreover, if  $c > 1$  and large  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(\text{there is a } d \in D_n \text{ with } |Z_d| \geq c\sqrt{n}) \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^n + 1)e^{-c^2 n/2} \\
& = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{n \log 2 - c^2 n/2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-c^2 n/2} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{(-c^2 + \log 2)n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-c^2 n/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\tag{*}$$

Note that in (1), there are  $2^n + 1$  elements in  $D_n$ , so apply union bound. If  $c > \sqrt{\log 2}$ , we will have  $* < \infty$ . By B-C lemma,

$$P(|Z_d| \geq c\sqrt{n} \text{ for some } d \in D_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

This means that with probability 1, there is a finite  $N(w)$  s.t. when  $n \geq N(w)$

$$|Z_d(w)| \leq c\sqrt{n} \text{ for every } d \in D_n$$

Hence w.p.1, for all  $n \geq N(w)$ ,

$$\|F_n\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{c\sqrt{n}}{2^{(n+1)/2}}$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(t)$  converges uniformly.

$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(t) = B(t)$  each  $F_n$  is continuous, so  $B$  is continuous almost everywhere on  $D$  and below we expend to  $[0,1]$  by taking limit  $t_{ik}$  on  $D$  to  $t_i$  in  $[0,1]$

For  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2, \dots \leq t_n \leq 1$  we can use  $t_{i,k} \uparrow t_i$  for each  $i$ ,  $t_{i,k} \in D$  and  $t_{1,k} \leq t_{2,k} \dots$  We know

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(t_2) - B(t_1), B(t_3) - B(t_2), \dots, B(t_n) - B(t_{n-1}) \\
& = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (B(t_{2,k}) - B(t_{1,k}), B(t_{3,k}) - B(t_{2,k}), \dots, B(t_{n,k}) - B(t_{n-1,k})) \text{ a.s.}
\end{aligned}$$

We know  $\text{RHS} \sim N(t_{2,k} - t_{1,k}) \times \dots \times N(0, t_2 - t_1) \times N(0, t_3 - t_2) \times \dots \times N(0, t_n - t_{n-1})$  a B.M. on  $[0,1]$  can be constructed a.s.

**Corollary 4.1.** *A Brownian motion on  $[0, \infty)$  can be constructed a.s. "Construct  $B_1, B_2, \dots$ " of independent in  $[0,1]$  B.M. then we define*

$$B(t) = B_{\lfloor t \rfloor}(t - \lfloor t \rfloor) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor - 1} B_i(1).$$

### 4.3 Gaussian tails (sharp when $X$ is large)

$$\frac{x}{x^2+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \leq P(N(0,1) > X) \leq \frac{1}{X} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Continuity of B.M. For almost every  $w \in \Omega$ , the function  $B(w, t)$  is continuous on  $R^{\geq 0}$ . (Compact subset of a set, on which  $f$  is continuous, gives that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on that compact subset.) Therefore  $B(w, t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0,1]$ . We will show a more stronger result than uniform continuity, i.e.*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1-h]} |B(t+h) - B(t)| \sim \sqrt{h \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)} \text{ for small enough } h(w).$$

**Theorem 4.3.** *There exists  $c > 0$  such that almost surely, for every small  $h(w)$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1 - h$ , we have*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1-h]} |B(t+h) - B(t)| \leq c \sqrt{h \log \frac{1}{h}}$$

Remark:  $h$  may depend on  $w$ .

*Proof.* Recall that when  $c > \sqrt{2 \log 2}$  then for a.s. every  $w$ , there is  $N=N(w)$  such that when  $n > N$

$$\|F_n\|_\infty \leq c \sqrt{n} 2^{-n/2},$$

( $F_n(t)$  is piecewise linear, so the largest derivative is  $\frac{\|F_n\|_\infty - 0}{1/2^n}$ , so

$$\|F'_n\|_\infty \leq 2^n \|F_n\|_\infty \leq c \sqrt{n} 2^{n/2}$$

then not fixing  $h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |B(t+h) - B(t)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|F_n(t+h) - F_n(t)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|F'_n\|_\infty h && \text{(by MVT)} \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2 \|F_n\|_\infty. && (*) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $l > N$ ,

$$* \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \|F'_n\|_\infty h \quad (1) + 2ch \sum_{n=N+1}^l \sqrt{n} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad (2) + 2c \sum_{l+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \quad (3).$$

Now, we choose  $h=h(w)$  so small such that

$$(1) \leq \sqrt{h \log \frac{1}{h}} \quad (\text{easy})$$

and  $l$  defined as  $2^{-l} < h \leq 2^{-l+1}$  ( $l \approx \log_2(\frac{1}{h})$ ) is greater than  $N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \sqrt{h \log \frac{1}{h}} \\ 2 &\leq c_1 \sqrt{l} 2^{l/2} \leq \tilde{c}_1 \sqrt{\log(1/h)} \sqrt{h^{-1}h} = \tilde{c}_1 \sqrt{\log(1/h)} \sqrt{h} \\ 3 &\leq c_2 \sqrt{l} 2^{-l/2} \leq \tilde{c}_2 \sqrt{\log(1/h)} \sqrt{h} \end{aligned}$$

$\sqrt{l} > \sqrt{\log(1/h)}$  but we use the fact that their difference is no larger than 2. So we can make up that difference.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *For every  $c < \sqrt{2}$  almost surely for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $h \in (0, \epsilon)$  and  $t \in [0, 1 - h]$  such that*

$$|B(t+h) - B(t)| > c \sqrt{h \log \frac{1}{h}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A_{k,n} = \{B(k+1)e^{-n} - B(ke^{-h}) > c\sqrt{n}e^{-n/2}\}$

$$P(A_{k,n}) = P(N(0, e^{-n}) > c\sqrt{n}e^{-n/2}) = P(N(0, 1) > c\sqrt{n}) \geq \frac{c\sqrt{n}}{c^2n + 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-c^2n/2},$$

therefore

$$e^n P(A_{k,n}) \geq \frac{c\sqrt{n}}{c^2n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{(1-c^2/2)n} \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } c < \sqrt{2}$$

then using  $1-x \leq e^{-x}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\cap_{k=0}^{\lfloor e^n-1 \rfloor} A_{k,n}^c) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor e^n-1 \rfloor} P(A_{k,n}^c) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor e^n-1 \rfloor} (1 - P(A_{k,n})) \\ &= (1 - P(A_{0,n}))^{e^n} \leq e^{-e^n P(A_{0,n})} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every  $\xi > 0$

$$P(|B(t+h) - B(t)| \leq c\sqrt{h \log \frac{1}{h}} \text{ for every } h \in (0, \xi), t \in [0, 1-h]) \leq P(\cap A_{k,n}^c) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

No proof for correcting c. (1) implies lower bound because if we take  $h = e^{-n}$ , consider  $t_i = \frac{c}{2^n}$ , □

## 5 Brownian motion is nowhere differentiable

We use two fact

1. Invariance property: If  $\{B(t)\}$  is standard brownian motion, then  $X(t) := \frac{1}{a}B(a^2t)$  is a standard brownian motion.
2. If  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $P(A_i) = 1$  for each i, then  $P(\cap_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\cap_{i=1}^\infty A_i)^c &= P(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i^c) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty P(A_i^c) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

### 5.1 Example: uncountable set

Consider the uniform measure on  $[0,1]$ , define  $A_s = [0,1] \setminus \{s\}$  ( $s \in [0,1]$ ), then  $P(A_s) = 1$  for every s but  $P(\cap_{s \in [0,1]} A_s) = P(\phi) = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Time inversion: If  $\{B(t)\}$  is a standard brownian motion,*

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t = 0 \\ tB(\frac{1}{t}) & t > 0 \end{cases} \text{ is also a s.b.m.}$$

*Proof.* We argue for  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ ,

$$(B(t_1), \dots, B(t_n)) \stackrel{d}{=} (x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)),$$

which will imply  $x(t)$  has stationary independent increment, and

$$x(t) - x(s) \sim N(0, t-s) \text{ for } t > s.$$



Since both are normal, we check the covariance structure

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cov}(X(t_i), X(t_j)) &= \text{cov}(t_i B(\frac{1}{t_i}), t_j B(\frac{1}{t_j})) \\
&= t_i t_j \text{cov}(B(\frac{1}{t_i}), B(\frac{1}{t_j})) \\
&= \min\{t_i, t_j\} = \text{cov}(B(t_i), B(t_j)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we want to show almost surely,  $X(t)$  is continuous in  $(0, \infty)$ , then we just need to check

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} X(t) = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} tB(1/t) = 0.$$

Check yourself that

$$\{\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} X(t) = 0\} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cap_{q \in Q \in [0, \frac{1}{m}]} \{|x(q)| \leq \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Think of  $m$  as  $\delta$  condition and  $n$  as  $\epsilon$  condition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cap_{q \in Q \in [0, \frac{1}{m}]} \{|x(q)| \leq \frac{1}{n}\}) \\
&\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cap_{q \in Q \in [0, \frac{1}{m}]} \{|x(q)| \leq \frac{1}{n}\})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cap_{q \in Q \in [0, \frac{1}{m}]} \{|x(q)| \leq \frac{1}{n}\}) &= P(\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cap_{q \in Q \in [0, \frac{1}{m}]} \{|B(q)| \leq \frac{1}{n}\}) \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

because  $\{B(t)\}$  is a.s. continuous at point 0, which means

$$P(X_t \text{ continuous at } 0) = 1.$$

□

**Corollary 5.1.**  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(t)}{t} = 0$  a.s. (LLN for B.M.)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(t)}{t} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} sB(\frac{1}{s}).$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Almost surely, for all  $0 < a < b < \infty$ ,  $b(T)$  is not monotone on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&p(B(t) \text{ monotone } [a, b]) \\
&\leq P(B(\frac{a+b}{2}) - B(a) \text{ has the same sign as } B(b) - B(\frac{a+b}{2})) = 1/2
\end{aligned}$$

because both are mean 0 independent normal. We cut  $[a, b]$  into  $n$  pieces

$$a = t_0 < t_1 = a + \frac{b-a}{n} < t_2 = a + \frac{2(b-a)}{n} < \dots < t_n = b$$

then

$$p(B(t) \text{ monotone } [a, b]) \leq P(B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i) \text{ has the same sign for every } i) = \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

$$p(B(t) \text{ not monotone } [a, b]) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\cap_{a \leq t < b} B(t) \text{ not monotone on } [a, b]) \\ &= P(\cap_{0 \leq p < q \leq Q} B(t) \text{ not monotone on } [p, q]) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

note that we need last line holds only on countable set. This means B.M. is not smooth.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Almost surely,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{\sqrt{n}} = \infty \quad (1)$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{\sqrt{n}} = -\infty \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Recall that law of iterated logarithms, and given  $X_1, \dots$  are independent mean 0 and variance 1,

$$P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log n \log n}} = 1) = 1.$$

Note that

$$B(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B(i+1) - B(i), \text{ let } Y_i := B(i+1) - B(i)$$

then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{\sqrt{2n \log n \log n}} = 1 \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{\sqrt{n}} = \infty.$$

The proof for (2), we use LIL on  $-B(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-Y_i)$ , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-B(n)}{\sqrt{2n \log n \log n}} = 1 = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{\sqrt{n}} = \infty.$$

$\square$

Remark: (different proof for (1)). Proving (1) is the same as showing for every  $\epsilon > 0$

$$P(B(n) > c\sqrt{n} \text{ i.o.}) = 1$$

The event above is exchangeable in a sense that it is invariant after any permutation which permutes finitely many eventually, then by Hewitt-savage 0-1 law,  $P(B(n) > c\sqrt{n} \text{ i.o.}) = 1$  or  $0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(B(n) > c\sqrt{n} \text{ i.o.}) &= P(\cap_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup_{n \geq k} B_n > c\sqrt{n}) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(\cup_{n \geq k} B_n > c\sqrt{n}) \\ &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(B_k > c\sqrt{k}) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(N(0, 1) > c) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note the tricks 1:  $\cap \cup$  in limsup notation can be replaced with  $\lim \cup$ . 2:

$$\frac{B_k}{\sqrt{k}} \sim N(0, 1)$$