* **Database As A Service**
* **Introduction on technology**

In the past, normally all the enterprises, universities and organizations will have their databases running on their dedicated hardware, this situation is well suited for the past because the limitation of network bandwidth, as well as for many other concerns(e.g. privacy concerns, accessibility etc.). And yet, in the past several years we saw the great improvement on network speed, virtualization technologies, and the technologies for cloud server are becoming more and more mature, big organizations are tempted to put the all their different databases into different VM, and in this way, one server will hosts many separated databases, and one DB administrator can then monitor several databases. Also, in this way, the usability of hardware improved dramatically, yet this architecture can only cater for big enterprises, and maybe wasteful for some small organizations to host such VM shared machine.

Not long ago, we witnessed the significant advancing on Cloud technologies and the enormous economic potential both for the cloud server provider and the service client themselves. The first kind of technologies immediately followed by the great advancing on virtualization technologies, of course, was the VM-cloud. In this architecture, each client's database or application are installed within a VM machine, the cloud server will provide a powerful server to host many VM from different clients, the client then no longer needed to concern the hardware, they just give their VM to the cloud service provider, and sign a contract with the cloud server provider, like "any request needed to be responded with 1 second" etc. In this way, they no longer own the physical hardware to run the database or their applications, and they don't need to hire expert database administrator to tune their database, their contract explains all, then the cloud provider provide the desire the service.

Yet the VM-cloud suffer from a lot of limitations, one clear defeat is that VM is a hard sharing of the hardware, meaning that it would be hard to divide a VM, and so one VM must be placed in one node of the cloud server, this will limit a lot of the potential concurrency ability of cloud sharing. Also, in order to use VM to host a database, each VM will need to install an OS and DBMS, therefore wasting a lot of unneeded space. Under these premises, a new cloud service is born, in this technology, the cloud service provider contains many nodes, each node hosts a DBMS, and one DBMS provide service for many clients' databases. This design has a major difference to the VM cloud method in that a client's database can be easily be partitioned, and so the pieces of a client's database can be located on several nodes on the cloud server, and so providing some potential concurrent processing ability. As before, in this design, the client also need not worrying about the physical devices and hiring a database administrator, which can be significantly more economically beneficial.

Yet another implementation of cloud sharing is for client to share a common table, but this method abandon the flexibility requirement of client databases, what if one client decide to add one column to one of the tables shared? This is a common problem, and so this implementation is never realized.

The method that different client databases sharing one DBMS in one of the many nodes in the cloud service provider, is the implementation called "Database As A Service"(DBaaS). However, in order for this service to be popular and be accept by many clients, there are many difficulties needed to be tackled.

One obvious problem is how do we provide privacy for the client? Because the client let their database run on the cloud service, some method has to be implemented in order to convince the clients that the administrator of the cloud server(the hyper supervisor) will not be able to peek into the data within their clients' databases, and also, one client's databases is entirely separated from other clients' databases. The last problem is harden because now client's database has been partitioned, and are sharing the same DBMS, without carefully design of the system, other client's data might be exposed, leading to privacy problem. As suggested in [1], one obvious solution would be using encryption on data, and the DBMS is require to do SQL query processing on encrypted data, in this way, not only other client sharing the same DBMS cannot know the data(since they did not own the keys needed to decrypt the data), but also the hyper supervisor, the database administrator on the cloud server cannot understand the client's data. Yet this implementation ensues one immediate question, that is, how do we encrypt the data in order to let the database to do query processing on these encrypted data, also, we need to do the processing on the encrypted data in an efficient way, otherwise the benefit of sharing DBMS in the cloud would be entirely ruined. Under the suggestions in [1], it has been showed that using homomorphic encryption(HOM) can enable the DBMS to perform operations on encrypted data. Also, the DBMS might employ some kind of an "onion" design on the decrypted data, that is, for each row, we use different keys to encrypt the data from several times, each layer of encryption will protect data from performing some kind of operation(for example, the outer most layer may be protecting from basic accessing of the data, then the second layer protecting update and delete, then the last layer protecting joins), only the client will have the keys for decrypting in each layer of the onion, and the client knows what kind of operations they are performing, and so, the client send only the necessary keys to the server to enable the server to do the necessary steps. This design is good in that the DBMS do not knows the keys of the encrypted data, and just doing operations on encrypted data, and different "layer" of operations are all protected and can be control by the client, providing a feasible privacy.

Another problem is that how do we partition the database, this question might not be first encountered in the database world, because even in the old days when the database are only located on the client's own dedicated hardware, sometime the database is so large that cannot be hosted within machine, and when that happens, the database administrator will need to consider how to partition the database and locate the pieces on different machine. But the problem occurs in DBaaS world is much more trickier, that is because the databases are handled automatically, and when in the old days the database administrator of their company's knows well how to partition the database will be optimal, now in the cloud, the hyper supervisor who are tuning all these databases without the ability to know any transaction details about it, will be especially difficult to know the best way to partition the database. But when one node in the cloud server cannot host the entire database, this database must be partitioned. And also, since we are using many nodes anyway, we want a way to partition the database to make some kind of queries can be fulfilled by different nodes, and so getting the concurrent benefit of the cloud, in some cases, these concurrent ability might let the query processing even faster than using dedicated hardware, since the dedicated hardware is unlikely to consist of many nodes and providing good concurrency. On the other hand, researches, as in [1], has shown that it is unwise to partition the database in a way that many transaction will span to many nodes, that is, the data that are frequently being request together should not be placed on different places, the reason is that the overhead in order to combine the data and locking on different nodes are a major bottleneck. Having this observation, [1] suggested a way to partition the database, they implemented a thread to periodically logging the set of records that are accessed together within the same operation or transaction, and they use a graph partition method to partition the database as follow: whenever data are being accessing from different nodes of the cloud server within one transaction, and edge is created, and a weight of the edge will be generated, basically representing the frequency of them being accessing together, and so, they will have a graph generated representing the potential wrong partition of a database. According to the graph, they run a graph partition algorithm on it, and alarms the system when some frequently fetch data are separated on different nodes. This ways on partition is innovated in that they no longer require the premise that the database administrator to know the database well, such as foreign key constraint and the nature of the transaction performed, and are well suited on cloud server because of its automatic partition ability.

Yet another problem concerns how to place the pieces of databases into