

# CS 217 – Algorithm Design and Analysis

no code

Handed out on Friday, 2020-06-05

First submission and questions due on Thursday, 2020-06-12

You will receive feedback from the TA.

Final submission due on Thursday, 2020-06-19

## 7 Farkas Lemma and LP Duality

### 7.1 Different Versions of Farkas Lemma

In the following, let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  be a column vector of  $n$  variables and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be a row vector of  $m$  variables.

**Exercise 1.** Show that the three versions of Farkas Lemma presented in class are all equivalent (I actually did not present the third version in class):

$$(\neg \exists \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}) \iff (\exists \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0) . \quad (1)$$

$$(\neg \exists \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}) \iff (\exists \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{y}^T A \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0) . \quad (2)$$

$$(\neg \exists \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}) \iff (\exists \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^T A \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0) . \quad (3)$$

Note that the direction “ $\Leftarrow$ ” is easy in each case. We will show the “ $\Rightarrow$ ” of (1) in class using a technique called *Fourier-Motzkin Elimination*. This exercise is actually not that hard. The hardest part is keeping track of what you want to prove and what you can assume.

**Solution** First, we prove each  $\Leftarrow$  of the statements is true. For (1), we just need to show that  $\exists \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} : \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0$  and  $\exists \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  can't hold simultaneously. Because of  $\mathbf{y}^T A\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}^T A)\mathbf{x} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{y}^T A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^T (A\mathbf{x}) \leq$

$\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0$  contradicts, so we prove (1). Similarly,  $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^T (A \mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0$  and  $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}^T A) \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  contradicts, so we prove (2). And  $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^T (A \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} < 0$  and  $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}^T A) \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  contradicts, so we prove (3).

Next, we need to show the  $\implies$  of each statements are equivalent.

(1) $\implies$ (2): if  $\neg \exists \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} : A \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  then, we have  $\neg \exists \mathbf{x} : \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -I \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$ ,

then we let  $A' = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -I \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$ , according to version (1), then there exist

$\mathbf{y}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} \geq \mathbf{0}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{y}'^T A' = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}'^T \mathbf{b}' < 0$ , then we can conclude  $\mathbf{y}_1 \geq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_1^T A \geq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_1^T \mathbf{b} < 0$ , then because we find the  $\mathbf{y}_1$ , so (2) holds.

(2) $\implies$ (3): if  $\neg \exists \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} : A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , then we have  $\neg \exists \mathbf{x} : \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -A \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ -\mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$ , let

$A' = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -A \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ -\mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$ , then there exist  $\mathbf{y}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} \geq \mathbf{0}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{y}'^T A' \geq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}'^T \mathbf{b}' < 0$ , then we can conclude  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_1^T A \geq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_1^T \mathbf{b} < 0$ , then because we find the  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2$ , so (3) holds.

(3) $\implies$ (1): we will prove the contraposition of (1) from the contraposition of (3).  $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{0} \iff \mathbf{y}^T [A, -A, I] \geq \mathbf{0}$ , let  $A' = [A, -A, I]$  and according to (3), there exists  $\mathbf{x}' = [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3]^T$  satisfies  $A' \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{b}$  with  $\mathbf{x}' \geq \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2$ , we have  $A \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$ , then (1) holds.

## 7.2 A Linear Program for, well, for what?

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph,  $s, t \in V$ , and  $c : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  be a cost function. We want to find an  $s - t$ -flow  $f$  of value 1. Every edge  $e$  generates cost  $f(e) \cdot c(e)$ , and we want to minimize the overall cost. There are no capacity constraints. We can easily write this as a linear program MCF (Minimum Cost Flow):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \sum_{e \in E} c(e) f(e) \\ \text{MCF}(G, s, t, c) : & \text{subject to} && \sum_{v \in V} f(v, t) = 1 \\ & && \sum_{u \in V} f(u, v) - \sum_{w \in V} f(v, w) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V \setminus \{s, t\} \\ & && f(e) \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have  $m$  variables, one variable  $f(e)$  for each edge  $e$ . The first constraint says that the value of the flow should be 1. The other constraints

say that the inflow at  $v$  should equal the outflow.

**Exercise 2.** Let  $d$  be the shortest path distance from  $s$  to  $t$  in the directed graph  $G$ , where distance means sum of the  $c(e)$  along the path. Show that  $\text{opt}(MCF) = d$ . **Hint.** Make sure you show both  $\leq$  and  $\geq$ .

### Solution

**Proof of  $\text{opt}(MCF) \leq d$**  We can take out the shortest path, let  $f(e) = 1$  if the edge is on the shortest path, and  $f(e) = 0$  otherwise. Apparently it's a feasible solution.

**Proof of  $\text{opt}(MCF) \geq d$**  Consider the residual network. We can arbitrarily choose a path at first, satisfying the restrictions of the MCF problem. To minimize the target value, we can try to eliminate all the circle  $C$  with  $\sum_{e \in C} c(e)f(e) < 0$ . By adding the circle to the old path, we've got a new path with smaller value.

Now suppose that we choose a shortest path first, and we get a residual network. There is no circle with  $\sum_{e \in C} c(e)f(e) < 0$  because there is no path shorter than the shortest path. So the final answer won't be less than  $d$ .

In conclusion,  $\text{opt}(MCF) = d$ .

**Exercise 3.** Write down the dual of MCF. This will be a maximization problem. Don't use any matrix notation.

### Solution

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & z_t \quad v \in V \\ \text{subject to} & z_v - z_u \leq c(u, v) \quad (u, v) \in E \\ & z_v \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall v \in V \end{array}$$

**Exercise 4.** Interpret the dual. Show that it is the LP formulation of a "natural" maximization problem on  $G$ .

**Solution** This is a system of difference constraints. We can build a graph  $G'$  with the same structure as  $G$  and have  $dis(u, v) = c(u, v)$  as the length of an edge.

So to maximize  $z_t$ , we need to solve the shortest path distance from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G'$ . It's the same to solve the shortest path distance from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G$  where distance means sum of the  $c(e)$  along the path.

**Exercise 5.** Describe an optimal solution of the dual program.

**Solution** The optimal solution of the dual program is the shortest path distance from  $s$  to  $t$  in the graph  $G$  where distance means sum of the  $c(e)$  along the path.