## Mathematical Logic

homework 6

**Problem** 1 Let  $\Phi \subseteq L^S$  be finite, and let  $\in L^S$  with  $\Phi \vdash \varphi$ . Note that a proof might use formulas built on any symbol in S.

Define  $S_0 \in S$  to be the set of symbols that occur in  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$ . Then there is a proof for  $\Phi \vdash \varphi$  such that every formula occurs in the proof is an  $S_0$ -formula.

**Solution:** By theorem 1.2, we have a S-interpretation  $\mathfrak{I}^{\Phi}$ .

$$\mathfrak{I}^{\Phi} \models \varphi \Longleftrightarrow \Phi \vdash \varphi$$

same as  $S_0$ -interpretation  $\mathfrak{I}_0^{\Phi}$ , let  $\mathfrak{I}_0^{\Phi}$  has same interpretation on function and relation with  $\mathfrak{I}^{\Phi}$ . By Coincidenc lemma

$$\mathfrak{I}^{\Phi} \models \varphi \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{I}_{0}^{\Phi} \models \varphi \Longrightarrow \Phi \vdash \varphi$$

**Problem 2** Assume that for every set A there is a well order  $\leq \subseteq A \times A$ . Prove Zorn's Lemma.

**Solution:** First we proof in any partially ordered set  $(S, \leq)$  there is a maximal chain (a chain C for which no  $C \cup \{s\}$  is a chain for any s in S/C:

For one chain  $C_0$ , if for any s in  $S/C_0$  that  $C_0 \cup \{s\}$  is not a chain, then  $C_0$  is maximal chain. Otherwise, let  $C_1 = C_0 \cup \{s\}$ ,  $C_0 \cup \{s\}$  is a chain. Similarly we can define  $C_2, C_3, \dots, C_n$ . and define  $M = \{C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$ , Because  $C_0 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq C_2 \dots \subseteq C_n$ , so M is well-ordering. and M is transfinite induction object by transfinite induction.

Author(s): 于峥

So  $C_n$  is the upper bound of M and the maximal chain of S, and  $C_n$  is also well-ordering. Let a is the upper bound of  $C_n$ , if  $\exists b, a \leq b$ , then  $C_n \cup \{b\} \subseteq$  $C_n$ . hence a = b. So a is the maximal element of S.