## CPSC 320: DP in $2-D^*$

The Longest Common Subsequence of two strings A and B is the longest string whose letters appear in order (but not necessarily consecutively) within both A and B. For example, the LCS of eleanor and naomi is the length 2 string no (or equivalently the length 2 string ao).

Biologists: If these were DNA base or amino acid sequences, can you imagine how this might be a useful problem?

1. Write down small and trivial instances of the problem.

- 2. Now, working backward from the end (i.e., from the last letters, as with the change-making problem where we worked from the total amount of change desired down to zero), let's figure out the first choice we make as we break the problem down into smaller pieces:
  - (a) Consider the two strings tycoon and country. Describe the relationship of the length of their LCS with the length of the LCS of tycoon and countr (the same string A, and string B with its last letter removed).

(b) Now consider the two strings compute and science. Describe the relationship of the length of their LCS with the length of the LCS of comput and scienc (strings A and B with both of their last letters removed).

<sup>\*</sup>Copyright Notice: UBC retains the rights to this document. You may not distribute this document without permission.

3.	Given two strings A and B of length $n>0$ and $m>0$ , describe the length of the LCS LLCS(A[1n], B[1m]) as a recurrence over smaller instances. Use and generalize your work in the previous problems!
4.	Given two strings $A$ and $B$ , if either has a length of $O$ , what is the length of their LCS?

5. Convert your recurrence into a memoized solution to the LLCS problem.

6. Complete the following table to find the length of the LCS of tycoon and country using your memoized solution. (The row and column headed with an  $\epsilon$ , denoting the empty string, are for the trivial cases!)

	$\epsilon$	$\mathbf{c}$	co	cou	coun	count	countr	country
$\epsilon$								
t								
ty								
tyc								
tyco								
tycoo								
tycoon								

7.	Go back to the table and extract the actual LCS from it. Circle each entry of the table you have to inspect in constructing the LCS. Then, use the space below to write an algorithm that extracts the actual LCS from an LLCS table.
8.	Give a dynamic programming solution that produces the same table as the memoized solution.

9.	Analyze the efficiency of your memoized (part 5) and dynamic programming (part 8) algorithms in
	terms of runtime and memory use (not including the space used by the parameters). You may assume
	the strings are of length $n$ and $m$ , where $n \leq m$ (without loss of generality).

10. If we only want the **length** of the LCS of A and B with lengths n and m, where  $n \leq m$ , explain how we can "get away" with using only O(n) memory in the dynamic programming solution.

## 1 Challenge

1. Give a LCS algorithm that runs in the same asymptotic runtime as the one above, uses only O(m+n) space (note that this is potentially more than the "space-efficient" version mentioned above), and returns not only the length of the LCS but the LCS itself. (Note: try this for yourself for a while, and then walk through the description of the awesome algorithm in section 6.7 if you need help.)