

Updated upstream ===== Stashed changes

# Modular arithmetic facts

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Prove basic facts about modular arithmetic. .

**Definition** ( $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ). Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m > 0$ . From Friday, we have the following equivalent definitions of congruence modulo  $m$  :

- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $m \mid b - a$  (standard definition, generalizing even/odd based on divisibility)
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  have the same remainder with divided by  $m$ . That is, That is, there exists unique  $q_1, q_2, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = mq_1 + r$ ,  $b = mq_2 + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < m$ . (definition generalizing even/odd based on remainder)
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  differ by a multiple of  $m$ . That is,  $b = a + mk$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (arithmetic progression definition)

Different statements of the definition will be useful in different situations

**Proposition 1.** Let  $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m > 0$ , then:

- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$  implies  $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  implies  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  implies  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$ .
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $d \mid m$ ,  $d > 0$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  implies  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{mc}$  for  $c > 0$ .

**Proof** Let  $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m > 0$ .

- Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$ . Then using the second definition of equivalence, there exists  $q_1, q_2, q_3, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= mq_1 + r, & 0 \leq r < m, \\ b &= mq_2 + r, & 0 \leq r < m, \\ c &= mq_3 + r, & 0 \leq r < m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $a$  and  $c$  have the same remainder when divided by  $m$ , so  $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$ .

Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ . Then by the third definition of equivalence, there exists  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b = a + mj$  and  $d = c + mk$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} b + d &= a + c + m(j + k), & \text{and} \\ bd &= ac + m(ak + cj + mjk). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$  and  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$ .

- Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , and  $d > 0$  with  $d \mid m$ . From the first definition of equivalence modulo  $m$ ,  $m \mid b - a$ . Since division is transitive,  $d \mid b - a$ , so  $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$ .

---

Learning outcomes:

Author(s): Claire Merriman

all definitions are if and only if

- (e) Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , and  $c > 0$ . From the third definition of equivalence modulo  $m$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b = a + mk$ . Thus,  $bc = ac + mck$ , so  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{mc}$ . ■

**Example 1.** Note that  $2 \equiv 5 \pmod{3}$ . Then  $4 \equiv 10 \pmod{3}$  by Proposition ????, since  $2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . From part ??,  $4 \equiv 10 \pmod{6}$ , but  $2 \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ .