# MAT-255 Number Theory—Spring 2024

Claire Merriman

Spring 2024

# Contents

Wednesday, January 17: Introduction and Divisibility	
Introduction	
Mathematical definitions, mathematical notation	. 4
Divisibility	. 5
Friday, January 19: Division algorithm, divisibility	. 5
Reminders and Homework Guide Review	. 7
Logic, proof by contradiction, and biconditionals	
Monday, January 22: Division algorithm and quantifiers	. 8
Division Algorithm (45 minutes)	
Wednesday, January 24: Primes	. 10
Primes (50 minutes)	
Friday, January 26: Quiz 1, Induction, Greatest Common Divisors	
Quiz (10 minutes)	
Greatest common divisor (20 min)	
More induction (15 minutes)	
Monday, January 29: More algebraic proofs and the Euclidean Algorithm	
Example of proof like the floor function (30 minutes)	
The Euclidean Algorithm (20 minutes)	
Wednesday, January 31: Practice with the Euclidean Algorithm and the Fundamental Theorem of Arithm	
Announcements	
Practice with the Euclidean algorithm (15 minutes)	
Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (35 minutes)	
Friday, February 2: Quiz 2, Greatest Common Divisors and Diophantine Equations	
Quiz (10 minutes)	
More greatest common divisor (40 min)	
Monday February 5: More facts about greatest common divisor and primes	
Greatest common divisor and Diophantine equations (30 minutes)	
Wednesday February 7: Arithmetic progressions and introduction to Congruences	
Practice with gcd proofs (20 minutes)	
Prime factorizations (20 minutes)	
Equivalence Relation Practice (10 minutes)	
Friday, February 9: Modular arithmetic	
Quiz (10 min)	
Definitions and examples of $\pmod{m}$ (20 minutes)	
Monday, February 12: Peer review and introduction to congruences	
Proposition and examples of $\pmod{m}$ (30 minutes)	
Peer review Chapter 1 Exercise 83 (20 minutes)	
Wednesday, February 14: More congruence facts	
Basic facts of working modulo $m$ (35 minutes)	
Friday, February 16: Linear congruences in one variable	
Quiz (10 min)	
Linear congruences in one variable (40 min)	
Monday, February 19: Chinese Remainder Theorem	
Multiplicative inverses (20 min)	
Simultaneous Linear congruences in one variable (30 min)	. 34
Wednesday, February 21: Wilson's Theorem	
Wilson's Theorem (50 min)	
Friday, February 23: Euler's Theorem and Fermat's Little Theorem	
Quiz (10 min)	. 37

Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem (40 min)	37
Monday, February 26: Modular arithmetic calculations	39
Multiplicative inverses using Wilson's Theorem (30 min)	39
Finding least nonnegative residue Fermat's Little Theorem (20 min)	40
Wednesday, February 28: Modular arithmetic calculations and the $\phi-$ function	41
Multiplicative inverses using Euler's Extension of Fermat's Little Theorem (30 min)	41
The Euler $\phi$ -function (20 min)	42
Friday, March 1: The $\phi$ -function and a preview of primitive roots	43
Quiz (10 min)	43
Monday, March 11: Order of elements modulo $m$	45
Review of $\phi$ -function (15 minutes)	45
Order of $a$ modulo $m$ (35 minutes)	45
O The state of the	47
Wednesday, March 13: Primitive roots modulo a prime	52
Primitive roots and comparing Strayer to the reading	53
Friday, March 15: Lagrange's Theorem	54
Quiz (10 minutes)	55
Lagrange's Theorem	55

# Wednesday, January 17: Introduction and Divisibility

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Understand the course structure
- Formally define even and odd
- Formally define "divides"
- Complete basic algebraic proofs.

#### Introduction

What is number theory?

Elementary number theory is the study of integers, especially the positive integers. A lot of the course focuses on prime numbers, which are the multiplicative building blocks of the integers. Another big topic in number theory is integer solutions to equations such as the Pythagorean triples  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$  or the generalization  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ . Proving that there are no integer solutions when n > 2 was an open problem for close to 400 years.

The first part of this course reproves facts about divisibility and prime numbers that you are probably familiar with. There are two purposes to this: 1) formalizing definitions and 2) starting with the situation you understand before moving to the new material.

Go over syllabus highlights: Deadlines, make-up policy, in-class work, reading assignments.

#### Mathematical definitions, mathematical notation

**Definition.** We will use the following number systems and abbreviations:

- The *integers*, written  $\mathbb{Z}$ , is the set  $\{\ldots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ .
- The natural numbers, written  $\mathbb{N}$ . Most elementary number theory texts either define  $\mathbb{N}$  to be the positive integers or avoid using  $\mathbb{N}$ . Some mathematicians include 0 in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- The real numbers, written  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- The integers modulo n, written  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . We will define this set in Strayer Chapter 2, although Strayer does not use this notation.

We will also use the following notation:

• The symbol  $\in$  means "element of" or "in." For example,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  means "x is an element of the integers" or "x in the integers."

This first section will cover results in both Strayer and Ernst.

**Definition** (Ernst, Definition 2.1). An integer n is even if n = 2k for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . An integer n is odd if n = 2k + 1 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, this definition is standard in an introduction to proofs course, but it is not the only definition of even/odd.

**Definition** (Strayer, Definition 4). Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then n is said to be *even* if 2 divides n and n is said to be *odd* if 2 does not divide n.

Note that we need to define *divides* in order to use Strayer's definition. We will formally prove that these definitions are *equivalent*, but for now, let's use Ernst definition.

**Theorem** (Ernst, Theorem 2.2). If n is an even integer, then  $n^2$  is even.

**In-class Problem 1** *Prove this theorem.* 

**Proof** If n is an even integer, then by Ernst, Definition 2.1, there is some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that n = 2k. Then

$$n^2 = (2k)^2 = 2(2k^2).$$

Since  $2(k^2)$  is an integer, we have written  $n^2$  in the desired form. Thus,  $n^2$  is even.

**Theorem** (Ernst, Theorem 2.3). The sum of two consecutive integers in odd.

For this problem, we need to figure out how to write two consecutive integers.

**Proof** Let n, n + 1 be two consecutive integers. Then their sum is n + n + 1 = 2n + 1, which is odd by Ernst, Definition 2.1.

#### Divisibility

The goal of this chapter is to review basic facts about divisibility, get comfortable with the new notation, and solve some basic linear equations.

We will also use this material as an opportunity to get used to the course.

**Definition** (a divides b). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The a divides b, denoted  $a \mid b$ , if there exists an integer c such that b = ac. If  $a \mid b$ , then a is said to be a divisor or factor of b. The notation  $a \nmid b$  means a does not divide b.

Note that 0 is not a divisor of any integer other than itself, since b = 0c implies a = 0. Also all integers are divisors of 0, as odd as that sounds at first. This is because for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , 0 = a0.

Reminding students about the reading for Friday.

# Friday, January 19: Division algorithm, divisibility

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Prove facts about divisibility
- Prove basic mathematical statements using definitions and direct proof
- Use truth tables to understand compound propositions
- Prove statements by contradiction
- Use the greatest integer function.

**Reading** Read Ernst Chapter 1 and Section 2.1. Also read Strayer Introduction and Section 1.1 through the proof of Proposition 1.2 (that is, pages 1-5).

Turn in From Ernst

- Problem 2.6. For  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , how are the following mathematical expressions similar and how are they different? In particular, is each one a sentence or simply a noun?
  - (a)  $n \mid m$
  - (b)  $\frac{m}{n}$
  - (c) m/n

**Solution:** The first means "n divides m," which is a relationship between n and m. This is a sentence. The other two are nouns, that is, the rational number  $\frac{m}{n}$ .

- Problem 2.8 Let  $a, b, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Determine whether each of the following statements is true or false. If a statement is true, prove it. If a statement is false, provide a counterexample.
  - (a) If  $a \mid n$ , then  $a \mid mn$

**Solution:** Let  $a \mid n$ . Then by Definition (a divides b), there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ak = n. Multiplying both sides of the equation by m gives

$$a(km) = mn,$$

so  $a \mid mn$  by definition of a divides b.

(b) If 6 divides n, then 2 divides n and 3 divides n.

**Solution:** Let  $6 \mid n$ . Then by definition of a divides b, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that 6k = n. By factoring out 6, we see that 2(3k) = 3(2k) = n, so  $2 \mid n$  and  $3 \mid n$ .

(c) If ab divides n, then a divides n and b divides n.

**Solution:** Let  $ab \mid n$ . Then by definition of a divides b, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that abk = n. Thus, we see that a(bk) = b(ak) = n, so  $a \mid n$  and  $b \mid n$ .

- Problem 2.12. Determine whether the converse of each of Corollary 2.9, Theorem 2.10, and Theorem 2.11 is true. That is, for  $a, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , determine whether each of the following statements is true or false. If a statement is true, prove it. If a statement is false, provide a counterexample.
  - (a) If a divides  $n^2$ , then a divides n. (Converse of Corollary 2.9)

**Solution:** False;  $4 \mid 4$  but  $4 \nmid 2$ .

(b) If a divides -n, then a divides n. (Converse of Theorem 2.10)

**Solution:** True. If  $a \mid -n$ , then by definition of a divides b, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ak = -n. Multiplying both sides by -1 gives

$$-ak = a(-k) = n.$$

Therefore,  $a \mid n$ .

(c) If a divides m+n, then a divides m and a divides n. (Converse of Theorem 2.11)

**Solution:** False;  $3 \mid 2+1$  but  $3 \nmid 2$  and  $3 \nmid 1$ .

#### Reminders and Homework Guide Review

Updated In Class Work logistics: if I am able to give individual feedback during class or have pairs/groups present the answers during class, then I will not collect the work.

#### Logic, proof by contradiction, and biconditionals

We will begin by working through Ernst Section 2.2 through Example 2.21. Discuss Problem 2.17 as a class, and note that Problem 2.19 is on Homework 1.

**In-class Problem 2** Construct a truth table for  $A \Rightarrow B, \neg(A \Rightarrow B)$  and  $A \land \neg B$ 

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$	$\neg(A \Rightarrow B)$	$A \wedge \neg B$
Т	Т	Т	F	F
${\rm T}$	F	F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	T	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$	F	Т	$\mathbf{F}$	F
	T T F	T T F F T	T T T T T F F F T T	$\begin{array}{c cccc} A & B & A \Rightarrow B & \neg(A \Rightarrow B) \\ \hline T & T & T & F \\ T & F & F & T \\ F & T & T & F \\ F & F & T & F \\ \end{array}$

This is the basis for proof by contradiction. We assume both A and  $\neg B$ , and proceed until we get a contradiction. That is, A and  $\neg B$  cannot both be true.

**Definition** (Proof by contradiction). Let A and B be propositions. To prove A implies B by contradiction, first assume the B is false. Then work through logical steps until you conclude  $\neg A \land A$ .

First, let's define a *lemma*. A lemma is a minor result whose sole purpose is to help in proving a theorem, although some famous named lemmas have become important results in their own right.

**Definition** (greatest integer (floor) function). Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The greatest integer function of x, denoted [x] or [x], is the greatest integer less than or equal to x.

**Lemma** (Strayer, Lemma 1.3). Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $x - 1 < [x] \le x$ .

**Proof** By the definition of the greatest integer (floor) function,  $[x] \leq x$ .

To prove that x - 1 < [x], we proceed by contradiction. Assume that  $x - 1 \ge [x]$  (the negation of x - 1 < [x]). Then,  $x \ge [x] + 1$ . This contradicts the assumption that [x] is the greatest integer less than or equal to x. Thus, x - 1 < [x].

# Monday, January 22: Division algorithm and quantifiers

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Understand universal and existential quantifiers
- Negate statements using quantifiers
- Negate conditional statements using quantifiers
- Prove existence and uniqueness for the Division Algorithm.

Read Ernst Section 2.2 and Section 2.4

**Turn in** • Ernst, Problem 2.59. Both of the following sentences are propositions. Decide whether each is true or false. What would it take to justify your answers?

(a) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x^2 - 4 = 0$ .

**Solution:** False–find a counterexample.

(b) There exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x^2 - 4 = 0$ .

**Solution:** True-find a solution x.

- Ernst Problem 2.64. Suppose the universe of discourse is the set of real numbers and consider the predicate  $F(x,y) := "x = y^2"$ . Interpret the meaning of each of the following statements.
  - (a) There exists x such that there exists y such that F(x,y).

**Solution:** There exists x such that for some y,  $x = y^2$ .

(b) There exists y such that there exists x such that F(x, y).

**Solution:** There exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x = y^2$ .

(c) For all y, for all x, F(x, y).

**Solution:** For all real numbers x and y,  $x = y^2$ .

Go over reading assignment at the start of class.

# Division Algorithm (45 minutes)

Section 1.1 introduces the division algorithm, which will come up repeatedly throughout the semester, as well as the definition of divisors from last class.

**Theorem** (Division Algorithm). (Theorem 1.4) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0. Then there exists a unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = bq + r$$
,  $0 \le r < b$ .

Before proving this theorem, let's think about division with remainders, ie long division. The quotient q should be the largest integer such that  $bq \le a$ . If we divide both sides by b, we have  $q \le \frac{a}{b}$ . We have a function to find the greatest integer less than or equal to  $\frac{a}{b}$ , namely  $q = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor$ . If we rearrange the equation a = bq + r, we gave r = a - bq. This is our scratch work for existence.

**Proof** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with b > 0. Define  $q = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor$  and  $r = a - b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor$ . Then a = bq + r by rearranging the equation. Now we need to show 0 < r < b.

Since  $x - 1 < |x| \le x$  by Strayer, Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\frac{a}{b} - 1 < \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor \le \frac{a}{b}.$$

Multiplying all terms by -b, we get

$$-a+b > -b \left| \frac{a}{b} \right| \ge -a.$$

Adding a to every term gives

$$b > a - b \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor \ge 0.$$

By the definition of r, we have shown  $0 \le r < b$ .

Finally, we need to show that q and r are unique. Assume there exist  $q_1, q_2, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$a = bq_1 + r_1, \quad 0 \le r_1 < b$$

$$a = bq_2 + r_2, \quad 0 \le r_2 < b.$$

We need to show  $q_1 = q_2$  and  $r_1 = r_2$ . We can subtract the two equations from each other.

$$a = bq_1 + r_1,$$

$$\underline{-(a = bq_2 + r_2)},$$

$$0 = bq_1 + r_1 - bq_2 - r_2 = b(q_1 - q_2) + (r_1 - r_2).$$

Rearranging, we get  $b(q_1 - q_2) = r_2 - r_1$ . Thus,  $b \mid r_2 - r_1$ . From rearranging the inequalities:

$$0 \le r_2 < b$$

$$-\frac{b < -r_1 \le 0}{-b < r_2 - r_1 < b}.$$

Thus, the only way  $b \mid r_2 - r_1$  is that  $r_2 - r_1 = 0$  and thus  $r_1 = r_2$ . Now,  $0 = b(q_1 - q_2) + (r_1 - r_2)$  becomes  $0 = b(q_1 - q_2)$ . Since we assumed b > 0, we have that  $q_1 - q_2 = 0$ .

**In-class Problem** 3 Use the Division Algorithm on a = 47, b = 6 and a = 281, b = 13.

**Solution:** For a = 47, b = 6, we have that a = (7)6 + 5, q = 7, r = 5. For a = 281, b = 13, we have that a = (21)13 + 8, q = 21, r = 8.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$ . Then there exists a unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = bq + r$$
,  $0 < r < |b|$ .

One proof method is using an existing proof as a guide.

**In-class Problem** 4 Let a and b be nonzero integers. Prove that there exists a unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = bq + r, \quad 0 \le r < |b|.$$

(Outline updated from class)

- (a) Use the Division Algorithm to prove this statement as a corollary. That is, use the conclusion of the Division Algorithm as part of the proof. Use the following outline:
  - (i) Let a and b be nonzero integers. Since |b| > 0, the Division Algorithm says that there exist unique  $p, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = p|b| + s and  $0 \le s < |b|$ .
  - (ii) There are two cases:
    - i. When b > 0, the conditions are already met and r = s and q = p
    - ii. Otherwise, b < 0, r = s and q = -b
  - (iii) Since both cases used that the p, s are unique, then q, r are also unique
- (b) Use the proof of the Division Algorithm as a template to prove this statement. That is, repeat the steps, adjusting as necessary, but do not use the conclusion.
  - (i) In the proof of the Division Algorithm, we let  $q = \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$ . Here we have two cases:
    - i. When b > 0,  $q = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{b} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $r = \begin{bmatrix} a bq \end{bmatrix}$ .
    - ii. When b < 0,  $q = -\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$  and r = a bq.
  - (ii) Follow the steps of the proof of the Division Algorithm to finish the proof.

**Solution:** Problem on Homework 2. You only need to provide one proof on Homework 2.

# Wednesday, January 24: Primes

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Every integer greater than 1 has a prime divisor.
- Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Read Strayer, Section 1.2

**Turn in** • The proof method for Euclid's infinitude of primes is an important method. Summarize this method in your own words.

**Solution:** Summaries will vary

• Identify any other new proof methods in this section

**Solution:** Proof by construction may be new to some students. Students also identified:

- Introducing a variable to aid in proof
- Without loss of generality
- Exercise 22. Prove that 2 is the only even prime number.

**Solution:** Assume that there exists another even prime number, call it p. Then there exists  $2 \mid p$  by the definition of even, but that implies that p = 2 by the definition of prime. Thus, 2 is the only even prime number.

#### Primes (50 minutes)

**Definition** (prime and composite). An integer p > 1 is *prime* if the only positive divisors of p are 1 and itself. An integer n which is not prime is *composite*.

Why is 1 not prime?

**Lemma** (Lemma 1.5). Every integer greater than 1 has a prime divisor.

We will not go over this proof in class.

**Proof** Assume by contradiction that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  greater than 1 with no prime divisor. By the Well Ordering Principle, we may assume n is the least such integer. By definition,  $n \mid n$ , so n is not prime. Thus, n is composite and there exists  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that n = ab and 1 < a < n, 1 < b < n. Since a < n, then it has a prime divisor p. But since  $p \mid a$  and  $p \mid n$ ,  $p \mid n$ . This contradicts our assumption, so no such integer exists.

**Theorem** (Euclid's Infinitude of Primes). (Theorem 1.6) There are infinitely many prime numbers.

**Proof** Assume by way of contradiction, that there are only finitely many prime numbers, so  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ . Consider the number  $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + 1$ . Now N has a prime divisor, say, p, by Lemma 1.5. So  $p = p_i$  for some  $i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Then  $p \mid N - p_1 p_2 \ldots p_n$ , which implies that  $p \mid 1$ , a contradiction. Hence, there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Another important fact is there are arbitrarily large sequences of composite numbers. Put another way, there are arbitrarily large gaps in the primes. Another important proof method, which is a *constructive proof*:

**Proposition** (Proposition 1.8). For any positive integer n, there are at least n consecutive positive integers.

**Proof** Given the positive integer n, consider the n consecutive positive integers

$$(n+1)! + 2, (n+1)! + 3, \dots, (n+1)! + n + 1.$$

Let i be a positive integer such that  $2 \le i \le n+1$ . Since  $i \mid (n+1)!$  and  $i \mid i$ , we have

$$i \mid (n+1)! + i, \quad 2 \le i \le n+1$$

by linear combination (Proposition 1.2). So each of the n consecutive positive integers is composite.

**In-class Problem** 5 Let n be a positive integer with  $n \neq 1$ . Prove that if  $n^2 + 1$  is prime, then  $n^2 + 1$  can be written in the form 4k + 1 with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Solution:** Assume that n is a positive integer,  $n \neq 1$ , and  $n^2 + 1$  is prime. If n is odd, then  $n^2$  is odd, which would imply  $n^2 + 1 = 2$ , the only even prime. However,  $n \neq 1$  by assumption. Thus, n is even.

By definition of even, there exists  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that n = 2k and  $n^2 = 4j^2$ . Thus,  $n^2 + 1 = 4k + 1$  when  $k = j^2$ .

**In-class Problem 6** Prove or disprove the following conjecture, which is similar to Conjecture 1: Conjecture: There are infinitely many prime number p for which p + 2 and p + 4 are also prime numbers.

**Solution:** On Homework 2.

# Friday, January 26: Quiz 1, Induction, Greatest Common Divisors

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Understand induction
- Prove basic facts about the greatest common divisor.

**Read** Strayer Appendix A.1: The First Principle of Mathematical Induction or Ernst Section 4.1 and Section 4.2 **Turn in** Strayer Exercise Set A, Exercise 1a. If n is a positive integer, then

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + n^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

**Proof** We proceed by induction. The base case is n = 1. Since  $1^2 = \frac{1(1+1)(2*1+1)}{6}$ , we are done.

Now assume that if  $k \geq 1$  and for n = k,

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}.$$

Adding  $(k+1)^2$  to both sides gives,

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + 3^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2} = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1) + 6(k+1)^{2}}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)[k(2k+1) + 6(k+1)]}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)[2k^{2} + k + 6k + 6]}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}.$$

So the desired statement is true for n = k + 1. By the first principle of mathematical induction, the desired statement is true for all positive integers, and the proof is complete.

#### Quiz (10 minutes)

Greatest common divisor (20 min)

**Definition** (greatest common divisor). If  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then a is a common divisor of b and c.

If at least one of b and c is not 0, the greatest (positive) number among their common divisors is called the *greatest* common divisor of a and b and is denoted gcd(a, b) or just (a, b).

If gcd(a, b) = 1, we say that a and b are relatively prime.

If we want the greatest common divisor of several integers at once we denote that by  $gcd(b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n)$ .

For example, gcd(4, 8) is 4 but gcd(4, 6, 8) is 2.

The GCD always exists when at least one of the integers is nonzero. How to show this: 1 is always a divisor, and no divisor can be larger than the maximum of |a|, |b|. So there is a finite number of divisors, thus there is a maximum.

**Proposition** (Proposition 1.11). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a and b not both zero. Then

$$\{(a,b) = \min\{ma + nb : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, ma + nb > 0\}.$$

This proof brings together definitions (of gcd), previous results (Division Algorithm, factors of linear combinations), the well-ordering principle, and some methods for minimum and maximum/greatest.

**Proof** Since  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  are not both zero, at least one of 1a + 0b, -1a + 0b, 0a + 1b, 0a + (-1)b is in  $\{ma + nb : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, ma + nb > 0\}$ . Therefore, the set is nonempty and has a minimal element by the Well Ordering Principle. Call this element d, and d = xa + yb for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

First we will show that  $d \mid a$ . By the Division Algorithm, there exist unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = qd + r with  $0 \le r < d$ . Then,

$$r = a - qd = a - q(xa + yb) = (1 - qx)a - qyb,$$

so r is an integral linear combination of a and b. Since d is the least positive such integer, r = 0 and  $d \mid a$ . Similarly,  $d \mid b$ .

It remains to show that d is the *greatest* common divisor of a and b. Let c be any common divisor of a and b. Then  $c \mid ax + by = d$ , so  $c \mid d$ .

Since we assume a and b are not both zero, we could also simplify the first sentence using without loss of generality. Since there is no difference between a and b, we can assume  $a \neq 0$ .

#### More induction (15 minutes)

**In-class Problem 7** Theorems in Ernst Section 4.1

**Theorem** (Ernst Theorem 4.5). For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , 3 divides  $4^n - 1$ .

**Solution:** We proceed by induction. When  $n = 1, 3 \mid 4^n - 1 = 3$ . Thus, the statement is true for n = 1.

Now assume  $k \ge 1$  and the desired statement is true for n = k. Then the induction hypothesis is

$$3 \mid 4^k - 1.$$

By the definition of a divides b, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $3m = 4^k - 1$ . In other words,  $3m + 1 = 4^k$ . Multiplying both sides by 4 gives  $12m + 4 = 4^{k+1}$ . Rewriting this equation gives  $3(4m + 1) = 4^{k+1} - 1$ . Thus,  $3 \mid 4^{k+1} - 1$ , and the desired statement is true for n = k + 1. By the (first) principle of mathematical induction, the statement is true for all positive integers, and the proof is complete.

**Theorem** (Ernst Theorem 4.7). Let  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  be n distinct points arranged on a circle. Then the number of line segments joining all pairs of points is  $\frac{n^2 - n}{2}$ .

**Solution:** We proceed by induction. When n = 1, there is only one point, so there are no lines connecting pairs of points. Additionally,  $\frac{1^2 - 1}{2} = 0.1$ 

Now assume  $k \ge 1$  and the desired statement is true for n = k. Then the induction hypothesis is for k distinct points arranged in a circle, the number of line segments joining all pairs of points is  $\frac{k^2 - k}{2}$ . Adding a  $(k+1)^{st}$  point on the circle will add an additional k line segments joining pairs of points, one for each existing point. Note that

$$\frac{k^2 - k}{2} + k = \frac{k^2 + k}{2} = \frac{k^2 + k + k + 1 - (k+1)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)^2 - (k+1)}{2}$$

**In-class Problem 8** . Use the first principle of mathematical induction to prove each statement.

(b) If n is a positive integer, then

$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}.$$

**Solution:** We proceed by induction. When n = 1,  $1^3 = \frac{1^2(1+1)^2}{4}$ . Thus, the statement is true for n = 1.

Now assume  $k \ge 1$  and the desired statement is true for n = k. Then the induction hypothesis is

$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + k^3 = \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4}$$

Adding  $(k+1)^3$  to both sides gives

$$1^{3} + 2^{3} + 3^{3} + \dots + k^{3} + (k+1)^{3} = \frac{k^{2}(k+1)^{2} + 4(k+1)^{3}}{4}$$
$$= \frac{(k+1)^{2}(k^{2} + 4(k+1))}{4}$$
$$= \frac{(k+1)^{2}(k+2)^{2}}{4}$$

Thus, the desired statement is true for n = k + 1. By the (first) principle of mathematical induction, the statement is true for all positive integers, and the proof is complete.

(c) If n is an integer with  $n \geq 5$ , then

$$2^n > n^2$$
.

**Solution:** We proceed by induction with base case n = 5. When  $n = 5, 32 = 2^5 > 5^2 = 25$ . Thus, the statement is true for n = 1.

Now assume  $k \geq 1$  and

$$2^k > k^2$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Alternately, you could use n=2 for the base case. Then there is one line connecting the only pair of points and  $\frac{2^2-2}{2}=1$ 

is true for n=k. Multiplying both sides of the inequality by 2 gives  $2^{k+1}>2k^2$ . Notice that  $2k^2>k^2+2k+1$  when  $(k-1)^2>0$ , which is true for all  $k\geq 5$ . Thus

$$2^{k+1} > 2k^2 > (k+1)^2.$$

Thus, the desired statement is true for n = k + 1. By the (first) principle of mathematical induction, the statement is true for all positive integers, and the proof is complete.

# Monday, January 29: More algebraic proofs and the Euclidean Algorithm

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Understand turning scratch work into proof for algebraic proofs
- Approach the floor function problems from Homework 1
- Prove the Euclidean Algorithm halts and generates the greatest common divisor of two positive integers.

#### Read None

Turn in The Learn TeX assignment using a copy of the Homework Template.

#### Example of proof like the floor function (30 minutes)

I did not go over the entire example in class. This also took the place of in class problems.

Let's explicitly think about the floor function as a function. That is,  $f(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$  (a function from the real numbers to the integers). Here is a restatement of the homework problem:

**Homework Problem.** For each of the following equations, find a domain for  $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$  make the statement true. Prove your statement.

- (a) f(x) + f(x) = f(2x)
- (b) f(x+3) = 3 + f(x)
- (c) f(x+3) = 3+x

Here is a similar problem with proofs where  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the scratch work is one way to think about solving the problem but would not be included in the homework writeup.

**Example 1.** For each of the following equations, find the full domain for  $g(x) = 3\sin(\pi x)$  that makes the statement true. Prove that the equation is always true on this domain.

(a) 
$$g(x) + g(x) = g(2x)$$

**Scratch Work.** We want to find a restriction such that  $3\sin(\pi x) + 3\sin(\pi x) = 3\sin(2\pi x)$ . Using the double angle formula, we get

$$3\sin(2\pi x) = 6\sin(\pi x)\cos(2\pi x).$$

This means we are actually looking for

$$6\sin(\pi x)\cos(2\pi x) = 6\sin(\pi x)$$
$$\cos(2\pi x) = 1.$$

**Solution:** If  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then g(x) + g(x) = g(2x).

**Proof** Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $g(x) + g(x) = 3\sin(\pi x) + 3\sin(\pi x) = 0$  and  $g(2x) = 3\sin(2\pi x) = 0$ . Thus, g(x) + g(x) = g(2x).

(b) 
$$g(x+3) = 3 + g(x)$$

**Scratch Work.** We want to find a restriction such that  $3\sin(\pi(x+3)) = 3+3\sin(\pi x)$ . Using the angle addition formula, we get

$$3\sin(\pi x + 3\pi)) = 3\sin(\pi x)\cos(3\pi) + 3\cos(\pi x)\sin(3\pi) = -3\sin(\pi x).$$

This means we are actually looking for

$$-3\sin(\pi x) = 3\sin(\pi x) + 3$$
$$-1 = 2\sin(\pi x)$$

**Solution:** If  $x = 2k + \frac{7}{6}$  or  $x = 2k - \frac{1}{6}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then g(x+3) = g(x) + 3.

**Proof** Note that  $g(x+3) = 3\sin(\pi x + 3\pi) = -3\sin(\pi x)$  by the angle addition formula. We will consider two cases:

Case 1: Let  $x = 2k + \frac{7}{6}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$g(x+3) = -3\sin(\pi x)$$
$$= -3\sin(2k\pi + \frac{7\pi}{6})$$
$$= \frac{3}{2},$$

and  $g(x) + 3 = 3\sin(2k\pi + \frac{7\pi}{6}) + 3 = \frac{3}{2}$ .

Case 2: Let  $x = 2k - \frac{1}{6}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$g(x+3) = -3\sin(\pi x)$$
$$= -3\sin(2k\pi - \frac{\pi}{6})$$
$$= \frac{3}{2},$$

and 
$$g(x) + 3 = 3\sin(2k\pi - \frac{\pi}{6}) + 3 = \frac{3}{2}$$

Thus, g(x+3) = g(x) + 3 when  $x = 2k + \frac{7}{6}$  or  $x = 2k - \frac{1}{6}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(c) Let  $h(x) = x^2 - 1$ . For each of the following equations, find the full domain for h(x) that makes the statement true. Prove your statement.

(i) 
$$h(x+3) = h(x) + 3$$

(ii) 
$$h(x+3) = x+3$$

**Scratch Work.** First,  $h(x+3) = (x+3)^2 - 1 = x^2 + 6x + 8$ .

For (a)

$$x^2 + 6x + 8 = x^2 - 1 + 3$$
$$6x = -6$$

$$x^{2} + 6x + 8 = x + 3$$
$$x^{2} + 5x + 5 = 0$$
$$x = \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

**Solution:** (i) For  $h(x) = x^2 - 1$ , h(x+3) = h(x) + 3 if and only if x = -1.

**Proof** Let 
$$h(x) = x^2 - 1$$
 and  $x = -1$ . Then  $h(x+3) = 3 = 0 + 3 = h(x) + 3$ .

To prove that this is the only such x, let h(x+3) = h(x) + 3. Then  $x^2 + 6x + 8 = x^2 + 2$ , which simplifies to x = -1.

(ii) For 
$$h(x) = x^2 - 1$$
,  $h(x+3) = x+3$  if and only if  $x = \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

**Proof** Let  $h(x) = x^2 - 1$ . First we consider the case  $x = \frac{-5 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Then  $h(x+3) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \frac{-5 + \sqrt{5}}{2} + 3 = x + 3$ .

Next, we consider the case 
$$x = \frac{-5 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$$
. Then  $h(x+3) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} = \frac{-5 - \sqrt{5}}{2} + 3 = x + 3$ .

To prove that these are the only such x, let h(x+3) = x+3. Then  $x^2 + 6x + 8 = x+3$ , which has the solutions  $x = \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

#### The Euclidean Algorithm (20 minutes)

Typically by *Euclidean Algorithm*, we mean both the algorithm and the theorem that the algorithm always generates the greatest common divisor of two (positive) integers.

**Theorem** (Euclidean algorithm). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \geq b > 0$ . By the Division Algorithm, there exist  $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = bq_1 + r_1, \quad 0 \le r_1 < b.$$

If  $r_1 > 0$ , there exist  $q_2, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$b = r_1 q_2 + r_2, \quad 0 \le r_2 < r_1.$$

If  $r_2 > 0$ , there exist  $q_3, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$r_1 = r_2 q_3 + r_3, \quad 0 \le r_3 < r_2.$$

Continuing this process,  $r_n = 0$  for some n. If n > 1, then  $gcd(a, b) = r_{n-1}$ . If n = 1, then gcd(a, b) = b.

**Proof** Note that  $r_1 > r_2 > r_3 > \cdots \geq 0$  by construction. If the sequence did not stop, then we would have an infinite, decreasing sequence of positive integers, which is not possible. Thus,  $r_n = 0$  for some n.

When n = 1, a = bq + 0 and gcd(a, b) = b.

Lemma 1.12 states that for  $a = bq_1 + r_1$ ,  $gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r_1)$ . This is because any common divisor of a and b is also a divisor of  $r_1 = a - bq_1$ .

If n > 1, then by repeated application of the Lemma 1.12, we have

$$\gcd(a,b) = \gcd(b,r_1) = \gcd(r_1,r_2) = \dots = \gcd(r_{n-2},r_{n-1})$$

Then  $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + 0$ . Thus  $gcd(r_{n-2}, r_{n-1}) = r_{n-1}$ .

When using the Euclidean algorithm, it can be tricky to keep track of what is happening. Doing a lot of examples can help.

# Wednesday, January 31: Practice with the Euclidean Algorithm and the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Use the (extended) Euclidean algorithm to write (a, b) as a linear combination of a and b
- Prove the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
- Prove  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

Read Strayer, Section 1.5 through Proposition 1.17

# **Turn in** • Answer these questions about the proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (taken from Helping Undergraduates Learn to Read Mathematics):

- Can you write a brief outline (maybe 1/10 as long as the theorem) giving the logic of the argument proof by contradiction, induction on n, etc.? (This is KEY.)
- What mathematical raw materials are used in the proof? (Do we need a lemma? Do we need a new definition? A powerful theorem? and do you recall how to prove it? Is the full generality of that theorem needed, or just a weak version?)
- What does the proof tell you about why the theorem holds?
- Where is each of the hypotheses used in the proof?
- Can you think of other questions to ask yourself?
- Strayer states that the proof of Proposition 1.17 is "obvious from the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic and the definitions of (a, b) and [a, b]." Is this true? If so, why? If not, fill in the gaps.

**Solution:** Answers to both questions will vary between students.

#### Announcements

We have gotten a bit ahead of the reading. I adjusted the reading assignments—make sure to read Section 6.1 for Friday! This section does not use any facts beyond divisibility.

#### Practice with the Euclidean algorithm (15 minutes)

Work in pairs to answer the following. Each pair will be assigned parts the following question.

**In-class Problem 9** Find the greatest common divisors of the pairs of integers below and write the greatest common divisor as a linear combination of the integers.

32(b) (32,56)

**Solution:** Using the Euclidean algorithm: a = 56, b = 32

$$56 = 32(1) + 24 q_1 = 1, r_1 = 24 24 = 56(1) + 32(-1)$$

$$32 = 24(1) + 8 q_2 = 1, r_2 = 8 8 = 32(1) + 24(-1) = 32(1) + (56(1) + 32(-1))(-1) = 32(2) + 56(-1)$$

$$32 = 8(4) + 0 q_3 = 4, r_3 = 0.$$

$$so 56(-1) + 32(2) = 8 = (56, 32)$$

32(d) (0,113)

**Solution:** Since 
$$0 = 113(0)$$
,  $(0, 113) = 113 = 0(0) = 113(1)$ .

54(b) (78, 708)

**Solution:** Using the Euclidean algorithm: 
$$a = 708, b = 78$$

$$708 = 78(9) + 6$$

$$q_1 = 9, r_1 = 6$$

$$6 = 708(1) + 78(-9)$$

$$78 = 6(13) + 0$$

$$q_2 = 13, r_2 = 0.$$

so 
$$708(1) + 78(-6) = 6 = (78,708)$$

#### Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (35 minutes)

Observations from reading assignment

- Lemma 1.14 and ?? (if  $p \mid a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  then  $p \mid a_i$  for some i)
  - Lemma 1.14 is used as the base case in the proof of ??
  - Prove factorization is unique by contradiction

**Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). Every integer greater than one can be written in the form  $p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_r^{a_r}$  where the  $p_i$  are distinct prime numbers and the  $a_i$  are positive integers. This factorization into primes is unique up to the ordering of the terms.

Alternate proof for existence We will show that every integer n greater than 1 has a prime factorization. First, note that all primes are already in the desired form. We will use induction to show that every composite integer can be factored into the product of primes. When n = 4, we can write  $n = 2^2$ , so 4 has the desired form.

Assume that for all integers k with 1 < k < n, k can be written in the form  $p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_r^{a_r}$  where the  $p_i$  are distinct prime numbers and the  $a_i$  are positive integers. If n is prime, we are done, otherwise there exists  $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with 1 < a,b < n such that n=ab. By the induction hypothesis, there exist primes  $p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_r,q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_s$  and positive integers  $a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_r,b_1,b_2,\ldots b_s$  such that  $a=p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_r^{a_r}$  and  $b=q_1^{b_1}q_2^{a_2}\cdots q_s^{b_s}$ . Then

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} q_2^{a_2} \cdots q_s^{b_a}.$$

We will use an idea similar to the proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic to proof the following:

In-class Problem 10

**Proposition.**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

As class, put the steps of the proof in order, then fill in the missing information.

# Friday, February 2: Quiz 2, Greatest Common Divisors and Diophantine Equations

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Prove the formula for integer solutions to ax + by = c.
- State when integer solution exist for  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k = c$ .

Read Strayer, Section 6.1

**Turn in** Exercise 2a. Find all integer solutions to 18x + 28y = 10

#### Quiz (10 minutes)

#### More greatest common divisor (40 min)

**Lemma 1.** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $a \neq 0$ . Then (a, b, c) = ((a, b), c).

**Proof** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $a \neq 0$ . Define d = (a, b, c) and e = ((a, b), c). We will show that  $d \mid e$  and  $e \mid d$ . Since the greatest common divisor is positive, we can conclude that  $d = e^2$ .

Since d = (a, b, c), we know  $d \mid a, d \mid b$ , and  $d \mid c$ . By Lemma 2, which we are about to prove,  $d \mid (a, b)$ . Thus, d is a common divisor of (a, b) and c, so  $d \mid e$ .

Since e = ((a, b), c),  $e \mid (a, b)$  and  $e \mid c$ . Since  $e \mid (a, b)$ , we know  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b$  by Lemma 2. Thus, e is a common divides of a, b and c

**Lemma 2.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero. Then any common divisor of a and b divides the greatest common divisor.

**Proof** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero. By Proposition 1.11, (a, b) = am + bn for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $d \mid (a, b)$  by linear combination.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero. Then any divisor of (a, b) is a common divisor of a and b.

**Proof** Let c be a divisor of (a,b). Since  $(a,b) \mid a$  and  $(a,b) \mid b$ , then  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid b$  by transitivity.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Then

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=((a_1,a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_{n-1}),a_n).$$

**Proof** Let k = 2. The since  $((a_1, a_2)) = (a_1, a_2)$  by the definition of greatest common divisor of one integer,  $(a_1, a_2) = ((a_1, a_2))$ . The k = 3 case is the first lemma in this section (1).

Assume that for all  $2 \le k < n$ ,

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_k)=((a_1,a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_{k-1}),a_k).$$

Let  $d = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k)$ ,  $e = ((a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k), a_{k+1}) = (d, a_{k+1})$ , and  $f = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1})$ . We will show that  $e \mid f$  and  $f \mid e$ . Since both e and f are positive, this will prove that e = f.

Note that  $e \mid (a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_k)$  and  $e \mid a_{k+1}$  by definition. Since  $(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = ((a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{k-1}), a_k)$  by the induction hypothesis,  $e \mid (a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{k-1})$  and  $e \mid a_k$  by Lemma 3. Again, by the induction hypothesis,  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{k-1}) = ((a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{k-2}), a_{k-1})$ , so  $e \mid a_{k-1}$  and  $e \mid (a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_{k-2})$  by Lemma 3. Repeat this process until we get  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = ((a_1, a_2), a_3)$ , so  $e \mid a_3$  and  $e \mid (a_1, a_2)$  by Lemma 3. Thus  $e \mid a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k+1}$  by repeated applications of Lemma 3. By the generalized version of the Lemma 2 on Homework 3,  $e \mid f$ .

To show that  $f \mid e$ , we note that  $f \mid a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_{k+1}$  by definition. Then  $f \mid d$  by the generalized version of the Lemma 2 on Homework 3. Since  $e = (d, a_k)$ , we have that  $f \mid e$  by Lemma 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is not true in general and a common mistake on the homework. In general  $d=\pm e$ 

# Monday February 5: More facts about greatest common divisor and primes

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Find the solutions to a specific Diophantine equation in three variables
- Prove that when a Diophantine equation in three variables has a solutions, it has infinitely many.

Reading Strayer Section 1.5.

- **Turn in** (a) The proof of Theorem 1.19 ends with "the cases a = 1 and b > 1, a > 1 and b = 1, and a = b = 1 are easily checked and are left as exercises. Do this.
  - (b) For Corollary 1.20, the book states "The (extremely easy) proof is left as an exercise for the reader." Complete this proof.
  - **Solution:** (a) When a = 1 and b > 1, then (a, b) = 1 and [a, b] = b. Then (a, b)[a, b] = b = ab. Similarly for a > 1, b = 1. When a = b = 1, then (a, b) = [a, b] = 1 and (a, b)[a, b] = 1 = ab.
  - (b) From Theorem 1.19, we know that gcd(a, b) lcm[a, b] = ab. Since gcd(a, b), lcm[a, b], and ab are all positive,  $lcm[a, b] = \frac{ab}{gcd(a, b)}$  if and only if gcd(a, b) = 1.

#### Greatest common divisor and Diophantine equations (30 minutes)

Finish proof from Friday-end of notes from Week 3.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let ax + by + cz = d be a linear Diophantine equation. If  $(a, b, c) \nmid d$ , then the equation has no solutions. If  $(a, b, c) \mid d$ , then there are infinitely many solutions.

In-class Problem 11 Find integral solutions to the Diophantine equation

$$8x_1 - 4x_2 + 6x_3 = 6.$$

- (a) Since (8, -4, 6) = 2, solutions exist
- (b) The linear Diophantine equation  $8x_1 4x_2 = 4y$  has infinitely many solutions for all  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  by Theorem 6.2. Substituting into the original Diophantine equation gives  $4y + 6x_3 = 6$ , which has infinitely many solutions by Theorem 6.2, since  $(4,6) = 2 \mid 6$ . Find them.

**Solution:** By inspection,  $y = 0, x_3 = 1$  is a particular solution. Then by Theorem 6.2, the solutions have the form

$$y = 0 + \frac{6n}{2}$$
,  $x_3 = 1 - \frac{4n}{2}$ , or  $y = 0 + 3n$ ,  $x_3 = 1 - 2n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(c) For a particular value of y, the Diophantine equation  $8x_1 - 4x_2 = 0$  has solutions, find them.

**Solution:** By inspection,  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2$  is a particular solution. Then by Theorem 6.2, the solutions have the form

$$x_1 = 1 + \frac{-4m}{4}$$
,  $x_2 = 2 - \frac{8m}{4}$ , or  $x_1 = 1 - m$ ,  $x_2 = 2 - 2m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(d) Then  $x_1 = 1 - m, x_2 = 2 - 2m, x_3 = 1$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof of Proposition 2** Let  $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let ax+by+cz=d be a linear Diophantine equation. If  $(a,b,c) \mid d$ , let e=(a,b). Then

$$ax + by = ew (1)$$

has a solution for all  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  by Theorem 6.2. Similarly, the linear Diophantine equation

$$ew + cz = d (2)$$

has infinitely many solutions by Theorem 6.2, since (e,c) = (a,b,c) by the Lemma 1 and  $(a,b,c) \mid d$  by assumption. These solutions have the form

$$w = w_0 + \frac{cn}{(a, b, c)}, \quad z = z_0 - \frac{en}{(a, b, c)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $w_0, z_0$  is a particular solution. Let  $x_0, y_0$  be a particular solution to

$$ax + by = ew_0.$$

Then the general solution is

$$x = x_0 + \frac{bm}{e}, \quad y = y_0 - \frac{am}{e}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

To verify that these formulas for x, y, and z give solutions to ax + by + cz = d, we substitute into equation 2 then 1

$$e\left(w_0 + \frac{cn}{(a,b,c)}\right) + c\left(z_0 - \frac{en}{(a,b,c)}\right) = d$$

$$ew_0 + cz_0 = d$$

$$a\left(x_0 + \frac{bm}{e}\right) + b\left(y_0 - \frac{am}{e}\right) + cz_0 = d$$

$$ax_0 + by_0 + cz_0 = d.$$

When  $(a,b,c) \nmid d$ ,  $\frac{a}{(a,b,c)}$ ,  $\frac{b}{(a,b,c)}$ ,  $\frac{c}{(a,b,c)} \in \mathbb{Z}$  by definition, but  $\frac{d}{(a,b,c)}$  is not an integer. Therefore, there are no integers such that

$$\frac{a}{(a,b,c)}x + \frac{b}{(a,b,c)}y + \frac{c}{(a,b,c)}z = \frac{d}{(a,b,c)}.$$

# Wednesday February 7: Arithmetic progressions and introduction to Congruences

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- State and prove facts about prime factorizations using the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
- Prove there are infinitely many primes of the form 4n + 3.
- Prove a given set is an equivalence relation.

#### Reading Strayer, Appendix B

**Turn in** Let R be the equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$[a] = \{b \in \mathbb{R} : \sin(a) = \sin(b) \text{ and } \cos(a) = \cos(b)\}.$$

Prove that R is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Describe the equivalence classes on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

**Solution:** Since  $\sin(a) = \sin(a)$  and  $\cos(a) = \cos(a)$ , the relation R is reflexive.

If  $\sin(a) = \sin(b)$  and  $\cos(a) = \cos(b)$ , the  $\sin(b) = \sin(a)$  and  $\cos(b) = \cos(a)$ , so the relation is symmetric.

If  $\sin(a) = \sin(b)$  and  $\cos(a) = \cos(b)$ ,  $\sin(b) = \sin(c)$  and  $\cos(b) = \cos(c)$ , then  $\sin(a) = \sin(c)$  and  $\cos(a) = \cos(c)$  is transitive.

Note that  $\sin(a) = \sin(b)$  if  $b = a + 2\pi k$  or  $b = -a + \pi + 2\pi k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\cos(a) = \cos(b)$  if  $b = a + 2\pi k$  or  $b = -a + 2\pi k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . These conditions are both true with  $b = a + 2\pi k$ . Thus, for  $a \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,

$$[a] = {\ldots, a - 4\pi, a - 2\pi, a, a + 2\pi, a + 4\pi, \ldots}.$$

#### Practice with gcd proofs (20 minutes)

Finish proof from Monday.

**In-class Problem** 12 (a) Do there exist integers x and y such that x + y = 100 and (x, y) = 8?

**Solution:** On Homework 3.

(b) Prove that there exist infinitely many pairs of integers x and y such that x + y = 87 and (x, y) = 3.

**Scratch Work.** Note that  $87 = \boxed{3(29)}$ . To ensure that (x, y) = 3, not just  $3 \mid x$  and  $3 \mid y$ , let x = 3n where  $\boxed{29 \mid n}$ .

**Proof** Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  with On Homework 3. Let  $y = \square$ . Then  $3 \mid y$  by On Homework 3. Then (x,y) = 3 since On Homework 3. Thus, there are infinitely many  $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$  On Homework 3.

**In-class Problem** 13 Let a and b be relatively prime integers. Prove that (a + b, a - b) is either 1 or 2.

Using the hint in the back of the book and Exercise 37, which states (ca, cb) = |c|(a, b) for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both 0.

**Proof** Let (a + b, a - b) = d and note that  $d \mid (a + b) + (a - b)$  and  $d \mid (a + b) - (a - b)$  by linear combination On Homework 3

#### Prime factorizations (20 minutes)

Note on  $m^4 - n^4 = (m^2 - n^2)(m^2 + n^2)$ : In order to sho w this is not prime, must prove that the factors cannot be 1 and the number itself. Hint: show that if one of the factors is 1 the other is 1 or 0 (or -1).

**Corollary** (Corollary 1.20). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a, b > 0. Then [a, b] = ab if and only if (a, b) = 1.

A note on "if and only if" proofs:

- You can do two directions:
  - If [a, b] = ab, then (a, b) = 1.
  - If (a, b) = 1, then [a, b] = ab.
- Sometimes you can string together a series of "if and only if statements." Definitions are always "if and only if," even though rarely stated that way. For example, an integer n is even if and only if there exist an integer m such that n = 2m:
  - An integer n is even if and only if  $2 \mid n$  (definition of even)
  - if and only if there exist an integer m such that n = 2m (definition of  $2 \mid n$ ).

**Theorem** (Dirichlet's Theorem). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a, b > 0 and (a, b) = 1. Then the arithmetic progression

$$a, a+b, a+2b, \ldots, a+nb, \ldots$$

contains infinitely many primes.

Surprisingly, this proof involves complex analysis. The statement that there are infinitely many prime numbers is the case a = b = 1.

Warning 1. You may not use this result to prove special cases, ie, specific values of a and b.

**Lemma** (Lemma 1.23). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = 4m + 1 and b = 4n + 1 for some integers m and n, then ab can also be written in that form.

We will not go over the proof in class.

**Proof** Let a = 4m + 1 and b = 4n + 1 for some integers m and n. Then

$$ab = (4m + 1)(4n + 1)$$
$$= 16mn + 4m + 4n + 1$$
$$= 4(4mn + m + n) + 1.$$

**Proposition** (Proposition 1.22). There are infinitely may prime numbers expressible in the form 4n + 3 where n is a nonnegative integer.

**Proof** (Similar to the proof that there are infinitely many prime numbers). Assume, by way of contradiction, that there are only finitely many prime numbers of the form 4n + 3, say  $p_0 = 3, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$ , where the  $p_i$  are distinct. Let  $N = 4p_1p_2\cdots p_r + 3$ . If every prime factor of N has the form 4n + 1, then so does N, by repeated applications of Lemma 1.23. Thus, one of the prime factors of N, say p, have the for 4n + 3. We consider two cases:

Case 1, p = 3: If p = 3, then  $p \mid N - 3$  by linear combinations. Then  $p \mid 4p_1p_2\cdots p_r$ . Then by Corollary 1.15, either  $3 \mid 4$  or  $3 \mid p_1p_2\cdots p_r$ . This implies that  $p \mid p_i$  for some i = 1, 2, ..., r. However,  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_r$  are distinct primes not equal to 3, so this is not possible. Therefore,  $p \neq 3$ .

Case 2,  $p = p_i$  for some i = 1, 2, ..., r: If  $p = p_i$ , then  $p \mid N - 4p_1p_2 \cdots p_r$  by linear combinations. Then  $p \mid 3$ . However,  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_r$  are distinct primes not equal to 3, so this is not possible. Therefore,  $p \neq p_i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., r.

Therefore, N has a prime divisor of the form 4n + 3 which is not on the list  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_r$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_r$  are all primes of this form. Thus, there are infinitely many primes of the form 4n + 3.

#### Equivalence Relation Practice (10 minutes)

**In-class Problem 14** Prove that

$$[a] = \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a - b)\}$$

is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We must show that the relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

To show the relation is reflexive, we must show  $a \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$ . Since  $\boxed{3 \mid a-a=0}$   $a \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$ .

To show the relation is symmetric, we must show that if  $x \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$ , then  $a \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (x-b)\}$ . If  $x \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$ , then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that 3k = a - x. Therefore, -3k = b - a and  $a \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (x-b)\}$ .

To show the relation is transitive, we must show that if  $x \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$  and  $y \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (x-b)\}$ , then  $x \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$ . If  $x \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$ , then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that 3k = a - x. Similarly, if  $y \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (x-b)\}$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that 3m = x - y. Therefore, 3(m+k) = a - k and  $y \in \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (a-b)\}$ . Since the relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, it is an equivalence relation.

# Friday, February 9: Modular arithmetic

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Prove that congruence modulo m is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- Define a complete residue system.
- Practice using modular arithmetic. .

**Reading** Strayer, Section 2.1 through Example 1.

**Turn in** The book concludes the section with a caution about division. It states that  $6a \equiv 6b \pmod 3$  for all integers a and b. Explain why this is true.

**Solution:** Since  $3 \mid 6a - 6b = 3(2a - 2b)$ ,  $6a \equiv 6b \pmod{3}$  for all integers a and b.

#### Quiz (10 min)

#### Definitions and examples of $\pmod{m}$ (20 minutes)

**Definition** (divisibility definition of  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ). Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. We say that a is congruent to b modulo m and write  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if  $m \mid b - a$ , and m is said to be the modulus of the congruence. The notation  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{m}$  means a is not congruent to b modulo m, or a is incongruent to b modulo m.

**Definition** (remainder definition of  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ). Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. We say that a is congruent to b modulo m if a and b have the same remainder when divided by m.

Be careful with this idea and negative values. Make sure you understand why  $-2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  or  $-10 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ .

**Proposition 3** (Definitions of congruence modulo m are equivalent). These two definitions are equivalent. That is, for  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0,  $m \mid b - a$  if and only if a and b have the same remainder when divided by m.

**Proof** Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. By the Division Algorithm, there exists  $q_1, q_2, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$aq_1m + r_1, 0 \le r_1 < m$$
, and  $bq_2m + r_2, 0 \le r_2 < m$ .

If  $m \mid b-a$ , then by definition, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that mk = b-a. Thus,  $mk = q_2m + r_2 - q_1m - r_1$ . Rearranging, we get  $m(k-q_2+q_1) = r_2 - r_1$  and  $m \mid r_2 - r_1$ . Since  $0 \le r_1 < m, 0 \le r_2 < m$ , we have  $-m < r_2 - r_1 < m$ . Thus,  $r_2 - r_1 = 0$ , so a and b have the same remainder when divided by m.

In the other direction, if  $r_1 = r_2$ , then  $a - b = q_1 m - q_2 m = m(q_1 - q_2)$ . Thus,  $m \mid a - b$ .

Congruences generalize the concept of evenness and oddness. We typically think of even and odd as "divisible by 2" and "not divisible by 2", but a more useful interpretation is even means "there is no remainder when divided by 2" and "there is a remainder of 1 when divided by 2". This interpretation gives us more flexibility when replacing 2 by 3 or larger numbers. Instead of "divisible" or "not divisible" we have several gradations.

There's two major reasons. As we've already seen, calculations get simplified for modular arithmetic. We'll see later that taking MASSIVE powers of MASSIVE numbers is a fairly doable feat in modular arithmetic. The second reason is that by reducing a question from all integers to modular arithmetic, we often have an easier time seeing what is not allowed.

**Example 2.** We will eventually find a function that generates all integers solutions to the equation  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  (this can be done with only divisibility, so feel free to try for yourself after class).

Modular arithmetic allows us to say a few things about solutions.

First, let's look at  $\pmod{2}$ . Note that  $0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

Case 1:  $c^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  In this case,  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and either  $1^2 + 1^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  or  $0^2 + 0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . So, we know  $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ . (Note: (mod 4) will eliminate the  $a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  case)

Case 2:  $c^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  In this case,  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and either  $0^2 + 1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . So, we know  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{2}$ .

Let's start with (mod 3). Note that  $0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , and  $2^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Case 1:  $c^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . In this case,  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $0^2 + 0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . So, we know  $a \equiv b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Case 2:  $c^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . In this case, c could be 1 or 2 modulo 3. We also know  $0^2 + 1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , so  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{3}$ .

Case 3:  $c^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  has no solutions.

So at least one of a, b, c is even, and at least one is divisible by 3.

We can use the idea of congruences to simplify divisibility arguments

# Monday, February 12: Peer review and introduction to congruences

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Prove basic facts about modular arithmetic.
- Understand gaps in argument and writing of proof of Exercise 83. Give classmates useful feedback on their proofs.

#### Proposition and examples of $\pmod{m}$ (30 minutes)

**Definition**  $(a \equiv b \pmod{m})$ . Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. From Friday, we have the following equivalent definitions of congruence modulo m:

- (a)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $m \mid b a$  (standard definition, generalizing even/odd based on divisibility)
- (b)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if a and b have the same remainder with divided by m. That is, That is, there exists unique  $q_1, q_2, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = mq_1 + r$ ,  $b = mq_2 + r$ ,  $0 \le r < m$ . (definition generalizing even/odd based on remainder)
- (c)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if a and b differ by a multiple of m. That is, b = a + mk for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (arithmetic progression definition)

Different statements of the definition will be useful in different situations

**Proposition 4** (Restatement of Propositions 2.1, 2.4, and 2.5). Let  $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0, then:

- (a)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$  implies  $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$
- (b)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  implies  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$
- (c)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  implies  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$ .
- (d)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $d \mid m, d > 0$  implies  $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$
- (e)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  implies  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{mc}$  for c > 0.

**Proof** Let  $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0.

(a) Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$ . Then using the second definition of equivalence, there exists  $q_1, q_2, q_3, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = mq_1 + r,$$
  $0 \le r < m,$   
 $b = mq_2 + r,$   $0 \le r < m,$   
 $c = mq_3 + r,$   $0 < r < m.$ 

Thus, a and c have the same remainder when divided by m, so  $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$ .

2/3. Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ . Then by the third definition of equivalence, there exists  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = a + mj and d = c + mk. Thus,

$$b+d=a+c+m(j+k), \qquad \text{and} \\ bd=ac+m(ak+cj+mjk).$$

Thus,  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$  and  $ac = bd \pmod{m}$ .

(d) Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , and d > 0 with  $d \mid m$ . From the first definition of equivalence modulo  $m, m \mid b - a$ . Since division is transitive,  $d \mid b - a$ , so  $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>all definitions are if and only if

(e) Assume  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , and c > 0. From the third definition of equivalence modulo m, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = a + mk. Thus, bc = ac + mck, so  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{mc}$ .

**Example 3.** Note that  $2 \equiv 5 \pmod{3}$ . Then  $4 \equiv 10 \pmod{3}$  by Proposition 4 (b), since  $2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . From part (e),  $4 \equiv 10 \pmod{6}$ , but  $2 \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ .

Peer review Chapter 1 Exercise 83 (20 minutes)

# Wednesday, February 14: More congruence facts

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

• Prove that  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  is a complete residue system modulo m.

#### Basic facts of working modulo m (35 minutes)

**Definition** (complete residue system). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. We call the set of all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  the equivalence class of a. A set of integers such that every integer is congruent modulo m is called a *complete residue system modulo* m.

**Proposition** (Consequence 2.2, rephrased). Let m be a positive integer. Then equivalence modulo m partition the integers. That is, every integer is in exactly one equivalence class modulo m.

**Proof** This is an immediate consequence of the fact that equivalence modulo m is an equivalence relation.

Notice that this arguments also simplifies the proof the  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  is a complete residue system modulo m.

**Proposition** (Proposition 2.3). The set  $\{0,1,\ldots,m-1\}$  is a complete residue system modulo m.

**Proof** Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. By the Division Algorithm, there exist unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = qm + r with  $0 \le r < m$ . In fact, since  $0 \le r < m$ , we know  $r = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 2$ , or m - 1. Therefore, every integer is in the equivalence class of  $0, 1, \ldots, m - 2$  or m - 1 modulo m. Since every integer is in exactly one equivalence class modulo m, and the remainder from the division algorithm is unique, it is not possible for a to be equivalent to any other element of  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$ .

**In-class Problem** 15 Practice: addition and multiplication tables modulo 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. I am adding 9 to include an odd composite.

#### Modulo 3

_+_	[0]	[1]	$\lfloor 2 \rfloor$
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[0]	[1]

*	[0]	[1]	[2]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[1]

#### Modulo 4

+	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[0]	[1]
[3]	[3]	[0]	[1]	[2]

*	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[0]	[2]
[3]	[0]	[3]	[2]	[1]

# Modulo 5

_ +	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[0]	[1]
[3]	[3]	[4]	[0]	[1]	[0]
[4]	[4]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]

*	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[1]	[3]
[3]	[0]	[3]	[1]	[4]	[2]
[4]	[0]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

### Modulo 6

_+	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[0]	[1]
[3]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[0]	[1]	[2]
[4]	[4]	[5]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[5]	[5]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]

*	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[0]	[2]	[4]
[3]	$\parallel [0]$	[3]	[0]	[3]	[0]	[3]
[4]	[0]	[4]	[2]	[0]	[4]	[2]
[5]	[0]	[5]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

# Modulo 7

_+	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[0]	[1]
[3]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[0]	[1]	[2]
[4]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[5]	[5]	[6]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[6]	[6]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]

*	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[6]	[1]	[3]	[5]
[3]	[0]	[3]	[6]	[2]	[5]	[1]	[4]
[4]	[0]	[4]	[1]	[5]	[2]	[6]	[3]
[5]	[0]	[5]	[3]	[1]	[6]	[4]	[2]
[6]	[0]	[6]	[5]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

### Modulo 8

_+	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]
[3]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]
[4]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[5]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[6]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[7]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]

*	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[6]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[6]
[3]	[0]	[3]	[6]	[1]	[4]	[7]	[2]	[5]
[4]	[0]	[4]	[0]	[4]	[0]	[4]	[0]	[4]
[5]	[0]	[5]	[2]	[7]	[4]	[1]	[6]	[3]
[6]	[0]	[6]	[4]	[2]	[0]	[6]	[4]	[2]
[7]	[0]	[7]	[6]	[5]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

#### Modulo 9

_ +	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[0]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[0]	[1]
[3]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[0]	[1]	[2]
[4]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[5]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
[6]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
[7]	[7]	[8]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
[8]	[8]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]

*	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[6]	[0]	[1]	[3]	[5]	[7]
[3]	[0]	[3]	[6]	[0]	[3]	[6]	[0]	[3]	[6]
[4]	[0]	[4]	[8]	[3]	[7]	[2]	[6]	[1]	[5]
[5]	[0]	[5]	[1]	[6]	[2]	[7]	[3]	[8]	[4]
[6]	[0]	[6]	[3]	[0]	[6]	[3]	[0]	[6]	[3]
[7]	[0]	[7]	[5]	[3]	[1]	[8]	[6]	[4]	[2]
[8]	[0]	[8]	[7]	[6]	[5]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

# Friday, February 16: Linear congruences in one variable

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Prove when a linear congruence in one variable has a solution
- Find all solutions to a linear congruence given a particular solution
- Find the number of incongruent solutions to a linear congruence.

Paper 1 due

#### Quiz (10 min)

#### Linear congruences in one variable (40 min)

**Remark.** Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. Every row/column of addition modulo m contains  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ .

We can also say that  $a + x \equiv b \pmod{m}$  always has a solution, since  $x \equiv b - a \pmod{m}$ .

**Theorem** (Strayer Theorem 2.6 and Porism 2.7). Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0, and d = (a, m). The linear congruence in one variable  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has a solution if and only if  $d \mid b$ . When  $d \mid b$ , there are exactly d incongruent solutions modulo m corresponding to the congruence classes

$$x_0, x_0 + \frac{m}{d}, \dots, x_0 + \frac{(d-1)m}{d} \pmod{m}.$$

**Proof** Let  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0, and d = (a, m). From the definition of congruence modulo m,  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $m \mid (ax - b)$ . That is,  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if my = ax - b for some  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  from the definition of divisibility. Sine ax - my = b is a linear Diophantine equation, Theorem 6.2 says solutions exist if and only if  $(a, -m) = d \mid b$ .

In the case that solutions exist, let  $x_0, y_0$  be a particular solution to the linear Diophantine equation. Then  $x_0$  is also a solution to the linear congruence in one variable, since  $ax_0 - my_0 = b$ , implies  $ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ . From Theorem 6.2, all solutions have the from  $x = x_0 + \frac{mn}{d}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We need to show that these solutions are in exactly d distinct congruence classes modulo m.

Consider the solutions  $x_0 + \frac{mi}{d}$  and  $x_0 + \frac{mj}{d}$  for some integers i and j. Then  $x_0 + \frac{mj}{d} \equiv x_0 + \frac{mk}{d} \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $m \mid \left(\frac{mi}{d} - \frac{mj}{d}\right)$ . That is, if and only if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $mk = \frac{mi}{d} - \frac{mj}{d}$ . Rearranging this equation,

we get that  $x_0 + \frac{mj}{d} \equiv x_0 + \frac{mk}{d} \pmod{m}$  if and only if dk = i - j. Thus,  $i \equiv j \pmod{j}$  by definition of equivalence modulo d. Thus, the incongruent solutions to  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  are the congruence classes

$$x_0, x_0 + \frac{m}{d}, \dots, x_0 + \frac{(d-1)m}{d} \pmod{m}.$$

Example 4. Let's consider several linear congruences modulo 12.

- The linear congruence  $2x \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$  has no solutions, since  $2 \nmid 1$ .
- The linear congruence  $8x \equiv b \pmod{12}$  has a solution if and only if  $4 \mid b$ . Considering the least nonnegative residues, the options for b are:
  - $-8x \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ . The incongruent solutions are  $0, 3, 6, 9 \pmod{12}$ .
  - $-8x \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$ . The incongruent solutions are  $2, 5, 8, 11 \pmod{12}$ . Notice we cannot divide across the equivalence, since  $2x \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$  has no solutions.
  - $-8x \equiv 8 \pmod{12}$ . The incongruent solutions are 1, 4, 7, 10 (mod 12).
- The linear congruence  $5x \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$  has solution  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ . Since (5,12) = 1, the solution is unique.
- The linear congruence  $5x \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$  has solution  $x \equiv -1 \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ . Since (5,12) = 1, the solution is unique. Note that instead of 12 + 5(-1) = 7, we could have done

$$5(5x) \equiv 5(7) \equiv 11 \pmod{12}.$$

# Monday, February 19: Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Solve system of linear equations in one variable.
- Prove the Chinese Remainder Theorem. .

Reading None

#### Multiplicative inverses (20 min)

From Friday

**Corollary** (Corollary 2.8). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. The linear congruence in one variable  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  has a solution if and only if (a, m) = 1. If (a, m) = 1, then the solution is unique modulo m.

**Definition** (multiplicative inverse of a modulo m). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (a, m) = 1. We call the unique incongruent solution to  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  the multiplicative inverse of a modulo m.

**Example 5.** Examples of multiplicative inverses:

- $5(3) \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  so 3 is the multiplicative inverse of 5 modulo 7 and 5 is the multiplicative inverse of 3 modulo 7.
- 9(5) ≡ 1 (mod 11) so 5 is the multiplicative inverse of 9 modulo 11 and 9 is the multiplicative inverse of 5 modulo 11.
- $8(-4) \equiv 8(7) \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$  so  $7 \equiv -4 \pmod{11}$  is the multiplicative inverse of 8 modulo 11 and 8 is the multiplicative inverse of  $7 \equiv -4 \pmod{11}$  modulo 11.
- 8(5) ≡ 1 (mod 13) so 5 is the multiplicative inverse of 8 modulo 13 and 8 is the multiplicative inverse of 5 modulo 13.

Example using multiplicative inverses:

$$6! \equiv 6 * 5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1 \pmod{7}$$
$$\equiv 6 * 5(3) * 4(2) * 1 \pmod{7}$$
$$\equiv 6 \pmod{7}$$

Think-Pair-Share 0.1. Find 10! (mod 11) and 12! (mod 13). Is there a pattern? Solution:

$$10! \equiv 10 * 9 * 8 * 7 * 6 * 5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1 \pmod{11}$$
  
$$\equiv 10 * 9(5) * 8(7) * 6(2) * 4(3) * 1 \pmod{11}$$
  
$$\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$

$$12! \equiv 12 * 11 * 10 * 9 * 8 * 7 * 6 * 5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1 \pmod{13}$$
  
$$\equiv 12 * 11(6) * 10(4) * 9(3) * 8(5) * 7(2) * 1 \pmod{13}$$
  
$$\equiv 1 \pmod{13}$$

For a prime p,  $(p-1)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Remark 1.** We do need the condition that p is prime. For example,  $3! \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , and  $8! \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ .

#### Simultaneous Linear congruences in one variable (30 min)

**Example 6.** Consider the system of linear equations

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$$
  
 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$   
 $x \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .

A slow way to find an integer x that satisfies all three congruences is to write out the congruence classes:

$$2, 2 + 5, 2 + 5(2), 2 + 5(3), \dots$$
  
 $3, 3 + 7, 3 + 7(2), 3 + 7(3), \dots$   
 $1, 1 + 8, 1 + 8(2), 1 + 8(3), \dots$ 

and see what integers are on all three lists. In addition to being tedius, we this doesn't help find all such integers.

To find all such integers, define M=5(7)(8)=280, and  $M_1=\frac{M}{5}=7(8)$ ,  $M_2=\frac{M}{7}=5(8)$ ,  $M_3=\frac{M}{8}=5(7)$ . Then each  $M_i$  is relatively prime to M by construction. Thus, by Corollary 2.8 the congruences

$$M_1x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5},$$
  $7(8)x_1 \equiv x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$   
 $M_2x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7},$   $5(8)x_2 \equiv 5x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$   
 $M_3x_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{8},$   $5(7)x_3 \equiv 3x_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ 

have solutions. Thus,  $x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ ,  $x_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ , and  $x_3 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ .

Note that

$$M_1x_1(2) = 56(1)(2) \equiv 2 \pmod{5},$$
  $M_2 \equiv M_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$   
 $M_2x_2(3) = 40(3)(3) \equiv 3 \pmod{7},$   $M_1 \equiv M_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$   
 $M_3x_3(1) = 35(3)(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{8},$   $M_1 \equiv M_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ 

Thus.

$$x = M_1 x_1(2) + M_2 x_2(3) + M_3 x_3(1) = 56(1)(2) + 40(3)(3) + 35(3)(1)$$

is a solution to all three congruences.

**Theorem** (Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots m_k$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers (that is, any pair  $gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$  when  $i \neq j$ ). Let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$  be integers. Then the system of congruences

$$x \equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1}$$
  
 $x \equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2}$   
 $\vdots$   
 $x \equiv b_n \pmod{m_k}$ 

has a unique solution modulo  $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$ . This solution has the form

$$x = M_1 x_1 b_1 + M_2 x_2 b_2 + \dots + M_k x_k b_k$$

where 
$$M_i = \frac{M}{m_i}$$
 and  $M_i x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$ .

**Proof** Let  $m_1, m_2, \ldots m_k$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers. We start by constructing a solution modulo  $M = m_1 m_2 \ldots m_k$ . By construction,  $M_i = \frac{M}{m_i}$  is an integer. Since each the  $m_i$  are pairwise relatively prime,  $(M_i, m_i) = 1$ . Thus, by Corollary 2.8, for each i there is an integer  $x_i$  where  $M_i x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$ . Thus  $M_i x_i b_i \equiv b_i \pmod{m_i}$ . We also have that  $(M_i, m_j) = m_j$  when  $i \neq j$ , so  $M_i b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}$  when  $i \neq j$ . Let

$$x = M_1 x_1 b_1 + M_2 x_2 b_2 + \dots + M_k x_k b_k.$$

Then  $x \equiv M_i x_i b_i \equiv b_i \pmod{m_i}$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., k and  $x \equiv M_i x_i b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}$  when  $i \neq j$ . Thus, we have found a solution to the system of equivalences.

To show the solution is unique modulo M, consider two solutions  $x_1, x_2$ . Then  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m_i}$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., k. Thus  $m_i \mid x_2 - x_1$ . Since  $(m_i, m_j) = 1$  when  $i \neq j, M = [m_1, m_2, ..., m_k]$  and  $M \mid x_2 - x_1$ . Thus,  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{M}$ .

# Wednesday, February 21: Wilson's Theorem

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- $\bullet$  Characterize when a is its own inverse modulo a prime.
- Prove Wilson's Theorem and its converse.

Reading Strayer, Section 2.4

Turn in Does this match with your conjecture from Exercise 5? If not, what is the difference?

#### Wilson's Theorem (50 min)

**Lemma** (Lemma 2.10). Let p be a prime number and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then a is its own inverse modulo m if and only if  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof** Let p be a prime number and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then a is its own inverse modulo m if and only if  $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $p \mid a^2 - 1 = (a - 1)(a + 1)$ . Since p is prime,  $p \mid a - 1$  or a + 1 by Lemma 1.14. Thus,  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let p be a prime. Then  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Remark 2.** It is important to note why we require p is prime. Lemma 1.14 is only true for primes:

• 8 | ab is true when 8 | a, 8 | b, 4 | a and 2 | b, or 2 | a and 4 | b.

Let a = 2k + 1 for some integer k. Then

$$a^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 4k(k+1) + 1.$$

Since either k or k+1 is even,  $a^2=8m+1$  for some  $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $a^2\equiv 1\pmod 8$  for all odd integers  $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ .

- When  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $8 \mid (a-1)$ .
- When  $a \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , then 8k = a 3 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $2 \mid (a 1)$  and  $4 \mid (a + 1)$ .
- When  $a \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then 8k = a 5 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $4 \mid (a 1)$  and  $2 \mid (a + 1)$ .
- When  $a \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , then  $8 \mid (a+1)$ .

**Theorem** (Wilson's Theorem). Let p be a prime number. Then

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof** When p=2,  $(2-1)!=1\equiv -1\pmod 2$ . Now consider p an odd prime. By Corollary 2.8, each  $a=1,2,\ldots,p-1$  has a unique multiplicative inverse modulo p. Lemma 2.10 says the only elements that are their own multiplicative inverse are 1 and p-1. Thus (p-2)! is the product of 1 and  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  pairs of a,a' where  $aa'\equiv 1\pmod p$ . Therefore,

$$(p-2)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
  
 $(p-1)! \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ 

Wilson's Theorem is normally stated as above, but the converse is also true. It can also be a (very ineffective) prime test.

**Proposition** (Proposition 2.12). Let n be a positive integer. If  $(n-1)! \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , then n is prime.

**Proof** Let a and b be positive integers where ab = n. It suffices to show that if  $1 \le a < n$ , then a = 1. If a = n, then b = 1. If  $1 \le a < n$ , then  $a \mid (n-1)!$  by the definition of factorial. Then  $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \mid (n-1)! + 1$  by transitivity of division. Thus,  $a \mid (n-1)! + 1 - (n-1)! = 1$  by linear combination and a = 1. Therefore, the only positive factors of n are 1 and n, so n is prime.

**In-class Problem** 16 Let p be an odd prime. Use (a)  $\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right) \equiv (-1)^{(p+1)/2} \pmod{p}$  to show

(b) If 
$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
, then  $\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ 

(c) If 
$$p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$
, then  $\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

**Solution:** (b) Let p be a prime with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then p = 4k + 1 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From part (a),

$$\left( \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)! \right) \equiv (-1)^{(p+1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(4k+1+1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{2k+1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

(c) Let p be a prime with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then p = 4k + 3 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From part (a),

$$\left( \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)! \right) \equiv (-1)^{(p+1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(4k+3+1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{2k+2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Theorem** (On Paper 2, Polynomial Factorization option). Let p be a prime number. The congruence  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has solutions if and only if p = 2 or  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

# Friday, February 23: Euler's Theorem and Fermat's Little Theorem

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

- $\bullet$  Define and find a reduced residue system modulo m
- Define the Euler  $\phi$ -function  $\phi(n)$
- Prove Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem.

Read Strayer, Section 2.5

**Turn in** Exercise 50. Prove that  $9^{10} = 1 \pmod{11}$  by following the steps of the proof of Fermat's Little Theorem.

**Solution:** Consider the 10 integers given by  $9, 2(9), 3(9), \ldots, 9(10)$ . Note that  $11 \mid 9i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 10$  since 11 is prime and  $11 \nmid 10$  and  $11 \nmid i$ . By Corollary 2.8, since (9, 11) = 1 if  $9i \equiv 9j \pmod{11}$  implies  $i \equiv j \pmod{11}$ . Therefore, no two of  $9, 2(9), 3(9), \ldots, 9(10)$  are congruent modulo 11. So the least nonnegative residues modulo 11 of the integers  $9, 2(9), 3(9), \ldots, 9(10)$ , taken in some order, must be  $1, 2, \ldots, p-1$ . Then

$$(9)(2(9))(3(9))\cdots(9(10)) \equiv (1)(2)\cdots(10) \pmod{11}$$

or, equivalently,

$$9^{10}10! \equiv 10! \pmod{11}$$
.

By Wilson's Theorem, the congruence above becomes  $-9^{10} \equiv -1 \pmod{11}$ , which is equivalent to  $9^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ .

#### Quiz (10 min)

## Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem (40 min)

There are several different ways to present the material in Sections 2.4 through 2.6. In class, we will do the other order: Fermat's Little Theorem to prove Wilson's Theorem. I will keep the result numbering from the book, so they will be out of order.

**Definition** (reduced residue system modulo m). Let m be a positive integer. We say that  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo m if

- $(r_i, m) = 1$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., k,
- $r_i \not\equiv r_j \pmod{m}$  when  $i \neq j$ ,
- for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (a, m) = 1,  $a \equiv r_1 \pmod{p}$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ .

**Example 7.** • The sets {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6} and {5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35} are both reduced residue systems modulo 7.

- If p is prime, then  $\{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$  is a complete residue system modulo p. If  $p \neq 5$ ,  $\{5, 10, ..., 5(p-1)\}$  is a complete residue system modulo p.
- The sets  $\{1,5,7,11\}$  and  $\{5,25,35,55\}$  are both reduced residue systems modulo 12.

**Lemma** (Porism 2.18). Let m be a positive integer and let  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k\}$  be a reduced residue system modulo m. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (a, m) = 1, then  $\{ar_1, ar_2, \ldots, ar_k\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo m.

This result is also implicitly used in the proof of Fermat's Little Theorem since  $\{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$  is a reduced residue system.

**Proof** Let  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k\}$  be a reduced residue system modulo m and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (a, m) = 1. Since  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k\}$  and  $\{ar_1, ar_2, \ldots, ar_k\}$  have the same number of elements, it suffices to show that  $(ar_i, m) = 1$  and  $ar_i \not\equiv ar_j \pmod{m}$  for  $i \neq j$ . If there exist some prime p such that  $p \mid (ar_i, m)$  then  $p \mid ar_i$  and  $p \mid m$  by Definition (greatest common divisor). By Lemma 1.14,  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid r_i$ , so either  $p \mid (a, m)$  or  $p \mid (r_i, m)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $(ar_i, m) = 1$ .

By ??, 
$$ar_i \equiv ar_j \pmod{m}$$
 if and only  $r_i \equiv r_j \pmod{\frac{m}{(a,m)}}$ . Since  $(a,m) = 1$ ,  $ar_i \not\equiv ar_j \pmod{m}$  when  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition** (Euler  $\phi$ -function). Let n be a positive integer. The Euler  $\phi$ -function  $\phi(n)$  is

$$\phi(n) = \#\{a \in \mathbb{Z} : a > 0 \text{ and } (a, m) = 1\}.$$

**Remark 3.** For a positive integer m,  $\phi(m)$  is the number of reduced residues modulo m

**Example 8.** •  $\phi(7) = 6$ 

- If p is prime,  $\phi(p) = p 1$
- $\phi(12) = 4$

**Theorem** (Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. If (a, m) = 1, then

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

**Corollary** (Fermat's Little Theorem). Let p be prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $p \nmid a$ , then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

**Proof** Let p be prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then (a, p) = 1 if and only if  $p \nmid a$ . Since  $\phi(p) = p - 1$ ,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Warning 2. The converse of both of these theorems is false. The easiest example is  $1^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  for all positive integers k, m. Also note that  $2^{341} \equiv 2 \pmod{341}$ . Since (2,341) = 1, there exists an integer a such that  $2a \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$ . Thus

$$a2^{341} \equiv (2a)2^{340} \equiv 2^{340} \equiv 2a \equiv 1 \pmod{341}.$$

However, 341 = (11)(31).

**Proof of Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem** Let m be a positive integer and let  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{\phi(m)}\}$  be a reduced residue system modulo m. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with (a, m) = 1, then  $\{ar_1, ar_2, \ldots, ar_{\phi(m)}\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo m by Porism 2.18. Thus, for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \phi(m)$ , then  $r_i \equiv ar_j \pmod{m}$  for some  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \phi(m)$ . Thus

$$r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{\phi(min)} \equiv a r_1 a r_2 \cdots a r_{\phi(min)} \equiv a^{\phi(m)} r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

Since  $(r_i, m) = 1$ , there exists  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $r_i x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Thus,

$$r_1 x_1 r_2 x_2 \cdots r_{\phi(min)} x_{\phi(m)} \equiv a^{\phi(m)} r_1 x_1 r_2 x_2 \cdots r_{\phi(min)} x_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$$
$$1 \equiv a^{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

## Monday, February 26: Modular arithmetic calculations

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Use Wilson's Theorem to find the least nonnegative residue modulo a prime
- Use Fermat's Little Theorem to find the least nonnegative residue modulo a prime.

#### Reading None

## Multiplicative inverses using Wilson's Theorem (30 min)

First, some important algebra for multiplicative inverses

**Example 9.** (a) Let n be an odd positive integer. Then

$$2\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = n+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

So  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  is the multiplicative inverse of 2 modulo n.

**Think-Pair-Share 0.2.** Why is  $\left(\frac{n+1}{2}, n\right) = 1$ ?

We also have that  $n - \frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $n-2 \equiv -2 \pmod{n}$ , so  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  is the multiplicative inverse of n-2 modulo n. Another way to see this is

$$-2\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) = -n + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

(b) Let m and n be positive integers such that  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that n = mk + 1 by the  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ . Then

$$-m\left(\frac{n-1}{m}\right) = -n+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

(c) Let m and n be positive integers such that  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that n = mk - 1 by definition. Then

$$m\left(\frac{n+1}{m}\right) = n+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

**Example 10.** (a) Practice with Wilson's Theorem: Find  $\frac{31!}{23!}$  (mod 11).

$$x \equiv \frac{31!}{23!} \equiv 24(25)(26)(27)(28)(29)(30)(31) \pmod{11}$$

$$\equiv 2(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)(9) \pmod{11}.$$

Then  $-x \equiv 10! \equiv -1 \pmod{11}$ . Therefore,  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ .

(b) Let p be an odd prime p, then  $2(p-3)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof** Let p be an odd prime, then  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  by Wilson's Theorem. Multiplying both sides of the congruence by -1 gives  $(p-2)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since (p-2)! = (p-3)!(p-2) by the definition of factorial,  $p-2 \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$  is the multiplicative inverse of (p-3)! modulo p. Thus,

$$-2(p-3)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
$$2(p-3)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

## Finding least nonnegative residue Fermat's Little Theorem (20 min)

**Example 11.** (a) Find the least nonnegative residue of  $29^{202}$  modulo 13.

First, note that  $29 \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$  and 202 = 12(10) + 82 = 12(10) + 12(6) + 10 = 12(16) + 10. Thus,

$$29^{202} \equiv 3^{202} \equiv (3^{12})^{16} 3^{10} \equiv 1^{16} 3^{10} \pmod{13}$$

From here, we have two options:

**Keep reducing:** For this problem, this is the easier method:

$$3^{10} \equiv (3^3)^3 3 \equiv (27)^3 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$$
.

Find inverse: Note that  $3^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ , so  $3^{10}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $3^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{13}$ . Since  $9(3) \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ ,  $3^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ .

(b) Find the least nonnegative residue of 71<sup>71</sup> modulo 17.

First, note that  $71 \equiv 3 \pmod{17}$  and 71 = 8(8) + 7. Thus,

$$71^{71} \equiv 3^{71} \equiv (3^8)^8 3^7 \equiv 1^8 3^7 \pmod{17}$$

Then

$$3^7 \equiv 3^3(3^3)(3) \equiv 10(10)(3) \equiv 10(-4) \equiv -6 \equiv 11 \pmod{17}$$
.

**Corollary** (Corollary 2.14). Let p be a prime. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \nmid a$ , then  $a^{p-2}$  is the multiplicative inverse of a modulo p.

**Think-Pair-Share 0.3.** Prove: Let p be a prime. If  $a, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \nmid a$  and  $0 \le k < p$ , then  $a^{p-k}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $a^k$  modulo p.

**Proof** Let p be a prime. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \nmid a$ , then by Fermat's Little Theorem,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . If  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \le k < p$ , then  $a^{p-1} = a^{p-k}a^k$ . Thus,  $a^{p-k}a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Example 12.** Find all incongruent solutions to  $9x \equiv 21 \pmod{23}$ .

Since(9,23)=1, there is only one incongruent solution modulo 23. By Corollary 2.14,  $9^{21}$  is the multiplicative inverse of 9 modulo 23. Thus,  $x \equiv 21(9^{21}) \pmod{23}$ .

Alternately,  $3^{20}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $3^2$  modulo 23, so  $x \equiv 21(3^{20}) \equiv (3^{21})7 \pmod{23}$ . Since  $3^{21}$  is the multiplicative inverse of 3 modulo 23, so  $3^{21} \equiv 8 \pmod{23}$ . Thus,  $x \equiv 7(8) \equiv 10 \pmod{23}$ .

**Example 13.** Let p be prime and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \nmid a$  and  $p \nmid b$ . Then  $a^p \equiv b^p \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof** Let p be prime and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p \nmid a$  and  $p \nmid b$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  If  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$ , then  $a^p \equiv b^p \pmod{p}$  by repeated applications of Proposition 2.4.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  If  $a^p \equiv b^p \pmod{p}$ , then by Fermat's Little Theorem,

$$a \equiv a^{p-1}a \equiv b^{p-1}b \equiv b \pmod{p}.$$

Warning 3. This statement is only true for primes. Since

$$1^2 \equiv 3^2 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 7^2 \pmod{8}, \quad 2^2 \equiv 6^2 \pmod{8},$$

$$1^8 \equiv 3^8 \equiv 5^8 \equiv 7^8 \pmod{8}, \quad 2^8 \equiv 6^8 \pmod{8}.$$

# Wednesday, February 28: Modular arithmetic calculations and the $\phi$ function

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Use Euler's Theorem to find the least nonnegative residue modulo a composite
- $\bullet$  Use Euler's Theorem to find the multiplicative inverse of an integer modulo m
- Prove  $\phi(4)\phi(5) = \phi(20)$  using an outline that mirrors the proof that  $\phi(m)\phi(n) = \phi(mn)$  when (m,n) = 1.

Reading None

#### Multiplicative inverses using Euler's Extension of Fermat's Little Theorem (30 min)

**Example 14.** (a) Find the least nonnegative residue of  $29^{202}$  modulo 20.

The integers 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19 are relatively prime to 20. Thus  $\phi(20) = 8$ . Also note that  $29 \equiv 9 \pmod{20}$  and 202 = 8(25) + 2, so

$$29^{202} \equiv 9^{202} \equiv (9^8)^{25} 9^2 \equiv 1^{25} 9^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$$

(b) Find the least nonnegative residue of  $71^{71}$  modulo 16.

The integers 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15 are relatively prime to 16. Thus  $\phi(16) = 8$ . Also note that  $71 \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$  and 71 = 8(8) + 7, so

$$71^{71} \equiv 7^{71} \equiv (7^8)^8 7^7 \equiv 1^8 7^7 \pmod{16}$$

Since  $7^8 \equiv 7^77 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ ,  $7^7$  is the multiplicative inverse of 7 mulod 16.

Using the Euclidean algorithm,

$$16 = 7(2) + 2,$$
  $2 = 16 + 7(-2)$   $7 = 2(3) + 1,$   $1 = 7 - 2(3) = 7 - (16 + 7(-2))(3) = 16(-3) + 7(7)$ 

Thus,  $7(7) \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ , and  $7^7 \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ .

Corollary (Corollary 2.19). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. If (a, m) = 1, then  $a^{\phi(m)-1}$  is the multiplicative inverse of a modulo m.

**Example 15.** Find all incongruent solutions to  $9x \equiv 21 \pmod{25}$ .

The only positive integers less than 25 that are not relatively prime to 25 are 5, 10, 15, 20. Thus,  $\phi(25) = 24 - 4 = 20$ .

Since(9,25)=1, there is only one incongruent solution modulo 25. By Corollary 2.19,  $9^{19}$  is the multiplicative inverse of 9 modulo 25. Thus,  $x \equiv 21(9^{19}) \pmod{25}$ .

Alternately,  $3^{18}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $3^2$  modulo 25, so  $x \equiv 21(3^{18}) \equiv (3^{19})7 \pmod{25}$ .

The previous example does not ask for the least nonnegative residue, but let's find it anyway.

**Example 16.** Find the least nonnegative residue of (9<sup>19</sup>)21 modulo 25.

First, note that  $(9^{19})21 = (3^2)^19(21)$ . From here there are two options:

#### Factor 21:

$$(9^{19})21 \equiv (3^2)^1 9(3)(7) \equiv (3^{39})(7) \equiv (3^{20})(3^{19})(7) \pmod{25}$$

By Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem,  $3^{20} \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$  and by Corollary 2.19,  $3^{19}$  is the multiplicative inverse of 3 moddulo 25. Since  $3(-8) \equiv -24 \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ ,  $3^{19} \equiv -8 \pmod{25}$ . Thus,

$$(9^{19})21 \equiv (-8)(7) \equiv -56 \equiv 19 \pmod{25}.$$

Using  $21 \equiv -4 \pmod{25}$ :

$$(9^{19})21 \equiv (3^2)^1 9(-4) \equiv (3^{38})(-4) \equiv (3^{20})(3^{18})(-4) \pmod{25}$$

Since  $3^{20} = 3^{18}(3^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$  by Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem,  $3^{18}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $3^2 = 9$  modulo 25. Since  $9(-11) \equiv -99 \equiv 1 \pmod{25}$ , we have  $3^{18} \equiv -11 \pmod{25}$ . Thus,

$$(9^{19})21 \equiv (-11)(-4) \equiv 44 \equiv 19 \pmod{25}.$$

**In-class Problem** 17 Let p, q be distinct primes. Prove that  $p^{q-1} + q^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$ .

**Proof** Let p,q be distinct primes. Then  $q^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $p^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  by Fermat's Little Theorem, and  $p^{q-1} \equiv 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $q^{p-1} \equiv 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$  by definition.

Thus,  $p^{q-1}+q^{p-1}\equiv \boxed{1}\pmod{p}$  and  $p^{q-1}+q^{p-1}\equiv \boxed{1}\pmod{p}$  by  $\boxed{\text{modular addition}}$ 

(Finish proof using definition of congruence modulo p and q)

#### The Euler $\phi$ -function (20 min)

We will also find a formula for  $\phi(n)$  in general. The following exercise will outline the general proof:

**In-class Problem** 18 Let us prove that  $\phi(20) = \phi(4)\phi(5)$ . First, note that  $\phi(4) = \boxed{2}$  and  $\phi(5) = \boxed{4}$ , so  $\phi(20) = \boxed{8}$ .

- (a) A number a is relatively prime to 20 if and only if a is relatively prime to  $\boxed{4}$  and  $\boxed{5}$
- (b) We can partition the positive integers less that 20 into

$$0 \equiv \boxed{4} \equiv \boxed{8} \equiv \boxed{12} \equiv \boxed{16} \pmod{4}$$

$$1 \equiv \boxed{5} \equiv \boxed{9} \equiv \boxed{13} \equiv \boxed{17} \pmod{4}$$

$$2 \equiv \boxed{6} \equiv \boxed{10} \equiv \boxed{14} \equiv \boxed{18} \pmod{4}$$

$$3 \equiv \boxed{7} \equiv \boxed{11} \equiv \boxed{15} \equiv \boxed{19} \pmod{4}$$

For any b in the range 0, 1, 2, 3, define  $s_b$  to be the number of integers a in the range  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, 19$  such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{4}$  and  $\gcd(a, 20) = 1$ . Thus,  $s_0 = \boxed{0}, s_1 = \boxed{4}, s_2 = \boxed{0}$ , and  $s_3 = \boxed{4}$ .

We can see that when (b,4)=1,  $s_b=\phi(5)$  and when (b,4)>1,  $s_b=0$ .

(c)  $\phi(20) = s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + s_3$ . Why?

**Solution:** All of the positive integers less than or equal to 20 is in exactly one of the congruence classes above. The  $s_i$  count how many integers in each congruence class are relatively prime to 20. If we add them up, we have counted all positive integers less than or equal to 20.

(d) We have seen that  $\phi(20) = s_0 + s_1 + s_2 + s_3$ , that when (b,4) = 1,  $s_b = \phi(5)$ , and that when (b,4) > 1,  $s_b = 0$ . Thus, we can say that  $\phi(20) = 0 + \phi(\boxed{5}) + 0 + \phi(\boxed{5})$ . To finish the "proof" we show that there are  $\phi(\boxed{4})$  integers b where (b,4) = 1.

**Solution:** There are  $\boxed{4}$  congruence classes modulo 4. Of these,  $\boxed{2} = \phi(\boxed{4})$  have elements that are relatively prime to 20. Thus,  $\phi(20) = \phi(4)\phi(5)$ .

## Friday, March 1: The $\phi$ - function and a preview of primitive roots

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

• Prove that  $\phi(m)\phi(n) = \phi(mn)$  when (m,n) = 1.

Reading None

Turn In Paper 2

#### Quiz (10 min)

#### The Euler $\phi$ -function (20 min)

We will use In-class Problem 18 as an outline to prove

**Theorem** (Theorem 3.2). Let m and n be positive integers where (m, n) = 1. Then  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ . maybe works?

**Proof** First, we note that an integer a is relatively prime to mn if and only if it is relatively prime to m and n, since m and n (together) have the same prime divisors as mn.

We can partition the positive integers less that mn into

```
0 \equiv m \equiv 2m \equiv \cdots \equiv (n-1)m \pmod{m}
1 \equiv m+1 \equiv 2m+1 \equiv \cdots \equiv (n-1)m+1 \pmod{m}
2 \equiv m+2 \equiv 2m+2 \equiv \cdots \equiv (n-1)m+2 \pmod{m}
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots
m-1 \equiv 2m-1 \equiv 3m-1 \equiv \cdots \equiv nm-1 \pmod{m}
```

For any b in the range  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$ , define  $s_b$  to be the number of integers a in the range  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, mn-1$  such that  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $\gcd(a, mn) = 1$ . For each equivalence class b,  $\gcd(b, m) \mid km + b$  by linear combination. Thus,  $s_b = 0$  if (b, m) > 1. If  $\gcd(b, m) = 1$ , the arithmetic progression,  $\{b, m + b, 2m + b, \ldots, (n-1)m + b\}$  contains n elements. By In-class Problem 19, the arithmetic progression is a complete residue system modulo n, so  $\phi(n)$  elements are relatively prime to n and thus mn.

Thus, can see that when (b, m) = 1,  $s_b = \phi(n)$  and when (b, m) > 1,  $s_b = 0$ .

Since all of the positive integers less than or equal to mn is in exactly one of the congruence classes above and t he  $s_i$  count how many integers in each congruence class are relatively prime to mn,  $phi(mn) = s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_{m-1}$ .

Since  $\phi(m)$  of the  $s_i = \phi(n)$  and the rest are 0,  $\phi(mn) = s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_{m-1} = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ .

**In-class Problem** 19 Complete the proof of Theorem 3.2 by proving that, if m, n, and i are positive integers with (m, n) = (m, i) = 1, then the integers i, m + i, 2m + i, ..., (n - 1)m + i form a complete system of residues modulo n.

## Monday, March 11: Order of elements modulo m

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- $\bullet$  Define the order of an element modulo m
- $\bullet$  Find the order of an element modulo m
- $\bullet$  Prove basic facts about the order of an element modulo m.

#### Reading None

#### Review of $\phi$ -function (15 minutes)

**Remark 4.** From before break, Theorem 3.2 states if (m, n) = 1 for positive integers m and n, then  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ . Thus,  $\phi(63) = \phi(9(7)) = \phi(9)\phi(7) = 6(6)$ .

**Homework Problem 20** Chapter 2, Exercise 71, using Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem and the Chinese Remainder Theorem

(a) Let n be an integer not divisible by 3. Prove that  $n^7 \equiv n \pmod{63}$ .

**Proof** Let n be an integer that is not divisible by 3. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$x \equiv n^7 \pmod{7}$$
  
 $x \equiv n^7 \pmod{9}$ 

has a unique solution modulo 63. By Corollary 2.15,  $n^7 \equiv n \pmod{7}$ .

Since (n,9)=1 and  $\phi(9)=6$ , Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem says that  $n^6\equiv 1\pmod 9$ . Multiplying both sides of the congruence by n gives  $n^7\equiv n\pmod 9$ . Thus,  $7\mid n^7-n$  and  $9\mid n^7-n$  by definition. Since (7,9)=1,  $63\mid n^7-n$ , so  $n^7\equiv n\pmod 63$ .

(b) Let n be an integer divisible by 9. Prove that  $n^7 \equiv n \pmod{63}$ .

**Remark 5.** Reviewing the proof of part (a): Corollary 2.15 only requires the modulus is prime. Euler's Generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem does require (n, m) = 1, so you cannot use it for this problem, but  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ .

#### Order of a modulo m (35 minutes)

**Definition 1** (order of a modulo m). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (a, m) = 1. Then the order of a modulo m, denoted  $\operatorname{ord}_m a$ , is the smallest positive integer n such that  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$a^5$	$a^6$	$\operatorname{ord}_7 a$
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	4	1	2	4	1	3
3	2	6	4	5	1	6
4	2	1	4	2	1	3
5	4	6	2	3	1	6
6	1	6	1	6	1	2

Table 1: Table of exponents modulo 7

There are many patterns in this table that we will talk about in the future, but the first is that  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid \phi(m)$ .

**Proposition** (Proposition 5.1). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (a, m) = 1. Then  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  for some positive integer n if and only if  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid n$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid \phi(m)$ .

**Proof** Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (a, m) = 1.

(⇒) We want to show if  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  for some positive integer n, then ord<sub>m</sub> a | n.

By the Division Algorithm, there exist unique integers q, r such that  $n = (\operatorname{ord}_m a)q + r$  and  $0 \le r < \operatorname{ord}_m a$ . Thus,

$$1 \equiv a^n \equiv a^{(\operatorname{ord}_m a)q+r} \equiv (a^{(\operatorname{ord}_m a)})^q a^r \equiv a^r \pmod{m}$$

since  $a^{(\operatorname{ord}_m a)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  by definition of order of a modulo m. Since  $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  and  $0 \leq r < \operatorname{ord}_m a$ , if must be that r = 0, otherwise  $\operatorname{ord}_m a$  is not the smallest positive integer where  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) We want to show if ord<sub>m</sub>  $a \mid n$  for some positive integer n, then  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

If ord<sub>m</sub>  $a \mid n$ , then there exists an integer k such that  $(\operatorname{ord}_m a)k = n$ . Thus,

$$a^n \equiv (a^{\operatorname{ord}_m a})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$

by definition of order of a modulo m.

**Proposition** (Proposition 5.2). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (a, m) = 1. Then  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$  for nonnegative integers i, j if and and only if  $i \equiv j \pmod{\operatorname{ord}_m a}$ .

**Example 17.** Let a=2 and m=7. Since  $\operatorname{ord}_7 2=3$ ,  $2^i\equiv 2^j\pmod 7$  if and only if  $i\equiv j\pmod 3$ .

**Sketch of Proof** Let a = 2 and m = 7. Without loss of generality, assume that  $i \ge j$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $2^i \equiv 2^j \pmod{7}$ . Then by exponent rules,  $2^j 2^{i-j} \equiv 2^j \pmod{7}$ . Since  $(2^i, 7) = 1$ , there exists a multiplicative inverse of  $2^i$  modulo 7 by Corollary 2.8, say  $(2^j)'$ . Multiplying both sides of the congruence by this inverse, we get,

$$2^{i-j} \equiv (2^j)' 2^j 2^{i-j} \equiv (2^j)' 2^j \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$
.

By Proposition 5.1,  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid i-j$ . Thus,  $i \equiv j \pmod{\operatorname{ord}_m a}$  by definition.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $i \equiv j \pmod{3}$ . Then  $3 \mid i - j$  by definition. Since  $\operatorname{ord}_7 2 = 3$ , Proposition 5.1 states that  $2^{i-j} \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ . Multiplying both sides of the congruence by  $2^j$  gives  $2^i \equiv 2^j \pmod{7}$ .

**Proof of Proposition 5.2** Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (a, m) = 1. Without loss of generality, assume that  $i \geq j$  for nonnegative integers i and j.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$ . Then by exponent rules,  $a^j a^{i-j} \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$ . Since  $(a^i, m) = 1$  by assumption, there exists a multiplicative inverse of  $a^i \pmod{m}$  by Corollary 2.8, say  $(a^j)'$ . Multiplying both sides of the congruence by this inverse, we get,

$$a^{i-j} \equiv (a^j)'a^ja^{i-j} \equiv (a^j)'a^j \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

By Proposition 5.1,  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid i - j$ . Thus,  $i \equiv j \pmod{\operatorname{ord}_m a}$  by definition.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $i \equiv j \pmod{\operatorname{ord}_m a}$ . Then  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid i - j$  by definition, and Proposition 5.1 states that  $a^{i-j} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Multiplying both sides of the congruence by  $a^j$  gives  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$ .

46

## Reading for March 13: Primitive roots modulo p

The original scan on Moodle is a bit hard to read, especially as some parts are annotated and some parts are covered up–since I have not received the book from interlibrary loan to re-scan, I will transcribe (incorporating the annotations/references to our textbook and examples.)

**Turn in:** For each result in the typed or scanned notes, identify the result in our textbook. If it is a special case of the theorem in the textbook, (ie, the reading only proves the theorem for primes or d = qs), also note this

#### Definition of primitive root

If a is a nonzero element of  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  (ie,  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ), then Fermat's Little Theorem tells us that  $a^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ . This implies that the order of every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  is at most 6. At the beginning of this chapter (Table of exponents modulo 7) we saw that ord<sub>7</sub> 3 = 6. We can also say that the element  $3 \in \mathbb{Z}_7$  has order 6.

**Annotation.** The notation  $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is a more group theory way of writing  $a \pmod{m}$ , where  $\overline{a}$  referes to the congruence class of a modulo m. See Strayer Chapter 2, Example 4.

**Definition 2** (Definition 10.3.1). Let p be prime and let  $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $\overline{a}$  is said to be a primitive root in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  or primitive root modulo p if  $\operatorname{ord}_p a = p - 1$ .

Annotation. See also to Strayer Chapter 5, Definition 2.

**Remark 6.** Primitive roots may also be defined for  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  when n is composite. (See Paper 3 topics)

**Example 1.** (a) Is  $\overline{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_7$  a primitive root?

(b) Is  $\overline{5} \in \mathbb{Z}_7$  a primitive root?

**Solution:** Computing powers of 2 and 5 modulo 7, we find

$$2^{1} \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$
  $5^{1} \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$   $2^{2} \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$   $5^{2} \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$   $5^{3} \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$   $5^{4} \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$   $5^{5} \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$   $5^{6} \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ 

- (a) Thus, the order of  $\overline{2}$  is 3, so  $\overline{2}$  is not a primitive root (in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ )
- (b) We see that the order of  $\overline{5}$  is 6; hence,  $\overline{5}$  is a primitive root (in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ )

**Example 2.** Determine which elements of  $Z_{11}$  are primitive roots.

**Annotation.** We have not determined the order of elements modulo 11, so here is a chart:

$a^1$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$a^4$	$a^5$	$a^6$	$a^7$	$a^8$	$a^9$	$a^{10}$	$\operatorname{ord}_{11} a$
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	4	8	5	10	9	7	3	6	1	10
3	9	5	4	1	3	9	5	4	1	5
4	5	9	3	1	4	5	9	3	1	5
5	3	4	9	1	5	3	4	9	1	5
6	3	7	10	5	8	7	9	2	1	10
7	5	2	3	10	4	6	9	8	1	10
8	9	6	4	10	3	2	5	7	1	10
9	4	3	5	1	9	4	3	5	1	10
10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	2

Table 2: Table of exponents modulo 11

**Solution:** From Table of exponents modulo 11, we determined the orders of all of the nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ord}_{11} 1 = 1 \\ & \operatorname{ord}_{11} 10 = 2 \\ & \operatorname{ord}_{11} 3 = \operatorname{ord}_{11} 4 = \operatorname{ord}_{11} 5 = \operatorname{ord}_{11} 9 = 5 \\ & \operatorname{ord}_{11} 2 = \operatorname{ord}_{11} 6 = \operatorname{ord}_{11} 7 = \operatorname{ord}_{11} 8 = 10. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the primitive roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$  (ie, the primitive roots modulo 11) are  $\overline{2}, \overline{6}, \overline{7}$ , and  $\overline{8}$ .

**Example 3.** Find a primitive root in  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}$ , if one exists.

**Solution:** For want of a better strategy, we will check the orders of the elements  $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \ldots$ , until we find an element of order 16.

$1^1 \equiv 1$	$\pmod{17}$	$2^1\equiv 2$	$\pmod{17}$	$3^1 \equiv 3$	$\pmod{17}$
		$2^2\equiv 4$	$\pmod{17}$	$3^2 \equiv 9$	$\pmod{17}$
		$2^3 \equiv 8$	$\pmod{17}$	$3^3 \equiv 10$	$\pmod{17}$
		$2^4 \equiv 16$	$\pmod{17}$	$3^4 \equiv 13$	$\pmod{17}$
		$2^5 \equiv 15$	$\pmod{17}$	$3^5 \equiv 5$	$\pmod{17}$
		$2^6 \equiv 13$	$\pmod{17}$	$3^6 \equiv 15$	$\pmod{17}$
		$2^7 \equiv 9$	(mod 17)	$3^7 \equiv 11$	$\pmod{17}$
		$2^8 \equiv 1$	(mod 17)	$3^8 \equiv 16$	$\pmod{17}$
				$3^9 \equiv 14$	$\pmod{17}$
				$3^{10} \equiv 8$	(mod 17)
					(mod 17)
					$\pmod{17}$
					$\pmod{17}$
					(mod 17)
					$\pmod{17}$
					$\pmod{17}$

From the left column, we see that 1 has order 1.

From the center column, we see that 2 has order 8.

From the right column, we see that the order of 3 equals 16, and we conclude that 3 is a primitive root modulo 17.

We did not actually need to compute all of those powers of 3 to determine that 3 is a primitive root. We know that  $\operatorname{ord}_{17}3$  must be a divisor of 17-1=16, by Proposition 5.1. Once we have computed from  $3^1$  to  $3^8$  and not yet obtained an answer of 1, we know  $\operatorname{ord}-173>8$ . Since the only divisor of 16 that is greater than 8 is 16 itself, we can then conclude that  $\operatorname{ord}_{17}3=16$ . (This observation is generalized in Exercise 7.)

In Exercise 3, we found  $\overline{3}$  is a primitive root of  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}$  (ie, 3 is a primitive root modulo 17.) Looking again at the column of powers of  $\overline{3}$  from the example, observe that these powers cycle through all of the nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}$ . That is, every nonzero element is equivalent to  $\overline{3}$  raised to some power. This is a general fact about primite roots, which we will now prove.

**Proposition 5** (Proposition 10.3.2). Let p be a prime and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  be a primitive root. Then every nonzero element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  appears exactly once on the list

$$\overline{a}^0, \overline{a}^1, \dots, \overline{a}^{p-2} \pmod{p}.$$

**Annotation.** Let p be a prime and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a primitive root modulo p. Then every integer n where  $p \nmid n$  is conguent to exactly one of

$$a^0, a^1, \dots, a^{p-2} \pmod{p}. \tag{3}$$

**Proof** First, we show that the elements in the list (3) are distinct. Suppose that

$$a^j \equiv a^k \pmod{p}$$

with j and k in the range  $0, \ldots, p-2$ . Since  $\operatorname{ord}_p a = p-1$ , we know that the two powers of a are equal if and only if the exponents are congruent modulo p-1, by Proposition 5.2. Hence,

$$j \equiv k \pmod{p-1}$$
.

But since j and k are restricted to the range  $0, \ldots, p-2$ , it follows that j=k. Thus, we have show the elements in list (3) are all distinct.

Note that since  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , each element in the like (3) is a nonzero element modulo p. There are p-1 elements in list (3), and we have just shown that these elements are distinct. Since there are exactly p-1 nonzero residues modulo p, list (3) must include every nonzero residue modulo p.

**Question** 21 In  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ ,  $\overline{2}$  is a primitive root. Express each of the following elements as  $\overline{2}^k$ , where k is in the range  $0, \ldots, 9$ 

- (a)  $\overline{5}$
- (b)  $\overline{9}$

The converse of Proposition 10.3.2 is also true. More precisely, if  $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and if every nonzero element if  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  can be expressed as a power of  $\overline{a}$ , then  $\overline{a}$  must be a primitive root. (See Exercise 11.)

#### Existence of primitive roots in a prime modulus

In Example 3, we asked whether there exists a primitive root in  $\mathbb{Z}_{17}$ . To solve this, we did not have to search very vary. Although  $\overline{1}$  and  $\overline{2}$  are not primitive roots we found that  $\overline{3}$  is a primitive roots. If we looke for primitive roots modulo other primes, we find a similar situation. For example, modulo 47, we find that 5 is a primitive root, and modulo 293, we find that 2 is a primitive toot, In fact, every prime less that 100 has a primitive root that is less than or equal to 7. Every prime less that 1,000,000 has a primitive root that is less than or equal to 73.

The main result of this chapter is the Primitive Root Theorem, which states that for every prime p, there exists a primitive root modulo p. Although this is easy to state, priving it is quite tricky. So tricky, in fact, that the proof given by the great Leonhard Euler was incorrect. It was Lagrange who gave the first correct proof of the Primitive Root Theorem.

**Theorem** (Primitive Root Theorem). Let p be prime. Then there exists a primitive root modulo p.

To prove the Primitive Root Theorem, we must first prove that in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an element of order p-1. Our first step is to prove that there exists an element of order  $q^s$ , where q is prime and  $q^s$  divides p-1. (Numbers of the form  $q^s$  where q is prime and  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  are called *prime powers*.)

**Lemma** (Lemma 10.3.4). Let p be a prime and let  $q^s$  be a prime power (where q is prime and  $s \ge 1$ ). If  $q^s \mid p-1$ , then there exits an element of order  $q^s$  modulo p.

Before proving this lemma, let's see a quick example.

**Example 4.** Suppose that p = 101. Then  $p - 1 = 100 = 2^2(5^2)$ , which is divisible by the following prime powers:

$$2^1 = 2, 2^2 = 4, 5^1 = 5, 5^2 = 25.$$

Thus, Lemma 10.3.4 guarentees that we will find elements of orders 2,4,5, and 25 modulo 101.

**Proof of Lemma 10.3.4** Let p be a prime. Let q be prime and  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $q^s \mid p-1$ . Consider the following equations in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ :

$$x^{q^s} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \tag{4a}$$

and 
$$x^{q^{s-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
. (4b)

By Proposition 5.8, equation (4a) has  $q^s$  solutions and equation (4b) has  $q^{s-1}$  solutions. Since  $q^s > q^{s-1}$ , there must be at least one  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  that is a solution to (4a) and not (4b). That is,

$$a^{q^s} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \tag{5a}$$

but 
$$a^{q^{s-1}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
. (5b)

Claim 1. a has order  $q^s$ .

**Proof of Claim 1** By equation (5a), it follows (using Proposition 5.1) that  $\operatorname{ord}_p a$  divides  $q^s$ . Thus, the order of a modulo p is one of the numbers in the list

$$1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{s-1}, q^s. \tag{6}$$

However, from (5b), it follows (again using Proposition 5.1) that  $\operatorname{ord}_p a$  does not divide  $q^{s-1}$ . Since the only number in list (6) that does not divide  $q^{s-1}$  is  $q^s$ , we conclude that the order of a modulo p is  $q^s$ .

Thus, we have found an integer a with order  $q^s$  modulo p.

Suppose we want to know whether  $\mathbb{Z}_{101}$  has primitive root—that is, an element of order 100. Lemma 10.3.4 tells us that there is an element  $\overline{a}$  of order 4, and element b of order 25 (see Example 4). It turns out that the product  $\overline{ab}$  will have order  $4 \cdot 25 = 100$  and hence,  $\overline{ab}$  is the primitive root we seek. This is guaranteed by the following lemma.

**Lemma** (Lemma 10.3.5). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  be reduced residues (see: reduced residue system modulo m). If ord<sub>n</sub> a and ord<sub>n</sub> b are relatively prime, then

$$\operatorname{ord}_n(ab) = (\operatorname{ord}_n a)(\operatorname{ord}_n b).$$

**Proof** On Homework 6-Strayer Chapter 5, Exercise 5a.

In Example 4, we used Lemma 10.3.4 to determine that  $\mathbb{Z}_{101}$  has orders 4 and 25. By Lemma 10.3.5, the product of these elements has order 100, os it is a primitive root. We will use this same argument, which combines Lemma 10.3.4 and Lemma 10.3.5 to give a general proof of the Primitive Root Theorem.

**Proof of Primitive Root Theorem** Let p be prime.

If p=2, then 1 is a primitive root modulo p. Thus, we may assume p>2.

Factor p-1 into primes:

$$p-1 = q_1^{a^1} q_1^{a_2} \cdots q_m^{a_m}.$$

By Lemma 10.3.4, for each  $k=1,2,\ldots,m$ , there exists an integer  $x_k$  of order  $q_k^{a_k}$  modulo p. Let

$$x \equiv x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m \pmod{p}.$$

Applying Lemma 10.3.5 repeatedly (see Exercise 14), we find

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} x = (\operatorname{ord}_{p} x_{1})(\operatorname{ord}_{p} x_{2}) \cdots (\operatorname{ord}_{p} x_{m})$$
$$= q_{1}^{a^{1}} q_{1}^{a_{2}} \cdots q_{m}^{a_{m}}$$
$$= p - 1$$

Hence, x is a primitive root modulo p.

We have now proved that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  always has at least one primitive root, but as we have seen,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  often has more than one primitive root. It turns out that once we know *one* primitive root in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , it is easy to find all primitive roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The following lemma tells us how to do this.

**Lemma** (Lemma 10.3.6). Let p be prime, and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a primitive root modulo p. Then for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a^j$  is a primitive root modulo p if and only if gcd(j, p-1) = 1.

**Proof** Let p be prime, and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a primitive root modulo p.

By Proposition 5.4,

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(a^j) = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(j, p-1)}.$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a^{j}) = p - 1$  if and only if  $\gcd(j, p - 1) = 1$ .

#### Naomi's Numerical Proof Preview: Theorem 10.3.7

Let's see whether we can use this llemma to determine how many primitive roots there are modulo 19. Let  $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{19}$  be a primitive root (modulo 19). Then the nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{19}$  are

$$\overline{a}^0, \overline{a}^1, \overline{a}^2, \dots, \overline{a}^{17}.$$

Lemma 10.3.6 tells us which of these elements are primitive roots modulo 19: precisely those in which the exponent is relatively prime to 18. Thus, the primitive roots modulo 19 are

$$\overline{a}^1, \overline{a}^5, \overline{a}^7, \overline{a}^{11}, \overline{a}^{13}, \overline{a}^{17}$$
.

We see then that the primitive roots modulo 19 correspond to the exponents 1, 5, 7, 11, 13 and 17, which are the positive integers less than 18 which are relatively prime to 18. The number of such integers is exactly  $\phi(18) = 6$ . In general, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Theorem 10.3.7). Let p be prime. Then there are exactly  $\phi(p-1)$  primitive roots modulo p.

**Proof** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a primitive root modulo p. Then by Proposition 10.3.2, we know that the list of reduced residues modulo p comprises the elements

$$a^0, a^1, a^2, \dots, a^{p-2}$$

By Lemma 10.3.6, an integers  $a^j$  is a primitive root modulo p if and only if gcd(j, p-1) = 1. Thus, the number of primitive roots equals the number of positive integers j that are less than p-1 and relatively prime to p-1. By definition, this is exactly  $\phi(p-1)$ .

#### Referenced Exercises

**Exercise 22** (Exercise 11) Prove the following statement, which is the converse of Proposition 10.3.2:

Let p be prime, and let  $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . If every nonzero element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a power of  $\overline{a}$ , then  $\overline{a}$  is a primitive root (modulo p).

**Exercise 23** (Exercise 14) Prove the following generalization of Lemma 10.3.5

**Lemma.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  be reduced residues modulo n. Suppose that for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $\operatorname{ord}_n(x_i)$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_n(x_i)$  are relatively prime. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_n(x_1x_2\cdots x_m) = (\operatorname{ord}_n x_1)(\operatorname{ord}_n x_2)\cdots(\operatorname{ord}_n x_m).$$

## Wednesday, March 13: Primitive roots modulo a prime

**Learning Objectives.** By the end of class, students will be able to:

 $\bullet$  Define the order of an element modulo m

- $\bullet$  Find the order of an element modulo m
- $\bullet$  Prove basic facts about the order of an element modulo m.

#### Reading Uploaded notes

**Turn in** For each result in the scanned notes, identify the result in our textbook. If it is a special case of the theorem in the textbook, (ie, the reading only proves the theorem for primes or  $d = q^s$ ), also note this.

#### Primitive roots and comparing Strayer to the reading

**Definition 3** (primitive root). Let  $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (r, m) = 1. Then r is said to be a primitive root modulo m if  $\operatorname{ord}_m r = \phi(r)$ .

We saw in the reading that primitive roots always exist modulo a prime. What about composites?

**Example 5.** • Since  $\phi(4) = 2$ , and  $\operatorname{ord}_4 3 = 2$ , 3 is a primite root modulo 4. The powers  $\{3^1, 3^2\}$  are a reduced residue system modulo 4.

- Since  $\phi(6) = \phi(3)\phi(2) = 2$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_6 5 = 2$ , 5 is a primitive root modulo 6. The powers  $\{5^1, 5^2\}$  are a reduced residue system modulo 6.
- There are no primitive roots modulo 8. By Theorem 3.3,  $\phi(8) = 4$ . Since every odd number squares to 1 modulo 8, ord<sub>8</sub> 1 = 1 and ord<sub>8</sub>  $3 = \text{ord}_8$   $5 = \text{ord}_8$  7 = 2.
- Since  $\phi(9) = 3^1(3-1) = 6$  by Theorem 3.3, we check:

$$2^1 = 1,$$
  $2^2 = 4,$   $2^3 = 8,$   $2^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{9},$   $2^5 \equiv 5 \pmod{9},$   $2^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ 

So 2 is a primite root modulo 9, but are there more?

$$4^1 = 4,$$
  $4^2 = 2^4 \equiv 7 \pmod{9},$   $4^3 = 2^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ 

We can also use exponent rules and Proposition 5.2 to simplify some calculations. For example,  $5 \equiv 2^5 \pmod 9$ , so  $5^i \equiv 2^{5i} \equiv 2^j \pmod 9$  if and only if  $5i \equiv j \pmod 6$ .

$$5^{1} \equiv 5 \pmod{9}, \qquad 5^{2} \equiv 2^{10} \equiv 2^{4} \equiv 7 \pmod{9}, \qquad 5^{3} \equiv 2^{15} \equiv 2^{3} \equiv 8 \pmod{9},$$

$$5^{4} \equiv 2^{20} \equiv 2^{2} \equiv 4 \pmod{9}, \qquad 5^{5} \equiv 2^{25} \equiv 2^{1} \equiv 2 \pmod{9}, \qquad 5^{6} \equiv 1 \pmod{9},$$

$$7^{1} \equiv (-2) \equiv 7 \pmod{9}, \qquad 7^{2} \equiv (-2)^{2} \equiv 4 \pmod{9}, \qquad 7^{3} \equiv (-2)^{3} \equiv -8 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

$$\operatorname{ord}_{9}(1) = 1$$

$$\operatorname{ord}_{9}(2) = \operatorname{ord}_{9}(5) = 6$$

$$\operatorname{ord}_{9}(4) = \operatorname{ord}_{9}(7) = 3$$

$$\operatorname{ord}_{9}(8) = 2$$

**Proposition** (Proposition 5.3). Let r be a primitive root modulo m. Then

$$\{r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}\}$$

is a set of reduced residues modulo m.

This is the general version of Proposition 10.3.2, using exponents  $1, 2, ..., \phi(m)$  instead of  $0, 1, ..., \phi(m) - 1$ . Since Strayer's statement of Proposition 5.2 is already stated and proved for composites, and both lists have the same number of elements, the only changes to the proof is replacing p-1 with  $\phi(m)$ . Note  $a^0 \equiv a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  when (a, m) = 1.

**Proposition** (Proposition 5.4). Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and (a, m) = 1. If i is a positive integer, then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{m}(a^{i}) = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{m} a}{\gcd(\operatorname{ord}_{m} a, i)}.$$

In-class Problem 24 Use only the results through Proposition 5.3/Lemma 10.3.5 to prove the primitive root version:

**Proposition.** Let  $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0 and r a primitive root modulo m. If i is a positive integer, then

$$\operatorname{ord}_m(r^i) = \frac{\phi(m)}{\gcd(\phi(m), i)}.$$

**Proof** Let r be a primitive root modulo m. Then by Proposition 5.3,  $\{r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}\}$  is a complete residue system modulo m. By Proposition 5.1,  $\operatorname{ord}_m(r^i) \mid \phi(m)$ .

Exercises and other results cited in the reading

In-class Problem 25 Prove

**Proposition** (Proposition 10.2.2). Let p be prime, and let m be a positive integer. Consider

$$x^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

- (a) If  $m \mid p-1$ , then there are exactly m incongruent solutions modulo p.
- (b) For any positive integer m, there are gcd(m, p-1) incongruent solutions modulo p.

**In-class Problem 26** Prove the following statement, which is the converse of Proposition 10.3.2:

Let p be prime, and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If every  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p \nmid b$  is congruent to a power of a modulo p, then a is a primitive root modulo p.

**In-class Problem 27** Prove the following generalization of Lemma 10.3.5

**Lemma.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$  be reduced residues modulo n. Suppose that for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $\operatorname{ord}_n(x_i)$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_n(x_i)$  are relatively prime. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_n(x_1x_2\cdots x_m) = (\operatorname{ord}_n x_1)(\operatorname{ord}_n x_2)\cdots(\operatorname{ord}_n x_m).$$

## Friday, March 15: Lagrange's Theorem

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- $\bullet$  Define the order of an element modulo m
- $\bullet$  Find the order of an element modulo m

 $\bullet$  Prove basic facts about the order of an element modulo  $m\,.$ 

#### Reading Section 5.2

Turn in Excercise 10a, 11a

- Determine the number of incongruent primitive roots modulo 41
- Find all incongruent integers having order 6 modulo 31.

## Quiz (10 minutes)

## Lagrange's Theorem

This section provides an alternate proof that primitive roots exist modulo a prime. However, it gives more: facts about roots of polynomials.

**Axiom 1** (Well Ordering Principle). Every nonempty set of positive integers contains a least element.

## Divisibility facts

**Lemma** (Proposition 1.2). Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid d$ , then  $c \mid ma + nb$ .

**Lemma** (Lemma 1.12). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \ge b > 0$ , and a = bq + r with  $q, r \in |Z|$ , then (a, b) = (b, r).

## Prime facts

**Lemma** (Lemma 1.14). Let  $a, b, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  with p prime. If  $p \mid ab$ , then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

**Corollary** (Corollary 1.15). Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  with p prime. If  $p \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ , then  $p \mid a_i$  for some i.

**Proposition** (Proposition 1.17). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a, b > 1. Write  $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$  and  $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$  where  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  are distinct primes and  $a_1, a_2 \cdots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n$  are nonnegative integers (possibly zero). Then

$$(a,b) = p_1^{\min\{a_1,b_1\}} p_2^{\min\{a_2,b_2\}} \cdots p_n^{\min\{a_n,b_n\}}$$

and

$$[a,b] = p_1^{\max\{a_1,b_1\}} p_2^{\max\{a_2,b_2\}} \cdots p_n^{\max\{a_n,b_n\}}.$$

**Theorem** (Theorem 1.19). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a, b > 0. Then (a, b)[a, b] = ab.

## Congruences

**Proposition** (Proposition 2.1). Let  $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0, then:

- (a)  $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- (b)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  implies  $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$
- (c)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$  implies  $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$

**Proposition** (Proposition 2.4). Let  $a, b, c, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0, then:

- (a)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  implies  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$
- (b)  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  implies  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$ .

**Proposition** (Proposition 2.5). Let  $a, b, c, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. Then  $ca \equiv cb \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{m}{(a.m)}}$ .

**Lemma** (Chapter 2, Exercise 9). Let  $a, b, c, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with m > 0. If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  then  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{mc}$  for c > 0.

Corollary (Corollary 2.15). Let p be a prime number and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

## The Euler Phi-Function

**Theorem** (Theorem 3.3). Let p be prime and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with a > 0. Then  $\phi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1} = p^{a-1}(p-1)$ .

# Diophantine equations

**Theorem** (Theorem 6.2). Let ax + by = c be a linear Diophantine equation in two variables x and y and let d = (a, b). If  $d \nmid c$ , then the equation has no solutions. If  $d \mid c$  then there are infinitely many solutions of the form

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{d}n, y = y_0 - \frac{a}{d}n, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$