Proving Gauss's Lemma and the Quadratic Residue of 2

Learning Objectives. By the end of class, students will be able to:

- Prove ??
- Classify when 2 is a quadratic residue modulo a prime. .

Read: The remainder of Strayer Section 4.2. For a slower approach, [?] is on Moodle.

Turn in The $p \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$ case of Strayer Theorem 4.8 (scanned notes Theorem 11.4.3/Theorem 11.4.4)

Solution: Mirroring Strayer's argument for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$:

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that p = 8k + 3. Then

$$\frac{p-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor = \frac{8k+3-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{8k+3}{4} \right\rfloor = 4k+1 - (2k) = 2k+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

and

$$\frac{p^2 - 1}{8} = \frac{(8k+3)^2 - 1}{8} = \frac{64k^2 + 48k + 9 - 1}{8} = 8k^2 + 6k + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Thus,
$$\frac{p-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor \equiv \frac{p^2 - 1}{8} \pmod{8}$$
.

Remark 1. ?? is often stated as:

Let p be an odd prime number and like $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$. Let n be the number of least absolute residues of the integers $a, 2a, 3a, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ modulo p that are negative. Then

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^n.$$

Lemma 1. Let p be an odd prime number and like $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$. Consider

$$a, 2a, 3a, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}a, \frac{p+1}{2}a, \ldots, (p-1)a.$$

The least absolute residues of ak and a(p-k) differ by a negative sign. In other words,

$$ak \equiv -a(p-k) \pmod{p}$$
.

 $Furthermore, for \ each \ k=1,2,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}, \ the \ exactly \ one \ of \ k \ and \ -k \ is \ a \ least \ absolute \ residue \ of \ \{a,2a,3a,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}a\}.$

Learning outcomes:

Author(s): Claire Merriman

Proof Let p be an odd prime number and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid a$. Then

$$ak \equiv -ap + ak \equiv -a(p - k) \pmod{p}$$
.

Then

$$\{a, 2a, 3a, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}a, \frac{p+1}{2}a, \dots, (p-1)a\}$$

is a reduced residue system modulo p by ??. From Chapter 2, ??,

$$\left\{\frac{-(p-1)}{2}, \frac{-(p-3)}{2}, \dots, -2, -1, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right\}$$

is a reduced residue system modulo p. Thus, every element of $\{a, 2a, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}a, \frac{p+1}{2}a, \dots, (p-1)a\}$ is congruent to exactly one of $\left\{\frac{-(p-1)}{2}, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, \frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\right\}$. That is, for each $k=1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, both k and -k are least absolute residue of $\{a, 2a, 3a, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}a, \frac{p+1}{2}a, \dots, (p-1)a\}$.

If k is the least absolute remainder of aj modulo p for some $j=1,2,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}$, then -k is the absolute least residue of a(p-j) modulo p and $p-j=\frac{p+1}{2},\frac{p+3}{2},\ldots,p-1$. Thus, -k is not an absolute least residue of $\{a,2a,3a,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}a\}$. Since there are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ elements of $\{a,2a,3a,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}a\}$, there must be $\frac{p-1}{2}$ distinct absolute least residues modulo p. Thus, for each $k=1,2,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}$, exactly on of k and -k is an absolute least residue of $\{a,2a,3a,\ldots,\frac{p-1}{2}a\}$.

In-class Problem 1 Check Lemma 1 for

- (a) a = 3, p = 7
- (b) a = 5, p = 11
- (c) a = 6, p = 11

Solution: (a) a = 3, p = 7

$$3\pmod{7},\ 3(2)\equiv -1\pmod{7},\ 3(3)\equiv 2\pmod{7},$$

$$3(4)\equiv -2\pmod{7},\ 3(5)\equiv 1\pmod{7},\ 3(6)\equiv -3\pmod{7},$$

(b)
$$a = 5, p = 11$$

(c)
$$a = 11, p = 23$$

We now prove ??.

Proof Let $r_1, r_2, \ldots r_n$ be the least nonnegative residues of the integers $a, 2a, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}a$ that are greater than $\frac{p}{2}$ and s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m be the least nonnegative residues that are less that $\frac{p}{2}$. Note that no r_i or s_j is 0, since p does not divide any of $a, 2a, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$. Consider the $\frac{p-1}{2}$ integers given by

$$p-r_1, p-r_2, \ldots, p-r_n, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$$

We want to show that these integers are the integers from 1 to $\frac{p-1}{2}$, inclusive, in some order. Since each integer is less than or equal to $\frac{p-1}{2}$, it suffices to show that no two of these integers are congruent modulo p.

If $p - r_i \equiv p - r_j \pmod{p}$ for some $i \neq j$, then $r_i \equiv r_j \pmod{p}$, but this implies that there exists some $k_i, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_i = k_i a \equiv k_j a = r_j \pmod{p}$ with $k_i \neq k_j$ and $1 \leq k_i, k_j \leq \boxed{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. Since

Multiple Choice:

- (a) $p \nmid a \checkmark$
- (b) $p \mid a$

we know that the multiplicative inverse of a modulo p

Multiple Choice:

- (a) exists ✓
- (b) does not exist

and thus $k_i \equiv k_j \pmod{p}$, a contradiction. Thus, no two of the first n integers are congruent modulo p.

Similarly, no two of the second m integers are congruent. Now, if $p-r_i j \pmod p$, for some i and j, then $-r_i j \pmod p$. Thus, there exists $k_i, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-r_i = -k_i a \equiv k_j a = s_j \pmod p$ with $k_i \neq k_j$ and $1 \leq k_i, k_j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. Since $p \nmid a$, we know that the multiplicative inverse of a modulo p exists, and thus $-k_i \equiv k_j \pmod p$, a contradiction. Thus, the $\frac{p-1}{2}$ integers $p-r_1, p-r_2, \ldots, p-r_n, s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$ are the integers $1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ in some order.

Then,

$$(p-r_1)(p-r_2)\cdots(p-r_n)s_1s_2\cdots s_m \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}! \pmod{p}$$

implies that

$$(-1)^n r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n s - 1 s_2 \cdots s_m \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}! \pmod{p}.$$

By the definition of r_i and s_j , we have

$$(-1)^n a(2a)(3a) \cdots (\frac{p-1}{2}a) \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}! \pmod{p}.$$

By reordering, we have

$$(-1)^n a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{p-1}{2}! \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}! \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, $(-1)^n a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod p$, and $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^n \pmod p$. By Euler's criterion, we get that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv (-1)^n \pmod p$. Since both sides of the congruence must be ± 1 , we have $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^n$.

We are going to prove a result about $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)$ before our next technical lemma.

Theorem 1. Let p be an odd prime. Then

$$\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p^2 - 1}{8}} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & if \ p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof By Gauss's Lemma, we have that $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^n$, where n is the number of least positive residues of the integers $2, 2*2, 2*3, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ that are greater than $\frac{p}{2}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \le k \le \frac{p-1}{2}$. Then $2k < \frac{p}{2}$ if and only if $k < \frac{p}{4}$; so $\left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor$ of the integers $2, 2*2, 2*3, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ that are less than $\frac{p}{2}$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the greatest integer (or floor) function. So, $\frac{p-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor$ of these integers are greater than $\frac{p}{2}$, from which

$$\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor}$$

by Gauss's Lemma. For the first equality, it suffices to show that

$$\left[\frac{p-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor \right] \equiv \frac{p^2 - 1}{8} \pmod{2}.$$

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, the p = 8k + 1 for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. That gives us

$$\frac{p-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor = \frac{(8k+1)-1}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{8k+1}{4} \right\rfloor = 4k - 2k = 2k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

and

$$\frac{p^2 - 1}{8} = \frac{(8k+1)^2 - 1}{8} = 8k^2 + 2k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Thus, holds when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. The rest of the cases are left as homework.