Stacks and Queues

Introduction

- Stacks and queues are higher level data structures
 - You can implement a stack as an array or as a linked-list
 - Not as fundamental
 - You can't implement a linked list as an array, or implement an array as a linked list

Stack

- Sequence of items: LIFO
 - The only place to insert an item is from one end
 - The only place to remove an item is from the same end

Stack operations

- 1. Create an empty stack stack<classType> name
- 2. Push an item onto the stack (add) name.push(element)
 - o Possibilites of failure
 - If fixed-size: what if we run out of room -> could fail
 - If resizable: that's fine
- 3. Pop an item from the stack (remove) name.pop()
 - Possibilities of failure
 - What if the stack is empty?
- 4. Look at the top item on the stack (top of stack is the active end of stack)
 - name.top() returns the top element
 - Possibilities of failure
 - What if the stack is empty?
- 5. Is the stack empty? name.empty()

Optional items:

- 6. Look at any item in the stack name.size()
- 7. How many items are in the stack

Stack in C++ Library

```
#include <stack>
using namespace std;
//Create an empty stack
stack<int> s; //in <>: the type of data you want in a stack
//Add items
s.push(10);
s.push(20);
//Visualization of stack
s: ===>
10 20
//Check top of stack
cout << s.top() << endl; //20</pre>
//Remove item
s.pop();
//Visualization
s: ===>
10
if (s.empty())
    cout << "Stack is empty!" << endl;</pre>
}
else
    cout << s.top() << endl; //writes 10</pre>
}
//How many items
cout << s.size() << endl;</pre>
```

- There is no member function to check any item of the stack in C++ library
- s.pop(): returns void, removes the top value
 - o in other languages: pop may remove and return the value

```
S.PUSH(30);
int n = s.POP(); //n is 30, 30 was removed from the stack
```

o in C++

```
s.push(30);
int n = s.top();
s.pop();
```

- what if we call this when the stack is empty?
 - C++ throws an exception, undefined behavior
 - due to performance issues
- s.push() method
 - Would we run out of room?
 - In C++: the size can grow, but we can run out of memory

Scenario: Expression evaluation

- Prefix notation:
 - The operation comes before the operand: f(x, y, z)
 - The operation is f, the operands are x, y, z
 - Mathematical operations in prefix notation
 - add(sub(8, div(6,2)), 1)
 - + 8 / 6 2 1: there is a unique way to interpret this expression
 - Infix notation: 8-6/2+1
 - infix notation requires more rules of precedence, associativity rules, etc.
- Postfix notation:
 - The operation comes after the operand

```
8 6 2 / - 1 +
```

- Like prefix notation, its unambiguous
- Program that can evaluate infix notation for mathematical operation
 - o Algorithm:
 - Go through infix string, convert sequence into postfix string
 - Given postfix string, visit each item, evaluate the answer
 - If infix to prefix, just reverse the string and do infix to postfix
 - Infix to postfix: Use **operator stack**

- Tricky: Associativity and precedence
- If the current item is an operand, append it to the result sequence
- If the current item is (, push it onto the stack:
 - the open parentheses is pushed onto the stack as a marker to tell the algorithm when to stop popping when it reaches a closed)
- If the current item is)
 - pop operators off the stack, appending them to the result sequence, until you pop an (, which you do not append to the sequence
- If the current item is an operator:
 - If the operator stack is empty, push the current operator onto the stack
 - If the stack is not empty:
 - If the top of the stack is (, push the operator onto stack
 - If the current operator has precedence greater than that of the operator at the top of the stack: push current operator onto the stack
 - Otherwise:
 - pop the top operator onto stack and append it to the result sequence
 - check again (loop)
- At the end of the input sequence, pop each operator off the stack and append it to the result sequence

```
//if operand, append to result
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
^
Operator stack: =====>

result: 8

//Operator, empty stack, push onto stack
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
^
Operator stack: ====>
-
result: 8

//operand, append to result
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
^
Operator stack: ====>
-
```

```
result: 8 6
//Operator precedence >= stack operator, push onto stack
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
Operator stack: ====>
- /
result: 8 6
//operand, append to result
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
Operator stack: ====>
- /
result: 8 6 2
//Operator precedence <= stack operator, pop operator off stack</pre>
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
Operator stack: =====>
result: 8 6 2 /
//Check again, precedence <= operator on top of stack, pop</pre>
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
Operator stack: ====>
result: 8 6 2 / -
//Check again, now stack empty, push operator onto stack
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
Operator stack: ====>
result: 8 6 2 / -
//operand, append to result
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
Operator stack: ====>
result: 8 6 2 / - 1
//Reached the end of a string
8 - 6 / 2 + 1
Operator stack: ====>
result: 8 6 2 / - 1 +
```

[•] Evalute postfix expression: Use operand stack

8 6 2 / - 1 +

- Push operands onto stack
- When see operator, pop two items off the stack and apply operation
- Push result onto the stack

```
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
8 6
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
8 6 2
//Operator '/' pop two items off
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
                                     6 / 2
//Push back result onto stack
8 6 2 / - 1 +
 ^
Operand stack: ====>
8 3
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
                                     8 - 3
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
5 1
8 6 2 / - 1 +
Operand stack: ====>
```

```
5 + 1

8 6 2 / - 1 +

^
Operand stack: ====>
6

//End of string: result = 6
```

- If the postfix string was properly formed, the result will always have one number on the stack
- Some complications:
 - Three operands: different operator symbol for it, just push three onto stack
 - One operator that means different things based on number of operands e.g. -5
 versus 8-5
 - when converting from infix to postfix, change these into different symbols
- What about parentheses?

```
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
```

Turn expression into equivalent postfix

```
//operand, append to result
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
result: 2
//operator, empty stack, push onto stack
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
result: 2
//Open (, push onto stack
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* (
result: 2
//operand, append to result
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
```

```
* (
result: 28
//top operator on stack is (, push onto stack
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( -
result: 2 8
//open parens, push onto stack
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( - (
result: 2 8
//operand, append to result
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( - (
result: 2 8 4
//top operator on stack is (, push onto stack
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( - ( -
result: 2 8 4
//operand, append to stack
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( - ( -
result: 2 8 4 2
//Closing ), keep popping operators and append to result
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( - (
result: 2 8 4 2 -
//Pop open ( but not append to result
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( -
result: 2 8 4 2 -
//* operator has greater precedence than -, push onto stack
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
```

```
operator stack: ====>
* ( - *
result: 2 8 4 2 -
//operand, append to result
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
* ( - *
result: 2 8 4 2 - 3
//Closed ), keeping popping operators and append until open (,
then pop (
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
result: 2 8 4 2 - 3 * -
// Division operator does not have greater precedence than *, pop
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
result: 2 8 4 2 - 3 * - *
//Push Division operator onto stack now that its empty
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
result: 2 8 4 2 - 3 * - *
//operand, append to result
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
result: 2 8 4 2 - 3 * - * 2
//End of string
2 * (8 - (4 - 2) * 3) / 2
operator stack: ====>
result: 2 8 4 2 - 3 * - * 2 /
```

Queue

- Sequence of items: FIFO
- Stack v. Queue:
 - One active end v. Two active ends

Queue operations

- 1. Create an empty queue queue <classType> name
- 2. Enqueue an item (add a new item) name.push(element)
- 3. Dequeue an item (remove from other end) name.pop()
- 4. Look at the front item name.front()
 - We don't tend to need to look at the back of the queue, guy just waits
 - You can't look at the front of the queue if it has no items
 - returns a reference to the front most element
- 5. Is the queue empty name.empty()

Optional operations:

- 6. Look at the back item in the queue name.back()
- 7. Look at any item in the queue
- 8. How many items are in the queue name.size()

Oueue in C++

- You can't look at any item in the queue
- q.pop() returns void (just as in stack)
- If you try to ask about or remove front/back of empty queue -> undefined behavior

Implementation of Stack and Queue

Implement a stack as an array

- If I have an array with elements
- To push an item, just insert at the end
- To pop an item, just remove at the end
- Everything is efficient as long as we know where the top of the stack it
 - We need an integer subscript or pointer to keep track
 - o top indicate just past the last item instead of last item itself
 - Scenario:
 - Underlying integer array of one item in the stack
 - top would take integer subscript @ (since item at index 0) and we only have 1 item
 - When we pop the top --> top would be -1
 - HOWEVER: if use pointer representation

- top points to index 0
- if we pop, we subtract 1 from the pointer -> undefined behavior to have a pointer to element negative 1
- top is also going to be the size of the stack
- o To push an item:
 - top tells me where to store the new item
 - increment top
 - trying to push to a full capacity
 - if top is index just past array -> fail
 - resizable array: top just past array tells you to allocate a bigger array and delete the old array
- o To pop an item:
 - subtract 1 from top
 - we really don't do anything to the popped item, since we don't care, the limits have changed
 - popping from an empty stack
 - top is 0 tells you the stack is empty
 - if try to look at top of the stack (top index 1) that is undefined behavior
 - if pop from stack, top will become -1
 - then if we try checking we will be looking into -2
 - then if we try pushing we will be pushing to -1, top will end up at 0 again

Implement a stack using a linked list

- Head pointer points to the front, item points to the next
- This is BAD!
 - Everytime I want to push I have to traverse the list
 - What if we have tail pointer? --> now we can push easily
 - But what if we want to pop? --> to go backwards we have to do a doubly-linked list
- Rethink this: the 'end' of the stack be the end the head pointer points to
 - o Push:
 - Allocate a new node

- Copy the head in there, make head point to first node
- Push one more: allocate one node, set value, copy pointer to next pointer, make head point to new node

-Typically call the head point top

- o Pop:
 - Save a copy of the top pointer
 - Change top to point to second item
 - If try to pop from empty stack --> following nullptr --> crash

Implement a Queue Using An Array

- Enqueue
 - 10 at index 0, 20 at index 1, etc.
- Dequeue
 - Get rid of something
- Need to keep track of where the first and last item is: two pointers
 - Point to head: front
 - Dequeue, remove item, advance head pointer
 - o Tail pointer point to end, for enqueue
- If fixed sized array
 - Enqueue and dequeue process will lead to an array like this:

```
[] [] [] [] []... [23] [87]

^ ^
h t
```

- When we reach the end, do we want to allocate more memory? NO
 - Queue has only two items, but array capacity is a 100, 98 empty spots
 - If t is pointing to very last item, then copy all items to the beginning of the array
- Solution 1:
 - Copy items back to the beginning

```
[23][87]...[][][]
```

- Allocate more memory only when truly full
- **Disadvantages**: costly
 - 98 elements in array, reached the end -> our algorithm tells us to move copy 98 elements to beginning to give 2 more empty spots
 - Expensive copy
 - A very short time later, we hit the end AGAIN -> have to shift again
- Solution 2: Wrap around back to the beginning
 - Pretend that the next item after index 99 is 0

```
[87][][][][][][21]
^ ^
t h
```

- If at last item, then reset to beginning
- Allocate more memory if truly full
- Treat linear memory as if it were stored in a circle
 - Ring buffer, 'circular array'
- We have two pointers, tail pointer points just past the last

```
[8][3][][][][]
^ ^
h t
```

- When we dequeue:
 - when queue empty -> head pointer equal to the tail pointer
 - but NOT the other way around
 - When the queue has 99 items in it, add one more tail pointer advances to the head pointer -> the queue is FULL

```
[6][7][][8][9]
^ ^
t h
```

hence have to keep track of the size size variable

- Growing the array:
 - Copy, start at head, copy into index 0 of new array, go until 99, etc.
 - Copy the element the head pointer is pointing to into index 0, NOT actually index 0

C++ Library Implementation of Stack and Queue

• As long as popping and pushing are cheap -> use that