

Narrow Systems and the Singular Cardinals Hypothesis

Chris Lambie-Hanson
(Czech Academy of Sciences)

RIMS Set Theory
Workshop 2022
(28 Nov. 2022)

Winter School in Abstract Analysis 2023:

Set Theory & Topology Section

Jan 28 - Feb 4, 2023

Štěkň Chateau, Czech Republic

Tutorials from

- Clinton Conley
 - Vera Fischer
 - Aleksandra Kwiatkowska
 - Assaf Rinot
-

More info and registration at
www.winterschool.eu

Two - cardinal tree properties

Def Let $\kappa \leq \lambda$ be uncountable cardinals, with κ regular.

- $P_{\kappa} \lambda := \{x \subseteq \lambda \mid |x| < \kappa\}$
- A (κ, λ) -list is a structure

$$D = \langle d_x \mid x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda \rangle$$
 such

that $d_x \subseteq x$ for all $x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$

- A (κ, λ) -tree is a structure

$$T = \langle T_x \mid x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda \rangle$$
 such that

- $\emptyset \neq T_x \subseteq P(x)$
- $\forall x \subseteq y \in P_{\kappa} \lambda \quad \forall t \in T_y \quad t \cap x \in T_x$

Note • Given a (κ, λ) -list

$D = \langle d_x \mid x \in P_\kappa \lambda \rangle$, its
"downward closure"

$T = \langle T_x \mid x \in P_\kappa \lambda \rangle$ is a
 (κ, λ) -tree, where

$$T_x := \{d_y \cap x \mid x \subseteq y \in P_\kappa \lambda\}$$

• Given a (κ, λ) -tree

$T = \langle T_x \mid x \in P_\kappa \lambda \rangle$, one
can form a (κ, λ) -list by
choosing an element $d_x \in T_x$
for each $x \in P_\kappa \lambda$.

Def • Given a (κ, λ) -tree

$T = \langle T_x \mid x \in P_\kappa \lambda \rangle$, a cofinal branch through T is a set $b \subseteq \lambda$ such that

$$b \cap x \in T_x \text{ for all } x \in P_\kappa \lambda$$

• Given a (κ, λ) -list

$D = \langle d_x \mid x \in P_\kappa \lambda \rangle$, an ineffable branch through D is a set $b \subseteq \lambda$ such that

$$\{x \in P_\kappa \lambda \mid b \cap x = d_x\} \text{ is stationary in } P_\kappa \lambda.$$

These notions were used by Jech and Magidor in the 1970s to characterize strongly compact and supercompact cardinals.

Thm (Jech '73) Let κ be an uncountable cardinal. TFAE

- 1) κ is strongly compact
- 2) $\forall \lambda \geq \kappa$ every (κ, λ) -list has a cofinal branch

Thm (Magidor '74) Let κ be an uncountable cardinal. TFAE

- 1) κ is supercompact
- 2) $\forall \lambda \geq \kappa$ every (κ, λ) -list has an ineffable branch

If we want similar tree properties to consistently hold at small cardinals, we must restrict the class of trees/lists under consideration.

Def • A (κ, λ) -tree T is **thin**

if $\forall x \in P_\kappa \lambda \ |T_x| < \kappa$

• A (κ, λ) -list D is **thin**

if its downward closure is thin

• A (κ, λ) -list D is **slender** if, for all large regular θ , there is a club $C \subseteq P_\kappa H(\theta)$ s.t. $\forall M \in C \ \forall z \in M \cap P_\kappa \lambda \ d_{M \cap \lambda} \cap z \in M$

Def (Weiβ) Let $\kappa \leq \lambda$ be uncountable cardinals, with κ regular.

- $(I)TP(\kappa, \lambda) \equiv$ Every thin (κ, λ) -list has a cofinal (ineffable) branch
- $(I)SP(\kappa, \lambda) \equiv$ Every slender (κ, λ) -list has a cofinal (ineffable) branch
- $(I)TP_\kappa \equiv \forall \lambda \geq \kappa (I)TP(\kappa, \lambda)$
 $(I)SP_\kappa \equiv \forall \lambda \geq \kappa (I)SP(\kappa, \lambda)$

Thm (Weiβ)

• $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \exists \text{ supercompact cardinal})$

$\Rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{ISP}_{\omega_2})$

• $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \exists \text{ strongly compact cardinal})$

$\Rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{TP}_{\omega_2})$

$\hookrightarrow \text{TP}(\omega_2, \lambda)$

for all $\lambda \geq \omega_2$

Thm (Viale - Weiβ)

PFA $\Rightarrow \text{ISP}_{\omega_2}$

The Singular Cardinals Hypothesis

In its simplest form, the Singular Cardinals Hypothesis (SCH) says:

For every singular strong limit μ , $2^\mu = \mu^+$.

Thm (Solovay) If κ is strongly compact, then SCH holds above κ .

Thm (Viale, Krueger) If ISP $_\kappa$ holds, then SCH holds above κ .

Weiβ wrote of the principles
(I) SP and (I) TP as
capturing the "combinatorial
essence" of supercompactness
and strong compactness.

This naturally raises the
following question:

Q Does ITP_κ (or TP_κ)
imply that SCH holds
above κ ?

Narrow $\text{P}_\kappa\lambda$ -systems

The classical notion of narrow system was introduced by Magidor and Shelah in their study of the tree property at successors of singular cardinals.

There is a natural generalization to the setting of two-cardinal tree properties.

Def Let $\kappa \leq \lambda$ be uncountable cardinals, with κ regular.

A (concrete) $P_\kappa\lambda$ -system is a structure $S = \langle S_x \mid x \in Y \rangle$ such that

- Y is a \subseteq -cofinal subset of $P_\kappa\lambda$
- $\emptyset \neq S_x \subseteq P(x)$
- $\forall x \subseteq y \in P_\kappa\lambda \exists s \in S_y$ s.t.
 $s \cap x \in S_x$

A cofinal branch through S is a set $b \subseteq \lambda$ such that

$\{x \in Y \mid b \cap x \in S_x\}$ is \subseteq -cofinal in Y

The width of S is

$$\sup \{ |S_x| \mid x \in Y \}$$

S is narrow if $\text{width}(S)^+ < \kappa$

The $\rho_\kappa \lambda$ -narrow system

property ($\rho_\kappa \lambda$ -NSP)

is the assertion that every narrow $\rho_\kappa \lambda$ -system has a cofinal branch.

$\text{NSP}_\kappa \equiv \rho_\kappa \lambda$ -NSP holds
for all $\lambda \geq \kappa$

Thm Suppose that μ is a singular limit of strongly compact cardinals. Then TP_{μ^+} holds.

— $\text{TP}(\mu^+, \lambda)$ for all
Proof Structure $\lambda \geq \mu^+$

- First, prove that for every $\lambda \geq \mu^+$ and every thin (μ^+, λ) -tree $T = \langle T_x \mid x \in P_{\mu^+ \lambda} \rangle$, there is a cofinal $Y \subseteq P_{\mu^+ \lambda}$ and, for all $x \in Y$, a nonempty $S_x \subseteq T_x$ such that $\langle S_x \mid x \in Y \rangle$ is a narrow $P_{\mu^+ \lambda}$ -system.

- Then, prove that NSP_{μ^+} holds.
The resulting cofinal branch through S is also a cofinal branch through T .
-

Comparing TP_κ to NSP_κ

thin (κ, λ) -tree $T = \langle T_x \mid x \in P_\kappa \lambda \rangle$ $\bullet \forall x \subseteq y \quad \forall t \in T_y \quad t \cap x \in T_x$ $\bullet \forall x \quad T_x < \kappa$	narrow $P_\kappa \lambda$ -system $S = \langle S_x \mid x \in \gamma \rangle$ $\bullet \forall x \subseteq y \quad \exists s \in S_y \quad s \cap x \in S_x$ $\bullet \exists \mu \quad (\mu^+ < \kappa \wedge \forall x \quad S_x \leq \mu)$
---	--

In practice, NSP_K feels weaker than TP_K .

- NSP_K holds in all known models of TP_K .
- All of the currently conceivable (to me) methods for verifying TP_K will inevitably yield NSP_K .

More concretely:

Thm Suppose that there is a proper class of supercompact cardinals. Then there is a (class) forcing extension in which NSP_κ holds for all regular uncountable κ .

Proof Method Cut off the universe below the first inaccessible limit of supercompact cardinals. Take a full-support iteration of Levy collapses turning the former supercompact

cardinals into all double
successor cardinals.

Nonetheless, the following
remains open:

Q

Does $\overline{\text{TP}_\kappa}$ (or ITP_κ)
imply $\overline{\text{NSP}_\kappa}$?

Thm

$\text{ISP}_\kappa \Rightarrow \text{NSP}_\kappa$.

Thm If $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ is regular,
then NSP_κ implies SCH
above κ .

The proof builds on ideas

from Viale's proof that

PFA implies SCH

We actually get that NSP_κ
implies Shelah's Strong Hypothesis
above κ (with the correct
formulation), e.g.

Thm $\text{NSP}_{\omega_2} \Rightarrow \text{SSH}$

Thank you for
your attention!

$$\text{NSP}_\lambda \Rightarrow \text{ND}(\lambda)$$

for all $\lambda \geq \kappa$
regular

$$\text{NSP}_\lambda \Rightarrow \exists \text{ strongly unbdd}$$

subadditive

functions

$\zeta: \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ for

$\alpha, \lambda \geq \kappa$