

HAJNAL–MÁTÉ GRAPHS, COHEN REALS, AND DISJOINT TYPE GUESSING

CHRIS LAMBIE-HANSON AND DÁVID UHRIK

ABSTRACT. A Hajnal–Máté graph is an uncountably chromatic graph on ω_1 satisfying a certain natural sparseness condition. We investigate Hajnal–Máté graphs and generalizations thereof, focusing on the existence of Hajnal–Máté graphs in models resulting from adding a single Cohen real. In particular, answering a question of Dániel Soukup, we show that such models necessarily contain triangle-free Hajnal–Máté graphs. In the process, we isolate a weakening of club guessing called *disjoint type guessing* that we feel is of interest in its own right. We show that disjoint type guessing is independent of ZFC and, if disjoint type guessing holds in the ground model, then the forcing extension by a single Cohen real contains Hajnal–Máté graphs G such that the chromatic numbers of finite subgraphs of G grow arbitrarily slowly.

1. INTRODUCTION

András Hajnal and Attila Máté, in [6], initiated the study of a class of graphs on ω_1 which satisfy two properties that are in tension with one another. First, they are sparse in the following sense: the set of neighbors of any countable ordinal α is restricted to ordinals below α is finite or cofinal in α with order type ω . Second, despite this sparseness condition, they have uncountable chromatic number. Such graphs are called *Hajnal–Máté graphs* (or *HM graphs*). See Definition 3.1 below for a more precise, and more general, definition.

The existence of HM graphs turns out to be independent of ZFC. The first existence result is due to Hajnal and Máté [6]. They showed that under \diamond^+ an HM graph exists. In the same paper, they showed that under Martin’s Axiom ($\text{MA}(\omega_1)$) there are no such graphs.

Since then, many new constructions have been discovered. Let us mention a few. Komjáth, in his series of papers about HM graphs [7, 8, 9], showed that one can construct a triangle-free HM graph just from the \diamond principle. From \diamond^+ , he constructed an HM graph with no *special cycles*, i.e., cycles formed from two monotone paths. Komjáth and Shelah [10] constructed further examples of HM graphs, both through forcing constructions and through the use of \diamond . Given a natural number s , they constructed an HM graph having no odd cycles of length less than or equal to $2s + 1$ for which the complete bipartite graph $K_{\omega, \omega}$ is not a subgraph. Using \diamond , Lambie-Hanson and Soukup [15] constructed a coloring $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \omega$ such that $c^{-1}\{n\}$ is a triangle-free HM graph for each n .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 03E05, 03E35, 05C63.

Key words and phrases. Hajnal–Máté graph, Cohen reals, uncountable graph, guessing principles.

The first author was supported by GAČR project 23-04683S, and the second author was supported by Charles University Research Center program No. UNCE/SCI/022. Both authors were supported by the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (RVO 67985840).

In this paper, we continue the investigation of HM graphs, focusing in particular on the existence of interesting HM graphs after adding a single Cohen real to an arbitrary model of set theory. There is a rich body of work investigating the effect of adding a single Cohen real on the existence of combinatorial structures of size ω_1 . The earliest results in this direction are due to Roitman, who showed in [16] that MA_{ω_1} necessarily fails after adding a single Cohen real. This result was strengthened by Shelah, who proved in [17] that adding a single Cohen real adds a Suslin tree. It was known that a similar construction can be used to construct an HM graph in a model obtained by adding one Cohen real. Dániel Soukup asked [19, Problem 5.2] whether this HM graph can be triangle-free. Here, we provide a positive answer. In particular, in Theorem 3.5 we will show that, in the forcing extension by a single Cohen real, for every natural number s , there is an HM graph with no odd cycles of length $2s + 1$ or shorter and no special cycles (and hence, e.g., no copies of $K_{\omega, \omega}$).

We also investigate a generalization of HM graphs in which the vertex set is not necessarily ω_1 but is rather some tree T of height ω_1 . Such graphs were first considered by Hajnal and Komjáth in [5]. Here, we construct simple ZFC examples of generalized HM graphs possessing the properties discussed in the previous paragraph. In particular, for every natural number s , we construct in ZFC an HM graph on the tree ${}^{<\omega_1}\omega$ that has no odd cycles of length $2s + 1$ or shorter and no special cycles.

In the process of proving these results, we isolate a weakening of the classical *club guessing* principle that we call *disjoint type guessing* (DTG), and which seems to be of interest in its own right. Certain weak forms of DTG are provable in ZFC and are crucial ingredients in the proofs of the results mentioned in the previous two paragraphs. The full DTG is independent of ZFC; for instance, we show in Theorem 5.2 that a weak form of the Proper Forcing Axiom entails its failure. However, we show that if the ground model satisfies DTG, then one can improve Theorem 3.5. In particular, in the extension by a single Cohen real, there exist HM graphs for which the chromatic numbers of their finite subgraphs grow arbitrarily slowly with respect to the size of their vertex sets (see Section 4 for a more precise formulation). Such HM graphs were first constructed through a forcing construction by Komjáth and Shelah in [11] and from \diamond by the first author in [14], solving a question of Erdős, Hajnal, and Szemerédi [4].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of *type guessing* that will play a central role in the proofs of our main theorems, and prove some basic facts about it. In Section 3, we prove our main result (Theorem 3.5) about the existence of HM graphs with no short odd cycles and no special cycles in the extension by a single Cohen real. We also prove our ZFC result (Theorem 3.6) about the existence of generalized HM graphs indexed by trees and having no short odd cycles or special cycles. In Section 4, we prove that if disjoint type guessing holds in the ground model, then in the extension by a single Cohen real there is an HM graph such that the chromatic numbers of its finite subgraphs grow arbitrarily slowly. In Section 5, we show that DTG is independent of ZFC by showing that its negation follows from a weakening of the Proper Forcing Axiom. Finally, in Section 6, we conclude with some remaining open questions.

Notation. We use standard set theoretic notation. If X is a set and μ a cardinal, then $[X]^\mu := \{Y \subseteq X \mid |Y| = \mu\}$. If X and Y are subsets of some ordered set, then $X < Y$ means that each element of X lies below each element of Y .

We identify each cardinal with the least ordinal of its cardinality, and each ordinal is identified with the set of ordinals strictly less than it. In particular, ω_1 is the set of all countable ordinals. The class of ordinals is denoted Ord . If a is a well-ordered set (e.g., $a \subseteq \text{Ord}$), then $\text{ot}(a)$ denotes the order type of a . We will often identify sets of ordinals with the functions giving their increasing enumerations. For example, if $a \subseteq \text{Ord}$ and $i < \text{ot}(a)$, then $a(i)$ is the unique $\beta \in a$ such that $\text{ot}(a \cap \beta) = i$, and, if $I \subseteq \text{ot}(a)$, then $a[I] := \{a(i) \mid i \in I\}$. If $a \subseteq \text{Ord}$ and $\beta \in \text{Ord}$, then we will write, e.g., $a < \beta$ instead of $a < \{\beta\}$ to denote that every element of a is less than β . If a and b are sets of ordinals, then we let $a \sqsubseteq b$ denote the assertion that a is an initial segment of b , i.e., $a \subseteq b$ and, for all $\beta \in b$, either $\beta \in a$ or $a < \beta$. If $\beta \in \text{Ord}$, then $\lim(\beta)$ denotes the set of limit ordinals that are less than β (we adopt the convention that 0 is not a limit ordinal).

A graph G is a pair (X, E) , where X (the set of *vertices*) is an arbitrary set and E (the set of *edges*) is a subset of $[X]^2$. If $v \in X$, then $N_G(v)$ denotes the set of neighbors of v in G , i.e., $N_G(v) := \{u \in X \mid \{u, v\} \in E\}$. If X is ordered by \prec , then $N_G^\prec(v) := \{u \prec v \mid \{u, v\} \in E\}$. If the graph G is clear from context, it will be omitted from this notation.

If μ is a cardinal, then a *proper coloring of a graph $G = (X, E)$ with μ colors* is a function $c : X \rightarrow \mu$ such that $c(u) \neq c(v)$ whenever $\{u, v\} \in E$. The chromatic number of a graph G , denoted $\chi(G)$, is the least cardinal μ such that there is a proper coloring of G with μ colors.

Given a graph $G = (X, E)$, a natural number $n \geq 3$, and an injective sequence (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}) of vertices in G , we say that $(v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0)$ forms a *cycle of length n in G* if $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E$ for each $i < n - 2$ and $\{v_{n-1}, v_0\} \in E$. An *odd cycle* is simply a cycle whose length is an odd integer. Recall that a graph is *bipartite* if and only if it has no odd cycles. If μ and ν are cardinals, then $K_{\mu, \nu}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with parts of cardinality μ and ν .

We use $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ to denote the forcing to add a single Cohen real. The underlying set of $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ is ${}^{<\omega}\omega$, i.e., the collection of all functions from a natural number to ω . $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ is ordered by reverse inclusion. If g is a generic filter over $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$, then $r = \bigcup g$ is a function from ω to ω ; it is referred to as *the Cohen real* in the forcing extension $V[g]$. We refer the reader to [12] for an introduction to forcing and independence proofs in set theory.

2. TYPE GUESSING

In this section, we introduce the basic notions of *disjoint types* and *disjoint type guessing*. Informally, disjoint types are finite binary strings coding the order relations between two disjoint finite sets of ordinals of the same size. More formally:

Definition 2.1. Suppose that n is a natural number.

- (1) A *disjoint type of width n* is a function $t : 2n \rightarrow 2$ such that

$$|\{i < 2n \mid t(i) = 0\}| = |\{i < 2n \mid t(i) = 1\}| = n.$$

The width of a disjoint type t is denoted $\text{width}(t)$.

- (2) If a and b are disjoint elements of $[\text{Ord}]^n$, then $\text{type}(a, b)$ is the unique disjoint type $t : 2n \rightarrow 2$ such that, letting $a \cup b = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}\}$, enumerated in increasing order, we have $a = \{\alpha_i \mid i < 2n \text{ and } t(n) = 0\}$ and $b = \{\alpha_i \mid i < 2n \text{ and } t(n) = 1\}$.

- (3) Suppose that t is a disjoint type of width n and $a, b \in [\text{Ord}]^n$ are such that $\text{type}(a, b) = t$. The *depth* of t , denoted $\text{depth}(t)$ is the least $k < n$ such that either $a < b(k)$ or $b < a(k)$.
- (4) If t is a disjoint type of width n , we let \bar{t} denote the *opposite* type of t , i.e., $\bar{t} : 2n \rightarrow 2$ is defined by letting $\bar{t}(i) = 1 - t(i)$ for all $i < 2n$. Note that, if $a, b \in [\text{Ord}]^n$, then $\text{type}(a, b) = t$ if and only if $\text{type}(b, a) = \bar{t}$. Note also that $\text{depth}(\bar{t}) = \text{depth}(t)$.

We will often represent disjoint types of width n as binary strings of length $2n$ in the obvious way. If t_0 and t_1 are two disjoint types of width n_0 and n_1 , respectively, then the concatenation $t_0 \frown t_1$ is the disjoint type of width $n_0 + n_1$ represented by the concatenation of the binary strings representing t_0 and t_1 . Formally, $t_0 \frown t_1$ is given by

$$t_0 \frown t_1(i) = \begin{cases} t_0(i) & \text{if } i < 2n_0; \\ t_1(i - 2n_0) & \text{if } 2n_0 \leq i < 2n_0 + 2n_1. \end{cases}$$

We will be particularly interested in the following family of types, sometimes known as *Specker types*.

Definition 2.2. Suppose that s and n are natural numbers with $1 \leq s < n$. Then t_s^n is the disjoint type of width n defined by letting, for all $i < 2n$,

$$t_s^n(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < s \\ 0 & \text{if } s \leq i < 2n - s \text{ and } i - s \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } s \leq i < 2n - s \text{ and } i - s \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \geq 2n - s. \end{cases}$$

Example 1. Let us illustrate the above definitions with an example. In its binary string representation, t_s^n is the disjoint type of width n starting with s copies of 0, followed by $n - s$ copies of 01, followed by s copies of 1. For example, t_2^5 is represented in this way as 0001010111. One can see from this example that $\text{depth}(t_2^5) = 2$. In general, $\text{depth}(t_s^n) = n - s - 1$.

There is a natural way of forming graphs associated with disjoint types of width n in which the vertices are elements of $[\text{Ord}]^n$. We will especially be interested in such graphs on the vertex set $[\omega_1]^n$:

Definition 2.3. Suppose that t is a disjoint type of width n . Then $G(t) = ([\omega_1]^n, E(t))$ denotes the graph with vertex set $[\omega_1]^n$ such that, for all $a, b \in [\omega_1]^n$, we put $\{a, b\} \in E(t)$ if and only if $\text{type}(a, b) \in \{t, \bar{t}\}$. Given natural numbers $1 \leq s < n$, we will denote $G(t_s^n)$ by S_s^n . Such graphs are often referred to as *generalized Specker graphs* (the particular graph S_1^3 is sometimes referred to as *the Specker graph*).

The following theorem is due to Erdős and Hajnal.

Theorem 2.4 ([3]). *Suppose that $1 \leq s < n$ are natural numbers.*

- (1) $\chi(S_s^n) = \aleph_1$;
- (2) *if $n \geq 2s^2 + 1$, then S_s^n contains no odd cycles of length $2s + 1$ or shorter.*

Remark. A proof of Theorem 2.4 is not given in [3]. A proof of item (1) can be found in multiple sources, and will also follow from arguments in this paper. A proof of item (2) can be found in [13]; we note that the proof given there requires

$n > 2s^2 + 3s + 1$, but the precise minimal value for this n will not be important for the results here.

Definition 2.5. A C -sequence is a sequence of the form $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lim(\omega_1) \rangle$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$, C_α is a cofinal subset of α and $\text{ot}(C_\alpha) = \omega$.

Remark. In some sources, C -sequences are also required to be defined at successor ordinals, with $C_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\}$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, but this will not be relevant for us here.

We are now ready to introduce the notion of *disjoint type guessing*, which will play an instrumental role in verifying that the HM graphs we construct later in the paper have uncountable chromatic number.

Definition 2.6. Suppose that $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lim(\omega_1) \rangle$ is a C -sequence and $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ is a sequence of disjoint types. We say that \vec{C} is a \vec{t} -guessing sequence if, for every function $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$, there are $\alpha < \beta$ in $\lim(\omega_1)$ and $k < \omega$ such that

- (1) $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = k$; and
- (2) letting $n := \text{width}(t_k)$, we have

$$\text{type}(C_\alpha[n], C_\beta[n]) \in \{t_k, \bar{t}_k\}$$

(in particular, $C_\alpha[n]$ and $C_\beta[n]$ are disjoint).

We say that \vec{C} is a *strong* \vec{t} -guessing sequence if, for every $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$, there is $\beta \in \lim(\omega_1)$ and $k = f(\beta)$ such that the set of $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$ for which α and β satisfy (1) and (2) above is unbounded in β .

We say that *(strong) disjoint type guessing* ((s)DTG) holds if, for every sequence $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ of disjoint types, there exists a (strong) \vec{t} -guessing sequence.

We will see at the end of this section that sDTG follows from club guessing (and hence also, *a fortiori*, from \diamond), and we will see in Section 5 that DTG is not a theorem of ZFC. Let us show now, though, that sDTG is a theorem of ZFC when restricted to a certain nice class of sequences of types. Let us say that a sequence $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ of disjoint types has *bounded width* if $\sup\{\text{width}(t_k) \mid k < \omega\} < \omega$.

Theorem 2.7. *There is a C -sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lim(\omega_1) \rangle$ such that, for every sequence $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ of disjoint types with bounded width, \vec{C} is a strong \vec{t} -guessing sequence. In fact, the following formally stronger statement is true: for every $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$, there are $\beta \in \lim(\omega_1)$ and $k < \omega$ such that $f(\beta) = k$ and, for every $\eta < \beta$, there is $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$ such that, letting $n := \text{width}(t_k)$, we have*

- $f(\alpha) = k$;
- $\text{type}(C_\alpha[n], C_\beta[n]) = t_k$;
- $C_\alpha(n) > \eta$.

Proof. Let \vec{C} be any C -sequence such that, for every $b \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$, there are stationarily many $\beta \in \lim(\omega_1)$ such that $b \subseteq C_\beta$. We claim that \vec{C} is as desired. To this end, fix a sequence $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ of disjoint types such that $n^* := \sup\{\text{width}(t_k) \mid k < \omega\} < \omega$, and fix a function $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$. Let θ be a sufficiently large regular cardinal, and let $\langle M_i \mid i \leq n^* \rangle$ be a \in -increasing sequence of countable elementary substructures of $(H(\theta), \in, \vec{C}, \vec{t}, f)$. For each $i \leq n^*$, let $\delta_i := M_i \cap \omega_1$. By our choice of \vec{C} , we can find a countable elementary substructure $M^* \prec (H(\theta), \in, \vec{C}, \vec{t}, f)$ such that $M_{n^*} \in M^*$ and, letting $\beta = M^* \cap \omega_1$, we have $C_\beta(i) = \delta_i$ for all $i \leq n^*$. Let

$k := f(\beta)$ and $n := \text{width}(t_k) \leq n^*$. Assume that $n > 1$; the case in which $n = 1$ is similar but much easier.

For a formula ψ , let us abbreviate by $\exists^\infty \varepsilon : \psi(\varepsilon)$ the formula $\forall \zeta < \omega_1 \exists \varepsilon < \omega_1 : \zeta < \varepsilon \wedge \psi(\varepsilon)$. Informally, this is saying that there are unboundedly many $\varepsilon < \omega_1$ for which $\psi(\varepsilon)$ holds. Given a $b \in [\omega_1]^{n+1}$ and an $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $\varphi(b, \alpha)$ be the statement asserting that $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$, $f(\alpha) = k$, and $b \sqsubseteq C_\alpha$. Then $\varphi(\{\delta_i \mid i \leq n\}, \beta)$ holds. In particular, for all $\zeta < \beta$, the statement $\exists \alpha < \omega_1 : \zeta < \alpha \wedge \varphi(\{\delta_i \mid i \leq n\}, \alpha)$ holds, as witnessed by β . By elementarity, this statement is true in M^* as well. Since $\beta = M^* \cap \omega_1$, this in fact implies that

$$M^* \models \exists^\infty \alpha : \varphi(\{\delta_i \mid i \leq n\}, \alpha),$$

and hence, by another application of elementarity, this statement is true in $H(\theta)$.

Now, for all $\zeta < \delta_n$, we have

$$H(\theta) \models \exists \gamma < \omega_1 : \zeta < \gamma \wedge (\exists^\infty \alpha : \varphi(\{\delta_i \mid i < n\} \cup \{\gamma\}, \alpha)),$$

as witnessed by $\gamma = \delta_n$. By elementarity, this statement is true in M_n . Since $\delta_n = M_n \cap \omega_1$, this implies that

$$M_n \models \exists^\infty \gamma \exists^\infty \alpha : \varphi(\{\delta_i \mid i < n\} \cup \{\gamma\}, \alpha),$$

so, again by another application of elementarity, this statement is true in $H(\theta)$ as well. Continuing in this way, we see that the following statement holds in $H(\theta)$, and hence also in M^* and M_i for every $i \leq n^*$:

$$\exists^\infty \gamma_0 \exists^\infty \gamma_1 \dots \exists^\infty \gamma_n \exists^\infty \alpha : \varphi(\{\gamma_i \mid i \leq n\}, \alpha).$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $t_k(2n-1) = 1$; the other case is symmetric. For $\ell < 2$, let $e_\ell := t_k^{-1}\{\ell\} \in [2n]^n$, and define an auxiliary function $s : n \rightarrow n$ by letting $s(i)$ be the least $j < n$ such that $e_0(i) < e_1(j)$. For notational convenience, let $\delta_{-1} = \gamma_{-1}^* = -1$. We now recursively choose an increasing sequence of ordinals $\langle \gamma_i^* \mid i < n \rangle$ such that, for all $i < n$, we have

- $\delta_{s(i)-1} < \gamma_i^* < \delta_{s(i)}$;
- $\exists^\infty \gamma_{i+1} \dots \exists^\infty \gamma_n \exists^\infty \alpha : \varphi(\{\gamma_j^* \mid j \leq i\} \cup \{\gamma_j \mid i < j \leq n\}, \alpha)$.

The construction is straightforward: if $i < n$ and we have already chosen $\langle \gamma_j^* \mid j < i \rangle$, then, by our recursion hypothesis, we have

- $\{\gamma_j^* \mid j < i\} \in M_{s(i)}$; and
- $M_{s(i)} \models \exists^\infty \gamma_i \dots \exists^\infty \gamma_n \exists^\infty \alpha : \varphi(\{\gamma_j^* \mid j \leq i\} \cup \{\gamma_j \mid i < j \leq n\}, \alpha)$.

We can therefore choose a γ_i^* witnessing the statement in the second bullet point above satisfying $\max\{\delta_{s(i)-1}, \gamma_{i-1}^*\} < \gamma_i^* < \delta_{s(i)}$. This choice continues to satisfy the recursion hypothesis, so we can then continue to the next step in the construction. At the end, we have arranged so that

- $\text{type}(\{\gamma_i^* \mid i < n\}, \{\delta_i \mid i < n\}) = t_k$; and
- $\exists^\infty \gamma_n \exists^\infty \alpha : \varphi(\{\gamma_i^* \mid i < n\} \cup \{\gamma_n\}, \alpha)$.

These statements hold in M^* , and $M^* \cap \omega_1 = \beta$. Therefore, for every $\eta < \beta$, we can find $\gamma_n^* > \eta$ and $\alpha \in \lim(\beta)$ such that $f(\alpha) = k$ and $C_\alpha(i) = \gamma_i^*$ for all $i \leq n$. Thus, β witnesses the conclusion of the theorem. \square

Recall that *club guessing* holds if there is a C -sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lim(\omega_1) \rangle$ such that, for every club D in ω_1 , there is $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$ for which $C_\alpha \subseteq D$. It is evident that club guessing follows from \diamond . We now show that the full sDTG follows from club guessing, and hence from \diamond .

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{lim}(\omega_1) \rangle$ is a witness to club guessing. Then \vec{C} is a strong \vec{t} -guessing sequence for every sequence $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ of disjoint types.*

Proof. Fix a sequence $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ of disjoint types and a function $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$. Let θ be a sufficiently large regular cardinal, and let $\langle N_\eta \mid \eta < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a \in -increasing, continuous sequence of countable elementary substructures of $(H(\theta), \in, \vec{C}, \vec{t}, f)$. Let $D := \{\eta < \omega_1 \mid \eta = N_\eta \cap \omega_1\}$. Then D is a club in ω_1 , so we can find $\beta \in \text{lim}(\omega_1)$ such that $C_\beta \subseteq D$. Let $k := f(\beta)$, and let $n := \text{width}(t_k)$. For $i \leq n$, let $\delta_i := C_\beta(i)$ and $M_i := N_{\delta_i}$. Since $\delta_i \in D$, we also have $\delta_i = M_i \cap \omega_1$. Let $M^* := N_\beta$. We are now in precisely the situation from the proof of Theorem 2.7, so we can repeat the arguments from that proof to conclude that there are unboundedly many $\alpha \in \text{lim}(\beta)$ such that $f(\alpha) = k$ and $\text{type}(C_\alpha[n], C_\beta[n]) = t_k$. Therefore, β witnesses this instance of strong \vec{t} -guessing. \square

3. TRIANGLE-FREE HAJNAL-MÁTÉ GRAPH FROM A SINGLE COHEN REAL

We begin this section with a generalized definition of Hajnal-Máté graphs. Recall that a *tree* is a strict partial order $(T, <_T)$ such that, for every $t \in T$, the set $\text{pred}_T(t) := \{s \in T \mid s <_T t\}$ is well-ordered by $<_T$. We will often simply use T to denote the tree $(T, <_T)$. For an ordinal β , the β -th level of T , denoted T_β , is the set of all $t \in T$ such that $\text{ot}(\text{pred}_T(t), <_T) = \beta$. We also let $T_{<\beta} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} T_\alpha$. The *height* of T is the least ordinal β such that $T_\beta = \emptyset$. The *comparability graph* of T is the graph (T, E) such that, for all $s, t \in T$, we have $\{s, t\} \in E$ if and only if either $s <_T t$ or $t <_T s$.

Definition 3.1. Let T be a tree of height ω_1 . A graph $G = (T, E)$ is called a *T-Hajnal-Máté graph* (or *T-HM graph*) if it is a subgraph of the comparability graph of T , the chromatic number of G is uncountable, and for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ and $t \in T_\beta$ the set $\{s \in T_{<\alpha} \mid \{s, t\} \in E\}$ is finite.

Remark. If T is the tree (ω_1, \in) we omit the parameter T . Thus an (ω_1, \in) -Hajnal-Máté graph will be called a Hajnal-Máté graph, or HM graph for short. Note that the vertex set of these graphs is always a tree, hence ordered.

We also remark that there is some inconsistency in terminology in the extant literature, and in some other works Hajnal-Máté graphs are not required by definition to be uncountably chromatic. Since the HM graphs of interest are those that are uncountably chromatic, we adopt here the convention that HM graphs are necessarily uncountably chromatic.

In this section we give a positive answer to Soukup's question asking whether adding a single Cohen real forces the existence of a triangle-free HM graph. First, we need a few preliminary definitions and lemmas.

In our construction of the HM graph, we will ensure that there is a graph homomorphism from the constructed graph to a suitable S_s^n . The following lemma, together with Theorem 2.4, ensures that the graph will have no short odd cycles. The proof of the following lemma is in [14, Proposition 2.8.].

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $G = (X_G, E_G)$ and $H = (X_H, E_H)$ are graphs and there is a map $f : X_G \rightarrow X_H$ such that, for all $x, y \in X_G$, if $\{x, y\} \in E_G$, then $\{f(x), f(y)\} \in E_H$. If $s \in \omega$ and H has no odd cycles of length $2s + 1$ or shorter, then G has no odd cycles of length $2s + 1$ or shorter.*

In the HM graph we construct, we will also forbid cycles formed by two monotone paths.

Definition 3.3. Suppose G is a graph on ω_1 . A cycle $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_0 \rangle$ is called *special* if there is an $r < n$ such that $x_i > x_{i+1}$ for $i < r$ and $x_i < x_{i+1}$ for $r \leq i$. A graph is said to be *special cycle-free* if it contains no special cycles.

Recall that $H_{\omega, \omega+2}$ is the graph with the vertex set made up of disjoint sets $\{x_i \mid i < \omega\}$ and $\{y_i \mid i < \omega + 2\}$, where for each $i < \omega$ and $j < \omega + 2$ such that $i \leq j$ the vertex x_i is connected to y_j . The graph $H_{\omega, \omega+1}$ is the same graph with the vertex $y_{\omega+1}$ omitted. Hajnal and Komjáth [5, Theorem 1] showed that $H_{\omega, \omega+1}$ is a subgraph of every uncountably chromatic graph.

Note that if G is special cycle-free, it is triangle-free. Additionally, the following lemma says that being special cycle-free also forbids $H_{\omega, \omega+2}$ in HM graphs [5, Theorem 3]. In what follows, we say that a tree T of height ω_1 *does not split on limit levels* if, for all $\beta \in \lim(\omega_1)$ and all $s, t \in T_\beta$, if $\text{pred}_T(s) = \text{pred}_T(t)$, then $s = t$.

Lemma 3.4 (Hajnal and Komjáth). *Suppose that T is a tree of height ω_1 that does not split on limit levels, and G is a special cycle-free T -HM graph. Then G is triangle-free and $H_{\omega, \omega+2}$ is not a subgraph of G .*

Proof. Clearly, G has no triangles. Suppose $\{x_i \mid i < \omega\}$ and $\{y_i \mid i < \omega + 2\}$ form an $H_{\omega, \omega+2}$ subgraph in G . For each $i < \omega$, let $\alpha(i) < \omega_1$ be such that $x_i \in T_{\alpha(i)}$. First, note that $\{x_i \mid i < \omega\}$ is linearly ordered by $<_T$. Otherwise, there would be $<_T$ -incomparable x_i and x_j with infinitely many common neighbors. Then, as T does not split on limit levels, it must be the case that $\alpha(i) \neq \alpha(j)$. This contradicts the definition of a T -HM graph.

Next, note that $\{x_i \mid i < \omega\} \subseteq N(y_\omega) \cap N(y_{\omega+1})$. The nodes y_ω and $y_{\omega+1}$ cannot lie in the same level of T as the tree does not split on limit levels, and they share infinitely many common neighbors. Suppose that $y_\omega \in T_\gamma$, $y_{\omega+1} \in T_\delta$ and $\gamma < \delta$ (the other case is symmetric). Then, as G is T -HM it follows that only finitely many elements of $\{x_i \mid i < \omega\}$ lie below level γ . Choose $k < \omega$ such that $\gamma < \alpha(k) < \alpha(k+1)$. By the arguments of the previous paragraph, x_k and x_{k+1} have infinitely many common neighbors whose levels are above $\alpha(k+1)$; choose one such neighbor, and call it z . Then $\langle y_\omega, x_k, z, x_{k+1}, y \rangle$ is a special cycle in G , which is a contradiction. \square

Remark. Recall that $K_{\omega, \omega}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with countably infinitely many vertices on each side. Since $H_{\omega, \omega+2}$ is a subgraph of $K_{\omega, \omega}$, it follows that $K_{\omega, \omega}$ is also forbidden in special cycle-free T -HM graphs.

Lemma 3.4 will be applicable to the graphs constructed in Theorems 3.5 and 3.6. In particular, those graphs will not have $H_{\omega, \omega+2}$ as a subgraph. We are now ready to answer Soukup's question.

Theorem 3.5. *Adding a Cohen real forces that for each $s \in \omega$ there is a special cycle-free Hajnal-Máté graph without odd cycles of length at most $2s + 1$.*

Proof. In the ground model, fix bijections $e_\beta : \omega \rightarrow \beta$ for each infinite countable ordinal β and let $n \in \omega$ be such that S_s^n (the generalization of the Specker graph) has no odd cycles of length $2s + 1$ or shorter. Recall that t_s^n is the type associated with this graph. Fix a C -sequence \vec{C} satisfying Theorem 2.7.

Let $r : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be the Cohen real in the extension. We will define a graph G on ω_1 by specifying the set of smaller neighbors $N^{<}(\beta)$ for each $\beta < \omega_1$. We proceed by induction on β . Given $\delta < \beta$ let us say that β is δ -covered if there is a monotone decreasing path in G from β to an ordinal α such that $\alpha \leq \delta$. Our construction will ensure that for each $\beta \in \lim(\omega_1)$, we have that β is not $C_\beta(n)$ -covered and, if $\beta < \omega_1$ is a successor ordinal, then $N^{<}(\beta) = \emptyset$.

Suppose we have constructed G up to some β , i.e., $N^{<}(\alpha)$ is defined for each $\alpha < \beta$. If β is a successor ordinal, it will have no neighbors below β . Assume β is limit. We also inductively assume that for each $\alpha \in \lim(\beta)$ we have that α is not $C_\alpha(n)$ -covered. By induction on $k \in \omega$ we construct a set $K_\beta \in [\omega]^\omega$ and limit ordinals $\{\beta_k \mid k \in K_\beta\}$ such that:

- (1) for all $k \in K_\beta$, we have $\beta_k = e_\beta(r(k))$;
- (2) for all $k \in K_\beta$, we have $C_{\beta_k}(n) > \max(\{\beta_i \mid i \in K_\beta \cap k\} \cup \{C_\beta(n)\})$;
- (3) for all $k \in K_\beta$, we have $\beta_k > \max(\{\beta_i \mid i \in K_\beta \cap k\} \cup \{C_\beta(k)\})$;
- (4) for all $k \in K_\beta$, we have $\text{type}(C_\beta[n], C_{\beta_k}[n]) \in \{t_s^n, \bar{t}_s^n\}$, i.e. $\{C_\beta[n], C_{\beta_k}[n]\}$ is an edge in S_s^n .

At stage k of the construction, we consider the ordinal $e_\beta(r(k))$ and let $k \notin K_\beta$ unless it satisfies all of the conditions above. If it *does* satisfy all of the conditions, we let $\beta_k := e_\beta(r(k))$ and put k into K_β . Finally we let $N^{<}(\beta)$ be $\{\beta_k \mid k \in K_\beta\}$. Note that β is not $C_\beta(n)$ -covered since, for each $\alpha \in N^{<}(\beta)$, we have $C_\alpha(n) > C_\beta(n)$, and then the induction hypothesis gives that there is no monotone decreasing path from any such α to an ordinal below $C_\beta(n)$. In particular, there is no monotone path from β to an ordinal less than or equal to $C_\beta(n)$.

By the third condition we obtain that for each $\alpha < \beta$ we have $|N(\beta) \cap \alpha| < \omega$. The second condition ensures that if $\alpha < \alpha' < \beta$ and α, α' are both elements of $N^{<}(\beta)$, then α' is not α -covered. To see this, suppose we are given such α and α' , and fix $i < k$ in K_β such that $\alpha = \beta_i$ and $\alpha' = \beta_k$. By the induction hypothesis, we know that β_k is not $C_{\beta_k}(n)$ -covered. By the second condition, we know that $C_{\beta_k}(n) > \beta_i$. Therefore, *a fortiori*, β_k is not β_i -covered, i.e., α' is not α -covered. In particular α, α', β cannot be the three topmost elements of a special cycle, hence G is special cycle-free. Last but not least, the fourth condition ensures that G can have no odd cycles of length $2s + 1$ or less. To see this, consider the map which takes β to $C_\beta[n]$ (as a vertex in S_s^n). The fourth condition ensures that this is a graph homomorphism from G to S_s^n , so, by Lemma 3.2 the graph G can have no odd cycle of length $2s + 1$ or shorter.

It remains to prove that G is uncountably chromatic. In the ground model, let \dot{G} be a name for the graph constructed above, and let \dot{c} be a name such that $\text{Add}(\omega, 1) \Vdash \dot{c} : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$, i.e., \dot{c} is a name for a coloring of \dot{G} . We must show that it is forced that \dot{c} is not a proper coloring of \dot{G} . To this end, let p be an arbitrary condition in $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$. Consider the coloring $d : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega \times \text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ such that $d(\alpha) = (k, q)$ if and only if

- q is the least condition (in some well-ordering of $\text{Add}(\omega, 1)$) extending p such that q decides the value of $\dot{c}(\alpha)$; and
- $q \Vdash \dot{c}(\alpha) = k$.

Since $\omega \times \text{Add}(\omega, 1)$ is countable, we can apply Theorem 2.7 to the constant sequence of disjoint types taking value t_s^n to obtain a $\beta \in \lim(\omega_1)$, a $k < \omega$, and a condition $q \leq p$ such that for each $\eta < \beta$ there is an $\alpha \in \lim(\beta)$ such that

- $C_\alpha(n) > \eta$;
- $q \Vdash \dot{c}(\alpha) = k = \dot{c}(\beta)$
- $\{C_\beta[n], C_\alpha[n]\}$ is an edge in S_s^n .

Put $m := |q|$ and note that q decides the first m candidates for neighbors of β as $q \Vdash e_\beta(\dot{r}(i)) = e_\beta(q(i))$ for all $i < m$. Put $\lambda := \max\{e_\beta(q(i)) \mid i < m\}$, and note that $\lambda < \beta$. Choose $\alpha^* \in \lim(\beta)$ so that

- $C_{\alpha^*}(n) > \max\{\lambda, C_\beta(n), C_\beta(m)\}$;
- $q \Vdash \dot{c}(\alpha^*) = k$
- $\{C_\beta[n], C_{\alpha^*}[n]\}$ is an edge in S_s^n .

Define $q^* := q \cup \{(m, e_\beta^{-1}(\alpha^*))\}$.

By construction we have that $q^* \Vdash \dot{c}(\alpha^*) = \dot{c}(\beta) = k$. Moreover, we claim that $q^* \Vdash \alpha^* \in N^{<}(\beta)$. Indeed, suppose we are in a generic extension by a Cohen real r and $q^* \subseteq r$. At stage β in the construction consider the m -th step. The ordinal $e_\beta(r(m))$ at that point is exactly α^* , as $q^* \Vdash e_\beta(\dot{r}(m)) = \alpha^*$. Note that our choice of α^* ensures that α^* satisfies all the conditions for it being a neighbor of β so $\beta_m := \alpha^*$, hence $\alpha^* \in N^{<}(\beta)$. In particular, q^* forces that \dot{c} is not a proper coloring of \dot{G} . We have shown that the set of conditions forcing that \dot{c} is not a name for a proper coloring is dense. Thus G cannot be countably chromatic. \square

Using the same technique, we can construct a simple ZFC example of a T -HM graph with no special cycles and no short odd cycles. The first such graph was constructed by Hajnal and Komjáth [5, Theorem 2.], but the construction used CH. A ZFC example of a triangle-free and special cycle-free T -HM graph is due to Soukup and uses a tree of the form $\{t \subseteq S \mid t \text{ is closed}\}$ where $S \subseteq \omega_1$ is stationary, co-stationary, and the tree order is end extension [20, Theorem 5.5]. The tree we will consider is $^{<\omega_1}\omega$, ordered by end-extension. Our theorem extends Komjáth and Shelah's result [10, Theorem 10] by excluding all special cycles. Note that $^{<\omega_1}\omega$ does not split on limit levels.

Theorem 3.6. *For each $s \in \omega$, there is a special cycle-free $^{<\omega_1}\omega$ -HM graph without odd cycles of length at most $2s + 1$.*

Proof. The main ideas of the proof are the same as in Theorem 3.5. We point out the differences. In the beginning, we fix the same objects: the graph S_s^n and the C -sequence \vec{C} from Theorem 2.7. We will construct the desired graph $G = (^{<\omega_1}\omega, E)$. By $N^{<}(f)$ we will denote the set $\{f \restriction \gamma \mid \gamma < \beta \wedge \{f \restriction \gamma, f\} \in E\}$. For $\delta < \beta < \omega_1$ and $f \in {}^\beta\omega$ we will say that f is δ -covered if there is a monotone decreasing path in G from f to $f \restriction \gamma$ for some $\gamma \leq \delta$.

The construction proceeds by specifying $N^{<}(f)$ by recursion on the levels of the tree, and the recursion hypothesis is that if $f \in {}^\beta\omega$ then f is not $C_\beta(n)$ -covered. If β is a successor ordinal and $f \in {}^\beta\omega$, then $N^{<}(f)$ will be empty. If β is limit, the construction for each $f \in {}^\beta\omega$ is identical. Let us fix an $f \in {}^\beta\omega$. We use induction on $k \in \omega$ to construct a set $K_f \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and limit ordinals $\{\beta_k^f \mid k \in K_f\}$ such that:

- (1) for all $k \in K_f$, we have $f(\beta_k^f) = k$;
- (2) for all $k \in K_f$, we have $C_{\beta_k^f}(n) > \max(\{\beta_i^f \mid i \in K_f \cap k\} \cup \{C_\beta(n)\})$;
- (3) for all $k \in K_f$, we have $\beta_k^f > \max(\{\beta_i^f \mid i \in K_\beta \cap k\} \cup \{C_\beta(k)\})$;
- (4) for all $k \in K_f$, we have $\text{type}(C_\beta[n], C_{\beta_k^f}[n]) \in \{t_s^n, \bar{t}_s^n\}$, i.e. $\{C_\beta[n], C_{\beta_k^f}[n]\}$ is an edge in S_s^n .

At stage k if there is some α satisfying the required properties, we let β_k^f be the minimal such α and put $k \in K_f$. Otherwise β_k^f is undefined and $k \notin K_f$. Lastly we put $N^<(f) := \{f \restriction \beta_k^f \mid k \in K_f\}$.

The fact that this graph has no special cycles and no short odd cycles is proved analogously as in Theorem 3.5. We only comment on the chromaticity. Fix a coloring $c : {}^{<\omega_1}\omega \rightarrow \omega$ and define $g : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$ by recursion as $g(\beta) = c(g \restriction \beta)$.

As in the previous proof, by Theorem 2.7 the sequence \vec{C} strongly guesses the constant sequence $\langle t_s^n \mid i < \omega \rangle$ with respect to the function g . Let $\beta < \omega_1$ and $k < \omega$ be witnesses for the strong guessing. Put $\lambda := \max\{\beta_i^{g \restriction \beta} \mid i < k\}$. Choose $\alpha < \beta$ so that $g(\alpha) = k$, $\{C_\beta[n], C_\alpha[n]\}$ is an edge in S_s^n and $C_\alpha(n) > \max\{\lambda, C_\beta(n), C_\beta(k)\}$.

The existence of such an α implies that there is some minimal example at stage k in the construction of the set $N^<(g \restriction \beta)$, so the element $\beta_k^{g \restriction \beta}$ was defined. Hence $c(g \restriction \beta) = g(\beta) = k = g(\beta_k^{g \restriction \beta}) = c(g \restriction \beta_k^{g \restriction \beta})$, so c is not a proper coloring. \square

4. GROWTH RATES OF CHROMATIC NUMBERS

By the De Bruijn-Erdős compactness theorem [1], if G is a graph of infinite chromatic number, then, for every $k < \omega$, there is a finite subgraph of G with chromatic number k . One can then define a (strictly increasing) function $f_G : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ by letting, for all $k < \omega$, $f_G(k)$ be the least number of vertices in a subgraph of G with chromatic number k . In [4], Erdős, Hajnal, and Szemerédi asked whether it is the case that, for every function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there is a graph G of uncountable chromatic number such that f_G grows more quickly than f . In [14], the first author answered this question positively, in fact producing, for each function f , a graph G of chromatic number ω_1 such that $f_G(k) > f(k)$ for all $3 \leq k < \omega$. It was additionally shown there that, if \diamond holds, then this graph G can be taken to be an HM graph. We show here that, if DTG holds in the ground model, then the positive answer to the question of Erdős, Hajnal, and Szemerédi is witnessed by HM graphs in the extension by a single Cohen real.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that DTG holds. Then, in the forcing extension by a single Cohen real, the following statement is true: For every function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there is an HM graph G such that $f_G(k) > f(k)$ for all $3 \leq k < \omega$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{P} = \text{Add}(\omega, 1)$. We will show that the following statement holds in the forcing extension by \mathbb{P} : for every $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there is an HM graph $G = (\omega_1, E)$ such that, for every $k < \omega$, if H is a subgraph of G with at most $f(k)$ vertices, then $\chi(H) \leq 2^{k+1}$. This clearly suffices for the theorem.

Let \dot{r} be the canonical \mathbb{P} -name for the Cohen real, and let \dot{f} be a \mathbb{P} -name for an arbitrary function from ω to ω . For each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, fix an extension $p' \leq p$ of minimal length such that p' decides the value of $\dot{f}(j)$ for all $j \leq |p|$; for each such j , let $s_{p,j}$ be the least $0 < s < \omega$ such that $p' \Vdash \dot{f}(j) \leq 2s + 1$. Let $n_{p,j} := 2s_{p,j}^2 + 1$, and let $n_p^* := \sum_{j \leq |p|} n_{p,j}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $m < \omega$, let $t_{p,m}$ be the concatenation $t_{s_{p,0},0}^{n_{p,0}} \frown t_{s_{p,1},1}^{n_{p,1}} \frown \dots \frown t_{s_{p,|p|},|p|}^{n_{p,|p|}}$ of length n_p^* (note that this does not depend on the value of m). Using our type-guessing assumption, fix a C -sequence $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{lim}(\omega_1) \rangle$ such that, for every function $g : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \omega$, there are distinct $\alpha, \beta \in \text{lim}(\omega_1)$ and $(p, m) \in \mathbb{P} \times \omega$ such that $g(\alpha) = g(\beta) = (p, m)$ and $\text{type}(C_\alpha[n_p^*], C_\beta[n_p^*]) = t_{p,m}$. Also, for each infinite $\beta < \omega_1$, fix a bijection $e_\beta : \omega \rightarrow \beta$.

We temporarily move to the extension by \mathbb{P} and describe how to construct in that model the desired HM graph $G = (\omega_1, E)$. Let $r : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be the realization of \dot{r} in the extension; note that the generic filter is precisely $\{r \restriction \ell \mid \ell < \omega\}$. For each $k < \omega$, let s_k be the least $0 < s < \omega$ such that $f(k) \leq 2s + 1$, let $n_k = 2s_k^2 + 1$, and let $\ell_k < \omega$ be the least $\ell \geq k$ such that $r \restriction \ell$ decides the value of $\dot{f}(j)$ for all $j \leq k$. Let $\{I_k \mid k < \omega\}$ be the partition of ω into adjacent intervals such that $|I_k| = n_k$. More precisely, $I_0 := n_0$ and, if I_k has been defined, let $m_k = \max\{I_k\}$ and let $I_{k+1} := \{m_k + 1 + j \mid j < n_{k+1}\}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we specify, for each $\beta < \omega_1$, the set $N^{<}(\beta)$ of smaller neighbors of β . If β is a successor ordinal, we let $N^{<}(\beta) = \emptyset$. If β is a limit ordinal, we let $N^{<}(\beta)$ be the set of all $\alpha \in \lim(\beta)$ for which there exists $k < \omega$ such that

- (1) $\alpha \geq C_\beta(k)$;
- (2) $e_\beta(r(\ell_k)) = \alpha$; and
- (3) for all $j \leq k$, we have $\text{type}(C_\alpha[I_j], C_\beta[I_j]) \in \{t_{s_j}^{n_j}, \bar{t}_{s_j}^{n_j}\}$.

Requirements (1) and (2) above ensure that, for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$, the set $N^{<}(\beta) \cap \alpha$ is finite. We next argue that the finite subgraphs of G behave as desired. This argument is essentially the same as the analogous one in the proof of [14, Theorem B]. First, for all $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ with $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$, let $k_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the minimal $k < \omega$ witnessing that α and β satisfy requirements (1)–(3) above. For each $k < \omega$, let $E_k := \{\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E \mid k_{\alpha, \beta} = k\}$ and $E_{\geq k} := \{\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E \mid k_{\alpha, \beta} \geq k\}$. Given a subgraph $H = (X_H, E_H)$ of G and a $k < \omega$, let $H_k := (X_H, E_H \cap E_k)$ and $H_{\geq k} := (X_H, E_H \cap E_{\geq k})$.

Now fix a $k < \omega$ and a nonempty subgraph H of G with at most $f(k)$ vertices. Note that the graphs

$$H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{k-1}, H_{\geq k}$$

form an edge-partition of H and therefore (cf. [14, Proposition 2.6]), we have $\chi(H) \leq \chi(H_{\geq k}) \cdot \prod_{j < k} \chi(H_j)$. For each $j < k$ and $\beta < \omega_1$, there is at most one $\alpha < \beta$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E_j$. It follows that H_j has no cycles, so $\chi(H_j) \leq 2$.

Next, by requirement (3) above in the definition of G , the map $\alpha \mapsto C_\alpha[I_k]$ induces a graph homomorphism from $H_{\geq k}$ to $S_{s_k}^{n_k}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.2, $H_{\geq k}$ has no odd cycles of length $2s_k + 1$ or shorter. But H itself has at most $f(k) \leq 2s_k + 1$ vertices, so $H_{\geq k}$ has no odd cycles, and hence again $\chi(H_{\geq k}) \leq 2$. It follows that $\chi(H) \leq 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$, as desired.

It remains to show that G is uncountably chromatic. To this end, move back to the ground model, and let \dot{G} be a \mathbb{P} -name for the graph constructed in the forcing extension as described above. Suppose that \dot{c} is a \mathbb{P} -name for a function from ω_1 to ω and that $p \in \mathbb{P}$. We will find a condition $s \leq p$ forcing that \dot{c} is not a proper coloring of \dot{G} .

First, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, find a condition $q_\alpha \leq p$ deciding the value of $\dot{c}(\alpha)$, say as $m_\alpha < \omega$. By the properties of \vec{C} , we can find a pair $(q, m) \in \mathbb{P} \times \omega$ and distinct $\alpha, \beta \in \lim(\omega_1)$ such that $(q_\alpha, m_\alpha) = (q_\beta, m_\beta) = (q, m)$ and $\text{type}(C_\alpha[n_q^*], C_\beta[n_q^*]) = t_{q, m}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\alpha < \beta$; the argument in the other case is symmetric.

Let $k := |q|$. Recall that q' is an extension of q of minimal length deciding the value of $\dot{f}(j)$ for all $j \leq k$, and recall the definitions of $s_{q, j}$, $n_{p, j}$, n_q^* , and $t_{q, m}$ from the beginning of this proof. Let $\ell := |q'|$, let $i < \omega$ be such that $e_\beta(i) = \alpha$, and let

s be an extension of q' such that $s(\ell) = i$. Unwinding the definitions, we obtain the following facts.

- Since s extends q' , s forces that $s_j = s_{q,j}$ and $n_j = n_{q,j}$ for all $j \leq k$. As a result, s forces that $t_{s_0}^{n_0} \cap t_{s_1}^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap t_{s_k}^{n_k} = t_{q,m}$.
- Since $\text{type}(C_\alpha[n_q^*], C_\beta[n_q^*]) = t_{q,m}$, the above point implies that, for all $j \leq k$, s forces that $\text{type}(C_\alpha[I_j], C_\beta[I_j]) = t_{s_j}^{n_j}$. In particular, it follows that $\alpha > C_\beta(k)$.
- By the fact that q' was chosen of minimal length, it follows that s forces that $\ell_k = \ell$.
- Since $s(\ell) = i$, s forces that $e_\beta(r(\ell_k)) = e_\beta(i) = \alpha$.

Altogether, the four points above imply that $s \Vdash \alpha \in N^{<}(\beta)$. However, we also have $s \Vdash \dot{c}(\alpha) = \dot{c}(\beta) = m$, so s forces that \dot{c} is not a proper coloring of \dot{G} . \square

5. TYPE GUESSING AND THE PROPER FORCING AXIOM

In this section, we show that DTG is not a theorem of ZFC, as a strong negation of it follows from the Proper Forcing Axiom, PFA (in fact, from $\text{PFA}(\omega_1)$). Recall that $\text{PFA}(\omega_1)$ is the assertion that, for every proper forcing poset \mathbb{P} of cardinality ω_1 and every collection $\{D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of dense subsets of \mathbb{P} , there is a filter $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that, for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $G \cap D_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Unlike the full PFA, $\text{PFA}(\omega_1)$ has no large cardinal strength and can be forced over any model of ZFC (this follows from, e.g., Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 of Chapter VIII of [18]; see also [2]).

Before we state the main result of this section, we recall the definition of *strongly proper* forcing.

Definition 5.1. Suppose that \mathbb{P} is a forcing poset.

- (1) Given a set M , a condition $q \in \mathbb{P}$ is *strongly* (M, \mathbb{P}) -generic if, for all $r \leq p$, there is a condition $r \restriction M \in M \cap \mathbb{P}$ such that every extension of $r \restriction M$ in $M \cap \mathbb{P}$ is compatible with r in \mathbb{P} .
- (2) \mathbb{P} is *strongly proper* if, for all sufficiently large regular cardinals θ , there is $x \in H(\theta)$ such that, for every countable $M \prec (H(\theta), \in, x)$ and every $p \in M \cap \mathbb{P}$, there is a strongly (M, \mathbb{P}) -generic condition $q \leq p$.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that $\text{PFA}(\omega_1)$ holds and $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ is a sequence of disjoint types such that $\sup\{\text{depth}(t_k) \mid k < \omega\} = \omega$. Then there does not exist a \vec{t} -guessing sequence.

Proof. Fix a C -sequence $\vec{C} = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lim(\omega_1) \rangle$. We will define a forcing notion \mathbb{P} of size ω_1 , prove that \mathbb{P} is (strongly) proper, and then apply $\text{PFA}(\omega_1)$ to yield a function $f : \lim(\omega_1) \rightarrow \omega$ witnessing that \vec{C} is not a \vec{t} -guessing sequence.

Let $\langle (d_k, n_k) \mid k < \omega \rangle$ be such that, for all $k < \omega$, we have $d_k = \text{depth}(t_k)$ and $n_k = \text{width}(t_k)$. Conditions in \mathbb{P} are all pairs $p = (x_p, f_p)$ such that

- (1) $x_p \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$;
- (2) f_p is a finite partial function from $\lim(\omega_1)$ to ω ;
- (3) for all $\alpha < \beta$, both in $\text{dom}(f_p)$, if $f_p(\alpha) = f_p(\beta) = k$, then

$$\text{type}(C_\alpha[n_k], C_\beta[n_k]) \notin \{t_k, \bar{t}_k\};$$

- (4) for all $\delta \in x_p$ and $\beta \in \text{dom}(f_p) \setminus (\delta + 1)$, if $k = f_p(\beta)$, then either
 - (a) $d_k > |C_\beta \cap \delta| + 1$; or
 - (b) there is $\alpha \in \text{dom}(f_p) \cap (\delta + 1)$ such that $f_p(\alpha) = k$ and $C_\alpha[n_k] = C_\beta[n_k]$.

If $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$, then $q \leq p$ if and only if $x_q \supseteq x_p$ and $f_q \supseteq f_p$.

We first establish the following simple claim.

Claim 5.3. *For all $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$, the set $D_\alpha := \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid \alpha \in \text{dom}(f_p)\}$ is dense in \mathbb{P} .*

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$; we will find $q \leq p$ in D_α . To avoid triviality, assume that $p \notin D_\alpha$. Let $\delta := \max(x_p \cap \alpha)$ (or $\delta = 0$ if $x_p \cap \alpha = \emptyset$), and use the assumption about \bar{t} to find $k < \omega$ such that

- $k \notin \text{range}(f_p)$; and
- $d_k > |C_\alpha \cap \delta| + 1$.

Define $q \leq p$ by letting $x_q = x_p$ and $f_q = f_p \cup \{(\alpha, k)\}$. It is routine to verify that $q \in \mathbb{P}$, $q \leq p$, and $q \in D_\alpha$. \square

We now show that \mathbb{P} is strongly proper. To this end, fix a sufficiently large regular cardinal θ , a countable elementary substructure $M \prec (H(\theta), \in, \mathbb{P}, \vec{C}, \vec{t})$, and a condition $p \in M \cap \mathbb{P}$. Let $\delta_M := M \cap \omega_1$, and define $q \leq p$ by letting $x_q = x_p \cup \{\delta_M\}$ and $f_q = f_p$.

We claim that q is strongly (M, \mathbb{P}) -generic. To see this, let $r \leq q$ be arbitrary. We must find a condition $r|M \in M \cap \mathbb{P}$ such that, for any $s \leq r|M$ in $M \cap \mathbb{P}$, s is compatible with r . By extending r if necessary, we may assume that $\delta_M \in \text{dom}(f_r)$; let $k^* = f_r(\delta_M)$. Let $\gamma := \max((x_r \cup \text{dom}(f_r)) \cap \delta_M)$ (or $\gamma = 0$ if $(x_r \cup \text{dom}(f_r)) \cap \delta_M = \emptyset$). By elementarity of M , there exists $\bar{\delta}$ such that $\gamma < \bar{\delta} < \delta_M$ and $C_{\bar{\delta}}[n_{k^*}] = C_{\delta_M}[n_{k^*}]$. Define $r|M$ by letting $x_{r|M} = x_r \cap M$ and $f_{r|M} = (f_r \cap M) \cup \{(\bar{\delta}, k^*)\}$. The fact that $r|M \in \mathbb{P}$ follows immediately from the fact that $r \in \mathbb{P}$, $f_{r|M}(\bar{\delta}) = f_r(\delta_M) = k^*$, and $C_{\bar{\delta}}[n_{k^*}] = C_{\delta_M}[n_{k^*}]$.

Now suppose that $s \leq r|M$, with $s \in M \cap \mathbb{P}$. To show that s and r are compatible, it suffices to show that $(x_s \cup x_r, f_s \cup f_r) \in \mathbb{P}$. Item (1) in the definition of \mathbb{P} is immediate, and item (2) follows from the fact that $f_s \supseteq f_{r|M} \supseteq f_r \cap M$. Let us now verify item (3). Because r and s are each in \mathbb{P} , it suffices to consider pairs $\alpha < \beta$ such that $\alpha \in \text{dom}(f_s)$ and $\beta \in \text{dom}(f_r) \setminus \delta_M$. Fix such $\alpha < \beta$, and suppose that $f_s(\alpha) = f_r(\beta) = k$. Suppose first that $\beta = \delta_M$, so $k = k^*$. Then, since $f_s(\bar{\delta}) = k^*$ and $C_{\bar{\delta}}[n_{k^*}] = C_{\delta_M}[n_{k^*}]$, it follows from the fact that s is a condition that

$$\text{type}(C_\alpha[n_{k^*}], C_{\delta_M}[n_{k^*}]) = \text{type}(C_\alpha[n_{k^*}], C_{\bar{\delta}}[n_{k^*}]) \notin \{t_k, \bar{t}_k\}.$$

Next suppose that $\beta > \delta_M$. Since $\delta_M \in x_q \subseteq x_r$ and r satisfies requirement (4) in the definition of \mathbb{P} , we are in one of two cases. If there is $\beta' \in \text{dom}(f_r) \cap (\delta_M + 1)$ such that $f_r(\beta') = k$ and $C_{\beta'}[n_k] = C_\beta[n_k]$, then we can reach the desired conclusion exactly as in the case in which $\beta = \delta_M$. So assume now that $d_k > |C_\beta \cap \delta_M| + 1$. In particular, $C_\beta(d_k - 1) \geq \delta_M$, so if it were the case that

$$\text{type}(C_\alpha[n_k], C_\beta[n_k]) \in \{t_k, \bar{t}_k\},$$

then it would need to be the case that $\alpha > \delta_M$. Since $\alpha < \delta_M$, we again reach our desired conclusion.

We finally verify item (4). Again since r and s are both in \mathbb{P} , it suffices to consider pairs $\delta \in x_s$ and $\beta \in \text{dom}(f_r) \setminus \delta_M$. Fix such a δ and β , and let $k = f_r(\beta)$. Suppose first that $\beta = \delta_M$, and hence $k = k^*$. If $\delta < \bar{\delta}$, then applying requirement (4) to δ and $\bar{\delta}$ in the condition s yields the desired conclusion. If $\bar{\delta} \leq \delta$, then $\bar{\delta}$ is a witness to option (b) in requirement (4).

Suppose now that $\beta > \delta_M$. Applying requirement (4) to δ_M and β in r yields one of two options. If option (b) of requirement (4) holds, then we can proceed exactly as in the case in which $\beta = \delta_M$ to reach our desired conclusion. If, on the other hand, $d_k > |C_\beta \cap \delta_M| + 1$, then the fact that $\delta < \delta_M$ immediately yields $d_k > |C_\beta \cap \delta| + 1$, and we are done. This completes the verification that s and r are compatible, and hence the proof that \mathbb{P} is strongly proper.

To complete the proof of the theorem, apply $\text{PFA}(\omega_1)$ to the poset \mathbb{P} and the dense open sets $\{D_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)\}$ to yield a filter $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$, $G \cap D_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Let $f = \bigcup \{f_p \mid p \in G\}$. Then $f : \lim(\omega_1) \rightarrow \omega$ witnesses that \vec{C} is not a \vec{t} -guessing sequence. \square

6. OPEN QUESTIONS

We conclude with a few questions that remain open. First, note that, in Section 2, we show that disjoint type guessing for sequences of bounded width is a theorem of ZFC, while in Section 5 we showed that disjoint type guessing can consistently fail for sequences of unbounded depth. It remains unclear what the situation is for sequences of unbounded width but bounded depth. In particular, we ask the following question.

Question 6.1. *Suppose that $\vec{t} = \langle t_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ is a sequence of disjoint types such that $\sup\{\text{depth}(t_k) \mid k < \omega\} < \omega$. Must there exist a \vec{t} -guessing sequence?*

Next, we do not know if DTG is necessary for the conclusion of Theorem 4.1.

Question 6.2. *Must it be the case that, in the forcing extension by a single Cohen real, the following statement is true: For every function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there is an HM graph G such that $f_G(k) > f(k)$ for all $3 \leq k < \omega$?*

Our final question concerns a formal strengthening of the notion of an HM graph. Let us say that a graph G on ω_1 is a *regressive HM graph* if it is an HM graph and, moreover, there are no proper colorings $c : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ of G such that $c(\alpha) < \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \lim(\omega_1)$. All of the methods of constructing HM graphs that we examined can be modified to yield regressive HM graphs; we ask whether the existence of regressive HM graphs is actually a stronger statement than the existence of HM graphs.

Question 6.3. *Suppose that there is an HM graph. Must there exist a regressive HM graph?*

REFERENCES

- [1] Nicolaas Govert de Bruijn and Paul Erdős. A colour problem for infinite graphs and a problem in the theory of relations. *Indag. Math.*, 13:369–373, 1951. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 54.
- [2] Natasha Dobrinen, John Krueger, Pedro Marun, Miguel Angel Mota, and Jindrich Zapletal. On the consistency strength of $\text{MM}(\omega_1)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 152(5):2229–2237, 2023.
- [3] Paul Erdős and András Hajnal. On chromatic number of graphs and set-systems. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 17:61–99, 1966.
- [4] Paul Erdős, András Hajnal, and Endre Szemerédi. On almost bipartite large chromatic graphs. In *Theory and practice of combinatorics*, volume 60 of *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, pages 117–123. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [5] András Hajnal and Péter Komjáth. What must and what need not be contained in a graph of uncountable chromatic number? *Combinatorica*, 4(1):47–52, 1984.

- [6] András Hajnal and Attila Máté. Set mappings, partitions, and chromatic numbers. In *Logic Colloquium '73 (Bristol, 1973)*, volume Vol. 80 of *Stud. Logic Found. Math.*, pages 347–379. North-Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford, 1975.
- [7] Péter Komjáth. A note on Hajnal-Máté graphs. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 15(1-3):275–276, 1980.
- [8] Péter Komjáth. A second note on Hajnal-Máté graphs. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 19(2-4):245–246, 1984.
- [9] Péter Komjáth. Third note on Hajnal-Máté graphs. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 24(4):403–406, 1989.
- [10] Péter Komjáth and Saharon Shelah. Forcing constructions for uncountably chromatic graphs. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 53(3):696–707, 1988.
- [11] Péter Komjáth and Saharon Shelah. Finite subgraphs of uncountably chromatic graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 49(1):28–38, 2005.
- [12] Kenneth Kunen. *Set theory*, volume 102 of *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980. An introduction to independence proofs.
- [13] Chris Lambie-Hanson. Disjoint type graphs with no short odd cycles. 2020. Unpublished note, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.04189>.
- [14] Chris Lambie-Hanson. On the growth rate of chromatic numbers of finite subgraphs. *Adv. Math.*, 369:107176, 13, 2020.
- [15] Chris Lambie-Hanson and Dániel T. Soukup. Extremal triangle-free and odd-cycle-free colourings of uncountable graphs. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 163(1):174–193, 2021.
- [16] Judy Roitman. Adding a random or a Cohen real: topological consequences and the effect on Martin’s axiom. *Fund. Math.*, 103(1):47–60, 1979.
- [17] Saharon Shelah. Can you take Solovay’s inaccessible away? *Israel J. Math.*, 48(1):1–47, 1984.
- [18] Saharon Shelah. *Proper and improper forcing*. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [19] Dániel T. Soukup. Open problems around uncountable graphs. 2015. Unpublished note, available at https://danieltsoukup.github.io/academic/norwich_handout.pdf.
- [20] Dániel T. Soukup. Trees, ladders and graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 115:96–116, 2015.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: `lambiehanson@math.cas.cz`

URL: `https://math.cas.cz/lambiehanson/`

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: `uhrik@math.cas.cz`