# Almost Famous: Analyse Newsletter Signup Rate Per Experiment

#### Cindy Lamm

19:47, Wednesday 21st January, 2015

#### Load variable names and types:

```
nameTypeDataFile <- "../../data/raw_variables.csv"</pre>
variableNames <- read.csv(nameTypeDataFile, header=TRUE, stringsAsFactors=FALSE)
variableNames
##
         name
                   type
## 1 visit_id factor
## 2
       uid factor
## 3
     campaign
                factor
## 4
      tstamp character
                factor
## 5 experiments
## 6 action
                factor
## 7
        query factor
factorIdx <- which(variableNames$type=="factor")</pre>
factorNames <- variableNames$name[factorIdx]</pre>
```

#### Read the per visit aggregated web log data:

```
summary(visitData)
##
           visit_id
                                  uid
                                                   campaign
                                                                      tstamp
                           102486699:
                                           7
                                                                 Min.
##
    10000024498:
                      1
                                                558
                                                       :324872
                                                                         :2014-09-15 00:00:01
                                           7
                                                                 1st Qu.:2014-09-18 16:32:04
##
    10000032484:
                       1
                           123618732:
                                                103
                                                       :324027
    10000079220:
                       1
                           143588980:
                                                59
                                                                 Median :2014-09-22 16:55:36
##
                                                       :232002
##
    10000092303:
                       1
                           159226004:
                                           7
                                                31
                                                       :231685
                                                                 Mean
                                                                         :2014-09-22 20:33:11
##
    10000132469:
                      1
                           168873739:
                                           7
                                                127
                                                       : 92681
                                                                 3rd Qu.:2014-09-26 19:41:15
##
    10000206890:
                      1
                           171898393:
                                                94
                                                       : 92436
                                                                         :2014-09-30 23:53:20
    (Other)
##
               :1482596
                           (Other) :1482560
                                                (Other):184899
##
    experiments
                                                  action
##
    [1 3]:370018
                   landed
                                                     :1291256
##
    [1 4]:371852
                   [landed signup]
                                                     : 84889
##
    [2 3]:370082
                   [landed order]
                                                        43930
    [2 4]:370650
                   [landed adclick]
##
                                                        28233
##
                   [landed adclick adclick]: 14956
##
                    [landed adclick adclick] : 14875
##
                   (Other)
                                                         4463
##
                            query
##
    advanced analytics
                               :463687
##
    building predictive models: 92454
##
    data science
                               : 92445
##
    data science training
                               :185117
##
    predictive modeling
                               :648899
##
##
```

### 1 Newsletter Signup Rate Per Experiment

Compute the overall newsletter signup rate (defined as the number of users who signed up to the newsletter divided by the total number of users) for each of the experiments.

What are the actions per visit?

```
table(visitData$action)
##
   [landed adclick adclick adclick]
                                                [landed adclick adclick]
##
##
                                                                     14875
                                14956
##
                     [landed adclick]
                                                           [landed order]
##
                                28233
                                                                     43930
##
             [landed signup adclick]
                                                   [landed signup order]
##
                                  1045
                                                                      3418
##
                      [landed signup]
                                                                   landed
##
                                84889
                                                                   1291256
```

Look at visits with signups:

```
signupIdx <- getPatternIndex(visitData$action, "signup")
## Concerned pattern levels are [landed signup adclick], [landed signup order], [landed signup]</pre>
```

```
totalSignups <- length(signupIdx)</pre>
```

I conclude from the factor levels for action that there is at most 1 signup per visit and overall 89352 signups. I cross check with a simple grep on the command line on the unaggregated web data which gives us the same result:

```
$ grep -o signup web.log \mid wc -l $ 89352
```

Add the number of signups per visit as variable to the data frame:

```
nbSignup <- rep(0, nrow(visitData))
nbSignup[signupIdx] <- 1
visitData$nb_signups <- nbSignup</pre>
```

There are 93.97% of visits that don't have a signup and only 6.03% that do. Checkout experiment information:

```
prop.table(table(visitData$experiments))
##
## [1 3] [1 4] [2 3] [2 4]
## 0.2495734 0.2508104 0.2496166 0.2499997
```

Split up the experiment information into separate variables

```
expIdx1 <- getPatternIndex(visitData$experiments, 1)

## Concerned pattern levels are [1 3], [1 4]

totalExp1 <- length(expIdx1)
expIdx2 <- getPatternIndex(visitData$experiments, 2)

## Concerned pattern levels are [2 3], [2 4]

totalExp2 <- length(expIdx2)
expIdx3 <- getPatternIndex(visitData$experiments, 3)

## Concerned pattern levels are [1 3], [2 3]

totalExp3 <- length(expIdx3)
expIdx4 <- getPatternIndex(visitData$experiments, 4)

## Concerned pattern levels are [1 4], [2 4]

totalExp4 <- length(expIdx4)</pre>
```

and add them pairwise to the data frame:

```
experiment12 <- rep(1, nrow(visitData))
experiment12[expIdx2] <- 2
visitData$experiment_12 <- factor(experiment12, levels=1:2)

experiment34 <- rep(3, nrow(visitData))
experiment34[expIdx4] <- 4
visitData$experiment_34 <- factor(experiment34, levels=3:4)</pre>
```

#### Checkout experiment distribution:

```
prop.table(table(visitData$experiment_12))

##

## 1 2

## 0.5003838 0.4996162

prop.table(table(visitData$experiment_34))

##

## 3 4

## 0.4991899 0.5008101
```

#### How many signups are there per experiment?

```
visitAggExp12 <- aggregatePerExperiment12(visitData)</pre>
visitAggExp12
    experiment_12 nb_visits nb_uids total_signups total_non_signups signup_rate
## 1
        1 741870 532225 45145 487080 0.08482315
## 2
               2
                  740732 531989
                                         44207
                                                        487782 0.08309758
visitAggExp34 <- aggregatePerExperiment34(visitData)</pre>
visitAggExp34
    experiment_34 nb_visits nb_uids total_signups total_non_signups signup_rate
## 1
               3
                    740100 531345
                                                     484526 0.08811413
                                         46819
## 2
               4 742502 532869
                                         42533
                                                        490336 0.07981887
```

#### Write the result into file overall\_signup\_rate.json:

```
library(jsonlite)
overallSignupRates <- c(visitAggExp12$signup_rate, visitAggExp34$signup_rate)
names(overallSignupRates) <- paste("experiment", 1:4, sep="")</pre>
jsonString <- toJSON(as.data.frame(t(overallSignupRates)), dataframe="rows", pretty=TRUE)
jsonString
## [
##
           "experiment1": 0.0848,
##
           "experiment2": 0.0831,
##
           "experiment3": 0.0881,
##
##
           "experiment4": 0.0798
##
```

```
## ]
##
write(jsonString, file="../q4_newsletter_signup/out/overall_signup_rate.json")
```

### 2 Performance Of Experiments

Use a G-test to compare the performance of experiment one vs. experiment two and experiment three vs. experiment four overall.

I assume that the performance of an experiment is measured by the number of signups for the newsletter.

```
gTestTable12 <- cbind(visitAggExp12$total_signups, visitAggExp12$total_non_signups)
rownames(gTestTable12) <- paste("Experiment",1:2,sep="")</pre>
colnames(gTestTable12) <- c("signups", "ignorations")</pre>
gTestTable12
##
               signups ignorations
                 45145
                         487080
## Experiment1
                 44207
                             487782
## Experiment2
gTestTable34 <- cbind(visitAggExp34$total_signups, visitAggExp34$total_non_signups)
rownames(gTestTable34) <- paste("Experiment",3:4,sep="")</pre>
colnames(gTestTable34) <- c("signups","ignorations")</pre>
gTestTable34
##
               signups ignorations
## Experiment3
                 46819
                            484526
## Experiment4
                 42533
                             490336
```

Run G-Test, which is a test of independence (just like the Chi-Square test). The null hypothesis is that the two variables are independent, which is rejected if the p-value is smaller than a chosen significance level  $\alpha$ . Usually one uses  $\alpha = 5\%$  but with such a large sample size I would opt for  $\alpha = 0.1\%$ , which means that if we decide to reject the null hypothesis and assume the variables are dependent (i.e. in this case this means one experiment is better than the other), we might be wrong for  $\alpha \times n = 1064$  observations.

Run G-Test for experiments 1 and 2:

```
library(Deducer)
test12 <- likelihood.test(gTestTable12)
print(test12)

##
## Log likelihood ratio (G-test) test of independence without correction
##
## data: gTestTable12
## Log likelihood ratio statistic (G) = 10.3003, X-squared df = 1, p-value =
## 0.00133

# sampleSize
sum(visitAggExp12$nb_uids)
## [1] 1064214</pre>
```

#### Run G-Test for experiments 3 and 4:

```
test34 <- likelihood.test(gTestTable34)
print(test34)

##
## Log likelihood ratio (G-test) test of independence without correction
##
## data: gTestTable34
## Log likelihood ratio statistic (G) = 238.1123, X-squared df = 1, p-value <
## 2.2e-16

#sample size
sum(visitAggExp34$nb_uids)
## [1] 1064214</pre>
```

I would interpret the results as follows:

- With a p-value of  $p_{12} = 0.0013301$  experiments 1 and 2 are indistinguishable it doesn't matter for the newsletter signup whether the color scheme of the page is blue or green.
- With a p-value of  $p_{34} = 0$  there is a statistically significant difference between experiment 3 and 4 based on the number of newsletter signups the promotional blurb by Josh Willis performs better.

Write the result into file performance\_of\_experiment\_1\_versus\_2.json:

```
write(test12$p.value, file="../q4_newsletter_signup/out/performance_of_experiment_1_versus_2.json")
write(test34$p.value, file="../q4_newsletter_signup/out/performance_of_experiment_3_versus_4.json")
```

## 3 Required Days for 99% Confidence in G-Test Result

How many full days of data, starting from the first day, are required to determine that the newsletter signup rate for experiment one is better than experiment two at the 99% confidence level?

The number of days is "encoded" within the number of visits and users. Reformulated the question is "Given the current signup rate for each experiment and  $\alpha = 1\%$ , how long does an experiment need to run in order to generate enough users/visits for the G-Test to be significant?"

I simulate the answer<sup>1</sup>.

Define a function to get the p-value from a G-Test:

```
library(Deducer)
getPValue <- function(signupRates, nbUsers) {
    # parameters:
    # signupRates: vector of signup rate for experiments
    # nbUsers: vector of number of users how saw the experiment
    # returns:
    # p-value
    # description:</pre>
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>just I like did for proportion test: https://github.com/clamm/R\_dev/blob/master/ab\_testing.R

```
# H0: experiments perform equally well based on signup rate
# accept H1 if pval < alpha (i.e. the 2 experiments perform differently)
dataExperiments <- c(signupRates * nbUsers, (1-signupRates) * nbUsers)
tableExperiments <- matrix(dataExperiments, nrow=2, byrow=FALSE)
rownames(tableExperiments) <- paste("Experiment", LETTERS[1:2], sep=" ")
colnames(tableExperiments) <- c("signups", "ignorations")
print(tableExperiments)
res <- likelihood.test(tableExperiments)
return(res$p.value)
}</pre>
```

Double check that this function gives the same results as manual extraction of the p-value:

```
newPvalue <- getPValue(overallSignupRates[1:2], visitAggExp12$nb_uids)

## signups ignorations
## Experiment A 45145 487080

## Experiment B 44207 487782

newPvalue == test12$p.value

## p.value
## TRUE</pre>
```