

Computer Vision on the SpiNNaker Platform

Garibaldi Pineda García

Supervisor: Steve Furber, Co-Supervisor: Dave Lester
School of Computer Science, University of Manchester, U.K.

Abstract—Vision systems in biological entities are one of the most complex sensory inputs in nature. If we want to simulate them, it would require incredible amounts of computing power and, traditionally, several algorithms to perform each individual task. A parallel computation platform is the best way to go while attempting to solve this problem, since neural structures in the brain compute in this way.

SpiNNaker is one of such platforms, a network of low-powered processing units, each of which can simulate several neurons. Given that the SpiNNaker platform resembles this natural neural structures, computer vision algorithms need to be developed in a completely different manner.

The aim of this project is to develop algorithms in the realm of computer vision but using a spiking neural networks approach. In particular we'll study time-based spike codes and how to process them. This algorithms should be able to cooperate and share their interpretation of the input data to gain a more robust understanding of images.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years neuromorphic (i.e. one that mimics the brain) hardware has risen attention as a different way of computing. One key aspect is the high parallelism found in the infrastructure of the brain. Platforms such as *SpiNNaker* [6] emulate such parallelism; furthermore it does so while maintaining low power consumption. The *SpiNNaker* platform can also give neural simulations the flexibility of software models and keep them running in biological real-time.

Converting conventional images or video into spike based representation is a must-do step for further studies, in section IV we report on the work done so far towards this goal. From this data we'll develop learning and classification algorithms. Furthermore this algorithms may lead to an implementation of vision tasks such as registration or optical flow.

II. RESEARCH AIMS AND CONTRIBUTION

This research aims to develop computer vision algorithms using SpiNNaker. This is to be achieved by modelling biological vision, using spiking neural networks, on SpiNNaker. Several stages of vision would need modelling and/or implementation, the latter has been the goal for this year's work. We hypothesize that a better understanding of vision in biology will lead to a unified computer vision framework. Using neural networks should translate in gaining an insight to the meaning of elements in a scene and, thus, a relation between different images of the same scenario.

Bio-inspired vision algorithms using SpiNNaker hardware could be used on robotics, security or transportation applications. The research on learning and classification could lead into a theory of learning and memory in the brain.

III. PREVIOUS WORK

In order to process visual input from frame based imaging devices on a spiking neural network (SNN) a transformation is needed. The most common way is to simply encode using Poisson spiking with a rate that is proportional to pixel intensity. This is not entirely accurate as cells in the retina react to changes in intensity[2]. One of the most accurate retinal models was developed by Wohrer and Kornprobst in [9]. A special category is hardware based bio-inspired retinas. First reported on [5]. New devices have been developed and reported in [3, 4], this are splendid real-time, low-powered, high-dynamic-range event-based cameras; though they have limited availability.

IV. PROJECT PROGRESS TO DATE

The literature review is about 60%, though further reading might prove that this number might change. Our objective this year is to generate a video-to-spike train encoder. For this, the first approach was to use a biologically plausible functional model [7] that results in images being transformed into rank-ordered spikes [8].




Figure 1: Anatomy of the (human) eye

The retina is a thin layer of neural cells located in the eye (Fig. 1), it is responsible for the sensing, processing and transmitting visual input[2]. At its deepest layer, the retina has a millions of cells known as photoreceptors (top of Fig. 1b), they are in charge of transforming light into electrical signals. After this step there are, mainly, three layers of neurons that perform different computations such as lateral inhibition or on/off centre-off/on surround behaviour[1]. A small area at the centre of the retina has very few obstacles to obtain light and has high resolution,

this area is known as the *foveal pit* (small depression on the right of Fig. 1a).




Figure 2: Results of simulating ganglion cells (convolved images are enhanced for better contrast)

This algorithm models the foveal pit, first we perform a two-dimensional *convolution* of the current frame with four different *kernels* which represent four types of ganglion cells (Fig. 2).

After this step, a correction is needed due to redundancy of information in the convolved images. This last step is carried in the retina via lateral inhibition prior to any ganglion cell activity. This project is near completion and we can encode video at 12 frames-per-second, though we believe some coding optimizations could lead to an increase in performance.

A second way of encoding is to simulate the early stages of the retina, which sense changes in intensity on the photoreceptors. This is quite similar to what DVS do [3, 4], but with limited dynamic range and lower temporal resolution. The main advantage is that no specialized hardware is needed and the operation is so fast that any recent computer should be able to do it. For this type of encoding procedure we hypothesize that the bigger the change, the sooner a cell would spike and, thus, we can obtain a spike timings given the difference of two video frames. This project is also on its final stages, though more testing is required.

V. THESIS OUTLINE

- Abstract
- **Chapter 1.** Introduction.
 - Neural networks.
 - Spike codes in vision.
 - Inhibition.
 - Spatio-temporal patterns and learning.
 - Research objectives.
- **Chapter 2.** Background.

- SpiNNaker platform.
- Real-time artificial neural computations.
- Polychronization.
- Classification.

- **Chapter 3.** Methodology.

- Model visual input using time-based spike codes.
- Hierarchical networks for robust classification.
- Feature identification.
- Sensor fusion and image registration.

- **Chapter 4.** Results.

- Comparison with other methods.
- Discussion.

- **Chapter 5.** Conclusions and Further Work.

- Conclusions.
- Future work.
- Publications.

- **References.**

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK

A. Conclusions

We obtained further knowledge about the anatomy of the eye from both a detailed and a functional approach. We also have come to appreciate the importance of inhibition circuits to enable high-efficiency, low-redundancy computing in the brain and how this brings robustness to neural structure.

Real-time spike encoding, although with low temporal resolution, is achievable with common GPU and the right combination of mathematics and engineering. Memory reads and writes in a GPU are extremely important, it is one of the biggest bottlenecks of the presented algorithms.

We propose timing mechanisms to emit spikes from a rank-ordered source. Possible solutions for a faster mutual inhibition algorithm might be to do it in-line as we send spikes to neuromorphic hardware; or let the neural simulation deal with mutual inhibition.

To reduce the power consumption/hardware requirements for mobile applications the best way to go might be change the resolution so not all image is perceived in high resolution.

B. Future work

We plan to explore learning on spiking neural networks using time-based codes or rank-ordered ones. This is an area where work has been made but remains an open problem. After a learning mechanism is proposed, we will use this to design networks that are able to robustly classify the MNIST dataset we previously encoded (section IV). Once some understanding of how features are interpreted in the network is gained, we shall proceed to make use of this knowledge to derive vision algorithms. In some cases we will most certainly use multiple sensors, so we'll have to apply techniques like sensor fusion.

VII. PUBLICATIONS

Part of the work carried during this year will be published as a paper on a **Frontiers in Neuroscience** journal in a special issue *Benchmarks and Challenges for Neuromorphic Engineering*. The article will present the MNIST database encoded using different types of spike codes and propose it as a standard way of testing learning and recognition tasks.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is funded by the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT) and the Secretariat of Public Education (SEP) of México.

REFERENCES

- [1] Helga Kolb. *Midget Pathways of the Primate Retina Underlie Resolution and Red Green Color Opponency*. Ed. by Moran Eye Center. Webvision. 2015. URL: <http://webvision.med.utah.edu/book/part-iii-retinal-circuits/midget-pathways-of-the-primate-retina-underly-resolution/>.
- [2] Helga Kolb. *Simple Anatomy of the Retina*. Ed. by Moran Eye Center. Webvision. 2015. URL: <http://webvision.med.utah.edu/book/part-i-foundations/simple-anatomy-of-the-retina/>.
- [3] J.A. Leñero-Bardallo, T. Serrano-Gotarredona, and B. Linares-Barranco. "A Five-Decade Dynamic-Range Ambient-Light-Independent Calibrated Signed-Spatial-Contrast AER Retina With 0.1-ms Latency and Optional Time-to-First-Spike Mode". In: *Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, IEEE Transactions on* 57.10 (2010), pp. 2632–2643. ISSN: 1549-8328. DOI: 10.1109/TCSI.2010.2046971.
- [4] P. Lichtsteiner, C. Posch, and T. Delbruck. "A 128 x 128 120 dB 15 us Latency Asynchronous Temporal Contrast Vision Sensor". In: *Solid-State Circuits, IEEE Journal of* 43.2 (2008), pp. 566–576. ISSN: 0018-9200. DOI: 10.1109/JSSC.2007.914337.
- [5] Carver A. Mead and M.A. Mahowald. "A silicon model of early visual processing". In: *Neural Networks* 1.1 (1988), pp. 91–97. ISSN: 0893-6080. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080\(88\)90024-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080(88)90024-X). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/089360808890024X>.
- [6] Alexander D. Rast et al. "Scalable Event-driven Native Parallel Processing: The SpiNNaker Neuromimetic System". In: *Proceedings of the 7th ACM International Conference on Computing Frontiers*. CF '10. Bertinoro, Italy: ACM, 2010, pp. 21–30. ISBN: 978-1-4503-0044-5. DOI: 10.1145/1787275.1787279. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1787275.1787279>.
- [7] Basabdatta Sen and Steve Furber. "Evaluating Rank-order Code Performance Using a Biologically-derived Retinal Model". In: *Proceedings of the 2009 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*. IJCNN'09. Atlanta, Georgia, USA: IEEE Press, 2009, pp. 1835–1842. ISBN: 978-1-4244-3549-4. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1704175.1704440>.
- [8] Simon Thorpe, Arnaud Delorme, and Rufin Van Rullen. "Spike-based strategies for rapid processing". In: *Neural Networks* 14.6–7 (2001), pp. 715–725. ISSN: 0893-6080. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080\(01\)00083-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-6080(01)00083-1). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0893608001000831>.
- [9] Adrien Wohrer and Pierre Kornprobst. "Virtual Retina: A biological retina model and simulator, with contrast gain control". English. In: *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* 26.2 (2009), pp. 219–249. ISSN: 0929-5313. DOI: 10.1007/s10827-008-0108-4. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10827-008-0108-4>.

APPENDIX

